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## PREFACE TO THE FIRST EDITION

This book is a considerable expansion of lectures I gave at the School of Mathematical and Physical Sciences, University of Sussex during the winter term of 1986. The audience included postgraduate students and faculty members working in particle physics, condensed matter physics and general relativity. The lectures were quite informal and I have tried to keep this informality as much as possible in this book. The proof of a theorem is given only when it is instructive and not very technical; otherwise examples will make the theorem plausible. Many figures will help the reader to obtain concrete images of the subjects.

In spite of the extensive use of the concepts of topology, differential geometry and other areas of contemporary mathematics in recent developments in theoretical physics, it is rather difficult to find a self-contained book that is easily accessible to postgraduate students in physics. This book is meant to fill the gap between highly advanced books or research papers and the many excellent introductory books. As a reader, I imagined a first-year postgraduate student in theoretical physics who has some familiarity with quantum field theory and relativity. In this book, the reader will find many examples from physics, in which topological and geometrical notions are very important. These examples are eclectic collections from particle physics, general relativity and condensed matter physics. Readers should feel free to skip examples that are out of their direct concern. However, I believe these examples should be the theoretical minima to students in theoretical physics. Mathematicians who are interested in the application of their discipline to theoretical physics will also find this book interesting.

The book is largely divided into four parts. Chapters 1 and 2 deal with the preliminary concepts in physics and mathematics, respectively. In chapter 1, a brief summary of the physics treated in this book is given. The subjects covered are path integrals, gauge theories (including monopoles and instantons), defects in condensed matter physics, general relativity, Berry's phase in quantum mechanics and strings. Most of the subjects are subsequently explained in detail from the topological and geometrical viewpoints. Chapter 2 supplements the undergraduate mathematics that the average physicist has studied. If readers are quite familiar with sets, maps and general topology, they may skip this chapter and proceed to the next.

Chapters 3 to 8 are devoted to the basics of algebraic topology and differential geometry. In chapters 3 and 4, the idea of the classification of spaces with homology groups and homotopy groups is introduced. In chapter 5, we
define a manifold, which is one of the central concepts in modern theoretical physics. Differential forms defined there play very important roles throughout this book. Differential forms allow us to define the dual of the homology group called the de Rham cohomology group in chapter 6 . Chapter 7 deals with a manifold endowed with a metric. With the metric, we may define such geometrical concepts as connection, covariant derivative, curvature, torsion and many more. In chapter 8, a complex manifold is defined as a special manifold on which there exists a natural complex structure.

Chapters 9 to 12 are devoted to the unification of topology and geometry. In chapter 9 , we define a fibre bundle and show that this is a natural setting for many physical phenomena. The connection defined in chapter 7 is naturally generalized to that on fibre bundles in chapter 10. Characteristic classes defined in chapter 11 enable us to classify fibre bundles using various cohomology classes. Characteristic classes are particularly important in the Atiyah-Singer index theorem in chapter 12 . We do not prove this, one of the most important theorems in contemporary mathematics, but simply write down the special forms of the theorem so that we may use them in practical applications in physics.

Chapters 13 and 14 are devoted to the most fascinating applications of topology and geometry in contemporary physics. In chapter 13, we apply the theory of fibre bundles, characteristic classes and index theorems to the study of anomalies in gauge theories. In chapter 14, Polyakov's bosonic string theory is analysed from the geometrical point of view. We give an explicit computation of the one-loop amplitude.

I would like to express deep gratitude to my teachers, friends and students. Special thanks are due to Tetsuya Asai, David Bailin, Hiroshi Khono, David Lancaster, Shigeki Matsutani, Hiroyuki Nagashima, David Pattarini, Felix E A Pirani, Kenichi Tamano, David Waxman and David Wong. The basic concepts in chapter 5 owe very much to the lectures by F E A Pirani at King's College, University of London. The evaluation of the string Laplacian in chapter 14 using the Eisenstein series and the Kronecker limiting formula was suggested by T Asai. I would like to thank Euan Squires, David Bailin and Hiroshi Khono for useful comments and suggestions. David Bailin suggested that I should write this book. He also advised Professor Douglas F Brewer to include this book in his series. I would like to thank the Science and Engineering Research Council of the United Kingdom, which made my stay at Sussex possible. It is a pity that I have no secretary to thank for the beautiful typing. Word processing has been carried out by myself on two NEC PC9801 computers. Jim A Revill of Adam Hilger helped me in many ways while preparing the manuscript. His indulgence over my failure to meet deadlines is also acknowledged. Many musicians have filled my office with beautiful music during the preparation of the manuscript: I am grateful to J S Bach, Ryuichi Sakamoto, Ravi Shankar and Erik Satie.

Mikio Nakahara<br>Shizuoka, February 1989

## PREFACE TO THE SECOND EDITION

The first edition of the present book was published in 1990. There has been incredible progress in geometry and topology applied to theoretical physics and vice versa since then. The boundaries among these disciplines are quite obscure these days.

I found it impossible to take all the progress into these fields in this second edition and decided to make the revision minimal. Besides correcting typos, errors and miscellaneous small additions, I added the proof of the index theorem in terms of supersymmetric quantum mechanics. There are also some rearrangements of material in many places. I have learned from publications and internet homepages that the first edition of the book has been read by students and researchers from a wide variety of fields, not only in physics and mathematics but also in philosophy, chemistry, geodesy and oceanology among others. This is one of the reasons why I did not specialize this book to the forefront of recent developments. I hope to publish a separate book on the recent fascinating application of quantum field theory to low dimensional topology and number theory, possibly with a mathematician or two, in the near future.

The first edition of the book has been used in many classes all over the world. Some of the lecturers gave me valuable comments and suggestions. I would like to thank, in particular, Jouko Mikkelsson for constructive suggestions. Kazuhiro Sakuma, my fellow mathematician, joined me to translate the first edition of the book into Japanese. He gave me valuable comments and suggestions from a mathematician's viewpoint. I also want to thank him for frequent discussions and for clarifying many of my questions. I had a chance to lecture on the material of the book while I was a visiting professor at Helsinki University of Technology during fall 2001 through spring 2002. I would like to thank Martti Salomaa for warm hospitality at his materials physics laboratory. Sami Virtanen was the course assisitant whom I would like to thank for his excellent work. I would also like to thank Juha Vartiainen, Antti Laiho, Teemu Ojanen, Teemu Keski-Kuha, Markku Stenberg, Juha Heiskala, Tuomas Hytönen, Antti Niskanen and Ville Bergholm for helping me to find typos and errors in the manuscript and also for giving me valuable comments and questions.
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## HOW TO READ THIS BOOK

As the author of this book, I strongly wish that this book is read in order. However, I admit that the book is thick and the materials contained in it are diverse. Here I want to suggest some possibilities when this book is used for a course in mathematics or mathematical physics.
(1) A one year course on mathematical physics: chapters 1 through 10. Chapters 11 and 12 are optional.
(2) A one-year course on geometry and topology for mathematics students: chapters 2 through 12. Chapter 2 may be omitted if students are familiar with elementary topology. Topics from physics may be omitted without causing serious problems.
(3) A single-semester course on geometry and topology: chapters 2 through 7. Chapter 2 may be omitted if the students are familiar with elementary topology. Chapter 8 is optional.
(4) A single-semester course on differential geometry for general relativity: chapters 2, 5 and 7.
(5) A single-semester course on advanced mathematical physics: sections 1.11.7 and sections 12.9 and 12.10, assuming that students are familiar with Riemannian geometry and fibre bundles. This makes a self-contained course on the path integral and its application to index theorem.

Some repetition of the material or a summary of the subjects introduced in the previous part are made to make these choices possible.

## NOTATION AND CONVENTIONS

The symbols $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$ denote the sets of natural numbers, integers, rational numbers, real numbers and complex numbers, respectively. The set of quaternions is defined by

$$
\mathbb{H}=\{a+b \boldsymbol{i}+c \boldsymbol{j}+d \boldsymbol{k} \mid a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{R}\}
$$

where $(1, \boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{k})$ is a basis such that $\boldsymbol{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}=-\boldsymbol{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{k}, \boldsymbol{j} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}=-\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{i}$, $\boldsymbol{k} \cdot \boldsymbol{i}=-\boldsymbol{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{j}, \boldsymbol{i}^{2}=\boldsymbol{j}^{2}=\boldsymbol{k}^{2}=-1$. Note that $\boldsymbol{i}, \boldsymbol{j}$ and $\boldsymbol{k}$ have the $2 \times 2$ matrix representations $\boldsymbol{i}=\mathrm{i} \sigma_{3}, \boldsymbol{j}=\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}, \boldsymbol{k}=\mathrm{i} \sigma_{1}$ where $\sigma_{i}$ are the Pauli spin matrices

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{i} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The imaginary part of a complex number $z$ is denoted by $\operatorname{Im} z$ while the real part is $\operatorname{Re} z$.

We put $c$ (speed of light) $=\hbar$ (Planck's constant $/ 2 \pi)=k_{\mathrm{B}}$ (Boltzmann's constant) $=1$, unless otherwise stated explicitly. We employ the Einstein summation convention: if the same index appears twice, once as a superscript and once as a subscript, then the index is summed over all possible values. For example, if $\mu$ runs from 1 to $m$, one has

$$
A^{\mu} B_{\mu}=\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} A^{\mu} B_{\mu}
$$

The Euclid metric is $g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(+1, \ldots,+1)$ while the Minkowski metric is $g_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{diag}(-1,+1, \ldots,+1)$.

The symbol $\square$ denotes 'the end of a proof'.

## 1

## QUANTUM PHYSICS

A brief introduction to path integral quantization is presented in this chapter. Physics students who are familiar with this subject and mathematics students who are not interested in physics may skip this chapter and proceed directly to the next chapter. Our presentation is sketchy and a more detailed account of this subject is found in Bailin and Love (1996), Cheng and Li (1984), Huang (1982), Das (1993), Kleinert (1990), Ramond (1989), Ryder (1986) and Swanson (1992). We closely follow Alvarez (1995), Bertlmann (1996), Das (1993), Nakahara (1998), Rabin (1995), Sakita (1985) and Swanson (1992).

### 1.1 Analytical mechanics

We introduce some elementary principles of Lagrangian and Hamiltonian formalisms that are necessary to understand quantum mechanics.

### 1.1.1 Newtonian mechanics

Let us consider the motion of a particle $m$ in three-dimensional space and let $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ denote the position of $m$ at time $t .{ }^{1}$ Suppose this particle is moving under an external force $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})$. Then $\boldsymbol{x}(t)$ satisfies the second-order differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \boldsymbol{x}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}=\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x}(t)) \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

called Newton's equation or the equation of motion.
If force $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})$ is expressed in terms of a scalar function $V(\boldsymbol{x})$ as $\boldsymbol{F}(\boldsymbol{x})=$ $-\nabla V(x)$, the force is called a conserved force and the function $V(x)$ is called the potential energy or simply the potential. When $\boldsymbol{F}$ is a conserved force, the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=\frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)^{2}+V(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is conserved. In fact,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} E}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\sum_{k=x, y, z}\left[m \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right]=\sum_{k}\left(m \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} x_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial x_{k}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} x_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=0
$$

1 We call a particle with mass $m$ simply 'a particle $m$ '.
where use has been made of the equation of motion. The function $E$, which is often the sum of the kinetic energy and the potential energy, is called the energy.

Example 1.1. (One-dimensional harmonic oscillator) Let $x$ be the coordinate and suppose the force acting on $m$ is $F(x)=-k x, k$ being a constant. This force is conservative. In fact, $V(x)=\frac{1}{2} k x^{2}$ yields $F(x)=-\mathrm{d} V(x) / \mathrm{d} x=-k x$. In general, any one-dimensional force $F(x)$ which is a function of $x$ only is conserved and the potential is given by

$$
V(x)=-\int^{x} F(\xi) \mathrm{d} \xi
$$

An example of a force that is not conserved is friction $F=-\eta \mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} t$. We will be concerned only with conserved forces in the following.

### 1.1.2 Lagrangian formalism

Newtonian mechanics has the following difficulties;

1. This formalism is based on a vector equation (1.1) which is not very easy to handle unless an orthogonal coordinate system is employed.
2. The equation of motion is a second-order equation and the global properties of the system cannot be figured out easily.
3. The analysis of symmetries is not easy.
4. Constraints are difficult to take into account.

Furthermore, quantum mechanics cannot be derived directly from Newtonian mechanics. The Lagrangian formalism is now introduced to overcome these difficulties.

Let us consider a system whose state (the position of masses for example) is described by $N$ parameters $\left\{q_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq N)$. The parameter is an element of some space $M .^{2}$ The space $M$ is called the configuration space and the $\left\{q_{i}\right\}$ are called the generalized coordinates. If one considers a particle on a circle, for example, the generalized coordinate $q$ is an angle $\theta$ and the configuration space $M$ is a circle. The generalized velocity is defined by $\dot{q}_{i}=\mathrm{d} q_{i} / \mathrm{d} t$.

The Lagrangian $L(q, \dot{q})$ is a function to be defined in Hamilton's principle later. We will restrict ourselves mostly to one-dimensional space but generalization to higher-dimensional space should be obvious. Let us consider a trajectory $q(t)\left(t \in\left[t_{i}, t_{f}\right]\right)$ of a particle with conditions $q\left(t_{i}\right)=q_{i}$ and $q\left(t_{f}\right)=q_{f}$. Consider a functional ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[q(t), \dot{q}(t)]=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} L(q, \dot{q}) \mathrm{d} t \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^0]called the action. Given a trajectory $q(t)$ and $\dot{q}(t)$, the action $S[q, \dot{q}]$ produces a real number. Hamilton's principle, also known as the principle of the least action, claims that the physically realized trajectory corresponds to an extremum of the action. Now the Lagrangian must be chosen so that Hamilton's principle is fulfilled.

It turns out to be convenient to write Hamilton's principle in a local form as a differential equation. Suppose $q(t)$ is a path realizing an extremum of $S$. Consider a variation $\delta q(t)$ of the trajectory such that $\delta q\left(t_{i}\right)=\delta q\left(t_{f}\right)=0$. The action changes under this variation by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta S & =\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} L(q+\delta q, \dot{q}+\delta \dot{q}) \mathrm{d} t-\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} L(q, \dot{q}) \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right) \delta q \mathrm{~d} t \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

which must vanish because $q$ yields an extremum of $S$. Since this is true for any $\delta q$, the integrand of the last line of (1.4) must vanish. Thus, the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}=0 \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

has been obtained. If there are $N$ degrees of freedom, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}=0 \quad(1 \leq k \leq N) \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we introduce the generalized momentum conjugate to the coordinate $q_{k}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{k}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}} \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

the Euler-Lagrange equation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}} \tag{1.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

By requiring this equation to reduce to Newton's equation, one quickly finds the possible form of the Lagrangian in the ordinary mechanics of a particle. Let us put $L=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{\boldsymbol{q}}^{2}-V(\boldsymbol{q})$. By substituting this Lagrangian into the Euler-Lagrange equation, it is easily shown that it reduces to Newton's equation of motion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
m \ddot{q}_{k}+\frac{\partial V}{\partial q_{k}}=0 \tag{1.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the one-dimensional harmonic oscillator for example. The Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, \dot{x})=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} k x^{2} \tag{1.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which one finds $m \ddot{x}+k x=0$.
It is convenient for later purposes to introduce the notion of a functional derivative. Let us consider the case with a single degree of freedom for simplicity. Define the functional derivative of $S$ with respect to $q$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta S[q, \dot{q}]}{\delta q(s)} \equiv \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{\left\{S\left[q(t)+\varepsilon \delta(t-s), \dot{q}(t)+\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta(t-s)\right]-S[q(t), \dot{q}(t)]\right\}}{\varepsilon} \tag{1.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
& S\left[q(t)+\varepsilon \delta(t-s), \dot{q}(t)+\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta(t-s)\right] \\
& \quad=\int \mathrm{d} t L\left(q(t)+\varepsilon \delta(t-s), \dot{q}(t)+\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta(t-s)\right) \\
& \quad=\int \mathrm{d} t L(q, \dot{q})+\varepsilon \int \mathrm{d} t\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q} \delta(t-s)+\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta(t-s)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) \\
& \quad=S[q, \dot{q}]+\varepsilon\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(s)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}(s)\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

the Euler-Lagrange equation may be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta S}{\delta q(s)}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q}(s)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}}\right)(s)=0 \tag{1.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us next consider symmetries in the context of the Lagrangian formalism. Suppose the Lagrangian $L$ is independent of a certain coordinate $q_{k} .^{4}$ Such a coordinate is called cyclic. The momentum which is conjugate to a cyclic coordinate is conserved. In fact, the condition $\partial L / \partial q_{k}=0$ leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}}=0 \tag{1.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

This argument can be mathematically elaborated as follows. Suppose the Lagrangian $L$ has a symmetry, which is continuously parametrized. This means, more precisely, that the action $S=\int \mathrm{d} t L$ is invariant under the symmetry operation on $q_{k}(t)$. Let us consider an infinitesimal symmetry operation $q_{k}(t) \rightarrow$ $q_{k}(t)+\delta q_{k}(t)$ on the path $q_{k}(t) .{ }^{5}$ This implies that if $q_{k}(t)$ is a path producing an extremum of the action, then $q_{k}(t) \rightarrow q_{k}(t)+\delta q_{k}(t)$ also corresponds to an extremum. Since $S$ is invariant under this change, it follows that

$$
\delta S=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \sum_{k} \delta q_{k}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}\right)+\sum_{k}\left[\delta q_{k} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}\right]_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}}=0
$$

[^1]The first term in the middle expression vanishes since $q$ is a solution to the EulerLagrange equation. Accordingly, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k} \delta q_{k}\left(t_{i}\right) p_{k}\left(t_{i}\right)=\sum_{k} \delta q_{k}\left(t_{f}\right) p_{k}\left(t_{f}\right) \tag{1.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where use has been made of the definition $p_{k}=\partial L / \partial \dot{q}_{k}$. Since $t_{i}$ and $t_{f}$ are arbitrary, this equation shows that the quantity $\sum_{k} \delta q_{k}(t) p_{k}(t)$ is, in fact, independent of $t$ and hence conserved.

Example 1.2. Let us consider a particle $m$ moving under a force produced by a spherically symmetric potential $V(r)$, where $r, \theta, \phi$ are three-dimensional polar coordinates. The Lagrangian is given by

$$
L=\frac{1}{2} m\left[\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2}\left(\dot{\theta}^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)\right]-V(r)
$$

Note that $q_{k}=\phi$ is cyclic, which leads to the conservation law

$$
\delta \phi \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\phi}} \propto m r^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta \dot{\phi}=\text { constant. }
$$

This is nothing but the angular momentum around the $z$ axis. Similar arguments can be employed to show that the angular momenta around the $x$ and $y$ axes are also conserved.

A few remarks are in order:

- Let $Q(q)$ be an arbitrary function of $q$. Then the Lagrangians $L$ and $L+\mathrm{d} Q / \mathrm{d} t$ yield the same Euler-Lagrange equation. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k}} & \left(L+\frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}\left(L+\frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}}\left(\sum_{j} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q_{j}} \dot{q}_{j}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q_{k}}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

- An interesting observation is that Newtonian mechanics is realized as an extremum of the action but the action itself is defined for any trajectory. This fact plays an important role in path integral formation of quantum theory.


### 1.1.3 Hamiltonian formalism

The Lagrangian formalism yields a second-order ordinary differencial equation (ODE). In contrast, the Hamiltonian formalism gives equations of motion which are first order in the time derivative and, hence, we may introduce flows in the
phase space defined later. What is more important, however, is that we can make the symplectic structure manifest in the Hamiltonian formalism, which will be shown in example 5.12 later.

Suppose a Lagrangian $L$ is given. Then the corresponding Hamiltonian is introduced via Legendre transformation of variables as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(q, p) \equiv \sum_{k} p_{k} \dot{q}_{k}-L(q, \dot{q}) \tag{1.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{q}$ is eliminated in the left-hand side (LHS) in favour of $p$ by making use of the definition of the momentum $p_{k}=\partial L(q, \dot{q}) / \partial \dot{q}_{k}$. For this transformation to be defined, the Jacobian must satisfy

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial p_{i}}{\partial \dot{q}_{j}}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} L}{\partial \dot{q}_{i} \dot{q}_{j}}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

The space with coordinates $\left(q_{k}, p_{k}\right)$ is called the phase space.
Let us consider an infinitesimal change in the Hamiltonian induced by $\delta q_{k}$ and $\delta p_{k}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta H & =\sum_{k}\left[\delta p_{k} \dot{q}_{k}+p_{k} \delta \dot{q}_{k}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}} \delta q_{k}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{q}_{k}} \delta \dot{q}_{k}\right] \\
& =\sum_{k}\left[\delta p_{k} \dot{q}_{k}-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}} \delta q_{k}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows from this relation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}}=\dot{q}_{k}, \quad \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}}=-\frac{\partial L}{\partial q_{k}} \tag{1.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are nothing more than the replacements of independent variables. Hamilton's equations of motion are obtained from these equations if the EulerLagrange equation is employed to replace the LHS of the second equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\dot{q}_{k}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}} \quad \dot{p}_{k}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} \tag{1.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 1.3. Let us consider a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator with the Lagrangian $L=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{q}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} q^{2}$, where $\omega^{2}=k / m$. The momentum conjugate to $q$ is $p=\partial L / \partial \dot{q}=m \dot{q}$, which can be solved for $\dot{q}$ to yield $\dot{q}=p / m$. The Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(q, p)=p \dot{q}-L(q, \dot{q})=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} q^{2} \tag{1.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hamilton's equations of motion are:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} p}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-m \omega^{2} q \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d} q}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{p}{m} . \tag{1.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take two functions $A(q, p)$ and $B(q, p)$ defined on the phase space of a Hamiltonian $H$. Then the Poisson bracket $[A, B]$ is defined by ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
[A, B]=\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\partial A}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial p_{k}}-\frac{\partial A}{\partial p_{k}} \frac{\partial B}{\partial q_{k}}\right) . \tag{1.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 1.1. Show that the Poisson bracket is a Lie bracket, namely it satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[A, c_{1} B_{1}+c_{2} B_{2}\right]=c_{1}\left[A, B_{1}\right]+c_{2}\left[A, B_{2}\right] } & \text { linearity }  \tag{1.21a}\\
{[A, B]=-[B, A] } & \text { skew-symmetry } \tag{1.21b}
\end{align*}
$$

$[[A, B], C]+[[C, A], B]+[[B, C], A]=0 \quad$ Jacobi identity.
The fundamental Poisson brackets are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[p_{i}, p_{j}\right]=\left[q_{i}, q_{j}\right]=0 \quad\left[q_{i}, p_{j}\right]=\delta_{i j} \tag{1.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is important to notice that the time development of a physical quantity $A(q, p)$ is expressed in terms of the Poisson bracket as

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} t} & =\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} A}{\mathrm{~d} q_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} q_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} p_{k}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} p_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} A}{\mathrm{~d} q_{k}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{k}}-\frac{\mathrm{d} A}{\mathrm{~d} p_{k}} \frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}}\right) \\
& =[A, H] . \tag{1.23}
\end{align*}
$$

If it happens that $[A, H]=0$, the quantity $A$ is conserved, namely $\mathrm{d} A / \mathrm{d} t=0$. The Hamilton equations of motion themselves are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left[p_{k}, H\right] \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} q_{k}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left[q_{k}, H\right] \tag{1.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 1.1. (Noether's theorem) Let $H\left(q_{k}, p_{k}\right)$ be a Hamiltonian which is invariant under an infinitesimal coordinate transformation $q_{k} \rightarrow q_{k}^{\prime}=q_{k}+$ $\varepsilon f_{k}(q)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\sum_{k} p_{k} f_{k}(q) \tag{1.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

is conserved.
Proof. One has $H\left(q_{k}, p_{k}\right)=H\left(q_{k}^{\prime}, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)$ by definition. It follows from $q_{k}^{\prime}=$ $q_{k}+\varepsilon f_{k}(q)$ that the Jacobian associated with the coordinate change is

$$
\Lambda_{i j}=\frac{\partial q_{i}^{\prime}}{\partial q_{j}} \simeq \delta_{i j}+\varepsilon \frac{\partial f_{i}(q)}{\partial q_{j}}
$$

6 When the commutation relation $[A, B]$ of operators is introduced later, the Poisson bracket will be denoted as $[A, B]_{\mathrm{PB}}$ to avoid confusion.
up to $\mathcal{O}(\varepsilon)$. The momentum transforms under this coordinate change as

$$
p_{i} \rightarrow \sum_{j} p_{j} \Lambda_{j i}^{-1} \simeq p_{i}-\varepsilon \sum_{j} p_{j} \frac{\partial f_{j}}{\partial q_{i}}
$$

Then, it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =H\left(q_{k}^{\prime}, p_{k}^{\prime}\right)-H\left(q_{k}, p_{k}\right) \\
& =\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} \varepsilon f(q)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{j}} \varepsilon p_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial q_{j}} \\
& =\varepsilon\left[\frac{\partial H}{\partial q_{k}} f_{k}(q)-\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{j}} p_{i} \frac{\partial f_{i}}{\partial q_{j}}\right] \\
& =\varepsilon[H, Q]=\varepsilon \frac{\mathrm{d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t},
\end{aligned}
$$

which shows that $Q$ is conserved.
This theorem shows that to find a conserved quantity is equivalent to finding a transformation which leaves the Hamiltonian invariant.

A conserved quantity $Q$ is the 'generator' of the transformation under discussion. In fact,

$$
\left[q_{i}, Q\right]=\sum_{k}\left[\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial q_{k}} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial p_{k}}-\frac{\partial q_{i}}{\partial p_{k}} \frac{\partial Q}{\partial q_{k}}\right]=\sum_{k} \delta_{i k} f_{k}(q)=f_{i}(q)
$$

which shows that $\delta q_{i}=\varepsilon f_{i}(q)=\varepsilon\left[q_{i}, Q\right]$.
A few examples are in order. Let $H=p^{2} / 2 m$ be the Hamiltonian of a free particle. Since $H$ does not depend on $q$, it is invariant under $q \mapsto q+\varepsilon \cdot 1, p \mapsto p$. Therefore, $Q=p \cdot 1=p$ is conserved. The conserved quantity $Q$ is identified with the linear momentum.

Example 1.4. Let us consider a paticle $m$ moving in a two-dimensional plane with the axial symmetric potential $V(r)$. The Lagrangian is

$$
L(r, \theta)=\frac{1}{2} m\left(\dot{r}^{2}+r^{2} \dot{\phi}^{2}\right)-V(r)
$$

The canonical conjugate momenta are:

$$
p_{r}=m \dot{r} \quad p_{\theta}=m r^{2} \dot{\theta}
$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$
H=p_{r} \dot{r}+p_{\theta} \dot{\theta}-L=\frac{p_{r}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{p_{\theta}^{2}}{2 m r^{2}}+V(r)
$$

This Hamiltonian is clearly independent of $\theta$ and, hence, invariant under the transformation

$$
\theta \mapsto \theta+\varepsilon \cdot 1, \quad p_{\theta} \mapsto p_{\theta}
$$

The corresponding conserved quantity is

$$
Q=p_{\theta} \cdot 1=m r^{2} \dot{\theta}
$$

that is the angular momentum.

### 1.2 Canonical quantization

It was known by the end of the 19th century that classical physics, namely Newtonian mechanics and classical electromagnetism, contains serious inconsistencies. Later at the beginning of the 20th century, these were resolved by the discoveries of special and general relativities and quantum mechanics. So far, there is no single experiment which contradicts quantum theory. It is surprising, however, that there is no proof for quantum theory. What one can say is that quantum theory is not in contradiction to Nature. Accordingly, we do not prove quantum mechanics here but will be satisfied with outlining some 'rules' on which quantum theory is based.

### 1.2.1 Hilbert space, bras and kets

Let us consider a complex Hilbert space ${ }^{7}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=\{|\phi\rangle,|\psi\rangle, \ldots\} \tag{1.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

An element of $\mathcal{H}$ is called a ket or a ket vector.
A linear function $\alpha: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is defined by

$$
\alpha\left(c_{1}\left|\psi_{1}\right\rangle+c_{2}\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle\right)=c_{1} \alpha\left(\left|\psi_{1}\right\rangle\right)+c_{2} \alpha\left(\left|\psi_{2}\right\rangle\right) \quad \forall c_{i} \in \mathbb{C},\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle \in \mathcal{H}
$$

We employ a special notation introduced by Dirac and write the linear function as $\langle\alpha|$ and the action as $\langle\alpha \mid \psi\rangle \in \mathbb{C}$. The set of linear functions is itself a vector space called the dual vector space of $\mathcal{H}$, denoted $\mathcal{H}^{*}$. An element of $\mathcal{H}$ is called a bra or a bra vector.

Let $\left\{\left|e_{1}\right\rangle,\left|e_{2}\right\rangle, \ldots\right\}$ be a basis of $\mathcal{H} .{ }^{8}$ Any vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is then expanded as $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{k} \psi_{k}\left|e_{k}\right\rangle$, where $\psi_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the $k$ th component of $|\psi\rangle$. Now let us introduce a basis $\left\{\left\langle\varepsilon_{1}\right|,\left\langle\varepsilon_{2}\right|, \ldots\right\}$ in $\mathcal{H}^{*}$. We require that this basis be a dual basis of $\left\{\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\right\}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\varepsilon_{i} \mid e_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j} . \tag{1.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

7 In quantum mechanics, a Hilbert space often means the space of square integrable functions $L^{2}(M)$ on a space (manifold) $M$. In the following, however, we need to deal with such functions as $\delta(x)$ and $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}$ with infinite norm. An extended Hilbert space which contains such functions is called the rigged Hilbert space. The treatment of Hilbert spaces here is not mathematically rigorous but it will not cause any inconvenience.
8 We assume $\mathcal{H}$ is separable and there are, at most, a countably infinite number of vectors in the basis. Note that we cannot impose an orthonormal condition since we have not defined the norm of a vector.

Then an arbitrary linear function $\langle\alpha|$ is expanded as $\langle\alpha|=\sum_{k} \alpha_{k}\left\langle\varepsilon_{k}\right|$, where $\alpha_{k} \in \mathbb{C}$ is the $k$ th component of $\langle\alpha|$. The action of $\langle\alpha| \in \mathcal{H}^{*}$ on $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ is now expressed in terms of their components as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\alpha \mid \psi\rangle=\sum_{i j} \alpha_{i} \psi_{j}\left\langle\varepsilon_{i} \mid e_{j}\right\rangle=\sum_{i j} \alpha_{i} \psi_{j} \delta_{i j}=\sum_{i} \alpha_{i} \psi_{i} \tag{1.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

One may consider $|\psi\rangle$ as a column vector and $\langle\alpha|$ as a row vector so that $\langle\alpha \mid \psi\rangle$ is regarded as just a matrix multiplication of a row vector and a column vector, yielding a scalar.

It is possible to introduce a one-to-one correspondence between elements in $\mathcal{H}$ and $\mathcal{H}^{*}$. Let us fix a basis $\left\{\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\right\}$ of $\mathcal{H}$ and $\left\{\left\langle\varepsilon_{k}\right|\right\}$ of $\mathcal{H}^{*}$. Then corresponding to $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{k} \psi_{k}\left|e_{k}\right\rangle$, there exists an element $\langle\psi|=\sum_{k} \psi_{k}^{*}\left\langle\varepsilon_{k}\right| \in \mathcal{H}^{*}$. The reason for the complex conjugation of $\psi_{k}$ becomes clear shortly. Then it is possible to introduce an inner product between two elements of $\mathcal{H}$. Let $|\phi\rangle,|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$. Their inner product is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(|\phi\rangle,|\psi\rangle) \equiv\langle\phi \mid \psi\rangle=\sum_{k} \phi_{k}^{*} \psi_{k} . \tag{1.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

We customarily use the same letter to denote corresponding bras and kets. The norm of a vector $|\psi\rangle$ is naturally defined by the inner product. Let $\||\psi\rangle \|=$ $\sqrt{\langle\psi \mid \psi\rangle}$. It is easy to show that this definition satisfies all the axioms of the norm. Note that the norm is real and non-negative thanks to the complex conjugation in the components of the bra vector.

By using the inner product between two ket vectors, it becomes possible to construct an orthonormal basis $\left\{\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\right\}$ such that $\left(\left|e_{i}\right\rangle,\left|e_{j}\right\rangle\right)=\left\langle e_{i} \mid e_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}$. Suppose $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{k} \psi_{k}\left|e_{k}\right\rangle$. By multiplying $\left\langle e_{k}\right|$ from the left, one obtains $\left\langle e_{k} \mid \psi\right\rangle=\psi_{k}$. Then $|\psi\rangle$ is expressed as $|\psi\rangle=\sum_{k}\left\langle e_{k} \mid \psi\right\rangle\left|e_{k}\right\rangle=\sum_{k}\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k} \mid \psi\right\rangle$. Since this is true for any $|\psi\rangle$, we have obtained the completeness relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k}\left|e_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle e_{k}\right|=I \tag{1.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

$I$ being the identity operator in $\mathcal{H}$ (the unit matrix when $\mathcal{H}$ is finite dimensional).

### 1.2.2 Axioms of canonical quantization

Given an isolated classical dynamical system such as a harmonic oscillator, we can construct a corresponding quantum system following a set of axioms.

A1. There exists a Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}$ for a quantum system and the state of the system is required to be described by a vector $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$. In this sense, $|\psi\rangle$ is also called the state or a state vector. Moreover, two states $|\psi\rangle$ and $c|\psi\rangle(c \in \mathbb{C}, c \neq 0)$ describe the same state. The state can also be described as a ray representation of $\mathcal{H}$.

A2. A physical quantity $A$ in classical mechanics is replaced by a Hermitian operator $\hat{A}$ acting on $\mathcal{H} .{ }^{9}$ The operator $\hat{A}$ is often called an observable. The result obtained when $A$ is measured is one of the eigenvalues of $\hat{A}$. (The Hermiticity of $\hat{A}$ has been assumed to guarantee real eigenvalues.)
A3. The Poisson bracket in classical mechanics is replaced by the commutator

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{A}, \hat{B}] \equiv \hat{A} \hat{B}-\hat{B} \hat{A} \tag{1.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

multiplied by $-\mathrm{i} / \hbar$. The unit in which $\hbar=1$ will be employed hereafter unless otherwise stated explicitly. The fundamental commutation relations are (cf (1.22))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\hat{q}_{i}, \hat{q}_{j}\right]=\left[\hat{p}_{i}, \hat{p}_{j}\right]=0 \quad\left[\hat{q}_{i}, \hat{p}_{j}\right]=\mathrm{i} \delta_{i j} \tag{1.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this replacement, Hamilton's equations of motion become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{q}_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\left[\hat{q}_{i}, H\right] \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{p}_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}\left[\hat{p}_{i}, H\right] \tag{1.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a classical quantity $A$ is independent of $t$ explicitly, $A$ satisifies the same equation as Hamilton's equation. By analogy, for $\hat{A}$ which does not depend on $t$ explicitly, one has Heisenberg's equation of motion:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}[\hat{A}, \hat{H}] \tag{1.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A4. Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ be an arbitrary state. Suppose one prepares many systems, each of which is in this state. Then, observation of $A$ in these systems at time $t$ yields random results in general. Then the expectation value of the results is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle A\rangle_{t}=\frac{\langle\psi| \hat{A}(t)|\psi\rangle}{\langle\psi \mid \psi\rangle} \tag{1.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

A5. For any physical state $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$, there exists an operator for which $|\psi\rangle$ is one of the eigenstates. ${ }^{10}$

These five axioms are adopted as the rules of the game. A few comments are in order. Let us examine axiom A4 more carefully. Let us assume that $|\psi\rangle$ is normalized as $\||\psi\rangle \|^{2}=\langle\psi \mid \psi\rangle=1$ for simplicity. Suppose $\hat{A}(t)$ has the set of discrete eigenvalues $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ with the corresponding normalized eigenvectors $\{|n\rangle\}:{ }^{11}$

$$
\hat{A}(t)|n\rangle=a_{n}|n\rangle \quad\langle n \mid n\rangle=1 .
$$

9 An operator on $\mathcal{H}$ is denoted by ${ }^{\wedge}$. This symbol will be dropped later unless this may cause confusion.
${ }^{10}$ This axiom is often ignored in the literature. The raison d'etre of this axiom will be clarified later.
${ }^{11}$ Since $\hat{A}(t)$ is Hermitian, it is always possible to choose $\{|n\rangle\}$ to be orthonormal.

Then the expectation value of $\hat{A}(t)$ with respect to an arbitrary state

$$
|\psi\rangle=\sum_{n} \psi_{n}|n\rangle \quad \psi_{n}=\langle n \mid \psi\rangle
$$

is

$$
\langle\psi| \hat{A}(t)|\psi\rangle=\sum_{m, n} \psi_{m}^{*} \psi_{n}\langle m| \hat{A}(t)|n\rangle=\sum_{n} a_{n}\left|\psi_{n}\right|^{2}
$$

From the fact that the result of the measurement of $A$ in state $|n\rangle$ is always $a_{n}$, it follows that the probability of the outcome of the measurement being $a_{n}$, that is the probability of $|\psi\rangle$ being in $|n\rangle$, is

$$
\left|\psi_{n}\right|^{2}=|\langle n \mid \psi\rangle|^{2}
$$

The number $\langle n \mid \psi\rangle$ represents the 'weight' of the state $|n\rangle$ in the state $|\psi\rangle$ and is called the probability amplitude.

If $\hat{A}$ has a continuous spectrum $a$, the state $|\psi\rangle$ is expanded as

$$
|\psi\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} a \psi(a)|a\rangle
$$

The completeness relation now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} a|a\rangle\langle a|=I \tag{1.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, from the identity $\int \mathrm{d} a^{\prime}\left|a^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle a^{\prime} \mid a\right\rangle=|a\rangle$, one must have the normalization

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle a^{\prime} \mid a\right\rangle=\delta\left(a^{\prime}-a\right), \tag{1.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta(a)$ is the Dirac $\delta$-function. The expansion coefficient $\psi(a)$ is obtained from this normalization condition as $\psi(a)=\langle a \mid \psi\rangle$. If $|\psi\rangle$ is normalized as $\langle\psi \mid \psi\rangle=1$, one should have

$$
1=\int \mathrm{d} a \mathrm{~d} a^{\prime} \psi^{*}(a) \psi\left(a^{\prime}\right)\left\langle a \mid a^{\prime}\right\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} a|\psi(a)|^{2}
$$

It also follows from the relation

$$
\langle\psi| \hat{A}|\psi\rangle=\int a|\psi(a)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} a
$$

that the probability with which the measured value of $A$ is found in the interval $[a, a+\mathrm{d} a]$ is $|\psi(a)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} a$. Therefore, the probability density is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho(a)=|\langle a \mid \psi\rangle|^{2} \tag{1.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally let us clarify why axiom A5 is required. Suppose that the system is in the state $|\psi\rangle$ and assume that the probability of the state to be in $|\phi\rangle$ simultaneously is $|\langle\psi \mid \phi\rangle|^{2}$. This has already been mentioned, when $|\psi\rangle$ is an eigenstate of some observable. Axiom A5 asserts that this is true for an arbitrary state $|\psi\rangle$.

### 1.2.3 Heisenberg equation, Heisenberg picture and Schrödinger picture

The formal solution to the Heisenberg equation of motion

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \hat{A}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}}[\hat{A}, \hat{H}]
$$

is easily obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t} \hat{A}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t} . \tag{1.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, the operators $\hat{A}(t)$ and $\hat{A}(0)$ are related by the unitary operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}(t)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t} \tag{1.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, hence, are unitary equivalent. This formalism, in which operators depend on $t$, while states do not, is called the Heisenberg picture.

It is possible to introduce another picture which is equivalent to the Heisenberg picture. Let us write down the expectation value of $\hat{A}$ with respect to the state $|\psi\rangle$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle\hat{A}(t)\rangle & =\langle\psi| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t} \hat{A}(0) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}|\psi\rangle \\
& =\left(\langle\psi| \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}\right) \hat{A}(0)\left(\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}|\psi\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we write $|\psi(t)\rangle \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}|\psi\rangle$, we find that the expectation value at $t$ is also expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\hat{A}(t)\rangle=\langle\psi(t)| \hat{A}(0)|\psi(t)\rangle \tag{1.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, states depend on $t$ while operators do not in this formalism. This formalism is called the Schrödinger picture.

Our next task is to find the equation of motion for $|\psi(t)\rangle$. To avoid confusion, quantities associated with the Schrödinger picture (the Heisenberg picture) are denoted with the subscript $S(H)$, respectively. Thus, $|\psi(t)\rangle_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}|\psi\rangle_{\mathrm{H}}$ and $\hat{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=\hat{A}_{\mathrm{H}}(0)$. By differentiating $|\psi(t)\rangle_{\mathrm{S}}$ with respect to $t$, one finds the Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\psi(t)\rangle_{\mathrm{S}}=\hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{1.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is the same for both the Schrödinger picture and the Heisenberg picture. We will drop the subscripts S and H whenever this does not cause confusion.

### 1.2.4 Wavefunction

Let us consider a particle moving on the real line $\mathbb{R}$ and let $\hat{x}$ be the position operator with the eigenvalue $y$ and the corresponding eigenvector $|y\rangle ; \hat{x}|y\rangle=$ $y|y\rangle$. The eigenvectors are normalized as $\langle x \mid y\rangle=\delta(x-y)$.

Similarly, let $q$ be the eigenvalue of $\hat{p}$ with the eigenvector $|q\rangle ; \hat{p}|q\rangle=q|q\rangle$ such that $\langle p \mid q\rangle=\delta(p-q)$.

Let $|\psi\rangle \in \mathcal{H}$ be a state. The inner product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \equiv\langle x \mid \psi\rangle \tag{1.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the component of $|\psi\rangle$ in the basis $|x\rangle$,

$$
|\psi\rangle=\int|x\rangle\langle x| \mathrm{d} x|\psi\rangle=\int \psi(x)|x\rangle \mathrm{d} x
$$

The coefficient $\psi(x) \in \mathbb{C}$ is called the wavefunction. According to the earlier axioms of quantum mechanics outlined, it is the probability amplitude of finding the particle at $x$ in the state $|\psi\rangle$, namely $|\psi(x)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} x$ is the probability of finding the particle in the interval $[x, x+\mathrm{d} x]$. Then it is natural to impose the normalization condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} x|\psi(x)|^{2}=\langle\psi \mid \psi\rangle=1 \tag{1.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

since the probability of finding the particle anywhere on the real line is always unity.

Similarly, $\psi(p)=\langle p \mid \psi\rangle$ is the probability amplitude of finding the particle in the state with the momentum $p$ and the probability of finding the momentum of the particle in the interval $[p, p+\mathrm{d} p]$ is $|\psi(p)|^{2} \mathrm{~d} p$.

The inner product of two states in terms of the wavefunctions is

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle\psi \mid \phi\rangle & =\int \mathrm{d} x\langle\psi \mid x\rangle\langle x \mid \phi\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} x \psi^{*}(x) \phi(x)  \tag{1.45a}\\
& =\int \mathrm{d} p\langle\psi \mid p\rangle\langle p \mid \phi\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} p \psi^{*}(p) \phi(p) \tag{1.45b}
\end{align*}
$$

An abstract ket vector is now expressed in terms of a more concrete wavefunction $\psi(x)$ or $\psi(p)$. What about the operators? Now we write down the operators in the basis $|x\rangle$. From the defining equation $\hat{x}|x\rangle=x|x\rangle$, one obtains $\langle x| \hat{x}=\langle x| x$, which yields after multiplication by $|\psi\rangle$ from the right,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x| \hat{x}|\psi\rangle=x\langle x \mid \psi\rangle=x \psi(x) \tag{1.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is often written as $(\hat{x} \psi)(x)=x \psi(x)$.
What about the momentum operator $\hat{p}$ ? Let us consider the unitary operator

$$
\hat{U}(a)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} a \hat{p}}
$$

Lemma 1.1. The operator $\hat{U}(a)$ defined as before satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{U}(a)|x\rangle=|x+a\rangle \tag{1.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from $[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]=\mathrm{i}$ that $\left[\hat{x}, \hat{p}^{n}\right]=\mathrm{i} n \hat{p}^{n-1}$ for $n=1,2, \ldots$. Accordingly, we have

$$
[\hat{x}, \hat{U}(a)]=\left[\hat{x}, \sum_{n} \frac{(-\mathrm{i} a)^{n}}{n!} \hat{p}^{n}\right]=a \hat{U}(a)
$$

which can also be written as

$$
\hat{x} \hat{U}(a)|x\rangle=\hat{U}(a)(\hat{x}+a)|x\rangle=(x+a) \hat{U}(a)|x\rangle .
$$

This shows that $\hat{U}(a)|x\rangle \propto|x+a\rangle$. Since $\hat{U}(a)$ is unitary, it preseves the norm of a vector. Thus, $\hat{U}(a)|x\rangle=|x+a\rangle$.

Let us take an infinitesimal number $\varepsilon$. Then

$$
\hat{U}(\varepsilon)|x\rangle=|x+\varepsilon\rangle \simeq(1-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \hat{p})|x\rangle
$$

It follows from this that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}|x\rangle=\frac{|x+\varepsilon\rangle-|x\rangle}{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}|x\rangle \tag{1.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its dual

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x| \hat{p}=\frac{\langle x+\varepsilon|-\langle x|}{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon} \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\langle x| . \tag{1.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore, for any state $|\psi\rangle$, one obtains

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x| \hat{p}|\psi\rangle=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\langle x \mid \psi\rangle=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \psi(x) \tag{1.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is also written as $(\hat{p} \psi)(x)=-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{d} \psi(x) / \mathrm{d} x$.
Similarly, if one uses a basis $|p\rangle$, one will have the momentum representation of the operators as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}|p\rangle & =-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} p}|p\rangle  \tag{1.51}\\
\hat{p}|p\rangle & =p|p\rangle  \tag{1.52}\\
\langle p| \hat{x}|\psi\rangle & =\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} p} \psi(p)  \tag{1.53}\\
\langle p| \hat{p}|\psi\rangle & =p \psi(p) . \tag{1.54}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 1.2. Prove (1.51)-(1.54).
Proposition 1.1.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \langle x \mid p\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p x}  \tag{1.55}\\
& \langle p \mid x\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} p x} \tag{1.56}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Take $|\psi\rangle=|p\rangle$ in the relation

$$
(\hat{p} \psi)(x)=\langle x| \hat{p}|\psi\rangle=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \psi(x)
$$

to find

$$
p\langle x \mid p\rangle=\langle x| \hat{p}|p\rangle=-\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}\langle x \mid p\rangle .
$$

The solution is easily found to be

$$
\langle x \mid p\rangle=C \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p x}
$$

The normalization condition requires that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(x-y)=\langle x \mid y\rangle & =\langle x| \int|p\rangle\langle p| \mathrm{d} p|y\rangle \\
& =C^{2} \int \mathrm{~d} p \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p(x-y)} \\
& =C^{2} 2 \pi \delta(x-y),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C$ has been taken to be real. This shows that $C=1 / \sqrt{2 \pi}$. The proof of (1.56) is left as an exercise.

Thus, $\psi(x)$ and $\psi(p)$ are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(p)=\langle p \mid \psi\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} x\langle p \mid x\rangle\langle x \mid \psi\rangle=\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} p x} \psi(x) \tag{1.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is nothing other than the Fourier transform of $\psi(x)$.
Let us next derive the Schrödinger equation which $\psi(x)$ satisfies. By applying $\langle x|$ on (1.42) from the left, we obtain

$$
\langle x| \mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\psi(t)\rangle=\langle x| \hat{H}|\psi(t)\rangle
$$

where the subscript S has been dropped. For a Hamiltonian of the type $\hat{H}=$ $\hat{p}^{2} / 2 m+V(\hat{x})$, we obtain the time-dependent Schrödinger equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \psi(x, t) & =\langle x| \frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 m}+V(\hat{x})|\psi(t)\rangle \\
& =-\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} \psi(x, t)+V(x) \psi(x, t), \tag{1.58}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi(x, t) \equiv\langle x \mid \psi(t)\rangle$.
Suppose a solution of this equation is written in the form $\psi(x, t)=$ $T(t) \phi(x)$. By substituting this into (1.58) and dividing the result by $\psi(x, t)$, we obtain

$$
\frac{\mathrm{i} T^{\prime}(t)}{T(t)}=\frac{-\phi^{\prime \prime}(x) / 2 m+V(x) \phi(x)}{\phi(x)}
$$

where the prime denotes the derivative with respect to a relevant variable. Since the LHS is a function of $t$ only while the right-hand side (RHS) of $x$ only, they must be a constant, which we label $E$. Accordingly, there are two equations, which should be solved simultaneously,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{i} T^{\prime}(t)=E T(t)  \tag{1.59}\\
-\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} \phi(x)+V(x) \phi(x)=E \phi(x) . \tag{1.60}
\end{gather*}
$$

The first equation is easily solved to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(t)=\exp (-\mathrm{i} E t) \tag{1.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the second one is the eigenvalue problem of the Hamiltonian operator and called the time-independent Schrödinger equation, the stationary state Schrödinger equation or, simply, the Schrödinger equation. For threedimensional space, it is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 m} \nabla^{2} \phi(\boldsymbol{x})+V(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi(\boldsymbol{x})=E \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) . \tag{1.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.2.5 Harmonic oscillator

It is instructive to stop here for the moment and work out some non-trivial example. We take a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator as an example since it is not trivial, it is still solvable exactly and it is very important in the folllowing applications.

The Hamiltonian operator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} \hat{x}^{2} \quad[\hat{x}, \hat{p}]=\mathrm{i} \tag{1.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The (time-independent) Schrödinger equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}} \psi(x)+\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} x^{2} \psi(x)=E \psi(x) \tag{1.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

By rescaling the variables as $\xi=\sqrt{m \omega} x, \varepsilon=E / \hbar \omega$, one arrives at

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\prime \prime}+\left(\varepsilon-\xi^{2}\right) \psi=0 \tag{1.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

The normalizable solution of this ordinary differential equation (ODE) exists only when $\varepsilon=\varepsilon_{n} \equiv\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right)(n=0,1,2, \ldots)$ namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=E_{n} \equiv\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \omega \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots) \tag{1.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the normalized solution is written in terms of the Hermite polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{n}(\xi)=(-1)^{n} \mathrm{e}^{\xi^{2} / 2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\xi^{2} / 2}}{\mathrm{~d} \xi^{n}} \tag{1.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\xi)=\sqrt{\frac{m \omega}{2^{n} n!\sqrt{\pi}}} H_{n}(\xi) \mathrm{e}^{-\xi^{2} / 2} . \tag{1.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

This eigenvalue problem can also be analysed by an algebraic method. Define the annihilation operator $\hat{a}$ and the creation operator $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{a} & =\sqrt{\frac{m \omega}{2}} \hat{x}+\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 m \omega}} \hat{p}  \tag{1.69}\\
\hat{a}^{\dagger} & =\sqrt{\frac{m \omega}{2}} \hat{x}-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 m \omega}} \hat{p} \tag{1.70}
\end{align*}
$$

The number operator $\hat{N}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}=\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a} \tag{1.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 1.3. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{a}, \hat{a}]=\left[\hat{a}^{\dagger}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right]=0 \quad\left[\hat{a}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right]=1 \tag{1.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\hat{N}, \hat{a}]=-\hat{a} \quad\left[\hat{N}, \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right]=\hat{a}^{\dagger} . \tag{1.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\left(\hat{N}+\frac{1}{2}\right) \omega . \tag{1.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $|n\rangle$ be a normalized eigenvector of $\hat{N}$,

$$
\hat{N}|n\rangle=n|n\rangle .
$$

Then it follows from the commutation relations proved in exercise 1.3 that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{N}(\hat{a}|n\rangle) & =(\hat{a} \hat{N}-\hat{a})|n\rangle=(n-1)(\hat{a}|n\rangle) \\
\hat{N}\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger}|n\rangle\right) & =\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{N}+\hat{a}^{\dagger}\right)|n\rangle=(n+1)\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger}|n\rangle\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, $\hat{a}$ decreases the eigenvalue by one while $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$ increases it by one, hence the name annihilation and creation. Note that the eigenvalue $n \geq 0$ since

$$
n=\langle n| \hat{N}|n\rangle=\left(\langle n| \hat{a}^{\dagger}\right)(\hat{a}|n\rangle)=\| \hat{a}|n\rangle \|^{2} \geq 0 .
$$

The equality holds if and only if $\hat{a}|n\rangle=0$. Take a fixed $n_{0}>0$ and apply $\hat{a}$ many times on $\left|n_{0}\right\rangle$. Eventually the eigenvalue of $\hat{a}^{k}\left|n_{0}\right\rangle$ will be negative for some integer $k>n_{0}$, which is a contradiction. This can be avoided only when $n_{0}$ is a non-negative integer. Thus, there exists a state $|0\rangle$ which satisfies $\hat{a}|0\rangle=0$. The state $|0\rangle$ is called the ground state. Since $\hat{N}|0\rangle=\hat{a}^{\dagger} \hat{a}|0\rangle=0$, this state is
the eigenvector of $\hat{N}$ with the eigenvalue 0 . The wavefunction $\psi_{0}(x) \equiv\langle x \mid 0\rangle$ is obtained by solving the first-order ODE

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x| \hat{a}|0\rangle=\sqrt{\frac{1}{2 m \omega}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x} \psi_{0}(x)+m \omega x \psi_{0}(x)\right)=0 \tag{1.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}(x)=C \exp \left(-m \omega x^{2} / 2\right) \tag{1.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the normalization constant given in (1.68). An arbitrary vector $|n\rangle$ is obtained from $|0\rangle$ by a repeated application of $\hat{a}^{\dagger}$.

Exercise 1.4. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|n\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{n!}}\left(\hat{a}^{\dagger}\right)^{n}|0\rangle \tag{1.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies $\hat{N}|n\rangle=n|n\rangle$ and is normalized.
Thus, the spectrum of $\hat{N}$ turns out to be Spec $\hat{N}=\{0,1,2, \ldots\}$ and hence the spectrum of the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Spec } \hat{H}=\left\{\frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2}, \ldots\right\} \tag{1.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.3 Path integral quantization of a Bose particle

The canonical quantization of a classical system has been discussed in the previous section. There the main role was played by the Hamiltonian and the Lagrangian did not show up at all. In the present section, it will be shown that there exists a quantization process, called the path integral quantization, based heavily on the Lagrangian.

### 1.3.1 Path integral quantization

We start our analysis with one-dimensional systems. Let $\hat{x}(t)$ be the position operator in the Heisenberg picture. Suppose the particle is found at $x_{i}$ at time $t_{i}(>0)$. Then the probability amplitude of finding this particle at $x_{f}$ at later time $t_{f}\left(>t_{i}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle \tag{1.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the vectors are defined in the Heisenberg picture, ${ }^{12}$

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{x}\left(t_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle & =x_{i}\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle  \tag{1.80}\\
\hat{x}\left(t_{f}\right)\left|x_{f}, t_{f}\right\rangle & =x_{f}\left|x_{f}, t_{f}\right\rangle \tag{1.81}
\end{align*}
$$

[^2]The probability amplitude (1.79) is also called the transition amplitude.
Let us rewrite the probability amplitude in terms of the Schrödinger picture.
Let $\hat{x}=\hat{x}(0)$ be the position operator with the eigenvector

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}|x\rangle=x|x\rangle \tag{1.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\hat{x}$ has no time dependence, its eigenvector should be also time independent. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{x}\left(t_{i}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}} \hat{x} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}} \tag{1.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

is substituted into (1.80), we obtain

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}} \hat{x} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}}\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=x_{i}\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle
$$

By multiplying $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}}$ from the left, we find

$$
\hat{x}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}}\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle\right]=x_{i}\left[\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}}\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle\right]
$$

This shows that the two eigenvectors are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{i}}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle . \tag{1.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|x_{f}, t_{f}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{f}}\left|x_{f}\right\rangle \tag{1.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right|=\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t_{f}} \tag{1.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

From these results, we express the probability amplitude in the Schrödinger picture as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H}\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle . \tag{1.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y ; \beta) \equiv\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H} \beta}|y\rangle \tag{1.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the heat kernel of $\hat{H}$. This nomenclature originates from the similarity between the Schrödinger equation and the heat equation. The amplitude (1.87) is the heat kernel of $\hat{H}$ with imaginary $\beta$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=h\left(x_{f}, x_{i} ; \mathrm{i}\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)\right) \tag{1.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the amplitude (1.87) is expressed in the path integral formalism. To this end, we consider the case in which $t_{f}-t_{i}=\varepsilon$ is an infinitesimal positive number. Let us put $x_{i}=x$ and $x_{f}=y$ to simplify the notation and suppose the Hamiltonian is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}=\frac{\hat{p}^{2}}{2 m}+V(\hat{x}) \tag{1.90}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.1. The integration contour.

We first prove the following lemma.
Lemma 1.2. Let $a$ be a positive constant. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} a p^{2}} \mathrm{~d} p=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{i} a}} \tag{1.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. The integral is different from an ordinary Gaussian integral in that the coefficient of $p^{2}$ is a pure imaginary number. First replace $p$ by $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$. The integrand $\exp \left(-\mathrm{i} a z^{2}\right)$ is analytic in the whole $z$-plane. Now change the integration contour from the real axis to the one shown in figure 1.1. Along path 1 , we have $\mathrm{d} z=\mathrm{d} x$ and hence this path gives the same contribution as the original integration (1.91). The contribution from paths 2 and 4 vanishes as $R \rightarrow \infty$. Noting that the variable along path 3 is $z=(1-\mathrm{i}) x$, we evaluate the contribution from this path as

$$
(1-\mathrm{i}) \int_{\infty}^{-\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-2 a x^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x=-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi / 4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}
$$

The summation of all the contribution must vanish due to Cauchy's theorem and, hence,

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} p \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} a p^{2}}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \pi / 4} \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{a}}=\sqrt{\frac{\pi}{\mathrm{i} a}} .
$$

Now this lemma is employed to obtain the heat kernel for an infinitesimal time interval.

Proposition 1.2. Let $\hat{H}$ be a Hamiltonian of the form (1.90) and $\varepsilon$ be an infinitesimal positive number. Then for any $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} \varepsilon}|y\rangle= & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}} \exp \left[\mathrm { i } \varepsilon \left\{\frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{(x-y)^{2}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.-V\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\right\}+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon(x-y)^{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.92}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. The completeness relation for the momentum eigenvectors is inserted into the LHS of (1.92) to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} \varepsilon}|y\rangle & =\int \mathrm{d} k\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \hat{H}}|k\rangle\langle k \mid y\rangle \\
& =\int \frac{\mathrm{d} k}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} k y} \mathrm{e}^{-i \varepsilon \hat{H}_{x}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\hat{H}_{x}=-\frac{1}{2 m} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} x^{2}}+V(x)
$$

Now we find from the commutation relation of $\partial_{x} \equiv \mathrm{~d} / \mathrm{d} x$ and $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}$ that

$$
\partial_{x} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}=\mathrm{i} k \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x} \partial_{x}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}\left(\mathrm{i} k+\partial_{x}\right)
$$

Repeated application of this commutation relation yields

$$
\partial_{x}^{n} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}\left(\mathrm{i} k+\partial_{x}\right)^{n} \quad(n=0,1,2, \ldots)
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\left[-\partial_{x}^{2} / 2 m+V(x)\right]} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\left[-\left(\mathrm{i} k+\partial_{x}\right)^{2} / 2 m+V(x)\right]}
$$

Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} \varepsilon}|y\rangle & =\int \frac{\mathrm{d} k}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k(x-y)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\left[-\left(\mathrm{i} k+\partial_{x}\right)^{2} / 2 m+V(x)\right]} \\
& =\int \frac{\mathrm{d} k}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}\left[\varepsilon k^{2} / 2 m-k(x-y)\right]} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\left[-\mathrm{i} k \partial_{x} / m-\partial_{x}^{2} / 2 m+V(x)\right]} \cdot 1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the ' 1 ' at the end of the last line is written explicitly to remind us of the fact $\partial_{x} 1=0$. If we further put $p=\sqrt{\varepsilon / 2 m} k$ and expands the last exponential function in the last line, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \hat{H}}|y\rangle= & \sqrt{\frac{2 m}{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m(x-y)^{2} / 2 \varepsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d} p}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}[p+\sqrt{m / 2 \varepsilon}(x-y)]^{2}} \\
& \times \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon)^{n}}{n!}\left[\mathrm{i} \sqrt{\frac{2}{\varepsilon m}} p \partial_{x}-\frac{\partial_{x}^{2}}{2 m}+V(x)\right]^{n} \cdot 1
\end{aligned}
$$

If we put $q=p+\sqrt{m / 2 \varepsilon}(x-y)$ and use lemma 1.2, we obtain:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \hat{H}}|y\rangle= & \sqrt{\frac{2 m}{\varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m(x-y)^{2} / 2 \varepsilon} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d} q}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} q^{2}} \\
& \times\left[1+(-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon) V(x)+\frac{\left(-\varepsilon^{2}\right)}{2} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})}{\varepsilon}(x-y) \partial_{x} V(x)\right. \\
& \left.+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon|x-y|^{2}\right)\right] \\
= & \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varepsilon(m / 2)[(x-y) / \varepsilon]^{2}} \\
& \times \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon V\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right)+\mathcal{O}\left(\varepsilon|x-y|^{2}\right)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, the proposition has been proved.
Note that the average value $(x+y) / 2$ appeared as the variable of $V$ in (1.92). This prescription is often called the Weyl ordering.

It is found from (1.92) that the integrand oscillates very rapidly for $|x-y|>$ $\sqrt{\varepsilon}$ and it can be regarded as zero in the sense of distribution (the RiemannLebesgue theorem). Therefore, as $x-y<\varepsilon$, the exponent of (1.92) approaches the action for an infinitesimal time interval $[0, \varepsilon]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta S=\int_{0}^{\varepsilon} \mathrm{d} t\left[\frac{m}{2} v^{2}-V(x)\right] \simeq\left[\frac{m}{2} v^{2}-V(x)\right] \varepsilon \tag{1.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v=(x-y) / \varepsilon$ is the average velocity and $x$ is the average position.
Equation (1.92) also satisfies the boundary condition for $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} \varepsilon}|y\rangle \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0}\langle x \mid y\rangle=\delta(x-y) . \tag{1.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be shown by noting that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m(x-y)^{2} / 2 \varepsilon}=1
$$

The transition amplitude (1.79) for a finite time interval is obtained by infinitely repeating the transition amplitude for an infinitesimal time interval one after another. Let us first divide the interval $t_{f}-t_{i}$ into $n$ equal intervals,

$$
\varepsilon=\frac{t_{f}-t_{i}}{n} .
$$

Put $t_{0}=t_{i}$ and $t_{k}=t_{0}+\varepsilon k(0 \leq k \leq n)$. Clearly $t_{n}=t_{f}$. Insert the completeness relation

$$
1=\int \mathrm{d} x_{k}\left|x_{k}, t_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{k}, t_{k}\right| \quad(1 \leq k \leq n-1)
$$

for each instant of time $t_{k}$ into (1.79) to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle= & \left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| \int \mathrm{d} x_{n-1}\left|x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{n-1}, t_{n-1}\right| \\
& \times \int \mathrm{d} x_{n-2}\left|x_{n-2}, t_{n-2}\right\rangle \ldots \int \mathrm{d} x_{1}\left|x_{1}, t_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{1}, t_{1} \mid x_{0}, t_{0}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us consider here the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$, namely $n \rightarrow \infty$. Proposition 1.2 states that for an infinitesimal $\varepsilon$, we have

$$
\left\langle x_{k}, t_{k} \mid x_{k-1}, t_{k-1}\right\rangle \simeq \sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Delta S_{k}}
$$

where

$$
\Delta S_{k}=\varepsilon\left[\frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}-V\left(\frac{x_{k-1}+x_{k}}{2}\right)\right]
$$

Therefore, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{n / 2} \int \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \mathrm{~d} x_{j} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{n} \Delta S_{k}\right) \tag{1.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n-1$ points $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n-1}$ are fixed, we obtain a piecewise linear path from $x_{0}$ to $x_{n}$ via these points. Then we define $S\left(\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right)=\sum_{k} \Delta S_{k}$, which in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$ can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} S[x(t)]=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left[\frac{m}{2} v^{2}-V(x)\right] . \tag{1.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, however, that the $S[x(t)]$ defined here is formal; the variables $x_{k}$ and $x_{k-1}$ need not be close to each other and hence $v=\left(x_{k}-x_{k-1}\right) / \varepsilon$ may diverge. This transition amplitude is written symbolically as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle & =\int \mathcal{D} x \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\frac{m}{2} v^{2}-V(x)\right)\right] \\
& =\int \mathcal{D} x \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t L(x, \dot{x})\right] \tag{1.97}
\end{align*}
$$

which is called the path integral representation of the transition amplitude. It should be stressed again that the ' $v$ ' is not well defined and that this expression is just a symbolic representation of the limit (1.95).

The integration measure is understood as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{D} x=\text { summation over all paths } x(t) \text { with } x\left(t_{i}\right)=x_{i}, x\left(t_{f}\right)=x_{f} \tag{1.98}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 1.2. All the paths with fixed endpoints are considered in the path integral. The integrand $\exp \left[i S\left(\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right)\right]$ is integrated over these paths.
see figure 1.2. Although $\mathcal{D} x$ or $S\left(\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right)$ is ill defined in the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, the amplitude $\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle$ constructed from $\mathcal{D} x$ and $S\left(\left\{x_{k}\right\}\right)$ together is well defined and hence meaningful. This point is clarified in the following example.

Example 1.5. Let us work out the transition amplitude of a free particle moving on the real axis with the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2} \tag{1.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The canonical conjugate momentum is $p=\partial L / \partial \dot{x}=m \dot{x}$ and the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=p \dot{x}-L=\frac{p^{2}}{2 m} \tag{1.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition amplitude is calculated within the canonical quantum theory as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle & =\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} T}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} p\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} T}|p\rangle\left\langle p \mid x_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\int \frac{\mathrm{d} p}{2 \pi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} p\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} T\left(p^{2} / 2 m\right)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}} \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} m\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 T}\right) \tag{1.101}
\end{align*}
$$

where $T=t_{f}-t_{i}$.
This result is obtained using the path integral formalism next. The amplitude is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{n / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} x_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x_{n-1} \\
& \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \sum_{k=1}^{n} \frac{m}{2}\left(\frac{x_{k}-x_{k-1}}{\varepsilon}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1.102}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\varepsilon=T / n$. After scaling the coordinates as

$$
y_{k}=\left(\frac{m}{2 \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} x_{k}
$$

the amplitude becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle= & \lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{n / 2}\left(\frac{2 \varepsilon}{m}\right)^{(n-1) / 2} \\
& \int \mathrm{~d} y_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} y_{n-1} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(y_{k}-y_{k-1}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1.103}
\end{align*}
$$

It can be shown by induction (exercise) that

$$
\int \mathrm{d} y_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} y_{n-1} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \sum_{k=1}^{n}\left(y_{k}-y_{k-1}\right)^{2}\right]=\left[\frac{(\mathrm{i} \pi)^{(n-1)}}{n}\right]^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(y_{n}-y_{0}\right)^{2} / n}
$$

Taking the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$, we finally obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle & =\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty}\left(\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{n / 2}\left(\frac{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}{m}\right)^{(n-1) / 2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} m\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right)^{2} /(2 n \varepsilon)} \\
& =\sqrt{\frac{m}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}} \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i} m\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{2 T}\right] \tag{1.104}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted here that the exponent is the classical action. In fact, if we note that the average velocity is $v=\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right) /\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)$, the classical action is found to be

$$
S_{\mathrm{cl}}=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{1}{2} m v^{2}=\frac{m\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right)^{2}}{2\left(t_{f}-t_{i}\right)}
$$

It happens in many exactly solvable systems that the transition amplitude takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=A \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S_{\mathrm{cl}}} \tag{1.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where all the effects of quantum fluctuation are taken into account in the prefactor A.

### 1.3.2 Imaginary time and partition function

Suppose the spectrum of a Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$ is bounded from below. Then it is always possible, by adding a postive constant to the Hamiltonian, to make $\hat{H}$ positive definite;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { Spec } \hat{H}=\left\{0<E_{0} \leq E_{1} \leq E_{2} \leq \cdots\right\} \tag{1.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been assumed for simplicity that the ground state is not degenerate. The spectral decomposition of $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t}=\sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{n} t}|n\rangle\langle n| \tag{1.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

is analytic in the lower half-plane of $t$, where $\hat{H}|n\rangle=E_{n}|n\rangle$. Introduce the Wick rotation by the replacement

$$
\begin{equation*}
t=-\mathrm{i} \tau \quad\left(\tau \in \mathbb{R}_{+}\right) \tag{1.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{R}_{+}$is the set of positive real numbers. The variable $\tau$ is regarded as imaginary time, which is also known as the Euclidean time since the world distance changes from $t^{2}-\boldsymbol{x}^{2}$ to $-\left(\tau^{2}+\boldsymbol{x}^{2}\right)$. Physical quantities change under this change of variable as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\dot{x} & =\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \tau} \\
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} t} & =\mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H} \tau} \\
\mathrm{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left[\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-V(x)\right] & =\mathrm{i}(-\mathrm{i}) \int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[-\frac{1}{2} m\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right)^{2}-V(x)\right] \\
& =-\int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[\frac{1}{2} m\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right)^{2}+V(x)\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, the path integral is expressed in terms of the new variable as

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, \tau_{f} \mid x_{i}, \tau_{i}\right\rangle & =\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\hat{H}\left(\tau_{f}-\tau_{i}\right)}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\int \overline{\mathcal{D}} x \mathrm{e}^{-\int_{\tau_{i}}^{\tau_{f}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[\frac{1}{2} m\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}\right)^{2}+V(x)\right.}\right], \tag{1.109}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathcal{D}}$ is the integration measure in the imaginary time $\tau$.
For a given Hamiltonian $\hat{H}$, the partition function is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\beta)=\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \hat{H}} \quad(\beta>0) \tag{1.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the trace is over the Hilbert space associated with $\hat{H}$.
Let us take the eigenstates $\left\{\left|E_{n}\right\rangle\right\}$ of $\hat{H}$ as the basis vectors of the Hilbert space;

$$
\hat{H}\left|E_{n}\right\rangle=E_{n}\left|E_{n}\right\rangle, \quad\left\langle E_{m} \mid E_{n}\right\rangle=\delta_{m n}
$$

Then the partition function is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(\beta) & =\sum_{n}\left\langle E_{n}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \hat{H}}\left|E_{n}\right\rangle=\sum_{n}\left\langle E_{n}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta E_{n}}\left|E_{n}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta E_{n}} \tag{1.111}
\end{align*}
$$

The partition function is also expressed in terms of the eigenvector $|x\rangle$ of $\hat{x}$. Namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\beta)=\int \mathrm{d} x\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \hat{H}}|x\rangle \tag{1.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\beta$ is identified with the Euclidean time by putting $\beta=\mathrm{i} T$, we find that

$$
\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \hat{H} T}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \hat{H}}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle,
$$

from which we obtain the path integral expression of the partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(\beta) & =\int \mathrm{d} y \int_{x(0)=x(\beta)=y} \overline{\mathcal{D}} x \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \tau\left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}+V(x)\right)\right\} \\
& =\int_{\text {periodic }} \overline{\mathcal{D}} x \exp \left\{-\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \tau\left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}+V(x)\right)\right\} \tag{1.113}
\end{align*}
$$

where the integral in the last line is over all paths periodic in $[0, \beta]$.

### 1.3.3 Time-ordered product and generating functional

Define the $\boldsymbol{T}$-product of Heisenberg operators $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T\left[A\left(t_{1}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right)\right]=A\left(t_{1}\right) B\left(t_{2}\right) \theta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)+B\left(t_{2}\right) A\left(t_{1}\right) \theta\left(t_{2}-t_{1}\right) \tag{1.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta(t)$ being the Heaviside function. ${ }^{13}$ Generalization to the case with more than three operators should be trivial; operators in the bracket are rearranged so that the time parameters decrease from the left to the right. The $T$-product of $n$ operators is expanded into $n$ ! terms, each of which is proportional to the product of $n-1$ Heaviside functions. An important quantity in quantum mechanics is the matrix element of the $T$-product,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| T\left[\hat{x}\left(t_{1}\right) \hat{x}\left(t_{f}\right) \cdots \hat{x}\left(t_{n}\right)\right]\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle, \quad\left(t_{i}<t_{1}, t_{2}, \ldots, t_{n}<t_{f}\right) \tag{1.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $t_{i}<t_{1} \leq t_{2} \leq \cdots \leq t_{n}<t_{f}$ in equation (1.115). By inserting the completeness relation

$$
1=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x_{k}\left|x_{k}, t_{k}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{k}, t_{k}\right| \quad(k=1,2, \ldots, n)
$$

into equation (1.115), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| \hat{x}\left(t_{n}\right) \cdots \hat{x}\left(t_{1}\right)\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| \hat{x}\left(t_{n}\right) \int \mathrm{d} x_{n}\left|x_{n}, t_{n}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{n}, t_{n}\right| \cdots \hat{x}\left(t_{1}\right) \int \mathrm{d} x_{1}\left|x_{1}, t_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle x_{1}, t_{1} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\int \mathrm{d} x_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x_{n} x_{1} \ldots x_{n}\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{n}, t_{n}\right\rangle \cdots\left\langle x_{1}, t_{1} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle \tag{1.116}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{13}$ The Heaviside function is defined by

$$
\theta(x)= \begin{cases}0 & x<0 \\ 1 & x \geq 0\end{cases}
$$

where use has been made of the eigenvalue equation $\hat{x}\left(t_{k}\right)\left|x_{k}, t_{k}\right\rangle=x_{k}\left|x_{k}, t_{k}\right\rangle$. If $\left\langle x_{k}, t_{k} \mid x_{k-1}, t_{k-1}\right\rangle$ in the last line is expressed in terms of a path integral, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| \hat{x}\left(t_{n}\right) \ldots \hat{x}\left(t_{1}\right)\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\int \mathcal{D} x x\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots x\left(t_{n}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S} \tag{1.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is crucial to note that $\hat{x}\left(t_{k}\right)$ in the LHS is a Heisenberg operator, while $x\left(t_{k}\right)\left(=x_{k}\right)$ in the RHS is the real value of a classical path $x(t)$ at time $t_{k}$. Accordingly, the RHS remains true for any ordering of the time parameters in the LHS as long as the Heisenberg operators are arranged in a way defined by the $T$-product. Thus, the path integral expression automatically takes the $T$-product ordering into account to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| T\left[\hat{x}\left(t_{n}\right) \ldots \hat{x}\left(t_{1}\right)\right]\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\int \mathcal{D} x x\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots x\left(t_{n}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S} \tag{1.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader is encouraged to verify this result explicitly for $n=2$.
It turns out to be convenient to define the generating functional $Z[J]$ to obtain the matrix elements of the $T$-products efficiently. We couple an external field $J(t)$ (also called the source) with the coordinate $x(t)$ as $x(t) J(t)$ in the Lagrangian, where $J(t)$ is defined on the interval $\left[t_{i}, t_{f}\right]$. Define the action with the source as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[x(t), J(t)]=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left[\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-V(x)+x J\right] \tag{1.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition amplitude in the presence of $J(t)$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J}=\int \mathcal{D} x \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-V(x)+x J\right)\right] \tag{1.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional derivative of this equation with respect to $J(t)\left(t_{i}<t<t_{f}\right)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta}{\delta J(t)}\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J}=\int \mathcal{D} x \mathrm{i} x(t) \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-V(x)+x J\right)\right] \tag{1.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Higher functional derivatives are easy to obtain; the factor ix $\left.t_{k}\right)$ appears in the integrand of the path integral each time $\delta / \delta J(t)$ acts on $\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J}$. This is nothing but the matrix element of the $T$-product of the Heisenberg operator $\hat{x}(t)$ in the presence of the source $J(t)$. Accordingly, if we put $J(t)=0$ in the end of the calculation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle x_{f}, t_{f}\right| T\left[x\left(t_{n}\right) \ldots x\left(t_{1}\right)\right]\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle \\
& \quad=\left.(-\mathrm{i})^{n} \frac{\delta^{n}}{\delta J\left(t_{1}\right) \ldots \delta J\left(t_{n}\right)} \int \mathcal{D} x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S[x(t), J(t)]}\right|_{J=0} \tag{1.122}
\end{align*}
$$

It often happens in physical applications that the transition probability amplitude between general states, in particular the ground states, is required
rather than those between coordinate eigenstates. Suppose the system under consideration is in the ground state $|0\rangle$ at $t_{i}$ and calculate the probability amplitude with which the system is also in the ground state at later time $t_{f}$. Suppose $J(t)$ is non-vanishing only on an interval $[a, b] \subset\left[t_{i}, t_{f}\right]$. (The reason for this assumption will become clear later.) The transition amplitude in the presence of $J(t)$ may be obtained from the Hamiltonian $H^{J}=H-x(t) J(t)$ and the unitary operator $U^{J}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)$ of the Hamiltonian. The transition probability amplitude between the coordinate eigenstates is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J} & =\left\langle x_{f}\right| U^{J}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)\left|x_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} H\left(t_{f}-b\right)} U^{J}(b, a) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} H\left(a-t_{i}\right)}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle, \tag{1.123}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the fact $H^{J}=H$ outside the interval $[a, b]$. By inserting the completeness relations of the energy eigenvectors $\sum_{n}|n\rangle\langle n|=1$ into this equation, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J} & =\sum_{m, n}\left\langle x_{f}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} H\left(t_{f}-b\right)}|m\rangle\langle m| U^{J}(b, a)|n\rangle\langle n| \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} H\left(a-t_{i}\right)}\left|x_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{m, n} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{m}\left(t_{f}-b\right)} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E_{n}\left(a-t_{i}\right)}\left\langle x_{f} \mid m\right\rangle\left\langle n \mid x_{i}\right\rangle\langle m| U^{J}(b, a)|n\rangle . \tag{1.124}
\end{align*}
$$

Now let us Wick rotate the time variable $t \rightarrow-\mathrm{i} \tau$ under which the exponential function changes as $\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} E t} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-E \tau}$. Then the limit $\tau_{f} \rightarrow \infty, \tau_{i} \rightarrow-\infty$ picks up only the ground states $m=n=0$. Alternatively, we may introduce a small imaginary term $-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon x^{2}$ in the Hamiltonian so that the eigenvalue has a small negative imaginary part. Then only the ground state survives in the summations over $m$ and $n$ under $\tau_{f} \rightarrow \infty, \tau_{i} \rightarrow-\infty$.

After all we have proved that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\substack{t_{f} \rightarrow \infty \\ t_{i} \rightarrow-\infty}}\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J}=\left\langle x_{f} \mid 0\right\rangle\left\langle 0 \mid x_{i}\right\rangle Z[J] \tag{1.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have defined the generating functional

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[J]=\langle 0| U^{J}(b, a)|0\rangle=\lim _{\substack{t_{f} \rightarrow \infty \\ t_{i} \rightarrow-\infty}}\langle 0| U^{J}\left(t_{f}, t_{i}\right)|0\rangle . \tag{1.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

The generating functional may be also expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[J]=\lim _{\substack{t_{f} \rightarrow \infty \\ t_{i} \rightarrow-\infty}} \frac{\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle_{J}}{\left\langle x_{f} \mid 0\right\rangle\left\langle 0 \mid x_{i}\right\rangle} . \tag{1.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the denominator is just a constant independent of $Z[J]$. Now we have found the path integral representation for $Z[J]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[J]=\mathcal{N} \int \mathcal{D} x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S[x, J]} \tag{1.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the path integral is over paths with arbitrarily fixed $x_{i}$ and $x_{f}$. The normalization constant $\mathcal{N}$ is chosen so that $Z[0]=1$, namely

$$
\mathcal{N}^{-1}=\int \mathcal{D} x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S[x, 0]}
$$

It is readily shown that $Z[J]$ generates the matrix elements of the $T$-product between the ground states:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle 0| T\left[x\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots x\left(t_{n}\right)\right]|0\rangle=\left.(-\mathrm{i})^{n} \frac{\delta^{n}}{\delta J\left(t_{1}\right) \cdots \delta J\left(t_{n}\right)} Z[J]\right|_{J=0} \tag{1.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.4 Harmonic oscillator

We work out the path integral quantization of a harmonic oscillator, which is an example of systems for which the path integral may be evaluated exactly. We also introduce the zeta function regularization, which is a useful tool in many areas of theoretical physics.

### 1.4.1 Transition amplitude

The Lagrangian of a one-dimensional harmonic oscillator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} x^{2} \tag{1.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition amplitude is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\int \mathcal{D} x \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S[x(t)]} \tag{1.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S[x(t)]=\int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} L \mathrm{~d} t$ is the action.
Let us expand $S[x]$ around its extremum $x_{c}(t)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\delta S[x]}{\delta x}\right|_{x=x_{c}(t)}=0 \tag{1.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $x_{\mathrm{c}}(t)$ is the classical path connecting $\left(x_{i}, t_{i}\right)$ and $\left(x_{f}, t_{f}\right)$ and satifies the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ddot{x}_{\mathrm{c}}+\omega^{2} x_{\mathrm{c}}=0 . \tag{1.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of equation (1.133) satifying $x_{\mathrm{c}}\left(t_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ and $x_{\mathrm{c}}\left(t_{f}\right)=x_{f}$ is easily obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{\mathrm{c}}(t)=\frac{1}{\sin \omega T}\left[x_{f} \sin \omega\left(t-t_{i}\right)+x_{i} \sin \omega\left(t_{f}-t\right)\right] \tag{1.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T=t_{f}-t_{i}$. Substituting this solution into the action, we obtain (exercise)

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{c} & \equiv S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right] \\
& =\frac{m \omega}{2 \sin \omega T}\left[\left(x_{f}^{2}+x_{i}^{2}\right) \cos \omega T-2 x_{f} x_{i}\right] \tag{1.135}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the expansion of $S[x]$ around $x=x_{c}$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}+y\right]=S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]+\left.\frac{1}{2!} \int \mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2} y\left(t_{1}\right) y\left(t_{2}\right) \frac{\delta^{2} S[x]}{\delta x\left(t_{1}\right) \delta x\left(t_{2}\right)}\right|_{x=x_{\mathrm{c}}} \tag{1.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $y(t)$ satisfies the boundary condition $y\left(t_{i}\right)=y\left(t_{f}\right)=0$. Note that (1) the first-order term vanishes since $\delta S[x] / \delta x=0$ at $x=x_{\mathrm{c}}$ and (2) terms of order three and higher do not exist since the action is second order in $x$. Therefore, this expansion is exact and this problem is exactly solvable as we see later.

By noting that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\delta}{\delta x\left(t_{1}\right)} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left[\frac{1}{2} m \dot{x}(t)^{2}-\frac{1}{2} m \omega^{2} x(t)^{2}\right] & =-m \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t_{1}^{2}} x\left(t_{1}\right)-m \omega^{2} x\left(t_{1}\right) \\
& =-m\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t_{1}^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right) x\left(t_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and that

$$
\frac{\delta x\left(t_{1}\right)}{\delta x\left(t_{2}\right)}=\delta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right)
$$

we obtain the second-order functional derivative

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta^{2} S[x]}{\delta x\left(t_{1}\right) \delta x\left(t_{2}\right)}=-m\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t_{1}^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right) \delta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \tag{1.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into equation (1.136) we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}+y\right] & =S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]-\frac{m}{2!} \int \mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2} y\left(t_{1}\right) y\left(t_{2}\right)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t_{1}^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right) \delta\left(t_{1}-t_{2}\right) \\
& =S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]+\frac{m}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} t\left(\dot{y}^{2}-\omega^{2} y^{2}\right) \tag{1.138}
\end{align*}
$$

where the boundary condition $y\left(t_{i}\right)=y\left(t_{f}\right)=0$ has been taken into account.
Since $\mathcal{D} x$ is translationally invariant, ${ }^{14}$ we may replace $\mathcal{D} x$ by $\mathcal{D} y$ to obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \int_{y\left(t_{i}\right)=y\left(t_{f}\right)=0} \mathcal{D} y \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{m}{2} \int_{t_{i}}^{t_{f}} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\dot{y}^{2}-\omega^{2} y^{2}\right)} \tag{1.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us evaluate the fluctuation part

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{f}=\int_{y(0)=y(T)=0} \mathcal{D} y \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\dot{y}^{2}-\omega^{2} y^{2}\right)} \tag{1.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{14}$ Integrating over all possible paths $x(t)$ with $x\left(t_{i}\right)=x_{i}$ and $x\left(t_{f}\right)=x_{f}$ is equivalent to integrating over all possible paths $y(t)$ with $y\left(t_{i}\right)=y\left(t_{f}\right)=0$, where $x(t)=x_{c}(t)+y(t)$.
where we have shifted the $t$ variable so that $t_{i}$ now becomes $t=0$. We expand $y(t)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(t)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi t}{T} \tag{1.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

in conformity with the boundary condition. Substitution of this expansion into the integral in the exponent yields

$$
\int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} t\left(\dot{y}^{2}-\omega^{2} y^{2}\right)=\frac{T}{2} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} a_{n}^{2}\left[\left(\frac{n \pi}{T}\right)^{2}-\omega^{2}\right] .
$$

The Fourier transform from $y(t)$ to $\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ may be regarded as a change of variables in the integration. For this transformation to be well defined, the number of variables must be the same. Suppose the number of the time slice is $N+1$, including $t=0$ and $t=T$, for which there are $N-1$ independent $y_{k}$. Correspondingly, we must put $a_{n}=0$ for $n>N-1$. The Jacobian associated with this change of variables is

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}=\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial y_{k}}{\partial a_{n}}=\operatorname{det}\left[\sin \left(\frac{n \pi t_{k}}{T}\right)\right] \tag{1.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{k}$ is the $k$ th time step when $[0, T]$ is divided into $N$ infinitesimal steps.
This Jacobian can be evaluated most easily for a free particle. Since the transformation $\left\{y_{k}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{a_{n}\right\}$ is independent of the potential, the Jacobian should be identical for both cases. The probability amplitude for a free particle has been obtained in (1.104) leading to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle x_{f}, T \mid x_{i}, 0\right\rangle=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \frac{m}{2 T}\left(x_{f}-x_{i}\right)^{2}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \tag{1.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is written in terms of a path integral as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \int_{y(0)=y(T)=0} \mathcal{D} y \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} t \dot{y}^{2}} \tag{1.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing these two expressions and noting that

$$
\frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} t \dot{y}^{2} \rightarrow m \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{a_{n}^{2} n^{2} \pi^{2}}{4 T}
$$

we arrive at the equality

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}\right)^{1 / 2} & =\int_{y(0)=y(T)=0} \mathcal{D} y \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \frac{m}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \mathrm{~d} t \dot{y}^{2}} \\
& =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{1 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} a_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} a_{N-1} \exp \left(\mathrm{i} m \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{a_{n}^{2} \pi^{2} n^{2}}{4 T}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

By carrying out the Gaussian integrals, it is found that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}\right)^{1 / 2} & =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{N / 2} \prod_{n=1}^{N-1} \frac{1}{n}\left(\frac{4 \pi \mathrm{i} T}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{N / 2} \frac{1}{(N-1)!}\left(\frac{4 \pi \mathrm{i} T}{\pi^{2}}\right)^{(N-1) / 2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we finally obtain, for finite $N$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{N}=N^{-N / 2} 2^{-(N-1) / 2} \pi^{N-1}(N-1)! \tag{1.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian $J_{N}$ clearly diverges as $N \rightarrow \infty$. This does not matter at all, however, since we are not interested in $J_{N}$ on its own but a combination with other (divergent) factors.

The transition amplitude of a harmonic oscillator is now given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, T \mid x_{i}, 0\right\rangle= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{N}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \varepsilon}\right)^{N / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \\
& \times \int \mathrm{d} a_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} a_{N-1} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \frac{m T}{4} \sum_{n=1}^{N-1} a_{n}^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{n \pi}{T}\right)^{2}-\omega^{2}\right\}\right] \tag{1.146}
\end{align*}
$$

The integrals over $a_{n}$ are simple Gaussian integrals and easily carried out to yield

$$
\int \mathrm{d} a_{n} \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i} m T}{4} a_{n}^{2}\left\{\left(\frac{n \pi}{T}\right)^{2}-\omega^{2}\right\}\right]=\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{i} T}{\pi n^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[1-\left(\frac{\omega T}{n \pi}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2}
$$

By substituting this result into equation (1.146), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} J_{N}\left(\frac{N}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}\right)^{N / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \\
& \times \prod_{k=1}^{N-1}\left[\frac{1}{k}\left(\frac{4 \mathrm{i} T}{\pi}\right)^{1 / 2}\right] \prod_{n=1}^{N-1}\left[1-\left(\frac{\omega T}{n \pi}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2} \\
= & \left(\frac{1}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} T}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \prod_{n=1}^{N-1}\left[1-\left(\frac{\omega T}{n \pi}\right)^{2}\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{1.147}
\end{align*}
$$

The infinite product over $n$ is well known and reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{n=1}^{N}\left[1-\left(\frac{\omega T}{n \pi}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{\sin \omega T}{\omega T} \tag{1.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the divergence of $J_{N}$ cancelled with the divergence of the other terms to yield a finite value. Finally we have shown that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle x_{f}, t_{f} \mid x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle & =\left(\frac{\omega}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sin \omega T}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} S\left[x_{\mathrm{c}}\right]} \\
& =\left(\frac{\omega}{2 \pi \mathrm{i} \sin \omega T}\right)^{1 / 2} \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i} \omega}{2 \sin \omega T}\left\{\left(x_{f}^{2}+x_{i}^{2}\right) \cos \omega T-2 x_{i} x_{f}\right\}\right] \tag{1.149}
\end{align*}
$$

### 1.4.2 Partition function

The partition function of a harmonic oscillator is easily obtained from the eigenvalue $E_{n}=(n+1 / 2) \omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \hat{H}}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta(n+1 / 2) \omega}=\frac{1}{2 \sinh (\beta \omega / 2)} \tag{1.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inverse temperature $\beta$ can be regarded as the imaginary time by putting $\mathrm{i} T=\beta$. Then the partition function may be evaluated from the path integral point of view.

Method 1: The trace may be taken over $\{|x\rangle\}$ to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(\beta)= & \int \mathrm{d} x\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \hat{H}}|x\rangle \\
= & \left(\frac{\omega}{2 \pi \mathrm{i}(-\mathrm{i} \sinh \beta \omega)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& \times \int \mathrm{d} x \operatorname{exp~i}\left[\frac{\omega}{-2 \mathrm{i} \sinh \beta \omega}\left(2 x^{2} \cosh \beta \omega-2 x^{2}\right)\right] \\
= & \left(\frac{\omega}{2 \pi \sinh \beta \omega}\right)^{1 / 2}\left[\frac{\pi}{\omega \tanh (\beta \omega / 2)}\right]^{1 / 2} \\
= & \frac{1}{2 \sinh (\beta \omega / 2)} \tag{1.151}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of equation (1.149).
The following exercise serves as a preliminary to Method 2.
Exercise 1.5. (1) Let $A$ be a symmetric positive-definite $n \times n$ matrix. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} x_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x_{n} \exp \left(-\sum_{i, j} x_{i} A_{i j} x_{j}\right)=\pi^{n / 2}(\operatorname{det} A)^{-1 / 2}=\pi^{n / 2} \prod_{i} \lambda_{i}^{-1 / 2} \tag{1.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ is the eigenvalue of $A$.
(2) Let $A$ be a positive-definite $n \times n$ Hermite matrix. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} z_{1} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} z_{n} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}_{n} \exp \left(-\sum_{i, j} \bar{z}_{i} A_{i j} z_{j}\right)=\pi^{n}(\operatorname{det} A)^{-1}=\pi^{n} \prod_{i} \lambda_{i}^{-1} \tag{1.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

Method 2: We next obtain the partition function by evaluating the path integral over the fluctuations with the help of the functional determinant and the $\zeta$-function regularization. We introduce the imaginary time $\tau=\mathrm{i} t$ and rewrite the path integral as

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{y(0)}=y(T)=0 & \mathcal{D} y \exp \left[\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} t y\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}-\omega^{2}\right) y\right] \\
& \rightarrow \int_{y(0)=y(\beta)=0} \overline{\mathcal{D}} y \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} \tau y\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right) y\right]
\end{array}
$$

where we noted the boundary condition $y(0)=y(\beta)=0$. Here the bar on $\mathcal{D}$ implies the path integration measure with imaginary time.

Let $A$ be an $n \times n$ Hermitian matrix with positive-definite eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}(1 \leq k \leq n)$. Then for real variables $x_{k}$, we obtain from exercise 1.5 that

$$
\prod_{k=1}^{n}\left(\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x_{k}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{p, q} x_{p} A_{p q} x_{q}}=\prod_{k=1}^{n} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{k}}}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{det} A}}
$$

where we neglected numerical factors. This is a generalization of the well-known Gaussian integral

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \lambda x^{2}}=\sqrt{\frac{2 \pi}{\lambda}}
$$

for $\lambda>0$. We define the determinant of an operator $\mathcal{O}$ by the (properly regularized) infinite product of its eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$ as Det $\mathcal{O}=\prod_{k} \lambda_{k} .{ }^{15}$ Then the previous path integral is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{y(0)=y(\beta)=0} \overline{\mathcal{D}} y \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} \tau y\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right) y\right]=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}+\omega^{2}\right)}} \tag{1.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the subscript ' $D$ ' implies that the eigenvalues are evaluated with the Dirichlet boundary condition $y(0)=y(\beta)=0$.

The general solution $y(\tau)$ satisfying the boundary condition is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
y(\tau)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{n \in \mathbb{N}} y_{n} \sin \frac{n \pi \tau}{\beta} . \tag{1.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^3]Note that $y_{n} \in \mathbb{R}$ since $y(\tau)$ is a real function. Since the eigenvalue of the eigenfunction $\sin (n \pi \tau / \beta)$ is $\lambda_{n}=(n \pi / \beta)^{2}+\omega^{2}$, the functional determinant is formally written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right) & =\prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_{n}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{n \pi}{\beta}\right)^{2}+\omega^{2}\right] \\
& =\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(\frac{n \pi}{\beta}\right)^{2} \prod_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[1+\left(\frac{\beta \omega}{p \pi}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1.156}
\end{align*}
$$

The first infinite product in the last line is written as

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}}\right)
$$

We will evaluate this infinite product through the $\zeta$-function regularization. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be an operator with positive-definite eigenvalues $\lambda_{n}$. Then we have formally

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \operatorname{Det} \mathcal{O}=\operatorname{Tr} \log \mathcal{O}=\sum_{n} \log \lambda_{n} \tag{1.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define the spectral $\zeta$-function as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s) \equiv \sum_{n} \frac{1}{\lambda_{n}^{s}} \tag{1.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS converges for sufficiently large $\operatorname{Re} s$ and $\zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)$ is analytic with respect to $s$ in this region. Moreover, it can be analytically continued to the whole $s$-plane except at a possible finite number of points. By noting that

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)}{\mathrm{d} s}\right|_{s=0}=-\sum_{n} \log \lambda_{n}
$$

we arrive at the expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det} \mathcal{O}=\exp \left[-\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \zeta_{\mathcal{O}}(s)}{\mathrm{d} s}\right|_{s=0}\right] \tag{1.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

We replace $\mathcal{O}$ by $-d^{2} / d \tau^{2}$ in the case at hand to find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}}(s)=\sum_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{n \pi}{\beta}\right)^{-2 s}=\left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right)^{2 s} \zeta(2 s) \tag{1.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\zeta(2 s)$ is the celebrated Riemann $\zeta$-function. It is analytic over the whole $s$-plane except at the simple pole at $s=1$. From the well-known values

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(0)=-\frac{1}{2} \quad \zeta^{\prime}(0)=-\frac{1}{2} \log (2 \pi) \tag{1.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\zeta_{-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} \tau^{2}}^{\prime}(0)=2 \log \left(\frac{\beta}{\pi}\right) \zeta(0)+2 \zeta^{\prime}(0)=-\log (2 \beta)
$$

We have finally shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\log (2 \beta)}=2 \beta \tag{1.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

and that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{D}}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau^{2}}+\omega^{2}\right)=2 \beta \prod_{p=1}^{\infty}\left[1+\left(\frac{\beta \omega}{p \pi}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

The infinite product in this equation is well known but let us pretend that we are ignorant about this product.

The partition function is now expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}=\left[2 \beta \prod_{p=1}^{\infty}\left\{1+\left(\frac{\beta \pi}{p \pi}\right)^{2}\right\}\right]^{-1 / 2}\left[\frac{\pi}{\omega \tanh (\beta \omega / 2)}\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{1.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

By comparing this with the result (1.151), we have proved the formula

$$
\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[1+\left(\frac{\beta \omega}{n \pi}\right)^{2}\right]=\frac{\pi}{\beta \omega} \sinh (\beta \omega)
$$

namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1+\frac{x^{2}}{n^{2}}\right)=\frac{\sinh (\pi x)}{\pi x} \tag{1.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

What about the infinite product expansion of the cosh function? This is given by using the path integral with respect to the fermion, which we will work out in the next section.

### 1.5 Path integral quantization of a Fermi particle

The particles observed in Nature are not necessarily Bose particles whose position and momentum operators obey the commutation relation $[p, x]=-\mathrm{i}$. There are particles called fermions whose operators satisfy anti-commutation relations. A classical description of a fermion requires anti-commuting numbers called the Grassmann numbers.

### 1.5.1 Fermionic harmonic oscillator

The bosonic harmonic oscillator in the previous section is described by the Hamiltonian ${ }^{16}$

$$
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(a^{\dagger} a+a a^{\dagger}\right)
$$

where $a$ and $a^{\dagger}$ satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\left[a, a^{\dagger}\right]=1 \quad[a, a]=\left[a^{\dagger}, a^{\dagger}\right]=0
$$

The Hamiltonian has eigenvalues $(n+1 / 2) \omega(n \in \mathbb{N})$ with the eigenvector $|n\rangle$ :

$$
H|n\rangle=\left(n+\frac{1}{2}\right) \omega|n\rangle
$$

Now suppose there is a Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left(c^{\dagger} c-c c^{\dagger}\right) \omega \tag{1.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is called the fermionic harmonic oscillator, which may be regarded as a Fourier component of the Dirac Hamiltonian, which describes relativistic fermions. If the operators $c$ and $c^{\dagger}$ should satisfy the same commutation relations as those satisfied by bosons, the Hamiltonian would be a constant $H=-\omega / 2$. Suppose, in contrast, they satisfy the anti-commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{c, c^{\dagger}\right\} \equiv c c^{\dagger}+c^{\dagger} c=1 \quad\{c, c\}=\left\{c^{\dagger}, c^{\dagger}\right\}=0 \tag{1.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{1}{2}\left[c^{\dagger} c-\left(1-c c^{\dagger}\right)\right] \omega=\left(N-\frac{1}{2}\right) \omega \tag{1.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N=c^{\dagger} c$. It is easy to see that the eigenvalue of $N$ must be either 0 or 1 . In fact, $N$ satisfies $N^{2}=c^{\dagger} c c^{\dagger} c=N$, namely $N(N-1)=0$. This is nothing other than the Pauli principle.

Let us study the Hilbert space of the Hamiltonian $H$. Let $|n\rangle$ be an eigenvector of $H$ with the eigenvalue $n$, where $n=0,1$ as shown earlier. It is easy to verify the following relations;

$$
\begin{gathered}
H|0\rangle=-\frac{\omega}{2}|0\rangle \\
c^{\dagger}|0\rangle=|1\rangle \quad c|1\rangle=\frac{\omega}{2}|1\rangle \\
c|0\rangle=0 \quad c^{\dagger}|1\rangle=0 \quad c|1\rangle=|0\rangle .
\end{gathered}
$$

It is convenient to introduce the component expressions

$$
|0\rangle=\binom{0}{1} \quad|1\rangle=\binom{1}{0}
$$

[^4]Exercise 1.6. Suppose the basis vectors have this form. Show that the operators have the following matrix representations

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
c=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), & c^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \\
N=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), & H=\frac{\omega}{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
\end{array}
$$

The commutation relation $[x, p]=\mathrm{i}$ for a boson has been replaced by $[x, p]=0$ in the path integral formalism of a boson. For a fermion, the anticommutation relation $\left\{c, c^{\dagger}\right\}=1$ should be replaced by $\left\{\theta, \theta^{*}\right\}=0$, where $\theta$ and $\theta^{*}$ are anti-commuting classical numbers called Grassmann numbers.

### 1.5.2 Calculus of Grassmann numbers

To distinguish anti-commuting Grassmann numbers from commuting real and complex numbers, the latter will be called the 'c-number', where c stands for commuting. Let $n$ generators $\left\{\theta_{1}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right\}$ satisfy the anti-commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\theta_{i}, \theta_{j}\right\}=0 \quad \forall i, j . \tag{1.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the set of the linear combinations of $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ with the c-number coefficients is called the Grassmann number and the algebra generated by $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ is called the Grassmann algebra, denoted by $\Lambda^{n}$. An arbitrary element $f$ of $\Lambda^{n}$ is expanded as

$$
\begin{align*}
f(\theta) & =f_{0}+\sum_{i=1}^{n} f_{i} \theta_{i}+\sum_{i<j} f_{i j} \theta_{i} \theta_{j}+\cdots \\
& =\sum_{0 \leq k \leq n} \frac{1}{k!} \sum_{\{i\}} f_{i_{1}, \ldots i_{k}} \theta_{i_{1}} \ldots \theta_{i_{k}} \tag{1.170}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f_{0}, f_{i}, f_{i j}, \ldots$ and $f_{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{k}}$ are c-numbers that are anti-symmetric under the exchange of any two indices. The element $f$ is also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\theta)=\sum_{k_{i}=0,1} \tilde{f}_{k_{1}, \ldots, k_{n}} \theta_{1}^{k_{1}} \ldots \theta_{n}^{k_{n}} . \tag{1.171}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $n=2$ for example. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(\theta) & =f_{0}+f_{1} \theta_{1}+f_{2} \theta_{2}+f_{12} \theta_{1} \theta_{2} \\
& =\tilde{f}_{00}+\tilde{f}_{10} \theta_{1}+\tilde{f}_{01} \theta_{2}+\tilde{f}_{11} \theta_{1} \theta_{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The subset of $\lambda^{n}$ which is generated by monomials of even (resp. odd) power in $\theta_{k}$ is denoted by $\Lambda_{+}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{-}^{n}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda^{n}=\Lambda_{+}^{n} \oplus \Lambda_{-}^{n} \tag{1.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

The separation of $\Lambda^{n}$ into these two subspaces is called $\mathbb{Z}_{\mathbf{2}_{2}}$ grading. We call an element of $\Lambda_{+}^{n}\left(\Lambda_{-}^{n}\right)$ G-even (G-odd). Note that $\operatorname{dim} \lambda^{n}=2^{n}$ while $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{+}^{n}=$ $\operatorname{dim} \Lambda_{-}^{n}=2^{(n-1)}$.

The generator $\theta_{k}$ does not have a magnitude and hence the set of Grassmann numbers is not an ordered set. Zero is the only number that is a c-number as well as a Grassmann number simultaneously. A Grassmann number commutes with a c-number. It should be clear that the generators satisfy the following relations:

$$
\begin{align*}
\theta_{k}^{2} & =0 \\
\theta_{k_{1}} \theta_{k_{2}} \ldots \theta_{k_{n}} & =\varepsilon_{k_{1} k_{2} \ldots k_{n}} \theta_{1} \theta_{2} \ldots \theta_{n}  \tag{1.173}\\
\theta_{k_{1}} \theta_{k_{2}} \ldots \theta_{k_{m}} & =0 \quad(m>n)
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{k_{1} \ldots k_{n}}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if }\left\{k_{1} \ldots k_{n}\right\} \text { is an even permutation of }\{1 \ldots n\} \\ -1 & \text { if }\left\{k_{1} \ldots k_{n}\right\} \text { is an odd permutation of }\{1 \ldots n\} \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

A function of Grassmann numbers is defined as a Taylor expansion of the function. When $n=1$, for example, we have

$$
\mathrm{e}^{\theta}=1+\theta
$$

since higher-order terms in $\theta$ vanish identically.

### 1.5.3 Differentiation

It is assumed that the differential operator acts on a function from the left:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial \theta_{i}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \theta_{j}=\delta_{i j} \tag{1.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is also assumed that the differential operator anti-commutes with $\theta_{k}$. The Leibnitz rule then takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}}\left(\theta_{j} \theta_{k}\right)=\frac{\partial \theta_{j}}{\partial \theta_{i}} \theta_{k}-\theta_{j} \frac{\partial \theta_{k}}{\partial \theta_{i}}=\delta_{i j} \theta_{k}-\delta_{i k} \theta_{j} . \tag{1.175}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 1.7. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{j}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}}=0 \tag{1.176}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily shown from this exercise that the differential operator is nilpotent

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial \theta_{i}^{2}}=0 \tag{1.177}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 1.8. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}} \theta_{j}+\theta_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{i}}=\delta_{i j} . \tag{1.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5.4 Integration

Supprisingly enough, integration with respect to a Grassmann variable is equivalent to differentiation. Let $D$ denote differentiation with respect to a Grassmann variable and let $I$ denote integration, where integration is understood as a definite integral. Suppose they satisfy the relations
(1) $I D=0$,
(2) $D I=0$,
(3) $D(A)=0 \Rightarrow I(B A)=I(B) A$,
where $A$ and $B$ are arbitrary functions of Grassmann variables. The first relation states that the integration of a derivative of any function yields the surface term and it is set to zero. The second relation states that a derivative of a definite integral vanishes. The third relation implies that $A$ is a constant if $D(A)=0$ and hence it can be taken out of the integral. These relations are satified if we take $I \propto D$. Here we adopt the normalization $I=D$ and put

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta f(\theta)=\frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta} \tag{1.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find from the previous definition that

$$
\int \mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{\partial 1}{\partial \theta}=0 \quad \int \mathrm{~d} \theta \theta=\frac{\partial \theta}{\partial \theta}=1
$$

If there are $n$ generators $\left\{\theta_{k}\right\}$, equation (1.179) is generalized as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{2} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n} f\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{2}} \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{n}} f\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right) \tag{1.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note the order of $\mathrm{d} \theta_{k}$ and $\partial / \partial \theta_{k}$.
The equivalence of differentiation and integration leads to an odd behaviour of integration under the change of integration variables. Let us consider the case $n=1$ first. Under the change of variable $\theta^{\prime}=a \theta(a \in \mathbb{C})$, we obtain

$$
\int \mathrm{d} \theta f(\theta)=\frac{\partial f(\theta)}{\partial \theta}=\frac{\partial f\left(\theta^{\prime} / a\right)}{\partial \theta^{\prime} / a}=a \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{\prime} f\left(\theta^{\prime} / a\right)
$$

which leads to $\mathrm{d} \theta^{\prime}=(1 / a) \mathrm{d} \theta$. This is readily extended to the case of $n$ variables. Let $\theta_{i} \rightarrow \theta_{i}^{\prime}=a_{i j} \theta_{j}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \ldots \theta_{n} f(\theta) & =\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{1}} \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{n}} f(\theta) \\
& =\sum_{k_{i}=1}^{n} \frac{\partial \theta_{k_{1}}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta_{1}} \ldots \frac{\partial \theta_{k_{n}}^{\prime}}{\partial \theta_{n}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_{1}}^{\prime}} \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_{n}}^{\prime}} f\left(a^{-1} \theta^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\sum_{k_{i}=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{k_{1} \ldots k_{n}} a_{k_{1} 1} \ldots a_{k_{n} n} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_{1}}^{\prime}} \ldots \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta_{k_{n}}^{\prime}} f\left(a^{-1} \theta^{\prime}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det} a \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}^{\prime} \ldots \theta_{n}^{\prime} f\left(a^{-1} \theta^{\prime}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, the integral measure transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{2} \ldots \theta_{n}=\operatorname{det} a \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \theta_{2}^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{d} \theta_{n}^{\prime} \tag{1.181}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5.5 Delta-function

The $\delta$-function of a Grassmann variable is introduced as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta \delta(\theta-\alpha) f(\theta)=f(\alpha) \tag{1.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a single variable. If we substitute the expansion $f(\theta)=a+b \theta$ into this definition, we obtain

$$
\int \mathrm{d} \theta \delta(\theta-\alpha)(a+b \theta)=a+b \alpha
$$

from which we find that the $\delta$-function is explicitly given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\theta-\alpha)=\theta-\alpha \tag{1.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

Extension of this result to $n$ variables is easily verified to be (note the order of variables)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{n}(\theta-\alpha)=\left(\theta_{n}-\alpha_{n}\right) \ldots\left(\theta_{2}-\alpha_{2}\right)\left(\theta_{1}-\alpha_{1}\right) \tag{1.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

The integral form of the $\delta$-function is obtained from

$$
\int \mathrm{d} \xi \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi \theta}=\int \mathrm{d} \xi(1+\mathrm{i} \xi \theta)=\mathrm{i} \theta
$$

as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta(\theta)=\theta=-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} \xi \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \xi \theta} \tag{1.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5.6 Gaussian integral

Let us consider the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
I=\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i j} \theta_{i}^{*} M_{i j} \theta_{j}} \tag{1.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\theta_{i}^{*}\right\}$ are two sets of independent Grassmann variables. The $n \times n$ c-number matrix $M$ is taken to be anti-symmetric since $\theta_{i}$ and $\theta_{i}^{*}$ anti-commute. The integral is evaluated with the help of the change of variables $\theta_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} M_{i j} \theta_{j}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
I & =\operatorname{det} M \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{d} \theta_{n}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{i} \theta_{i}^{*} \theta_{i}^{\prime}} \\
& =\operatorname{det} M\left[\int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left(1+\theta^{\prime} \theta^{*}\right)\right]^{n} \\
& =\operatorname{det} M \tag{1.187}
\end{align*}
$$

We prove an interesting formula as an application of the Gaussian integral.
Proposition 1.3. Let $a$ be an anti-symmetric matrix of order $2 n$ and define the Pfaffian of $a$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}(a)=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \sum_{\substack{\text { Permutations of } \\\left\{i_{1}, \ldots, i_{2 n}\right\}}} \operatorname{sgn}(P) a_{i_{1} i_{2}} \ldots a_{i_{2 n-1} i_{2 n}} . \tag{1.188}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} a=\operatorname{Pf}(a)^{2} . \tag{1.189}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{2 n} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j} \theta_{i} a_{i j} \theta_{j}\right]=\frac{1}{2^{n} n!} \int \mathrm{d} \theta_{2 n} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}\left(\sum_{i j} \theta_{i} a_{i j} \theta_{j}\right)^{n} \\
& =\operatorname{Pf}(a)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note also that

$$
I^{2}=\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{2 n} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{2 n}^{\prime} \ldots \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \sum_{i j}\left(\theta_{i} a_{i j} \theta_{j}+\theta_{i}^{\prime} a_{i j} \theta_{j}^{\prime}\right)\right]
$$

Under the change of variables

$$
\eta_{k}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\theta_{k}+\theta_{k}^{\prime}\right), \quad \eta_{k}^{*}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2} \mathbf{i}}\left(\theta_{k}-\theta_{k}^{\prime}\right)
$$

we obtain the Jacobian $=(-1)^{n}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\theta_{i} \theta_{j}+\theta_{i}^{\prime} \theta_{j}^{\prime} & =\eta_{i} \eta_{j}^{*}-\eta_{j}^{*} \eta_{i} \\
\mathrm{~d} \eta_{2 n} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2 n}^{*} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \eta_{1}^{*} & =(-1)^{n^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{1} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{1}^{*} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2 n} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2 n}^{*},
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we verify that

$$
\operatorname{Pf}(a)^{2}=\int \mathrm{d} \eta_{1} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{1}^{*} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2 n} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{2 n}^{*} \exp \left[\sum_{i j} \eta_{i}^{*} a_{i j} \eta_{j}\right]=\operatorname{det} a .
$$

Exercise 1.9. (1) Let $M$ be a skewsymmetric matrix and $K_{i}$ be Grassmann numbers. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2}^{t} \theta \cdot M \cdot \theta+{ }^{t} K \cdot \theta}=2^{n / 2} \sqrt{\operatorname{det} M} \mathrm{e}^{-t} K \cdot M^{-1} \cdot K / 4 \tag{1.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Let $M$ be a skew-Hermitian matrix and $K_{i}$ and $K_{i}^{*}$ be Grassmann numbers. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta_{1}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{\dagger} \cdot M \cdot \theta+K^{\dagger} \cdot \theta+\theta^{\dagger} \cdot K}=\operatorname{det} M \mathrm{e}^{K^{\dagger} \cdot M^{-1} \cdot K} \tag{1.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.5.7 Functional derivative

The functional derivative with respect to a Grassmann variable can be defined similarly to that for a commuting variable. Let $\psi(t)$ be a Grassmann variable depending on a c-number parameter $t$ and $F[\psi(t)]$ be a functional of $\psi$. Then we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\delta F[\psi(t)]}{\delta \psi(s)}=\frac{1}{\varepsilon}\{F[\psi(t)+\varepsilon \delta(t-s)]-F[\psi(t)]\} \tag{1.192}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ is a Grassmann parameter. The Taylor expansion of $F[\psi(t)-\varepsilon \delta(t-s)]$ with respect to $\varepsilon$ is linear in $\varepsilon$ since $\varepsilon^{2}=0$. Accordingly, the limit $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$ is not necessary. A word of caution: division by a Grassmann number is not well defined in general. Here, however, the numerator is proportional to $\varepsilon$ and division by $\varepsilon$ simply means picking up the coefficient of $\varepsilon$ in the numerator.

### 1.5.8 Complex conjugation

Let $\left\{\theta_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\theta_{i}^{*}\right\}$ be two sets of the generators of Grassmann numbers. Define the complex conjugation of $\theta_{i}$ by $\left(\theta_{i}\right)^{*}=\theta_{i}^{*}$ and $\left(\theta_{i}^{*}\right)^{*}=\theta_{i}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\theta_{i} \theta_{j}\right)^{*}=\theta_{j}^{*} \theta_{i}^{*} \tag{1.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

Otherwise, the real c-number $\theta_{i} \theta_{i}^{*}$ does not satisify the reality condition $\left(\theta_{i} \theta_{i}^{*}\right)^{*}=\theta_{i} \theta_{i}^{*}$.

### 1.5.9 Coherent states and completeness relation

The fermion annihilation and creation operators $c$ and $c^{\dagger}$ satisfy the anticommutation relations $\{c, c\}=\left\{c^{\dagger}, c^{\dagger}\right\}=0$ and $\left\{c, c^{\dagger}\right\}=1$ and the number operator $N=c^{\dagger} c$ has the eigenvectors $|0\rangle$ and $|1\rangle$. Let us consider the Hilbert space spanned by these vectors

$$
\mathcal{H}=\operatorname{Span}\{|0\rangle,|1\rangle\}
$$

An arbitrary vector $|f\rangle$ in $\mathcal{H}$ may be written in the form

$$
|f\rangle=|0\rangle f_{0}+|1\rangle f_{1}
$$

where $f_{0}, f_{1} \in \mathbb{C}$.
Now we consider the states

$$
\begin{align*}
& |\theta\rangle=|0\rangle+|1\rangle \theta  \tag{1.194}\\
& \langle\theta|=\langle 0|+\theta^{*}\langle 1| \tag{1.195}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\theta$ and $\theta^{*}$ are Grassmann numbers. These states are called the coherent states and are eigenstates of $c$ and $c^{\dagger}$ respectively,

$$
c|\theta\rangle=|0\rangle \theta=|\theta\rangle \theta, \quad\langle\theta| c^{\dagger}=\theta^{*}\langle 0|=\theta^{*}\langle\theta| .
$$

Exercise 1.10. Verify the following identities;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\theta^{\prime} \mid \theta\right\rangle & =1+\theta^{\prime *} \theta=\mathrm{e}^{\theta^{* *} \theta}, \\
\langle\theta \mid f\rangle & =f_{0}+\theta^{*} f_{1}, \\
\langle\theta| c^{\dagger}|f\rangle & =\langle\theta \mid 1\rangle f_{0}=\theta^{*} f_{0}=\theta^{*}\langle\theta \mid f\rangle, \\
\langle\theta| c|f\rangle & =\langle\theta \mid 0\rangle f_{1}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta^{*}}\langle\theta \mid f\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let

$$
h\left(c, c^{\dagger}\right)=h_{00}+h_{10} c^{\dagger}+h_{01} c+h_{11} c^{\dagger} c \quad h_{i j} \in \mathbb{C}
$$

be an arbitrary function of $c$ and $c^{\dagger}$. Then the matrix elements of $h$ are

$$
\langle 0| h|0\rangle=h_{00} \quad\langle 0| h|1\rangle=h_{01} \quad\langle 1| h|0\rangle=h_{10} \quad\langle 1| h|1\rangle=h_{00}+h_{11}
$$

It is easily found from these matrix elements that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\theta| h\left|\theta^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left(h_{00}+\theta^{*} h_{10}+h_{01} \theta^{\prime}+\theta^{*} \theta^{\prime} h_{11}\right) \mathrm{e}^{\theta^{*} \theta^{\prime}} \tag{1.196}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 1.3. Let $|\theta\rangle$ and $\langle\theta|$ be defined as before. Then the completeness relation takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta|\theta\rangle\langle\theta| \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta}=I \tag{1.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Straightforward calculation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta|\theta\rangle\langle\theta| \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta} \\
& \quad=\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta(|0\rangle+|1\rangle \theta)\left(\langle 0|+\theta^{*}\langle 1|\right)\left(1-\theta^{*} \theta\right) \\
& \quad=\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left(|0\rangle\langle 0|+|1\rangle \theta\langle 0|+|0\rangle \theta^{*}\langle 1|+|1\rangle \theta \theta^{*}\langle 1|\right)\left(1-\theta^{*} \theta\right) \\
& \quad=|0\rangle\langle 0|+|1\rangle\langle 1|=I
\end{aligned}
$$

### 1.5.10 Partition function of a fermionic oscillator

We obtain here the partition fuction of a fermionic harmonic oscillator as an application of the path integral formalism of fermions. The Hamiltonian is $H=\left(c^{\dagger} c-1 / 2\right) \omega$, which has eigenvalues $\pm \omega / 2$. The partition function is then

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\beta)=\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}=\sum_{n=0}^{1}\langle n| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2}+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta \omega / 2}=2 \cosh (\beta \omega / 2) \tag{1.198}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we evaluate $Z(\beta)$ in two different ways using a path integral. We start our exposition with the following lemma.

Lemma 1.4. Let $H$ be the Hamiltonian of a fermionic harmonic oscillator. Then the partition function is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}=\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\langle-\theta| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|\theta\rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta} \tag{1.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let us insert the completeness relation (1.197) into the definition of a partition function to obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\beta)= & \sum_{n=0,1}\langle n| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle \\
= & \sum_{n} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta}\langle n \mid \theta\rangle\langle\theta| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle \\
= & \sum_{n} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left(1-\theta^{*} \theta\right)(\langle n \mid 0\rangle+\langle n \mid 1\rangle \theta)\left(\langle 0| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle+\theta^{*}\langle 1| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\right) \\
= & \sum_{n} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left(1-\theta^{*} \theta\right)\left[\langle 0| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 0\rangle\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\theta^{*} \theta\langle 1| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 1\rangle+\theta\langle 0| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 1\rangle+\theta^{*}\langle 1| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 0\rangle\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term of the last line does not contribute to the integral and hence we may change $\theta^{*}$ to $-\theta^{*}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\beta) & =\sum_{n} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\left(1-\theta^{*} \theta\right)\left[\langle 0| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 0\rangle\right. \\
& \left.-\theta^{*} \theta\langle 1| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 1\rangle+\theta\langle 0| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 1\rangle-\theta^{*}\langle 1| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle\langle n \mid 0\rangle\right] \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta}\langle-\theta| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|\theta\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

Accordingly, the coordinate in the trace is over anti-periodic orbits. The Grassmann variable is $\theta$ at $\tau=0$ while $-\theta$ at $\tau=\beta$ and we have to impose an anti-periodic boundary condition over $[0, \beta]$ in the trace.

Use the expression

$$
\mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}=\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}(1-\beta H / N)^{N}
$$

and insert the completeness relation at each time step to find

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\beta)= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta}\langle-\theta|(1-\beta H / N)^{N}|\theta\rangle \\
= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta \prod_{k=1}^{N-1} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n=1}^{N-1} \theta_{n}^{*} \theta_{n}} \\
& \times\langle-\theta|(1-\varepsilon H)\left|\theta_{N-1}\right\rangle\left\langle\theta_{N-1}\right| \ldots\left|\theta_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\theta_{1}\right|(1-\varepsilon H)|\theta\rangle \\
= & \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \int \prod_{k=1}^{N} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n=1}^{N} \theta_{n}^{*} \theta_{n}} \\
& \times\left\langle\theta_{N}\right|(1-\varepsilon H)\left|\theta_{N-1}\right\rangle\left\langle\theta_{N-1}\right| \ldots\left|\theta_{1}\right\rangle\left\langle\theta_{1}\right|(1-\varepsilon H)\left|-\theta_{N}\right\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have put $\varepsilon=\beta / N$ and $\theta=-\theta_{N}=\theta_{0}, \theta^{*}=-\theta_{N}^{*}=\theta_{0}^{*}$.
Each matrix element is evaluated as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\theta_{k}\right|(1-\varepsilon H)\left|\theta_{k-1}\right\rangle & =\left\langle\theta_{k} \mid \theta_{k-1}\right\rangle\left[1-\varepsilon \frac{\left\langle\theta_{k}\right| H\left|\theta_{k-1}\right\rangle}{\left\langle\theta_{k} \mid \theta_{k-1}\right\rangle}\right] \\
& \simeq\left\langle\theta_{k} \mid \theta_{k-1}\right\rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon\left(\theta_{k}|H| \theta_{k-1}\right\rangle /\left\langle\theta_{k} \mid \theta_{k-1}\right\rangle} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\theta_{k}^{*} \theta_{k-1}} \mathrm{e}^{-\varepsilon \omega\left(\theta_{k}^{*} \theta_{k-1}-1 / 2\right)} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\varepsilon \omega / 2} \mathrm{e}^{(1-\varepsilon \omega) \theta_{k}^{*} \theta_{k-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The partition function is now expressed in terms of the path integral as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(\beta) & =\lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n=1}^{N} \theta_{n}^{*} \theta_{n}} \mathrm{e}^{(1-\varepsilon \omega) \sum_{n=1}^{N} \theta_{n}^{*} \theta_{n-1}} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n=1}^{N}\left[\theta_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{n-1}\right)+\varepsilon \omega \theta_{n}^{*} \theta_{n-1}\right]} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{\dagger} \cdot B \cdot \theta}, \tag{1.200}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
\theta=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\theta_{1} \\
\theta_{2} \\
\vdots \\
\theta_{N}
\end{array}\right) \\
B_{N}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 & -y \\
y & 1 & 0 & \ldots 0 & \\
0 & y & 1 & \ldots 0 & \\
\vdots & & \ddots & & \vdots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & y & 1
\end{array}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

with $y=-1+\varepsilon \omega$ in the last line. We finally find from the definition of the Gaussian integral of Grassmann numbers that

$$
\begin{align*}
Z(\beta) & =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{det} B_{N}=\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty}\left[1+(1-\beta \omega / N)^{N}\right] \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2}\left(1+\mathrm{e}^{-\beta \omega}\right)=2 \cosh \frac{1}{2} \beta \omega . \tag{1.201}
\end{align*}
$$

This should be compared with the partition function (1.151) of the bosonic harmonic oscillator.

This partition function is also obtained by making use of the $\zeta$-function regularization. It follows from the second line of equation (1.200) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\beta) & =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=1}^{N} \int \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k}^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{k} \mathrm{e}^{-\sum_{n}\left[(1-\varepsilon \omega) \theta_{n}^{*}\left(\theta_{n}-\theta_{n-1}\right) / \varepsilon+\omega \theta_{n}^{*} \theta_{n}\right]} \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \int \mathcal{D} \theta^{*} \mathcal{D} \theta \exp \left[-\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} \tau \theta^{*}\left((1-\varepsilon \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+\omega\right) \theta\right] \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{APBC}}\left((1-\varepsilon \omega) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \tau}+\omega\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Here the subscript APBC implies that the eigenvalue should be evaluated for the solutions that satisfy the anti-periodic boundary condition $\theta(\beta)=-\theta(0)$. It
might seem odd that the differential operator contains $\varepsilon$. We find later that this gives a finite contribution to the infinite product of eigenvalues. Let us expand the orbit $\theta(\tau)$ in the Fourier modes. The eigenmodes and the corresponding eigenvalues are

$$
\exp \left(\frac{\pi \mathrm{i}(2 n+1) \tau}{\beta}\right), \quad(1-\varepsilon \omega) \frac{\pi \mathrm{i}(2 n+1)}{\beta}+\omega
$$

where $n=0, \pm 1, \pm 2, \ldots$. It should be noted that the coherent states are overcomplete and that the actual number of degrees of freedom is $N$, which is related to $\varepsilon$ as $\varepsilon=\beta / N$. Then we have to truncate the product at $-N / 4 \leq k \leq$ $N / 4$ since one complex variable has two real degrees of freedom. Accordingly, the partition function takes the form

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z(\beta) & =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \lim _{N \rightarrow \infty} \prod_{k=-N / 4}^{N / 4}\left[\mathrm{i}(1-\varepsilon \omega) \frac{\pi(2 n-1)}{\beta}+\omega\right] \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \omega / 2} \prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{2 \pi(n-1 / 2)}{\beta}\right)^{2}+\omega^{2}\right] \\
& =\prod_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{\pi(2 k-1)}{\beta}\right]^{2} \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[1+\left(\frac{\beta \omega}{\pi(2 n-1)}\right)^{2}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The first infinite product, which we call $P$, is divergent and requires regularization. Note, first, that

$$
\log P=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} 2 \log \left[\frac{2 \pi(k-1 / 2)}{\beta}\right] .
$$

Define the corresponding $\zeta$-function by

$$
\tilde{\zeta}(s)=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left[\frac{2 \pi(k-1 / 2)}{\beta}\right]^{-s}=\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{s} \zeta(s, 1 / 2)
$$

with which we obtain $P=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime}(0)}$. Here

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta(s, a)=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(k+a)^{s}} \quad(0<a<1) \tag{1.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the generalized $\zeta$-function (the Hurwitz $\zeta$-function). The derivative of $\tilde{\zeta}(s)$ at $s=0$ yields

$$
\tilde{\zeta}^{\prime}(0)=\log \left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi}\right) \zeta(0,1 / 2)+\zeta^{\prime}(0,1 / 2)=-\frac{1}{2} \log 2,
$$

where use has been made of the values ${ }^{17}$

$$
\zeta(0,1 / 2)=0 \quad \zeta^{\prime}(0,1 / 2)=-\frac{1}{2} \log 2
$$

Finally we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
P=\mathrm{e}^{-2 \tilde{\zeta}^{\prime}(0)}=\mathrm{e}^{\log 2}=2 \tag{1.203}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $P$ is independent of $\beta$ after regularization.
Putting them all together, we arrive at the partition function

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\beta)=2 \prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[1+\left(\frac{\beta \omega}{\pi(2 n-1)}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{1.204}
\end{equation*}
$$

By making use of the well-known formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cosh \frac{x}{2}=\prod_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[1+\frac{x^{2}}{\pi^{2}(2 n-1)^{2}}\right] \tag{1.205}
\end{equation*}
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z(\beta)=2 \cosh \frac{\beta \omega}{2} \tag{1.206}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose, alternatively, we are ignorant about the formula (1.205). Then, by equating equation (1.201) with equation (1.204), we have proved the formula (1.205) with the help of path integrals. This is a typical application of physics to mathematics: evaluate some physical quantity by two different methods and equate the results. Then we often obtain a non-trivial relation which is mathematically useful.

### 1.6 Quantization of a scalar field

### 1.6.1 Free scalar field

The analysis made in the previous sections may be easily generalized to a case with many degrees of freedom. We are interested, in particular, in a system with infinitely many degrees of freedom; the quantum field theory (QFT). Let us start our exposition with the simplest case, that is, the scalar field theory. Let $\phi(x)$ be a real scalar field at the spacetime coordinates $x=\left(\boldsymbol{x}, x^{0}\right)$ where $\boldsymbol{x}$ is the space coordinate while $x^{0}$ is the time coordinate. The action depends on $\phi$ and its derivatives $\partial_{\mu} \phi(x)=\partial \phi(x) / \partial x^{\mu}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \mathrm{d} x \mathcal{L}\left(\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi\right) \tag{1.207}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{17}$ The first formula follows from the relation $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)=\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta(s)$, which is derived from the identity $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)+\zeta(s)=2^{s} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left[1 /(2 n-1)^{s}+1 /(2 n)^{s}\right]=2^{s} \zeta(s)$. The second formula is obtained by differentiating $\zeta(s, 1 / 2)=\left(2^{s}-1\right) \zeta(s)$ with respect to $s$ and using the formula $\zeta(0)=-1 / 2$.

Here $\mathcal{L}$ is the Lagrangian density. The Euler-Lagrange equation now takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi\right)}\right)-\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \phi}=0 \tag{1.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian density of a free scalar field is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0}\left(\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi\right)=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \phi \partial^{\mu} \phi+m^{2} \phi^{2}\right) . \tag{1.209}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Euler-Lagrange equation derived from this Lagrangian density is the KleinGordon equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\square-m^{2}\right) \phi=0, \tag{1.210}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\square=\partial^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}=-\partial_{0}^{2}+\nabla^{2}$.
The vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude in the presence of a source $J$ has the path integral representation $\langle 0, \infty \mid 0,-\infty\rangle^{J} \propto Z_{0}[J]$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}[J]=\int \mathcal{D} \phi \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}+J \phi+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \varepsilon \phi^{2}\right)\right] \tag{1.211}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\mathrm{i} \varepsilon$ term has been added to regularize the path integral. ${ }^{18}$ Integration by parts yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}[J]=\int \mathcal{D} \phi \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left(\frac{1}{2}\left\{\phi\left(\square-m^{2}\right) \phi+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon \phi^{2}\right\}+J \phi\right)\right] \tag{1.212}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi_{\mathrm{c}}$ be the classical solution to the Klein-Gordon equation in the presence of the source,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\square-m^{2}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\right) \phi_{\mathrm{c}}=-J \tag{1.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution is easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{c}}(x)=-\int \mathrm{d} y \Delta(x-y) J(y) \tag{1.214}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta(x-y)$ is the Feynman propagator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x-y)=\frac{-1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{d} k \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k(x-y)}}{k^{2}+m^{2}-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon} \tag{1.215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $d$ denotes the spacetime dimension. Note that $\Delta(x-y)$ satisfies

$$
\left(\square-m^{2}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\right) \Delta(x-y)=\delta^{d}(x-y)
$$

It is easy to show that (exercise) the functional $Z_{0}[J]$ is now written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}[J]=Z_{0}[0] \exp \left[-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y J(x) \Delta(x-y) J(y)\right] \tag{1.216}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{18}$ Alternatively, we can introduce the imaginary time $\tau=\mathrm{i} x^{0}$ to Wick rotate the time axis.

It is instructive to note that the propagator is conversely obtained by the functional derivative of $Z_{0}[J]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x-y)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{i}}{Z_{0}[0]} \frac{\delta^{2} Z_{0}[J]}{\delta J(x) \delta J(y)}\right|_{J=0} \tag{1.217}
\end{equation*}
$$

The amplitude $Z_{0}[0]$ is the vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude in the absence of the source and may be evaluated as follows. Let us introduce the imaginary time $x^{4}=\tau=\mathrm{i} x^{0}$. Then, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{0}[0] & =\int \overline{\mathcal{D}} \phi \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} x \phi\left(\bar{\square}-m^{2}\right) \phi\right] \\
& =\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\bar{\square}-m^{2}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}, \tag{1.218}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\bar{\square}=\partial_{\tau}^{2}+\nabla^{2}$ and the deteminant is understood in the sense of section 1.4, namely it is the product of eigenvalues with a relevant boundary condition.

A free complex scalar field theory has a Lagrangian density

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0}=-\partial_{\mu} \phi^{*} \partial^{\mu} \phi-m^{2}|\phi|^{2}+J \phi^{*}+J^{*} \phi \tag{1.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the source terms have been included. The generating functional is now given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{0}\left[J, J^{*}\right] & =\int \mathcal{D} \phi \mathcal{D} \phi^{*} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left(\mathcal{L}_{0}-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon|\phi|^{2}\right)\right] \\
& =\int \mathcal{D} \phi \mathcal{D} \phi^{*} \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left\{\phi^{*}\left(\square-m^{2}+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\right) \phi+J^{*} \phi+J \phi^{*}\right\}\right] \tag{1.220}
\end{align*}
$$

The propagator is now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(x-y)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{i}}{Z_{0}[0,0]} \frac{\delta^{2} Z_{0}\left[J, J^{*}\right]}{\delta J^{*}(x) \delta J(y)}\right|_{J=J^{*}=0} \tag{1.221}
\end{equation*}
$$

By substituting the Klein-Gordon equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\square-m^{2}\right) \phi_{\mathrm{c}}=-J \quad\left(\square-m^{2}\right) \phi_{\mathrm{c}}^{*}=-J^{*} \tag{1.222}
\end{equation*}
$$

we separate the generating functional as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}\left[J, J^{*}\right]=Z_{0}[0,0] \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y J^{*}(x) \Delta(x-y) J(y)\right] \tag{1.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{0}[0,0] & =\int \mathcal{D} \phi \mathcal{D} \phi^{*} \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x \phi^{*}\left(\square-m^{2}-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon\right) \phi\right] \\
& =\left[\operatorname{Det}\left(\bar{\square}-m^{2}\right)\right]^{-1} \tag{1.224}
\end{align*}
$$

Wick rotation has been made to occur at the last line.

### 1.6.2 Interacting scalar field

It is possible to add interaction terms to the free field Lagrangian (1.209),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}\left(\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi\right)=\mathcal{L}_{0}\left(\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi\right)-V(\phi) \tag{1.225}
\end{equation*}
$$

The possible form of $V(\phi)$ is restricted by the symmetry and renormalizability of the theory. A typical form of $V$ is a polynomial

$$
V(\phi)=\frac{g}{n!} \phi^{n} \quad(n \geq 3, n \in \mathbb{N})
$$

where the constant $g \in \mathbb{R}$ controls the strength of the interaction. The generating functional is defined similarly to the free theory as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[J]=\int \mathcal{D} \phi \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left\{\frac{1}{2} \phi\left(\square-m^{2}\right) \phi-V(\phi)+J \phi\right\}\right] \tag{1.226}
\end{equation*}
$$

The presence of $V(\phi)$ makes things slightly more complicated. It can be handled at least perturbatively as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z[J]= & \int \mathcal{D} \phi \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x V(\phi)\right] \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left\{\mathcal{L}_{0}+J \phi\right\}\right] \\
= & \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x V\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)}\right)\right] \int \mathcal{D} \phi \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left\{\mathcal{L}_{0}+J \phi\right\}\right] \\
= & \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x V\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta J(x)}\right)\right] Z_{0}[J] \\
= & \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int \mathrm{d} x_{1} \ldots \int \mathrm{~d} x_{k} \frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{k}}{k!} \\
& \times V\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta J\left(x_{1}\right)}\right) \ldots V\left(\frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\delta}{\delta J\left(x_{k}\right)}\right) Z_{0}[J] \tag{1.227}
\end{align*}
$$

The generating functional $Z[J]$ generates the vacuum expectation value of the $T$-product of field operators, also known as the Green function $G_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, as

$$
\begin{align*}
G_{n}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right) & \equiv\langle 0| T\left[\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \phi\left(x_{n}\right)\right]|0\rangle \\
& =\left.\frac{(-\mathrm{i})^{n} \delta^{n}}{\delta J\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \delta J\left(x_{n}\right)} Z[J]\right|_{J=0} \tag{1.228}
\end{align*}
$$

Since this is the $n$th functional derivative of $Z[J]$ around $J=0$, we obtain the functional Taylor expansion of $Z[J]$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z[J] & =\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!}\left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \int \mathrm{~d} x_{i} J\left(x_{i}\right)\right]\langle 0| T\left[\phi\left(x_{1}\right) \ldots \phi\left(x_{n}\right)\right]|0\rangle \\
& =\langle 0| T \mathrm{e}^{\int \mathrm{d} x J(x) \phi(x)}|0\rangle . \tag{1.229}
\end{align*}
$$

The connected $n$-point functions are generated by $W[J]$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z[J]=\mathrm{e}^{-W[J]} \tag{1.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

The effective action $\Gamma\left[\phi_{\mathrm{cl}}\right]$ is defined by the Legendre transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left[\phi_{\mathrm{cl}}\right] \equiv W[J]-\int \mathrm{d} \tau \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} J \phi_{\mathrm{cl}} \tag{1.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{cl}} \equiv\langle\phi\rangle^{J}=\frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J} \tag{1.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional $\Gamma\left[\phi_{\mathrm{cl}}\right]$ generates one-particle irreducible diagrams.

### 1.7 Quantization of a Dirac field

The Lagrangian of the free Dirac field $\psi$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0}=\bar{\psi}(\mathrm{i} \not \partial-m) \psi \tag{1.233}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\not \partial=\gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$. In general $A \not \equiv \gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu}$. Variation with respect to $\bar{\psi}$ yields the Dirac equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{i} \not \partial-m) \psi=0 . \tag{1.234}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Dirac field, in canonical quantization, satisifes the anti-commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\bar{\psi}\left(x^{0}, \boldsymbol{x}\right), \psi\left(x^{0}, \boldsymbol{y}\right)\right\}=\delta(\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}) \tag{1.235}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, it is expressed as a Grassmann number function in path integrals. The generating functional is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}[\bar{\eta}, \eta]=\int \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \mathcal{D} \psi \exp \left[\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x(\bar{\psi}(\mathrm{i} \not \partial-m) \psi+\bar{\psi} \eta+\bar{\eta} \psi)\right] \tag{1.236}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\eta, \bar{\eta}$ are Grassmannian sources.
The propagator is given by the functional derivative with respect to the sources,

$$
\begin{align*}
S(x-y) & =-\frac{\delta^{2} Z_{0}[\bar{\eta}, \eta]}{\delta \bar{\eta}(x) \delta \eta(y)} \\
& =\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{d}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{d} k \frac{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} k x}}{\not k-m-\mathrm{i} \varepsilon}=(\mathrm{i} \not \partial+m+\mathrm{i} \varepsilon) \Delta(x-y) \tag{1.237}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta(x-y)$ is the scalar field propagator.
By making use of the Dirac equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{i} \not \partial-m) \psi=-\eta \quad \bar{\psi}(\mathrm{i} \overleftarrow{\not \partial}+m)=\bar{\eta} \tag{1.238}
\end{equation*}
$$

the generating functional is cast into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}[\bar{\eta}, \eta]=Z_{0}[0,0] \exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \bar{\eta}(x) S(x-y) \eta(y)\right] \tag{1.239}
\end{equation*}
$$

After Wick rotation $\tau=\mathrm{i} x^{0}$, the normalization factor is obtained as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{0}[0,0]=\operatorname{Det}(i \not \partial-m)=\prod_{i} \lambda_{i} \tag{1.240}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda_{i}$ is the $i$ th eigenvalue of the Dirac operator $\mathrm{i} \not \partial-m$.

### 1.8 Gauge theories

At present, physically sensible theories of fundamental interactions are based on gauge theories. The gauge principle-physics should not depend on how we describe it-is in harmony with the principle of general relativity. Here we give a brief summary of classical aspects of gauge theories. For further references, the reader should consult those books listed at the beginning of this chapter.

### 1.8.1 Abelian gauge theories

The reader should be familiar with Maxwell's equations:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B}=0  \tag{1.241a}\\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}+\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{E}=0  \tag{1.241b}\\
& \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{E}=\rho  \tag{1.241c}\\
& \frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{E}=-\boldsymbol{j} \tag{1.241d}
\end{align*}
$$

The magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$ and the electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$ are expressed in terms of the vector potential $A_{\mu}=(\phi, \boldsymbol{A})$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{B}=\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A} \quad \boldsymbol{E}=\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{A}}{\partial t}-\operatorname{grad} \phi \tag{1.242}
\end{equation*}
$$

Maxwell's equations are invariant under the gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \chi \tag{1.243}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\chi$ is a scalar function. This invariance is manifest if we define the electromagnetic field tensor $F_{\mu \nu}$ by

$$
F_{\mu \nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & -E_{x} & -E_{y} & -E_{z}  \tag{1.244}\\
E_{x} & 0 & B_{z} & -B_{y} \\
E_{y} & -B_{z} & 0 & B_{z} \\
E_{z} & B_{y} & -B_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

From the construction, $F$ is invariant under (1.243). The Lagrangian of the electromagnetic fields is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{EM}}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+A_{\mu} j^{\mu} \tag{1.245}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $j^{\mu}=(\rho, \boldsymbol{j})$.
Exercise 1.11. Show that (1.241a) and (1.241b) are written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\xi} F_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\mu} F_{\nu \xi}+\partial_{\nu} F_{\xi \mu}=0 \tag{1.246a}
\end{equation*}
$$

while (1.241c) and (1.241d) are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\nu} F^{\mu \nu}=j^{\mu} \tag{1.246b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the raising and lowering of spacetime indices are carried out with the Minkowski metric $\eta=\operatorname{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. Verify that (1.246b) is the EulerLagrange equation derived from (1.245).

Let $\psi$ be a Dirac field with electric charge $e$. The free Dirac Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{0}=\bar{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+m\right) \psi \tag{1.247}
\end{equation*}
$$

is clearly invariant under the global gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} e \alpha} \psi \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} e \alpha} \tag{1.248}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ is a constant. We elevate this symmetry to invariance under the local gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \psi \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \tag{1.249}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian transforms under (1.249) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+m\right) \psi \rightarrow \bar{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+e \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \alpha+m\right) \psi \tag{1.250}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the extra term $e \partial_{\mu} \alpha$ looks like a gauge transformation of the vector potential, we couple the gauge field $A_{\mu}$ with $\psi$ so that the Lagrangian has a local gauge symmetry. We find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\bar{\psi}\left[\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}-\mathrm{i} e A_{\mu}\right)+m\right] \psi \tag{1.251}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invariant under the combined gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \psi \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^{\prime}=\bar{\psi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \\
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}^{\prime}=A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} \alpha(x) \tag{1.252}
\end{gather*}
$$

Let us introduce the covariant derivatives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}-\mathrm{i} e A_{\mu} \quad \nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \equiv \partial_{\mu}-\mathrm{i} e A_{\mu}^{\prime} \tag{1.253}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that $\nabla_{\mu} \psi$ transforms in a nice way,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \nabla_{\mu} \psi \tag{1.254}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total quantum electrodynamic (QED) Lagrangian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{QED}}=-\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}+\bar{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}+m\right) \psi \tag{1.255}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 1.12. Let $\phi=\left(\phi_{1}+\mathrm{i} \phi_{2}\right) / \sqrt{2}$ be a complex scalar field with electric charge $e$. Show that the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\eta^{\mu \nu}\left(\nabla_{\mu} \phi\right)^{\dagger}\left(\nabla_{\nu} \phi\right)+m^{2} \phi^{\dagger} \phi \tag{1.256}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invariant under the gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \phi \quad \phi^{\dagger} \rightarrow \phi^{\dagger} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} e \alpha(x)} \quad A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} \alpha(x) \tag{1.257}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.8.2 Non-Abelian gauge theories

The gauge transformation just described is a member of a $U(1)$ group, that is a complex number of modulus 1 , which happens to be an Abelian group. A few decades ago, Yang and Mills (1954) introduced non-Abelian gauge transformations. At that time, non-Abelian gauge theories were studied from curiosity. Nowadays, they play a central role in elementary particle physics.

Let $G$ be a compact semi-simple Lie group such as $\mathrm{SO}(N)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(N)$. The anti-Hermitian generators $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ satisfy the commutation relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right]=f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} T_{\gamma} \tag{1.258}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the numbers $f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma}$ are called the structure constants of $G$. An element $U$ of $G$ near the unit element can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=\exp \left(-\theta^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}\right) \tag{1.259}
\end{equation*}
$$

We suppose a Dirac field $\psi$ transforms under $U \in G$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow U \psi \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} U^{\dagger} \tag{1.260}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remark: Strictly speaking, we have to specify the representation of $G$ to which $\psi$ belongs. If readers feel uneasy about (1.260), they may consider $\psi$ is in the fundamental representation, for example.]

Consider the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\bar{\psi}\left[\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+g \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)+m\right] \psi \tag{1.261}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Yang-Mills gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ takes its values in the Lie algebra of $G$, that is, $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ can be expanded in terms of $T_{\alpha}$ as $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}=A_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$. (Script fields are antiHermitian.) The constant $g$ is the coupling constant which controls the strength of the coupling between the Dirac field and the gauge field. It is easily verified that $\mathcal{L}$ is invariant under

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi \rightarrow \psi^{\prime}=U \psi \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^{\prime}=\bar{\psi} U^{\dagger} \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\prime}=U \mathcal{A}_{\mu} U^{\dagger}+g^{-1} U \partial_{\mu} U^{\dagger} . \tag{1.262}
\end{align*}
$$

The covariant derivative is defined by $\nabla_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu}+g \mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ as before. The covariant derivative $\nabla_{\mu} \psi$ transforms covariantly under the gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu}^{\prime} \psi^{\prime}=U \nabla_{\mu} \psi \tag{1.263}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Yang-Mills field tensor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}+g\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right] \tag{1.264}
\end{equation*}
$$

The component $F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}{ }^{\alpha}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha}+g f_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} A_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} A_{\nu}{ }^{\gamma} \tag{1.265}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define the dual field tensor $* \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \varepsilon_{\mu \nu \kappa \lambda} \mathcal{F}^{\kappa \lambda}$, it satisfies the Bianchi identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}+g\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, * \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}\right]=0 \tag{1.266}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 1.13. Show that $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}$ transforms under (1.262) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow U \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} U^{\dagger} \tag{1.267}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this exercise, we find a gauge-invariant action

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}}=-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right) \tag{1.268a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the trace is over the group matrix. The component form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\mathrm{YM}}=-\frac{1}{2} F^{\mu \nu \alpha} F_{\mu \nu}^{\beta} \operatorname{tr}\left(T_{\alpha} T_{\beta}\right)=\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu \nu \alpha} F_{\mu \nu \alpha} \tag{1.268b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have normalized $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ so that $\operatorname{tr}\left(T_{\alpha} T_{\beta}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\alpha \beta}$. The field equation derived from (1.268) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}+g\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right]=0 \tag{1.269}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 1.8.3 Higgs fields

If the gauge symmetry is manifest in our world, there would be many observable massless vector fields. The absence of such fields, except for the electromagnetic field, forces us to break the gauge symmetry. The theory is left renormalizable if the symmetry is broken spontaneously.

Let us consider a $U(1)$ gauge field coupled to a complex scalar field $\phi$, whose Lagrangian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}+\left(\nabla_{\mu} \phi\right)^{\dagger}\left(\nabla_{\mu} \phi\right)-\lambda\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi-v^{2}\right)^{2} \tag{1.270}
\end{equation*}
$$

The potential $V(\phi)=\lambda\left(\phi^{\dagger} \phi-v^{2}\right)^{2}$ has minima $V=0$ at $|\phi|=v$. The Lagrangian (1.270) is invariant under the local gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}-\partial_{\mu} \alpha \quad \phi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} e \alpha} \phi \quad \phi^{\dagger} \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} e \alpha} \phi^{\dagger} \tag{1.271}
\end{equation*}
$$

This symmetry is spontaneously broken due to the vacuum expectation value (VEV) $\langle\phi\rangle$ of the Higgs field $\phi$. We expand $\phi$ as

$$
\phi=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[v+\rho(x)] \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha(x) / v} \sim \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}[v+\rho(x)+\mathrm{i} \alpha(x)]
$$

assuming $v \neq 0$. If $v \neq 0$, we may take the unitary gauge in which the phase of $\phi$ is 'gauged away' so that $\phi$ has only the real part,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(x)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}(v+\rho(x)) \tag{1.272}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we substitute (1.272) into (1.270) and expand in $\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}= & -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\mu} \rho \partial^{\mu} \rho+\frac{1}{2} e^{2} A_{\mu} A^{\mu}\left(v^{2}+2 v \rho+\rho^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{4} \lambda\left(4 v^{2} \rho^{2}+4 v \rho^{3}+\rho^{4}\right) \tag{1.273}
\end{align*}
$$

The equations of motion for $A_{\mu}$ and $\rho$ derived from the free parts are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\nu} F_{\nu \mu}+2 e^{2} v^{2} A_{\mu}=0 \quad \partial_{\mu} \partial^{\mu} \rho+2 \lambda v^{2} \rho=0 \tag{1.274}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the first equation, we find $A_{\mu}$ must satisfy the Lorentz condition $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}=0$. The apparent degrees of freedom of $(1.270)$ are $2($ photon $)+2($ complex scalar $)=$ 4. If VEV $\neq 0$, we have 3 (massive vector) +1 (real scalar) $=4$. The field $A_{0}$ has a mass term with the wrong sign and so cannot be a physical degree of freedom. The creation of massive fields out of a gauge field is called the Higgs mechanism..

### 1.9 Magnetic monopoles

Maxwell's equations unify electricity and magnetism. In the history of physics they should be recognized as the first attempt to unify forces in Nature. In spite of their great success, Dirac (1931) noticed that there existed an asymmetry in Maxwell's equations: the equation $\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B}=0$ denies the existence of magnetic charges. He introduced the magnetic monopole, a point magnetic charge, to make the theory symmetric.

### 1.9.1 Dirac monopole

Consider a monopole of strength $g$ sitting at $\boldsymbol{r}=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{B}=4 \pi g \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{1.275}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from $\Delta(1 / r)=-4 \pi \delta^{3}(\boldsymbol{r})$ and $\nabla(1 / r)=-\boldsymbol{r} / r^{3}$ that the solution of this equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{B}=g \boldsymbol{r} / r^{3} \tag{1.276}
\end{equation*}
$$

The magnetic flux $\Phi$ is obtained by integrating $\boldsymbol{B}$ over a sphere $S$ of radius $R$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\oint_{S} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}=4 \pi g \tag{1.277}
\end{equation*}
$$

What about the vector potential which gives the monopole field (1.276)? If we define the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{x}=\frac{-g y}{r(r+z)} \quad A^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{y}=\frac{g x}{r(r+z)} \quad A^{\mathrm{N}}{ }_{z}=0 \tag{1.278a}
\end{equation*}
$$

we easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}=g \boldsymbol{r} / r^{3}+4 \pi g \delta(x) \delta(y) \theta(-z) \tag{1.279}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have curl $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}=\boldsymbol{B}$ except along the negative $z$-axis $(\theta=\pi)$. The singularity along the $z$-axis is called the Dirac string and reflects the poor choice of the coordinate system. If, instead, we define another vector potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\mathrm{S}}{ }_{x}=\frac{g y}{r(r-z)} \quad A^{\mathrm{S}}{ }_{y}=\frac{-g x}{r(r-z)} \quad A^{\mathrm{S}}{ }_{z}=0 \tag{1.278b}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have curl $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}=\boldsymbol{B}$ except along the positive $z$-axis $(\theta=0)$ this time. The existence of a singularity is a natural consequence of (1.277). If there were a vector $\boldsymbol{A}$ such that $\boldsymbol{B}=$ curl $\boldsymbol{A}$ with no singularity, we would have, from Gauss' law,

$$
\Phi=\oint_{S} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}=\oint_{S} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}=\int_{V} \operatorname{div}(\operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A}) \mathrm{d} V=0
$$

where $V$ is the volume inside the surface $S$. This problem is avoided only when we abandon the use of a single vector potential.

Exercise 1.14. Let us introduce the polar coordinates $(r, \theta, \phi)$. Show that the vector potentials $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}$ are expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =\frac{g(1-\cos \theta)}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\phi}  \tag{1.280a}\\
\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}(\boldsymbol{r}) & =-\frac{g(1+\cos \theta)}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\phi} \tag{1.280b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\phi}=-\sin \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{x}+\cos \phi \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{y}$.

### 1.9.2 The Wu-Yang monopole

Wu and Yang (1975) noticed that the geometrical and topological structures behind the Dirac monopole are best described by fibre bundles. In chapters 9 and 10 , we give an account of the Dirac monopole in terms of fibre bundles and their connections. Here we outline the idea of Wu and Yang without introducing the fibre bundle. Wu and Yang noted that we may employ more than one vector potential to describe a monopole. For example, we may avoid singularities if we adopt $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}$ in the northern hemisphere and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}$ in the southern hemisphere of the sphere $S$ surrounding the monopole. These vector potentials yield the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}=g \boldsymbol{r} / r^{3}$, which is non-singular everywhere on the sphere. On the equator of the sphere, which is the boundary between the northern and southern hemispheres, $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}$ are related by the gauge transformation, $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}-\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}=\operatorname{grad} \Lambda$. To compute this quantity $\Lambda$, we employ the result of exercise 1.14,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}-\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{2 g}{r \sin \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\phi}=\operatorname{grad}(2 g \phi) \tag{1.281}
\end{equation*}
$$

where use has been made of the expression

$$
\operatorname{grad} f=\frac{\partial f}{\partial r} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{r}+\frac{1}{r} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \theta} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\theta}+\frac{1}{r \sin \theta} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \phi} \hat{\boldsymbol{e}}_{\phi} .
$$

Accordingly, the gauge transformation function connecting $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda=2 g \phi \tag{1.282}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Lambda$ is ill defined at $\theta=0$ and $\theta=\pi$. Since we perform the gauge transformation only at $\theta=\pi / 2$, these singularities do not show up in our analysis. The total flux is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi=\oint_{S} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}=\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}}} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}+\int_{U_{\mathrm{S}}} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S} \tag{1.283}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $U_{\mathrm{S}}$ stand for the northern and southern hemispheres respectively. Stokes' theorem yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi & =\oint_{\text {equator }} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{s}-\oint_{\text {equator }} \boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{s}=\oint_{\text {equator }}\left(\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}-\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s} \\
& =\oint_{\text {equator }} \operatorname{grad}(2 g \phi) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{s}=4 g \pi \tag{1.284}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with (1.277).

### 1.9.3 Charge quantization

Consider a point particle with electric charge $e$ and mass $m$ moving in the field of a magnetic monopole of charge $g$. If the monopole is heavy enough, the

Schrödinger equation of the particle takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\boldsymbol{p}-\frac{e}{c} \boldsymbol{A}\right)^{2} \psi(\boldsymbol{r})=E \psi(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{1.285}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to show that under the gauge transformation $\boldsymbol{A} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A}+\operatorname{grad} \Lambda$, the wavefunction changes as $\psi \rightarrow \exp (\mathrm{i} e \Lambda / \hbar c) \psi$. In the present case, $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}$ differ only by the gauge transformation $\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{N}}-\boldsymbol{A}^{\mathrm{S}}=\operatorname{grad}(2 g \phi)$. If $\psi^{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\psi^{\mathrm{S}}$ are wavefunctions defined on $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $U_{\mathrm{S}}$ respectively, they are related by the phase change

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\mathrm{S}}(\boldsymbol{r})=\exp \left(\frac{-\mathrm{i} e \Lambda}{\hbar c}\right) \psi^{\mathrm{N}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{1.286}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take $\theta=\pi / 2$ and study the behaviour of wavefunctions as we go round the equator of the sphere from $\phi=0$ to $\phi=2 \pi$. The wavefunction is required to be single valued, hence (1.286) forces us to take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{2 e g}{\hbar c}=n \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.287}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the celebrated Dirac quantization condition for the magnetic charge; if the magnetic monopole exists, the magnetic charge takes discrete values,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{\hbar c n}{2 e} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{1.288}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the same token, if there exists a magnetic monopole somewhere in the universe, all the electric charges are quantized.

### 1.10 Instantons

The vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude in the Euclidean theory is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z \equiv\langle 0 \mid 0\rangle \propto \int \mathcal{D} \phi \mathrm{e}^{-S\left[\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi\right]} \tag{1.289}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is the Euclidean action. Equation (1.289) shows that the principal contribution to $Z$ comes from the values of $\phi(x)$ which give the local minima of $S\left[\phi, \partial_{\mu} \phi\right]$. In many theories there exist a number of local minima in addition to the absolute minimum. In the case of non-Abelian gauge theories these minima are called instantons.

### 1.10.1 Introduction

Let us consider the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge theory defined in the four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \mathcal{L}(x)=\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x\left[-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}\right] \tag{1.290}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the field strength is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}+g\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right] \tag{1.291}
\end{equation*}
$$

with

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \equiv A_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}}{2 \mathrm{i}} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \equiv F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha} \frac{\sigma_{\alpha}}{2 \mathrm{i}}
$$

The field equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}+g\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right]=0 \tag{1.292}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the path integral only those field configurations with finite action contribute. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \rightarrow \mathrm{i} U(x)^{-1} \partial_{\mu} U(x) \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow \infty \tag{1.293}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(x)$ is an element of $\operatorname{SU}(2)$. We easily find that $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}$ vanishes for the $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ of (1.293). We require that on sphere $S^{3}$ of large radius, the gauge potential be given by (1.293).

Later we show that this configuration is characterized by the way in which $S^{3}$ is mapped to the gauge group $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. Non-trivial configurations are those that cannot be deformed continuously to a uniform configuration. They were proposed by Belavin et al (1975) and are called instantons.

### 1.10.2 The (anti-)self-dual solution

In general, solving a second-order differential equation is more difficult than solving a first-order one. It is nice if a second-order differential equation can be replaced by a first-order one which is equivalent to the original problem. Let us consider the inequality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \pm * \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right)^{2} \geq 0 \tag{1.294}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly (1.294) is saturated if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}= \pm * \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} . \tag{1.295}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the positive sign is chosen, $\mathcal{F}$ is said to be self-dual while the negative sign gives an anti-self-dual solution. If (1.295) is satisfied, the field equation is automatically satisfied since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}= \pm \mathcal{D}_{\mu} * \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=0 \quad \text { (Bianchi identity) } \tag{1.296}
\end{equation*}
$$

As we will show in section 10.5 , the integral

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \equiv \frac{-1}{16 \pi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu} \tag{1.297}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an integer characterizing the way $S^{3}$ is mapped to $\operatorname{SU}(2)$. If $\mathcal{F}$ is self-dual then $Q$ is positive, and if $\mathcal{F}$ is anti-self-dual then $Q$ is negative. From (1.294), we find (note that $* \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}=\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}$ ) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d}^{4} x\left(2 \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu} \pm 2 * \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} * \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}\right) \geq 0 \tag{1.298}
\end{equation*}
$$

From this inequality and the definition of the action, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \geq 8 \pi^{2}|Q| \tag{1.299}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the inequality is saturated for (1.295). Let us concentrate on the self-dual solution $\mathcal{F}=* \mathcal{F}$. We look for an instanton solution of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu}=\mathrm{i} f(r) U(x)^{-1} \partial_{\mu} U(x) \tag{1.300}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r \equiv|x|$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
& f(r) \rightarrow 1 \quad \text { as } r \rightarrow \infty  \tag{1.301a}\\
& U(x)=\frac{1}{r}\left(x_{4}-\mathrm{i} x_{i} \sigma_{i}\right) \tag{1.301b}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (1.300) into (1.295), we find that $f$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
r \frac{\mathrm{~d} f(r)}{\mathrm{d} r}=2 f(1-f) \tag{1.302}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution that satisfies the boundary condition (1.301a) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(r)=\frac{r^{2}}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}} \tag{1.303}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\lambda$ is a parameter that specifies the size of the instanton. Substituting this into (1.300) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x)=\frac{\mathrm{i} r^{2}}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}} U(x)^{-1} \partial_{\mu} U(x) \tag{1.304}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the corresponding field strength

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}(x)=\frac{4 \lambda^{2}}{r^{2}+\lambda^{2}} \sigma_{\mu \nu} \tag{1.305}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{i j} \equiv \frac{1}{4 \mathrm{i}}\left[\sigma_{i}, \sigma_{j}\right] \quad \sigma_{i 0} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \sigma_{i}=-\sigma_{0 i} \tag{1.306}
\end{equation*}
$$

This solution gives $Q=+1$ and $S=8 \pi^{2}$.

## Problems

1.1 Consider a Hamiltonian of the form

$$
H=\int \mathrm{d}^{n} x\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial \phi}{\partial t}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{2}(\nabla \phi)^{2}+V(\phi)\right]
$$

where $V(\phi)(\geq 0)$ is a potential. If $\phi$ is a time-independent classical solution, we may drop the first term and write $H[\phi]=H_{1}[\phi]+H_{2}[\phi]$, where

$$
H_{1}[\phi] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{n} x(\nabla \phi)^{2} \quad H_{2}[\phi] \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^{n} x V(\phi)
$$

(1) Consider a scale transformation $\phi(x) \rightarrow \phi(\lambda x)$. Show that $H_{i}[\phi]$ transforms as

$$
H_{1}[\phi] \rightarrow H_{1}^{\lambda}[\phi]=\lambda^{(n-2)} H_{1}[\phi] \quad H_{2}[\phi] \rightarrow H_{2}^{\lambda}[\phi]=\lambda^{-n} H_{2}[\phi] .
$$

(2) Suppose $\phi$ satisfies the field equation. Show that

$$
(2-n) H_{1}[\phi]-n H_{2}[\phi]=0 .
$$

[Hint: Take the $\lambda$-derivative of $H_{1}^{\lambda}[\phi]+H_{2}^{\lambda}[\phi]$ and put $\lambda=1$.]
(3) Show that time-independent topological excitations of $H[\phi]$ exist if and only if $n=1$ (Derrick's theorem). Consider ways out of this restriction.

## MATHEMATICAL PRELIMINARIES

In the present chapter we introduce elementary concepts in the theory of maps, vector spaces and topology. A modest knowledge of undergraduate mathematics, such as set theory, calculus, complex analysis and linear algebra is assumed.

The main purpose of this book is to study the application of the theory of manifolds to the problems in physics. Vector spaces and topology are, in a sense, two extreme viewpoints of manifolds. A manifold is a space which locally looks like $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) but not necessarily globally. As a first approximation, we may model a small part of a manifold by a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ ) (a small area around a point on a surface can be approximated by the tangent plane at that point); this is the viewpoint of a vector space. In topology, however, we study the manifold as a whole. We want to study the properties of manifolds and classify manifolds using some sort of 'measures'. Topology usually comes with an adjective: algebraic topology, differential topology, combinatorial topology, general topology and so on. These adjectives refer to the measure we use when classifying manifolds.

### 2.1 Maps

### 2.1.1 Definitions

Let $X$ and $Y$ be sets. A map (or mapping) $f$ is a rule by which we assign $y \in Y$ for each $x \in X$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: X \rightarrow Y \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is defined by some explicit formula, we may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
f: x \mapsto f(x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

There may be more than two elements in $X$ that correspond to the same $y \in Y$. A subset of $X$ whose elements are mapped to $y \in Y$ under $f$ is called the inverse image of $y$, denoted by $f^{-1}(y)=\{x \in X \mid f(x)=y\}$. The set $X$ is called the domain of the map while $Y$ is called the range of the map. The image of the map is $f(X)=\{y \in Y \mid y=f(x)$ for some $x \in X\} \subset Y$. The image $f(X)$ is also denoted by $\operatorname{im} f$. The reader should note that a map cannot be defined without specifying the domain and the range. Take $f(x)=\exp x$, for example. If both the domain and the range are $\mathbb{R}, f(x)=-1$ has no inverse
image. If. however, the domain and the range are the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$, we find $f^{-1}(-1)=\{(2 n+1) \pi \mathrm{i} \mid n \in Z\}$. The domain $X$ and the range $Y$ are as important as $f$ itself in specifying a map.

Example 2.1. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be given by $f(x)=\sin x$. We also write $f: x \mapsto \sin x$. The domain and the range are $\mathbb{R}$ and the image $f(\mathbb{R})$ is $[-1,1]$. The inverse image of 0 is $f^{-1}(0)=\{n \pi \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. Let us take the same function $f(x)=\sin x=\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} x}-\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} x}\right) / 2 \mathrm{i}$ but $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ this time. The image $f(C)$ is the whole complex plane $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 2.1. If a map satisfies a certain condition it bears a special name.
(a) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called injective (or one to one) if $x \neq x^{\prime}$ implies $f(x) \neq f\left(x^{\prime}\right)$.
(b) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called surjective (or onto) if for each $y \in Y$ there exists at least one element $x \in X$ such that $f(x)=y$.
(c) A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called bijective if it is both injective and surjective.

Example 2.2. A map $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f: x \mapsto a x(a \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\})$ is bijective. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f: x \mapsto x^{2}$ is neither injective nor surjective. $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by $f: x \mapsto \exp x$ is injective but not surjective.

Exercise 2.1. A map $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $f: x \mapsto \sin x$ is neither injective nor surjective. Restrict the domain and the range to make $f$ bijective.

Example 2.3. Let $M$ be an element of the general linear group $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ whose matrix representation is given by $n \times n$ matrices with non-vanishing determinant. Then $M: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}, x \mapsto M x$ is bijective. If $\operatorname{det} M=0$, it is neither injective nor surjective.

A constant map $c: X \rightarrow Y$ is defined by $c(x)=y_{0}$ where $y_{0}$ is a fixed element in $Y$ and $x$ is an arbitrary element in $X$. Given a map $f: X \rightarrow Y$, we may think of its restriction to $A \subset X$, which is denoted as $\left.f\right|_{A}: A \rightarrow Y$. Given two maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow Z$, the composite map of $f$ and $g$ is a map $g \circ f: X \rightarrow Z$ defined by $g \circ f(x)=g(f(x))$. A diagram of maps is called commutative if any composite maps between a pair of sets do not depend on how they are composed. For example, in figure 2.1, $f \circ g=h \circ j$ and $f \circ g=k$ etc.

Exercise 2.2. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by $f: x \rightarrow x^{2}$ and $g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g: x \rightarrow \exp x$. What are $g \circ f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and $f \circ g: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ?

If $A \subset X$, an inclusion map $i: A \rightarrow X$ is defined by $i(a)=a$ for any $a \in A$. An inclusion map is often written as $i: A \hookrightarrow X$. The identity map $\operatorname{id}_{X}: X \rightarrow X$ is a special case of an inclusion map, for which $A=X$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ defined by $f: x \mapsto f(x)$ is bijective, there exists an inverse map $f^{-1}: Y \rightarrow X$, such that $f^{-1}: f(x) \rightarrow x$, which is also bijective. The maps $f$


Figure 2.1. A commutative diagram of maps.
and $f^{-1}$ satisfy $f \circ f^{-1}=\operatorname{id}_{Y}$ and $f^{-1} \circ f=\mathrm{id}_{X}$. Conversely, if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ satisfy $f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{Y}$ and $g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{X}$, then $f$ and $g$ are bijections. This can be proved from the following exercise.

Exercise 2.3. Show that if $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ satisfy $g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{X}, f$ is injective and $g$ is surjective. If this is applied to $f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{Y}$ as well, we obtain the previous result.

Example 2.4. Let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow(0, \infty)$ be a bijection defined by $f: x \mapsto \exp x$. Then the inverse map $f^{-1}:(0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is $f^{-1}: x \mapsto \ln x$. Let $g:$ $(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2) \rightarrow(-1,1)$ be a bijection defined by $g: x \rightarrow \sin x$. The inverse map is $g^{-1}: x \mapsto \sin ^{-1} x$.

Exercise 2.4. The $n$-dimensional Euclidean group $E^{n}$ is made of an $n$ dimensional translation $a: x \rightarrow x+a\left(x, a \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and an $\mathrm{O}(n)$ rotation $R: x \rightarrow$ $R x, R \in \mathrm{O}(n)$. A general element $(R, a)$ of $E^{n}$ acts on $x$ by $(R, a): x \mapsto R x+a$. The product is defined by $\left(R_{2}, a_{2}\right) \times\left(R_{1}, a_{1}\right): x \mapsto R_{2}\left(R_{1} x+a_{1}\right)+a_{2}$, that is, $\left(R_{2}, a_{2}\right) \circ\left(R_{1}, a_{1}\right)=\left(R_{2} R_{1}, R_{2} a_{1}+a_{2}\right)$. Show that the maps $a, R$ and $(R, a)$ are bijections. Find their inverse maps.

Suppose certain algebraic structures (product or addition, say) are endowed with the sets $X$ and $Y$. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ preserves these algebraic structures, then $f$ is called a homomorphism. For example, let $X$ be endowed with a product. If $f$ is a homomorphism, it preserves the product, $f(a b)=f(a) f(b)$. Note that $a b$ is defined by the product rule in $X$, and $f(a) f(b)$ by that in $Y$. If a homomorphism $f$ is bijective, $f$ is called an isomorphism and $X$ is said to be isomorphic to $Y$, denoted $x \cong y$.

### 2.1.2 Equivalence relation and equivalence class

Some of the most important concepts in mathematics are equivalence relations and equivalence classes. Although these subjects are not directly related to maps, it is appropriate to define them at this point before we proceed further. A relation $R$ defined in a set $X$ is a subset of $X^{2}$. If a point $(a, b) \in X^{2}$ is in $R$, we may write $a R b$. For example, the relation $>$ is a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. If $(a, b) \in>$, then $a>b$.

Definition 2.2. An equivalence relation $\sim$ is a relation which satisfies the following requirements:
(i) $a \sim a$ (reflective).
(ii) If $a \sim b$, then $b \sim a$ (symmetric).
(iii) If $a \sim b$ and $b \sim c$, then $a \sim c$ (transitive).

Exercise 2.5. If an integer is divided by 2, the remainder is either 0 or 1 . If two integers $n$ and $m$ yield the same remainder, we write $m \sim n$. Show that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation in $\mathbb{Z}$.

Given a set $X$ and an equivalence relation $\sim$, we have a partition of $X$ into mutually disjoint subsets called equivalence classes. A class $[a]$ is made of all the elements $x$ in $X$ such that $x \sim a$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[a]=\{x \in X \mid x \sim a\} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

[a] cannot be empty since $a \sim a$. We now prove that if $[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$ then $[a]=[b]$. First note that $a \sim b$. (Since $[a] \cap[b] \neq \emptyset$ there is at least one element in $[a] \cap[b]$ that satisfies $c \sim a$ and $c \sim b$. From the transitivity, we have $a \sim b$.) Next we show that $[a] \subset[b]$. Take an arbitrary element $a^{\prime}$ in $[a]$; $a^{\prime} \sim a$. Then $a \sim b$ implies $b \sim a^{\prime}$, that is $a^{\prime} \in[b]$. Thus, we have $[a] \subset[b]$. Similarly, $[a] \supset[b]$ can be shown and it follows that $[a]=[b]$. Hence, two classes $[a]$ and $[b]$ satisfy either $[a]=[b]$ or $[a] \cap[b]=\emptyset$. In this way a set $X$ is decomposed into mutually disjoint equivalence classes. The set of all classes is called the quotient space, denoted by $X / \sim$. The element $a$ (or any element in $[a]$ ) is called the representative of a class $[a]$. In exercise 2.5 , the equivalence relation $\sim$ divides integers into two classes, even integers and odd integers. We may choose the representative of the even class to be 0 , and that of the odd class to be 1 . We write this quotient space $\mathbb{Z} / \sim$. $\mathbb{Z} / \sim$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$, the cyclic group of order 2 , whose algebra is defined by $0+0=0,0+1=1+0=1$ and $1+1=0$. If all integers are divided into equivalence classes according to the remainder of division by $n$, the quotient space is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_{n}$, the cyclic group of order $n$.

Let $X$ be a space in our usual sense. (To be more precise, we need the notion of topological space, which will be defined in section 2.3. For the time being we depend on our intuitive notion of 'space'.) Then quotient spaces may be realized as geometrical figures. For example, let $x$ and $y$ be two points in $\mathbb{R}$.
(a)

(b)


Figure 2.2. In (a) all the points $x+2 n \pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$ are in the same equivalence class $[x]$. We may take $x \in[0,2 \pi)$ as a representative of $[x]$. (b) The quotient space $\mathbb{R} / \sim$ is the circle $S^{1}$.

Introduce a relation $\sim$ by: $x \sim y$ if there exists $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that $y=x+2 \pi n$. It is easily shown that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The class $[x]$ is the set $\{\ldots, x-2 \pi, x, x+2 \pi, \ldots\}$. A number $x \in[0,2 \pi)$ serves as a representative of an equivalence class $[x]$, see figure $2.2(a)$. Note that 0 and $2 \pi$ are different points in $\mathbb{R}$ but, according to the equivalence relation, these points are looked upon as the same element in $\mathbb{R} / \sim$. We arrive at the conclusion that the quotient space $\mathbb{R} / \sim$ is the circle $S^{1}=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\right\}$; see figure 2.2(b). Note that a point $\varepsilon$ is close to a point $2 \pi-\varepsilon$ for infinitesimal $\varepsilon$. Certainly this is the case for $S^{1}$, where an angle $\varepsilon$ is close to an angle $2 \pi-\varepsilon$, but not the case for $\mathbb{R}$. The concept of closeness of points is one of the main ingredients of topology.

Example 2.5. (a) Let $X$ be a square disc $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}| | x|\geq 1,|y| \geq 1\}\right.$. If we identify the points on a pair of facing edges, $(-1, y) \sim(1, y)$, for example, we obtain the cylinder, see figure $2.3(a)$. If we identify the points $(-1,-y) \sim(1, y)$, we find the Möbius strip, see figure 2.3(b). [Remarks: If readers are not familiar with the Möbius strip, they may take a strip of paper and glue up its ends after a $\pi$-twist. Because of the twist, one side of the strip has been joined to the other side, making the surface single sided. The Möbius strip is an example of a non-orientable surface, while the cylinder has definite sides and is said to be orientable. Orientability will be discussed in terms of differential forms in section 5.5.]
(b) Let $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ be two points in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ by: $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \sim\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ if $x_{2}=x_{1}+2 \pi n_{x}$ and $y_{2}=y_{1}+2 \pi n_{y}$, $n_{x}, n_{y} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The quotient space $\mathbb{R}_{2} / \sim$ is the torus $T^{2}$ (the surface of a doughnut), see figure 2.4(a). Alternatively, $T^{2}$ is


Figure 2.3. (a) The edges $|x|=1$ are identified in the direction of the arrows to form a cylinder. (b) If the edges are identified in the opposite direction, we have a Möbius strip.


Figure 2.4. If all the points $\left(x+2 \pi n_{x}, y+2 \pi n_{y}\right), n_{x}, n_{y} \in \mathbb{Z}$ are identified as in (a), the quotient space is taken to be the shaded area whose edges are identified as in (b). This resulting quotient space is the torus $T^{2}$.
represented by a rectangle whose edges are identified as in figure $2.4(b)$.
(c) What if we identify the edges of a rectangle in other ways? Figure 2.5 gives possible identifications. The spaces obtained by these identifications are


Figure 2.5. The Klein bottle $(a)$ and the projective plane $(b)$.
called the Klein bottle, figure 2.5(a), and the projective plane, figure $2.5(b)$, neither of which can be realized (or embedded) in the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ without intersecting with itself. They are known to be non-orientable.

The projective plane, which we denote $R P^{2}$, is visualized as follows. Let us consider a unit vector $\boldsymbol{n}$ and identify $\boldsymbol{n}$ with $-\boldsymbol{n}$, see figure 2.6. This identification takes place when we describe a rod with no head or tail, for example. We are tempted to assign a point on $S^{2}$ to specify the 'vector' $\boldsymbol{n}$. This works except for one point. Two antipodal points $\boldsymbol{n}=(\theta, \phi)$ and $-\boldsymbol{n}=(\pi-\theta, \pi+\phi)$ represent the same state. Then we may take a northern hemisphere as the coset space $S^{2} / \sim$ since only a half of $S^{2}$ is required. However, the coset space is not just an ordinary hemisphere since the antipodal points on the equator are identified. By continuous deformation of this hemisphere into a square, we obtain the square in figure $2.5(b)$.
(d) Let us identify pairs of edges of the octagon shown in figure 2.7(a). The quotient space is the torus with two handles, denoted by $\Sigma_{2}$, see figure $2.7(b)$. $\Sigma_{g}$, the torus with $g$ handles, can be obtained by a similar identification, see problem 2.1. The integer $g$ is called the genus of the torus.
(e) Let $D^{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\}$ be a closed disc. Identify the points on the boundary $\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\} ;\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right) \sim\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$ if $x_{1}^{2}+y_{1}^{2}=x_{2}^{2}+y_{2}^{2}=1$. Then we obtain the sphere $S^{2}$ as the quotient space $D^{2} / \sim$, also written as $D^{2} / S^{1}$, see figure 2.8. If we take an $n$-dimensional disc $D^{n}=\left\{\left(x_{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \mid\left(x_{0}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(x^{n}\right)^{2} \leq 1\right\}$ and identify the points on the surface $S^{n-1}$, we obtain the $n$-sphere $S^{n}$, namely $D^{n} / S^{n-1}=S^{n}$.

Exercise 2.6. Let H be the upper-half complex plane $\{\tau \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} \tau \geq 0\}$. Define a


Figure 2.6. If $\boldsymbol{n}$ has no head or tail, one cannot distinguish $\boldsymbol{n}$ from $\boldsymbol{-} \boldsymbol{n}$ and they must be identified. One obtains the projective plane $R P^{2}$ by this identification $\boldsymbol{n} \sim-\boldsymbol{n}$; $R P^{2} \simeq S^{2} / \sim$. It suffices to take a hemisphere to describe the coset space. Note, however, that the antipodal points on the equator are identified.
(a)


Figure 2.7. If the edges of $(a)$ are identified a torus with two holes (genus two) is obtained.


Figure 2.8. A disc $D^{2}$ whose boundary $S^{1}$ is identified is the sphere $S^{2}$.
group

$$
\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \equiv\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{2.4}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \right\rvert\, a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}, a d-b c=1\right\}
$$

Introduce a relation $\sim$, for $\tau, \tau^{\prime} \in \mathrm{H}$, by $\tau \sim \tau^{\prime}$ if there exists a matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau^{\prime}=(a \tau+b) /(c \tau+d) \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that this is an equivalence relation. (The quotient space $\mathrm{H} / \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is shown in figure 8.3.)

Example 2.6. Let $G$ be a group and $H$ a subgroup of $G$. Let $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ by $g \sim g^{\prime}$ if there exists $h \in H$ such that $g^{\prime}=g h$. We denote the equivalence class $[g]=\{g h \mid h \in H\}$ by $g H$. The class $g H$ is called a (left) coset. $g H$ satisfies either $g H \cap g^{\prime} H=\emptyset$ or $g H=g^{\prime} H$. The quotient space is denoted by $G / H$. In general $G / H$ is not a group unless $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, that is, $g h g^{-1} \in H$ for any $g \in G$ and $h \in H$. If $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G, G / H$ is called the quotient group, whose group operation is given by $[g] *\left[g^{\prime}\right]=\left[g g^{\prime}\right]$, where $*$ is the product in $G / H$. Take $g h \in[g]$ and $g^{\prime} h^{\prime} \epsilon\left[g^{\prime}\right]$. Then there exists $h^{\prime \prime} \in H$ such that $h g^{\prime}=g^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}$ and hence $g h g^{\prime} h^{\prime}=g g^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} \epsilon\left[g g^{\prime}\right]$. The unit element of $G / H$ is the equivalence class [ $e$ ] and the inverse element of $[g]$ is $\left[g^{-1}\right]$.

Exercise 2.7. Let $G$ be a group. Two elements $a, b \in G$ are said to be conjugate to each other, denoted by $a \simeq b$, if there exists $g \in G$ such that $b=g a g^{-1}$. Show that $\simeq$ is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class $[a]=\left\{\mathrm{gag}^{-1} \mid g \in G\right\}$ is called the conjugacy class.

### 2.2 Vector spaces

### 2.2.1 Vectors and vector spaces

A vector space (or a linear space) $V$ over a field $K$ is a set in which two operations, addition and multiplication by an element of $K$ (called a scalar), are defined. (In this book we are mainly interested in $K=\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{C}$.) The elements (called vectors) of $V$ satisfy the following axioms:
(i) $\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v}=\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{u}$.
(ii) $(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})+\boldsymbol{w}=\boldsymbol{u}+(\boldsymbol{v}+\boldsymbol{w})$.
(iii) There exists a zero vector $\mathbf{0}$ such that $\boldsymbol{v}+\mathbf{0}=\boldsymbol{v}$.
(iv) For any $\boldsymbol{u}$, there exists $-\boldsymbol{u}$, such that $\boldsymbol{u}+(-\boldsymbol{u})=\mathbf{0}$.
(v) $c(\boldsymbol{u}+\boldsymbol{v})=c \boldsymbol{u}+c \boldsymbol{v}$.
(vi) $(c+d) \boldsymbol{u}=c \boldsymbol{u}+d \boldsymbol{u}$.
(vii) $(c d) \boldsymbol{u}=c(d \boldsymbol{u})$.
(viii) $1 \boldsymbol{u}=\boldsymbol{u}$.

Here $\boldsymbol{u}, \boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{w} \in V$ and $c, d \in K$ and 1 is the unit element of $K$.

Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{i}\right\}$ be a set of $k(>0)$ vectors. If the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+x_{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}+\cdots+x_{k} \boldsymbol{v}_{k}=\mathbf{0} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

has a non-trivial solution, $x_{i} \neq 0$ for some $i$, the set of vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{j}\right\}$ is called linearly dependent, while if (2.6) has only a trivial solution, $x_{i}=0$ for any $i$, $\left\{\boldsymbol{v}_{i}\right\}$ is said to be linearly independent. If at least one of the vectors is a zero vector $\mathbf{0}$, the set is always linearly dependent.

A set of linearly independent vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}$ is called a basis of $V$, if any element $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$ is written uniquely as a linear combination of $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{v}=v^{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+v^{2} \boldsymbol{e}_{2}+\cdots+v^{n} \boldsymbol{e}_{n} \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The numbers $v^{i} \in K$ are called the components of $v$ with respect to the basis $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right\}$. If there are $n$ elements in the basis, the dimension of $V$ is $n$, denoted by $\operatorname{dim} V=n$. We usually write the $n$-dimensional vector space over $K$ as $V(n, K)$ (or simply $V$ if $n$ and $K$ are understood from the context). We assume $n$ is finite.

### 2.2.2 Linear maps, images and kernels

Given two vector spaces $V$ and $W$, a map $f: V \rightarrow W$ is called a linear map if it satisfies $f\left(a_{1} \boldsymbol{v}_{1}+a_{2} \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right)=a_{1} f\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)+a_{2} f\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right)$ for any $a_{1}, a_{2} \in K$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2} \in V$. A linear map is an example of a homomorphism that preserves the vector addition and the scalar multiplication. The image of $f$ is $f(V) \subset W$ and the kernel of $f$ is $\{\boldsymbol{v} \in V \mid f(\boldsymbol{v})=\mathbf{0}\}$ and denoted by im $f$ and ker $f$ respectively. ker $f$ cannot be empty since $f(\mathbf{0})$ is always $\mathbf{0}$. If $W$ is the field $K$ itself, $f$ is called a linear function. If $f$ is an isomorphism, $V$ is said to be isomorphic to $W$ and vice versa, denoted by $V \cong W$. It then follows that $\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim} W$. In fact, all the $n$-dimensional vector spaces are isomorphic to $K^{n}$, and they are regarded as identical vector spaces. The isomorphism between the vector spaces is an element of $\mathrm{GL}(n, K)$.

Theorem 2.1. If $f: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear map, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} f)+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{im} f) \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $f$ is a linear map, it follows that $\operatorname{ker} f$ and im $f$ are vector spaces, see exercise 2.8. Let the basis of $\operatorname{ker} f$ be $\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{g}_{r}\right\}$ and that of im $f$ be $\left\{\boldsymbol{h}_{1}^{\prime}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}_{s}^{\prime}\right\}$. For each $i(1 \leq i \leq s)$, take $\boldsymbol{h}_{i} \in V$ such that $f\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{i}\right)=\boldsymbol{h}_{i}^{\prime}$ and consider the set of vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{g}_{r}, \boldsymbol{h}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}_{s}\right\}$.

Now we show that these vectors form a linearly independent basis of $V$. Take an arbitrary vector $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$. Since $f(\boldsymbol{v}) \in \operatorname{im} f$, it can be expanded as $f(\boldsymbol{v})=$ $c^{i} \boldsymbol{h}_{i}^{\prime}=c^{i} f\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{i}\right)$. From the linearity of $f$, it then follows that $f\left(\boldsymbol{v}-c^{i} \boldsymbol{h}_{i}\right)=\mathbf{0}$, that is $\boldsymbol{v}-c^{i} \boldsymbol{h}_{i} \in \operatorname{ker} f$. This shows that an arbitrary vector $\boldsymbol{v}$ is a linear combination of $\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{g}_{r}, \boldsymbol{h}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}_{s}\right\}$. Thus, $V$ is spanned by $r+s$ vectors. Next let us
assume $a^{i} \boldsymbol{g}_{i}+b^{i} \boldsymbol{h}_{i}=\mathbf{0}$. Then $\mathbf{0}=f(\mathbf{0})=f\left(a^{i} \boldsymbol{g}_{i}+b^{i} \boldsymbol{h}_{i}\right)=b^{i} f\left(\boldsymbol{h}_{i}\right)=b^{i} \boldsymbol{h}_{i}^{\prime}$, which implies that $b^{i}=0$. Then it follows from $a^{i} \boldsymbol{g}_{i}=\mathbf{0}$ that $a^{i}=0$, and the set $\left\{\boldsymbol{g}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{g}_{r}, \boldsymbol{h}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}_{s}\right\}$ is linearly independent in $V$. Finally we find $\operatorname{dim} V=r+s=\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{ker} f)+\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{im} f)$.
[Remark: The vector space spanned by $\left\{\boldsymbol{h}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{h}_{s}\right\}$ is called the orthogonal complement of $\operatorname{ker} f$ and is denoted by $(\operatorname{ker} f)^{\perp}$.]

Exercise 2.8. (1) Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Show that both $\operatorname{ker} f$ and $\operatorname{im} f$ are vector spaces.
(2) Show that a linear map $f: V \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism if and only if ker $f=\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

### 2.2.3 Dual vector space

The dual vector space has already been introduced in section 1.2 in the context of quantum mechanics. The exposition here is more mathematical and complements the materials presented there.

Let $f: V \rightarrow K$ be a linear function on a vector space $V(n, K)$ over a field $K$. Let $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}$ be a basis and take an arbitrary vector $\boldsymbol{v}=v^{1} \boldsymbol{e}_{1}+\cdots+v^{n} \boldsymbol{e}_{n}$. From the linearity of $f$, we have $f(\boldsymbol{v})=v^{1} f\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}\right)+\cdots+v^{n} f\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{n}\right)$. Thus, if we know $f\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right)$ for all $i$, we know the result of the operation of $f$ on any vector. It is remarkable that the set of linear functions is made into a vector space, namely a linear combination of two linear functions is also a linear function.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{1} f_{1}+a_{2} f_{2}\right)(\boldsymbol{v})=a_{1} f_{1}(\boldsymbol{v})+a_{2} f_{2}(\boldsymbol{v}) \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This linear space is called the dual vector space to $V(n, K)$ and is denoted by $V^{*}(n, K)$ or simply by $V^{*}$. If $\operatorname{dim} V$ is finite, $\operatorname{dim} V^{*}$ is equal to $\operatorname{dim} V$. Let us introduce a basis $\left\{e^{* i}\right\}$ of $V^{*}$. Since $e^{* i}$ is a linear function it is completely specified by giving $e^{* i}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right)$ for all $j$. Let us choose the dual basis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{* i}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right)=\delta_{j}^{i} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any linear function $f$, called a dual vector in this context, is expanded in terms of $\left\{e^{* i}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f=f_{i} e^{* i} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of $f$ on $\boldsymbol{v}$ is interpreted as an inner product between a column vector and a row vector,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(\boldsymbol{v})=f_{i} e^{* i}\left(v^{j} \boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right)=f_{i} v^{j} e^{* i}\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{j}\right)=f_{i} v^{i} . \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

We sometimes use the notation $\langle\rangle:, V^{*} \times V \rightarrow K$ to denote the inner product.
Let $V$ and $W$ be vector spaces with a linear map $f: V \rightarrow W$ and let $g: W \rightarrow K$ be a linear function on $W\left(g \in W^{*}\right)$. It is easy to see that the


Figure 2.9. The pullback of a function $g$ is a function $f^{*}(g)=g \circ f$.
composite map $g \circ f$ is a linear function on $V$. Thus, $f$ and $g$ give rise to an element $h \in V^{*}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\boldsymbol{v}) \equiv g(f(\boldsymbol{v})) \quad \boldsymbol{v} \in V \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given $g \in W^{*}$, a map $f: V \rightarrow W$ has induced a map $h \in V^{*}$. Accordingly, we have an induced map $f^{*}: W^{*} \rightarrow V^{*}$ defined by $f^{*}: g \mapsto h=f^{*}(g)$, see figure 2.9. The map $h$ is called the pullback of $g$ by $f^{*}$.

Since $\operatorname{dim} V^{*}=\operatorname{dim} V$, there exists an isomorphism between $V$ and $V^{*}$. However, this isomorphism is not canonical; we have to specify an inner product in $V$ to define an isomorphism between $V$ and $V^{*}$ and vice versa, see the next section. The equivalence of a vector space and its dual vector space will appear recurrently in due course.

Exercise 2.9. Suppose $\left\{\boldsymbol{f}_{j}\right\}$ is another basis of $V$ and $\left\{f^{* i}\right\}$ the dual basis. In terms of the old basis, $\boldsymbol{f}_{i}$ is written as $\boldsymbol{f}_{i}=A_{i}{ }^{j} \boldsymbol{e}_{j}$ where $A \in \operatorname{GL}(n, K)$. Show that the dual bases are related by $e^{* i}=f^{* j} A_{j}{ }^{i}$.

### 2.2.4 Inner product and adjoint

Let $V=V(m, K)$ be a vector space with a basis $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}$ and let $g$ be a vector space isomorphism $g: V \rightarrow V^{*}$, where $g$ is an arbitrary element of $\operatorname{GL}(m, K)$. The component representation of $g$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g: v^{j} \rightarrow g_{i j} v^{j} \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Once this isomorphism is given, we may define the inner product of two vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2} \in V$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right) \equiv\left\langle g \boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right\rangle \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us assume that the field $K$ is a real number $\mathbb{R}$. for definiteness. Then equation (2.15) has a component expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right)=v_{1}{ }^{i} g_{j i} v_{2}^{j} . \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require that the matrix $\left(g_{i j}\right)$ be positive definite so that the inner product $g(\boldsymbol{v}, \boldsymbol{v})$ has the meaning of the squared norm of $\boldsymbol{v}$. We also require that the metric be symmetric: $g_{i j}=g_{j i}$ so that $g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right)=g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)$.

Next, let $W=W(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a vector space with a basis $\left\{f_{\alpha}\right\}$ and a vector space isomorphism $G: W \rightarrow W^{*}$. Given a map $f: V \rightarrow W$, we may define the adjoint of $f$, denoted by $\tilde{f}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(\boldsymbol{w}, f \boldsymbol{v})=g(\boldsymbol{v}, \tilde{f} \boldsymbol{w}) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{v} \in V$ and $\boldsymbol{w} \in W$. It is easy to see that $\widetilde{(\tilde{f})}=f$. The component expression of equation (2.17) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{\alpha} G_{\alpha \beta} f^{\beta}{ }_{i} v^{i}=v^{i} g_{i j} \tilde{f}^{j}{ }_{\alpha} w^{\alpha} \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\beta}{ }_{i}$ and $\tilde{f}^{j}{ }_{\alpha}$ are the matrix representations of $f$ and $\tilde{f}$ respectively. If $g_{i j}=\delta_{i j}$ and $G_{\alpha \beta}=\delta_{\alpha \beta}$, the adjoint $\tilde{f}$ reduces to the transpose $f^{\mathrm{t}}$ of the matrix $f$.

Let us show that $\operatorname{dimim} f=\operatorname{dimim} \tilde{f}$. Since (2.18) holds for any $v \in V$ and $\boldsymbol{w} \in W$, we have $G_{\alpha \beta} f^{\beta}{ }_{i}=g_{i j} \widetilde{f}^{j}{ }_{\alpha}$, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{f}=g^{-1} f^{\mathrm{t}} G^{\mathrm{t}} \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the result of the following exercise, we obtain $\operatorname{rank} f=\operatorname{rank} \tilde{f}$, where the rank of a map is defined by that of the corresponding matrix (note that $g \in \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $G \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}))$. It is obvious that $\operatorname{dimim} f$ is the rank of a matrix representing the map $f$ and we conclude $\operatorname{dimim} f=\operatorname{dimim} \tilde{f}$.

Exercise 2.10. Let $V=V(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $W=W(n, \mathbb{R})$ and let $f$ be a matrix corresponding to a linear map from $V$ to $W$. Verify that $\operatorname{rank} f=\operatorname{rank} f^{\mathrm{t}}=$ $\operatorname{rank}\left(M f^{\mathrm{t}} N\right)$, where $M \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ and $N \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Exercise 2.11. Let $V$ be a vector space over $\mathbb{C}$. The inner product of two vectors $\boldsymbol{v}_{1}$ and $\boldsymbol{v}_{2}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right)=\bar{v}_{1}^{i} g_{i j} v_{2}^{j} \tag{2.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where ${ }^{-}$denotes the complex conjugate. From the positivity and symmetry of the inner product, $g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{1}, \boldsymbol{v}_{2}\right)=\overline{g\left(\boldsymbol{v}_{2}, \boldsymbol{v}_{1}\right)}$, the vector space isomorphism $g: V \rightarrow V^{*}$ is required to be a positive-definite Hermitian matrix. Let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a (complex) linear map and $G: W \rightarrow W^{*}$ be a vector space isomorphism. The adjoint of $f$ is defined by $g(\boldsymbol{v}, \tilde{f} \boldsymbol{w})=\overline{G(\boldsymbol{w}, f \boldsymbol{v})}$. Repeat the analysis to show that
(a) $\tilde{f}=g^{-1} f^{\dagger} G^{\dagger}$, where $\tilde{f}^{\dagger}$ denotes the Hermitian conjugate, and
(b) $\operatorname{dimim} f=\operatorname{dimim} \tilde{f}$.

Theorem 2.2. (Toy index theorem) Let $V$ and $W$ be finite-dimensional vector spaces over a field $K$ and let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} f-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \tilde{f}=\operatorname{dim} V-\operatorname{dim} W . \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Theorem 2.1 tells us that

$$
\operatorname{dim} V=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} f+\operatorname{dimim} f
$$

and, if applied to $\tilde{f}: W \rightarrow V$,

$$
\operatorname{dim} W=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \tilde{f}+\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{im} \tilde{f}
$$

We saw earlier that $\operatorname{dimim} f=\operatorname{dimim} \tilde{f}$, from which we obtain

$$
\operatorname{dim} V-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} f=\operatorname{dim} W-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \widetilde{f}
$$

Note that in (2.21), each term on the LHS depends on the details of the map $f$. The RHS states, however, that the difference in the two terms is independent of $f$ ! This may be regarded as a finite-dimensional analogue of the index theorems, see chapter 12 .

### 2.2.5 Tensors

A dual vector is a linear object that maps a vector to a scalar. This may be generalized to multilinear objects called tensors, which map several vectors and dual vectors to a scalar. A tensor $T$ of type $(p, q)$ is a multilinear map that maps $p$ dual vectors and $q$ vectors to $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T: \bigotimes_{\bigotimes}^{p} V^{*} \bigotimes^{q} V \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{2.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, a tensor of type $(0,1)$ maps a vector to a real number and is identified with a dual vector. Similarly, a tensor of type $(1,0)$ is a vector. If $\omega$ maps a dual vector and two vectors to a scalar, $\omega: V^{*} \times V \times V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, \omega$ is of type (1, 2).

The set of all tensors of type $(p, q)$ is called the tensor space of type $(p, q)$ and denoted by $\mathcal{T}_{q}^{p}$. The tensor product $\tau=\mu \otimes v \in \mathcal{T}_{q}^{p} \otimes \mathcal{T}_{q^{\prime}}^{p^{\prime}}$ is an element of $\mathcal{T}_{q+q^{\prime}}^{p+p^{\prime}}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tau\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{p}, \xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{p^{\prime}} ; u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}, v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q^{\prime}}\right) \\
& \quad=\mu\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{p} ; u_{1}, \ldots, u_{q}\right) v\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{p^{\prime}} ; v_{1}, \ldots, v_{q^{\prime}}\right) \tag{2.23}
\end{align*}
$$

Another operation in a tensor space is the contraction, which is a map from a tensor space of type $(p, q)$ to type $(p-1, q-1)$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\ldots, e^{* i}, \ldots ; \ldots, e_{i}, \ldots\right) \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{e^{* i}\right\}$ are the dual bases.
Exercise 2.12. Let $V$ and $W$ be vector spaces and let $f: V \rightarrow W$ be a linear map. Show that $f$ is a tensor of type $(1,1)$.

### 2.3 Topological spaces

The most general structure with which we work is a topological space. Physicists often tend to think that all the spaces they deal with are equipped with metrics. However, this is not always the case. In fact, metric spaces form a subset of manifolds and manifolds form a subset of topological spaces.

### 2.3.1 Definitions

Definition 2.3. Let $X$ be any set and $\mathcal{T}=\left\{U_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$ denote a certain collection of subsets of $X$. The pair $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is a topological space if $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies the following requirements.
(i) $\emptyset, X \in \mathcal{T}$.
(ii) If $\mathcal{T}$ is any (maybe infinite) subcollection of $I$, the family $\left\{U_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ satisfies $\cup_{j \in J} U_{j} \in \mathcal{T}$.
(iii) If $K$ is any finite subcollection of $I$, the family $\left\{U_{k} \mid k \in K\right\}$ satisfies $\cap_{k \in K} U_{k} \in \mathcal{T}$.
$X$ alone is sometimes called a topological space. The $U_{i}$ are called the open sets and $\mathcal{T}$ is said to give a topology to $X$.

Example 2.7. (a) If $X$ is a set and $\mathcal{T}$ is the collection of all the subsets of $X$, then (i)-(iii) are automatically satisfied. This topology is called the discrete topology.
(b) Let $X$ be a set and $\mathcal{T}=\{\emptyset, X\}$. Clearly $\mathcal{T}$ satisfies (i)-(iii). This topology is called the trivial topology. In general the discrete topology is too stringent while the trivial topology is too trivial to give any interesting structures on $X$.
(c) Let $X$ be the real line $\mathbb{R}$. All open intervals $(a, b)$ and their unions define a topology called the usual topology; $a$ and $b$ may be $-\infty$ and $\infty$ respectively. Similarly, the usual topology in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ can be defined. [Take a product $\left(a_{1}, b_{1}\right) \times \cdots \times\left(a_{n}, b_{n}\right)$ and their unions. ...]

Exercise 2.13. In definition 2.3, axioms (ii) and (iii) look somewhat unbalanced. Show that, if we allow infinite intersection in (iii), the usual topology in $\mathbb{R}$ reduces to the discrete topology (and is thus not very interesting).

A metric $d: X \times X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a function that satisfies the conditions:
(i) $d(x, y)=d(y, x)$
(ii) $d(x, y) \geq 0$ where the equality holds if and only if $x=y$
(iii) $d(x, y)+d(y, z) \geq d(x, z)$
for any $x, y, z \in X$. If $X$ is endowed with a metric $d, X$ is made into a topological space whose open sets are given by 'open discs',

$$
\begin{equation*}
U_{\varepsilon}(X)=\{y \in X \mid d(x, y)<\varepsilon\} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and all their possible unions. The topology $\mathcal{T}$ thus defined is called the metric topology determined by $d$. The topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is called a metric space. [Exercise: Verify that a metric space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is indeed a topological space.]

Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space and $A$ be any subset of $X$. Then $\mathcal{T}=\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ induces the relative topology in $A$ by $\mathcal{T}^{\prime}=\left\{U_{i} \cap A \mid U_{i} \in \mathcal{T}\right\}$.

Example 2.8. Let $X=\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and take the $n$-sphere $S^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(x^{n}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

A topology in $S^{n}$ may be given by the relative topology induced by the usual topology on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

### 2.3.2 Continuous maps

Definition 2.4. Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces. A map $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous if the inverse image of an open set in $Y$ is an open set in $X$.

This definition is in agreement with our intuitive notion of continuity. For instance, let $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be defined by

$$
f(x)= \begin{cases}-x+1 & x \leq 0  \tag{2.27}\\ -x+\frac{1}{2} & x>0\end{cases}
$$

We take the usual topology in $\mathbb{R}$, hence any open interval $(a, b)$ is an open set. In the usual calculus, $f$ is said to have a discontinuity at $x=0$. For an open set $(3 / 2,2) \subset Y$, we find $f^{-1}((3 / 2,2))=(-1,-1 / 2)$ which is an open set in $X$. If we take an open set $(1-1 / 4,1+1 / 4) \subset Y$, however, we find $f^{-1}((1-1 / 4,1+1 / 4))=(-1 / 4,0]$ which is not an open set in the usual topology.

Exercise 2.14. By taking a continuous function $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}, f(x)=x^{2}$ as an example, show that the reverse definition, 'a map $f$ is continuous if it maps an open set in $X$ to an open set in $Y^{\prime}$, does not work. [Hint: Find where $(-\varepsilon,+\varepsilon)$ is mapped to under $f$.]

### 2.3.3 Neighbourhoods and Hausdorff spaces

Definition 2.5. Suppose $\mathcal{T}$ gives a topology to $X . N$ is a neighbourhood of a point $x \in X$ if $N$ is a subset of $X$ and $N$ contains some (at least one) open set $U_{i}$ to which $x$ belongs. (The subset $N$ need not be an open set. If $N$ happens to be an open set in $\mathcal{T}$, it is called an open neighbourhood.)

Example 2.9. Take $X=\mathbb{R}$ with the usual topology. The interval $[-1,1]$ is a neighbourhood of an arbitrary point $x \in(-1,1)$.

Definition 2.6. A topological space $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is a Hausdorff space if, for an arbitrary pair of distinct points $x, x^{\prime} \in X$, there always exist neighbourhoods $U_{x}$ of $x$ and $U_{x^{\prime}}$ of $x^{\prime}$ such that $U_{x} \cap U_{x^{\prime}}=\emptyset$.

Exercise 2.15. Let $X=\left\{\right.$ John, Paul, Ringo, George \} and $U_{0}=\emptyset, U_{1}=$ $\{J o h n\}, U_{2}=\{J o h n$, Paul $\}, U_{3}=\{$ John, Paul, Ringo, George $\}$. Show that $\mathcal{T}=$ $\left\{U_{0}, U_{1}, U_{2}, U_{3}\right\}$ gives a topology to $X$. Show also that $(X, \mathcal{T})$ is not a Hausdorff space.

Unlike this exercise, most spaces that appear in physics satisfy the Hausdorff property. In the rest of the present book we always assume this is the case.

Exercise 2.16. Show that $\mathbb{R}$ with the usual topology is a Hausdorff space. Show also that any metric space is a Hausdorff space.

### 2.3.4 Closed set

Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space. A subset $A$ of $X$ is closed if its complement in $X$ is an open set, that is $X-A \in \mathcal{T}$. According to the definition, $X$ and $\emptyset$ are both open and closed. Consider a set $A$ (either open or closed). The closure of $A$ is the smallest closed set that contains $A$ and is denoted by $\bar{A}$. The interior of $A$ is the largest open subset of $A$ and is denoted by $A^{\circ}$. The boundary $b(A)$ of $A$ is the complement of $A^{\circ}$ in $A ; b(A)=A-A^{\circ}$. An open set is always disjoint from its boundary while a closed set always contains its boundary.

Example 2.10. Take $X=\mathbb{R}$ with the usual topology and take a pair of open intervals $(-\infty, a)$ and $(b, \infty)$ where $a<b$. Since $(-\infty, a) \cup(b, \infty)$ is open under the usual topology, the complement $[a, b]$ is closed. Any closed interval is a closed set under the usual topology. Let $A=(a, b)$, then $\bar{A}=[a, b]$. The boundary $b(A)$ consists of two points $\{a, b\}$. The sets $(a, b),[a, b],(a, b]$, and $[a, b)$ all have the same boundary, closure and interior. In $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the product $\left[a_{1}, b_{1}\right] \times \cdots \times\left[a_{n}, b_{n}\right]$ is a closed set under the usual topology.

Exercise 2.17. Whether a set $A \subset X$ is open or closed depends on $X$. Let us take an interval $I=(0,1)$ in the $x$-axis. Show that $I$ is open in the $x$-axis $\mathbb{R}$ while it is neither closed nor open in the $x y$-plane $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

### 2.3.5 Compactness

Let $(X, \mathcal{T})$ be a topological space. A family $\left\{A_{i}\right\}$ of subsets of $X$ is called a covering of $X$, if

$$
\bigcup_{i \in I} A_{i}=X
$$

If all the $A_{i}$ happen to be the open sets of the topology $\mathcal{T}$, the covering is called an open covering.

Definition 2.7. Consider a set $X$ and all possible coverings of $X$. The set $X$ is compact if, for every open covering $\left\{U_{i} \mid i \in I\right\}$, there exists a finite subset $J$ of $I$ such that $\left\{U_{j} \mid j \in J\right\}$ is also a covering of $X$.

In general, if a set is compact in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, it must be bounded. What else is needed? We state the result without the proof.

Theorem 2.3. Let $X$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n} . X$ is compact if and only if it is closed and bounded.

Example 2.11. (a) A point is compact.
(b) Take an open interval $(a, b)$ in $\mathbb{R}$ and choose an open covering $U_{n}=$ $(a, b-1 / n), n \in \mathbb{N}$. Evidently

$$
\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} U_{n}=(a, b)
$$

However, no finite subfamily of $\left\{U_{n}\right\}$ covers $(a, b)$. Thus, an open interval $(a, b)$ is non-compact in conformity with theorem 2.3.
(c) $S^{n}$ in example 2.8 with the relative topology is compact, since it is closed and bounded in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$.

The reader might not appreciate the significance of compactness from the definition and the few examples given here. It should be noted, however, that some mathematical analyses as well as physics become rather simple on a compact space. For example, let us consider a system of electrons in a solid. If the solid is non-compact with infinite volume, we have to deal with quantum statistical mechanics in an infinite volume. It is known that this is mathematically quite complicated and requires knowledge of the advanced theory of Hilbert spaces. What we usually do is to confine the system in a finite volume $V$ surrounded by hard walls so that the electron wavefunction vanishes at the walls, or to impose periodic boundary conditions on the walls, which amounts to putting the system in a torus, see example 2.5 (b). In any case, the system is now put in a compact space. Then we may construct the Fock space whose excitations are labelled by discrete indices. Another significance of compactness in physics will be found when we study extended objects such as instantons and Belavin-Polyakov monopoles, see section 4.8. In field theories, we usually assume that the field approaches some asymptotic form corresponding to the vacuum (or one of the vacua) at spatial infinities. Similarly, a class of order parameter distributions in which the spatial infinities have a common order parameter is an interesting class to study from various points of view as we shall see later. Since all points at infinity are mapped to a point, we have effectively compactified the non-compact space $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to a compact space $S^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \cup\{\infty\}$. This procedure is called the one-point compactification.

### 2.3.6 Connectedness

Definition 2.8. (a) A topological space $X$ is connected if it cannot be written as $X=X_{1} \cup X_{2}$, where $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ are both open and $X_{1} \cap X_{2}=\emptyset$. Otherwise $X$ is called disconnected.
(b) A topological space $X$ is called arcwise connected if, for any points $x, y \in X$, there exists a continuous map $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ such that $f(0)=x$ and $f(1)=y$. With a few pathological exceptions, arcwise connectedness is practically equivalent to connectedness.
(c) A loop in a topological space $X$ is a continuous map $f:[0,1] \rightarrow X$ such that $f(0)=f(1)$. If any loop in $X$ can be continuously shrunk to a point, $X$ is called simply connected.

Example 2.12. (a) The real line $\mathbb{R}$ is arcwise connected while $\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ is not. $\mathbb{R}^{n}(n \geq 2)$ is arcwise connected and so is $\mathbb{R}^{n}-\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$.
(b) $S^{n}$ is arcwise connected. The circle $S^{1}$ is not simply connected. If $n \geq 2$, $S^{n}$ is simply connected. The $n$-dimensional torus

$$
T^{n}=\underbrace{S^{1} \times S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}}_{n} \quad(n \geq 2)
$$

is arcwise connected but not simply connected.
(c) $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\mathbb{R}$ is not arcwise connected. $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is arcwise connected but not simply connected. $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is arcwise connected and simply connected.

### 2.4 Homeomorphisms and topological invariants

### 2.4.1 Homeomorphisms

As we mentioned at the beginning of this chapter, the main purpose of topology is to classify spaces. Suppose we have several figures and ask ourselves which are equal and which are different. Since we have not defined what is meant by equal or different, we may say 'they are all different from each other' or 'they are all the same figures'. Some of the definitions of equivalence are too stringent and some are too loose to produce any sensible classification of the figures or spaces. For example, in elementary geometry, the equivalence of figures is given by congruence, which turns out to be too stringent for our purpose. In topology, we define two figures to be equivalent if it is possible to deform one figure into the other by continuous deformation. Namely we introduce the equivalence relation under which geometrical objects are classified according to whether it is possible to deform one object into the other by continuous deformation. To be more mathematical, we need to introduce the following notion of homeomorphism.

Definition 2.9. Let $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$ be topological spaces. A map $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ is a homeomorphism if it is continuous and has an inverse $f^{-1}: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ which is
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Figure 2.10. (a) A coffee cup is homeomorphic to a doughnut. (b) The linked rings are homeomorphic to the separated rings.
also continuous. If there exists a homeomorphism between $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}, X_{1}$ is said to be homeomorphic to $X_{2}$ and vice versa.

In other words, $X_{1}$ is homeomorphic to $X_{2}$ if there exist maps $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ and $g: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ such that $f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{X_{2}}$, and $g \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{X_{1}}$. It is easy to show that a homeomorphism is an equivalence relation. Reflectivity follows from the choice $f=\mathrm{id}_{X}$, while symmetry follows since if $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ is a homeomorphism so is $f^{-1}: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ by definition. Transitivity follows since, if $f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{2}$ and $g: X_{2} \rightarrow X_{3}$ are homeomorphisms so is $g \circ f: X_{1} \rightarrow X_{3}$. Now we divide all topological spaces into equivalence classes according to whether it is possible to deform one space into the other by a homeomorphism. Intuitively speaking, we suppose the topological spaces are made out of ideal rubber which we can deform at our will. Two topological spaces are homeomorphic to each other if we can deform one into the other continuously, that is, without tearing them apart or pasting.

Figure 2.10 shows some examples of homeomorphisms. It seems impossible to deform the left figure in figure $2.10(b)$ into the right one by continuous deformation. However, this is an artefact of the embedding of these objects in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. In fact, they are continuously deformable in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$, see problem 2.3 . To distinguish one from the other, we have to embed them in $S^{3}$, say, and compare the complements of these objects in $S^{3}$. This approach is, however, out of the scope of the present book and we will content ourselves with homeomorphisms.

### 2.4.2 Topological invariants

Now our main question is: 'How can we characterize the equivalence classes of homeomorphism?' In fact, we do not know the complete answer to this question yet. Instead, we have a rather modest statement, that is, if two spaces have different 'topological invariants', they are not homeomorphic to each other. Here topological invariants are those quantities which are conserved under homeomorphisms. A topological invariant may be a number such as the number of connected components of the space, an algebraic structure such as a group or
a ring which is constructed out of the space, or something like connectedness, compactness or the Hausdorff property. (Although it seems to be intuitively clear that these are topological invariants, we have to prove that they indeed are. We omit the proofs. An interested reader may consult any text book on topology.) If we knew the complete set of topological invariants we could specify the equivalence class by giving these invariants. However, so far we know a partial set of topological invariants, which means that even if all the known topological invariants of two topological spaces coincide, they may not be homeomorphic to each other. Instead, what we can say at most is: if two topological spaces have different topological invariants they cannot be homeomorphic to each other.

Example 2.13. (a) A closed line $[-1,1]$ is not homeomorphic to an open line $(-1,1)$, since $[-1,1]$ is compact while $(-1,1)$ is not.
(b) A circle $S^{1}$ is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$, since $S^{1}$ is compact in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ while $\mathbb{R}$ is not.
(c) A parabola $\left(y=x^{2}\right)$ is not homeomorphic to a hyperbola $\left(x^{2}-y^{2}=1\right)$ although they are both non-compact. A parabola is (arcwise) connected while a hyperbola is not.
(d) A circle $S^{1}$ is not homeomorphic to an interval $[-1,1]$, although they are both compact and (arcwise) connected. $[-1,1]$ is simply connected while $S^{1}$ is not. Alternatively $S^{1}-\{p\}, p$ being any point in $S^{1}$ is connected while $[-1,1]-\{0\}$ is not, which is more evidence against their equivalence.
(e) Surprisingly, an interval without the endpoints is homeomorphic to a line $\mathbb{R}$. To see this, let us take $X=(-\pi / 2, \pi / 2)$ and $Y=\mathbb{R}$ and let $f: X \rightarrow Y$ be $f(x)=\tan x$. Since $\tan x$ is one to one on $X$ and has an inverse, $\tan ^{-1} x$, which is one to one on $\mathbb{R}$, this is indeed a homeomorphism. Thus, boundedness is not a topological invariant.
(f) An open disc $D^{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}<1\right\}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. A homeomorphism $f: D^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ may be

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}-y^{2}}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{1-x^{2}-y^{2}}}\right) \tag{2.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the inverse $f^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow D^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{-1}(x, y)=\left(\frac{x}{\sqrt{1+x^{2}+y^{2}}}, \frac{y}{\sqrt{1+x^{2}+y^{2}}}\right) \tag{2.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that $f \circ f^{-1}=\operatorname{id}_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}$, and $f^{-1} \circ f=\operatorname{id}_{D^{2}}$. As we saw in example $2.5(\mathrm{e})$, a closed disc whose boundary $S^{1}$ corresponds to a point is homeomorphic to $S^{2}$. If we take this point away, we have an open disc. The present analysis shows that this open disc is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. By reversing the order of arguments, we find that if we add a point (infinity) to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, we obtain a compact space $S^{2}$. This procedure is the one-point compactification $S^{2}=$ $\mathbb{R}^{2} \cup\{\infty\}$ introduced in the previous section. We similarly have $S^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n} \cup\{\infty\}$.
(g) A circle $S^{1}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}=1\right\}$ is homeomorphic to a square $I^{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid(|x|=1,|y| \leq 1),(|x| \leq 1,|y|=1)\right\}$. A homeomorphism $f: I^{2} \rightarrow S^{1}$ may be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=\left(\frac{x}{r}, \frac{y}{r}\right) \quad r=\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}} . \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $r$ cannot vanish, (2.27) is invertible.
Exercise 2.18. Find a homeomorphism between a circle $S^{1}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+\right.$ $\left.y^{2}=1\right\}$ and an ellipse $E=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid(x / a)^{2}+(y / b)^{2}=1\right\}$.

### 2.4.3 Homotopy type

An equivalence class which is somewhat coarser than homeomorphism but which is still quite useful is 'of the same homotopy type'. We relax the conditions in definition 2.9 so that the continuous functions $f$ or $g$ need not have inverses. For example, take $X=(0,1)$ and $Y=\{0\}$ and let $f: X \rightarrow Y, f(x)=0$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X, g(0)=\frac{1}{2}$. Then $f \circ g=\operatorname{id}_{Y}$, while $g \circ f \neq \operatorname{id}_{X}$. This shows that an open interval $(0,1)$ is of the same homotopy type as a point $\{0\}$, although it is not homeomorphic to $\{0\}$. We have more on this topic in section 4.2.

Example 2.14. (a) $S^{1}$ is of the same homotopy type as a cylinder, since a cylinder is a direct product $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ and we can shrink $\mathbb{R}$ to a point at each point of $S^{1}$. By the same reason, the Möbius strip is of the same homotopy type as $S^{1}$.
(b) A disc $D^{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2}<1\right\}$ is of the same homotopy type as a point. $D^{2}-\{(0,0)\}$ is of the same homotopy type as $S^{1}$. Similarly, $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$ is of the same homotopy type as $S^{1}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$ as $S^{2}$.

### 2.4.4 Euler characteristic: an example

The Euler characteristic is one of the most useful topological invariants. Moreover, we find the prototype of the algebraic approach to topology in it. To avoid unnecessary complication, we restrict ourselves to points, lines and surfaces in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. A polyhedron is a geometrical object surrounded by faces. The boundary of two faces is an edge and two edges meet at a vertex. We extend the definition of a polyhedron a bit to include polygons and the boundaries of polygons, lines or points. We call the faces, edges and vertices of a polyhedron simplexes. Note that the boundary of two simplexes is either empty or another simplex. (For example, the boundary of two faces is an edge.) Formal definitions of a simplex and a polyhedron in a general number of dimensions will be given in chapter 3 . We are now ready to define the Euler characteristic of a figure in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.
Definition 2.10. Let $X$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, which is homeomorphic to a polyhedron $K$. Then the Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ of $X$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi(X)= & (\text { number of verticies in } K)-(\text { number of edges in } K) \\
& +(\text { number of faces in } K) . \tag{2.31}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 2.11. Example of a polyhedron which is homeomorphic to a torus.

The reader might wonder if $\chi(X)$ depends on the polyhedron $K$ or not. The following theorem due to Poincaré and Alexander guarantees that it is, in fact, independent of the polyhedron $K$.

Theorem 2.4. (Poincaré-Alexander) The Euler characteristic $\chi(X)$ is independent of the polyhedron $K$ as long as $K$ is homeomorphic to $X$.

Examples are in order. The Euler characteristic of a point is $\chi(\cdot)=1$ by definition. The Euler characteristic of a line is $\chi(-)=2-1=1$, since a line has two vertices and an edge. For a triangular disc, we find $\chi$ (triangle) $=$ $3-3+1=1$. An example which is a bit non-trivial is the Euler characteristic of $S^{1}$. The simplest polyhedron which is homeomorphic to $S^{1}$ is made of three edges of a triangle. Then $\chi\left(S^{1}\right)=3-3=0$. Similarly, the sphere $S^{2}$ is homeomorphic to the surface of a tetrahedron, hence $\chi\left(S^{2}\right)=4-6+4=2$. It is easily seen that $S^{2}$ is also homeomorphic to the surface of a cube. Using a cube to calculate the Euler characteristic of $S^{2}$, we have $\chi\left(S^{2}\right)=8-12+6=2$, in accord with theorem 2.4. Historically this is the conclusion of Euler's theorem: if $K$ is any polyhedron homeomorphic to $S^{2}$, with $v$ vertices, $e$ edges and $f$ two-dimensional faces, then $v-e+f=2$.

Example 2.15. Let us calculate the Euler characteristic of the torus $T^{2}$. Figure $2.11(a)$ is an example of a polyhedron which is homeomorphic to $T^{2}$. From this polyhedron, we find $\chi\left(T^{2}\right)=16-32+16=0$. As we saw in example $2.5(\mathrm{~b}), T^{2}$ is equivalent to a rectangle whose edges are identified; see figure 2.4. Taking care of this identification, we find an example of a polyhedron made of rectangular faces as in figure $2.11(b)$, from which we also have $\chi\left(T^{2}\right)=0$. This approach is quite useful when the figure cannot be realized (embedded) in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. For example, the Klein bottle (figure $2.5(a)$ ) cannot be realized in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ without intersecting itself. From the rectangle of figure $2.5(a)$, we find $\chi($ Klein bottle $)=0$. Similarly, we have $\chi($ projective plane $)=1$.
(a)

(b)


Figure 2.12. The connected sum. (a) $S^{2} \sharp S^{2}=S 2$, (b) $T^{2} \sharp T^{2}=\Sigma_{2}$.

Exercise 2.19. (a) Show that $\chi$ (Möbius strip) $=0$.
(b) Show that $\chi\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)=-2$, where $\Sigma_{2}$ is the torus with two handles (see example 2.5). The reader may either construct a polyhedron homeomorphic to $\Sigma_{2}$ or make use of the octagon in figure $2.6(a)$. We show later that $\chi\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=2-2 g$, where $\Sigma_{g}$ is the torus with $g$ handles.

The connected sum $X \sharp Y$ of two surfaces $X$ and $Y$ is a surface obtained by removing a small disc from each of $X$ and $Y$ and connecting the resulting holes with a cylinder; see figure 2.12 . Let $X$ be an arbitrary surface. Then it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2} \sharp X=X \tag{2.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $S^{2}$ and the cylinder may be deformed so that they fill in the hole on $X$; see figure $2.12(a)$. If we take a connected sum of two tori we get (figure 2.12(b))

$$
\begin{equation*}
T^{2} \sharp T^{2}=\Sigma_{2} . \tag{2.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $\Sigma_{g}$ may be given by the connected sum of $g$ tori,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underbrace{T^{2} \sharp T^{2} \sharp \cdots \sharp T^{2}}_{g \text { factors }}=\Sigma_{g} . \tag{2.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connected sum may be used as a trick to calculate an Euler characteristic of a complicated surface from those of known surfaces. Let us prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2.5. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two surfaces. Then the Euler characteristic of the connected sum $X \sharp Y$ is given by

$$
\chi(X \sharp Y)=\chi(X)+\chi(Y)-2 .
$$

Proof. Take polyhedra $K_{X}$ and $K_{Y}$ homeomorphic to $X$ and $Y$, respectively. We assume, without loss of generality, that each of $K_{Y}$ and $K_{Y}$ has a triangle in it. Remove the triangles from them and connect the resulting holes with a trigonal cylinder. Then the number of vertices does not change while the number of edges increases by three. Since we have removed two faces and added three faces, the number of faces increases by $-2+3=1$. Thus, the change of the Euler characteristic is $0-3+1=-2$.

From the previous theorem and the equality $\chi\left(T^{2}\right)=0$, we obtain $\chi\left(\Sigma_{2}\right)=$ $0+0-2=-2$ and $\chi\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=g \times 0-2(g-1)=2-2 g$, cf exercise 2.19(b).

The significance of the Euler characteristic is that it is a topological invariant, which is calculated relatively easily. We accept, without proof, the following theorem.

Theorem 2.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two figures in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. If $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y$, then $\chi(X)=\chi(Y)$. In other words, if $\chi(X) \neq \chi(Y), X$ cannot be homeomorphic to $Y$.

Example 2.16. (a) $S^{1}$ is not homeomorphic to $S^{2}$, since $\chi\left(S^{1}\right)=0$ while $\chi\left(S^{2}\right)=2$.
(b) Two figures, which are not homeomorphic to each other, may have the same Euler characteristic. A point (.) is not homeomorphic to a line (-) but $\chi(\cdot)=\chi(-)=1$. This is a general consequence of the following fact: if a figure $X$ is of the same homotopy type as a figure $Y$, then $\chi(X)=\chi(Y)$.

The reader might have noticed that the Euler characteristic is different from other topological invariants such as compactness or connectedness in character. Compactness and connectedness are geometrical properties of a figure or a space while the Euler characteristic is an integer $\chi(X) \in \mathbb{Z}$. Note that $\mathbb{Z}$ is an algebraic object rather than a geometrical one. Since the work of Euler, many mathematicians have worked out the relation between geometry and algebra and elaborated this idea, in the last century, to establish combinatorial topology and algebraic topology. We may compute the Euler characteristic of a smooth surface by the celebrated Gauss-Bonnet theorem, which relates the integral of the Gauss curvature of the surface with the Euler characteristic calculated from the corresponding polyhedron. We will give the generalized form of the GaussBonnet theorem in chapter 12.

## Problems

2.1 Show that the $4 g$-gon in figure $2.13(a)$, with the boundary identified, represents the torus with genus $g$ of figure $2.13(b)$. The reader may use equation (2.34).
2.2 Let $X=\{1,1 / 2, \ldots, 1 / n, \ldots\}$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}$. Show that $X$ is not closed in $\mathbb{R}$. Show that $Y=\{1,1 / 2, \ldots, 1 / n, \ldots, 0\}$ is closed in $\mathbb{R}$, hence compact.


Figure 2.13. The polygon $(a)$ whose edges are identified is the torus $\Sigma_{g}$ with genus $g$.
2.3 Show that two figures in figure $2.109(b)$ are homeomorphic to each other. Find how to unlink the right figure in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$.
2.4 Show that there are only five regular polyhedra: a tetrahedron, a hexahedron, an octahedron, a dodecahedron and an icosahedron. [Hint: Use Euler's theorem.]

## 3

## HOMOLOGY GROUPS

Among the topological invariants the Euler characteristic is a quantity readily computable by the 'polyhedronization' of space. The homology groups are refinements, so to speak, of the Euler characteristic. Moreover, we can easily read off the Euler characteristic from the homology groups. Let us look at figure 3.1. In figure $3.1(a)$, the interior is included but not in figure $3.1(b)$. How do we characterize this difference? An obvious observation is that the three edges of figure $3.1(a)$ form a boundary of the interior while the edges of figure $3.1(b)$ do not (the interior is not a part of figure $3.1(b)$ ). Clearly the edges in both cases form a closed path (loop), having no boundary. In other words, the existence of a loop that is not a boundary of some area implies the existence of a hole within the loop. This is our guiding principle in classifying spaces here: find a region without boundaries, which is not itself a boundary of some region. This principle is mathematically elaborated into the theory of homology groups.

Our exposition follows Armstrong (1983), Croom (1978) and Nash and Sen (1983). An introduction to group theory is found in Fraleigh (1976).

### 3.1 Abelian groups

The mathematical structures underlying homology groups are finitely generated Abelian groups. Throughout this chapter, the group operation is denoted by + since all the groups considered here are Abelian (commutative). The unit element is denoted by 0 .

### 3.1.1 Elementary group theory

Let $G_{1}$ and $G_{2}$ be Abelian groups. A map $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ is said to be a homomorphism if

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y) \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $x, y \in G_{1}$. If $f$ is also a bijection, $f$ is called an isomorphism. If there exists an isomorphism $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}, G_{1}$ is said to be isomorphic to $G_{2}$, denoted by $G_{1} \cong G_{2}$. For example, a map $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ defined by

$$
f(2 n)=0 \quad f(2 n+1)=1
$$



Figure 3.1. (a) is a solid triangle while $(b)$ is the edges of a triangle without an interior.
is a homomorphism. Indeed

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(2 m+2 n) & =f(2(m+n))=0=0+0=f(2 m)+f(2 n) \\
f(2 m+1+2 n+1) & =f(2(m+n+1))=0=1+1 \\
& =f(2 m+1)+f(2 n+1) \\
f(2 m+1+2 n) & =f(2(m+n)+1)=1=1+0 \\
& =f(2 m+1)+f(2 n) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A subset $H \subset G$ is a subgroup if it is a group with respect to the group operation of $G$. For example,

$$
k \mathbb{Z} \equiv\{k n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\} \quad k \in \mathbb{N}
$$

is a subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}$, while $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ is not.
Let $H$ be a subgroup of $G$. We say $x, y \in G$ are equivalent if

$$
\begin{equation*}
x-y \in H \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and write $x \sim y$. Clearly $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class to which $x$ belongs is denoted by $[x]$. Let $G / H$ be the quotient space. The group operation + in $G$ naturally induces the group operation + in $G / H$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[x]+[y]=[x+y] . \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that + on the LHS is an operation in $G / H$ while + on the RHS is that in $G$. The operation in $G / H$ should be independent of the choice of representatives. In fact, if $\left[x^{\prime}\right]=[x],\left[y^{\prime}\right]=[y]$, then $x-x^{\prime}=h, y-y^{\prime}=g$ for some $h, g \in H$ and we find that

$$
x^{\prime}+y^{\prime}=x+y-(h+g) \in[x+y]
$$

Furthermore, $G / H$ becomes a group with this operation, since $H$ is always a normal subgroup of $G$; see example 2.6. The unit element of $G / H$ is $[0]=[h]$,
$h \in H$. If $H=G, 0-x \in G$ for any $x \in G$ and $G / G$ has just one element [0]. If $H=\{0\}, G / H$ is $G$ itself since $x-y=0$ if and only if $x=y$.
Example 3.1. Let us work out the quotient group $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}$. For even numbers we have $2 n-2 m=2(n-m) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $[2 m]=[2 n]$. For odd numbers $(2 n+1)-(2 m+1)=2(n-m) \in 2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $[2 m+1]=[2 n+1]$. Even numbers and odd numbers never belong to the same equivalence class since $2 n-(2 m+1) \notin 2 \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z}=\{[0],[1]\} . \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define an isomorphism $\varphi: \mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by $\varphi([0])=0$ and $\varphi([1])=1$, we find $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. For general $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{Z} / k \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{k} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.1. Let $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a homomorphism. Then
(a) $\operatorname{ker} f=\left\{x \mid x \in G_{1}, f(x)=0\right\}$ is a subgroup of $G_{1}$,
(b) im $f=\left\{x \mid x \in f\left(G_{1}\right) \subset G_{2}\right\}$ is a subgroup of $G_{2}$.

Proof. (a) Let $x, y \in \operatorname{ker} f$. Then $x+y \in \operatorname{ker} f$ since $f(x+y)=f(x)+f(y)=$ $0+0=0$. Note that $0 \in \operatorname{ker} f$ for $f(0)=f(0)+f(0)$. We also have $-x \in \operatorname{ker} f$ since $f(0)=f(x-x)=f(x)+f(-x)=0$.
(b) Let $y_{1}=f\left(x_{1}\right), y_{2}=f\left(x_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{im} f$ where $x_{1}, x_{2} \in G_{1}$. Since $f$ is a homomorphism we have $y_{1}+y_{2}=f\left(x_{1}\right)+f\left(x_{2}\right)=f\left(x_{1}+x_{2}\right) \in \operatorname{im} f$. Clearly $0 \in \operatorname{im} f$ since $f(0)=0$. If $y=f(x),-y \in \operatorname{im} f$ since $0=f(x-x)=$ $f(x)+f(-x)$ implies $f(-x)=-y$.
Theorem 3.1. (Fundamental theorem of homomorphism) Let $f: G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$ be a homomorphism. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{1} / \operatorname{ker} f \cong \operatorname{im} f \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Both sides are groups according to lemma 3.1. Define a map $\varphi$ : $G_{1} / \operatorname{ker} f \rightarrow \operatorname{im} f$ by $\varphi([x])=f(x)$. This map is well defined since for $x^{\prime} \in[x]$, there exists $h \in \operatorname{ker} f$ such that $x^{\prime}=x+h$ and $f\left(x^{\prime}\right)=f(x+h)=$ $f(x)+f(h)=f(x)$. Now we show that $\varphi$ is an isomorphism. First, $\varphi$ is a homomorphism,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi([x]+[y]) & =\varphi([x+y])=f(x+y) \\
& =f(x)+f(y)=\varphi([x])+\varphi([y]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Second, $\varphi$ is one to one: if $\varphi([x])=\varphi([y])$, then $f(x)=f(y)$ or $f(x)-f(y)=$ $f(x-y)=0$. This shows that $x-y \in \operatorname{ker} f$ and $[x]=[y]$. Finally, $\varphi$ is onto: if $y \in \operatorname{im} f$, there exists $x \in G_{1}$ such that $f(x)=y=\varphi([x])$.
Example 3.2. Let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ be defined by $f(2 n)=0$ and $f(2 n+1)=1$. Then $\operatorname{ker} f=2 \mathbb{Z}$ and $\operatorname{im} f=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ are groups. Theorem 3.1 states that $\mathbb{Z} / 2 \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, in agreement with example 3.1.

### 3.1.2 Finitely generated Abelian groups and free Abelian groups

Let $x$ be an element of a group $G$. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n x$ denotes

$$
\underbrace{x+\cdots+x}_{n} \quad(\text { if } n>0)
$$

and

$$
\underbrace{(-x)+\cdots+(-x)}_{|n|} \quad(\text { if } n<0) .
$$

If $n=0$, we put $0 x=0$. Take $r$ elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ of $G$. The elements of $G$ of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} x_{r} \quad\left(n_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}, 1 \leq i \leq r\right) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

form a subgroup of $G$, which we denote $H . \quad H$ is called a subgroup of $G$ generated by the generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$. If $G$ itself is generated by finite elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}, G$ is said to be finitely generated. If $n_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} x_{r}=0$ is satisfied only when $n_{1}=\cdots=n_{r}=0, x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ are said to be linearly independent.

Definition 3.1. If $G$ is finitely generated by $r$ linearly independent elements, $G$ is called a free Abelian group of rank $r$.

Example 3.3. $\mathbb{Z}$ is a free Abelian group of rank 1 finitely generated by 1 (or -1 ). Let $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ be the set of pairs $\{(i, j) \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\}$. It is a free Abelian group of rank 2 finitely generated by generators $(1,0)$ and $(0,1)$. More generally

$$
\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{r}
$$

is a free Abelian group of rank $r$. The group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$ is finitely generated by 1 but is not free since 1 is not linearly independent (note $1+1=0$ ).

### 3.1.3 Cyclic groups

If $G$ is generated by one element $x, G=\{0, \pm x, \pm 2 x, \ldots\}, G$ is called a cyclic group. If $n x \neq 0$ for any $n \in \mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$, it is an infinite cyclic group while if $n x=0$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$, a finite cyclic group. Let $G$ be a cyclic group generated by $x$ and let $f: \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow G$ be a homomorphism defined by $f(n)=n x$. $f$ maps $\mathbb{Z}$ onto $G$ but not necessarily one to one. From theorem 3.1, we have $G=\operatorname{im} f \cong \mathbb{Z} / \operatorname{ker} f$. Let $N$ be the smallest positive integer such that $N x=0$. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} f=\{0, \pm N, \pm 2 N, \ldots\}=N \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \cong \mathbb{Z} / N \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{N} \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $G$ is an infinite cyclic group, then $\operatorname{ker} f=\{0\}$ and $G \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Any infinite cyclic group is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ while a finite cyclic group is isomorphic to some $\mathbb{Z}_{N}$.

We will need the following lemma and theorem in due course. We first state the lemma without proof.

Lemma 3.2. Let $G$ be a free Abelian group of rank $r$ and let $H(\neq \emptyset)$ be a subgroup of $G$. We may always choose $p$ generators $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p}$, out of $r$ generators of $G$ so that $k_{1} x_{1}, \ldots, k_{p} x_{p}$ generate $H$. Thus, $H \cong k_{1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \ldots \oplus k_{p} \mathbb{Z}$ and $H$ is of rank $p$.

Theorem 3.2. (Fundamental theorem of finitely generated Abelian groups) Let $G$ be a finitely generated Abelian group (not necessarily free) with $m$ generators. Then $G$ is isomorphic to the direct sum of cyclic groups,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{r} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{p}} \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=r+p$. The number $r$ is called the rank of $G$.
Proof. Let $G$ be generated by $m$ elements $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{m}$ and let

$$
f: \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{m} \rightarrow G
$$

be a surjective homomorphism,

$$
f\left(n_{1}, \ldots, n_{m}\right)=n_{1} x_{1}+\cdots+n_{m} x_{m}
$$

Theorem 3.1 states that

$$
\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{m} / \text { ker } f \cong G .
$$

Since ker $f$ is a subgroup of

$$
\underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{m}
$$

lemma 3.2 claims that if we choose the generators properly, we have

$$
\operatorname{ker} f \cong k_{1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{p} \mathbb{Z}
$$

We finally obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
G & \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{m} / \text { ker } f \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{m} /\left(k_{1} \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus k_{p} \mathbb{Z}\right) \\
& \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{m-p} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{p}} .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.2. 0-, 1-, 2- and 3-simplexes.

### 3.2 Simplexes and simplicial complexes

Let us recall how the Euler characteristic of a surface is calculated. We first construct a polyhedron homeomorphic to the given surface, then count the numbers of vertices, edges and faces. The Euler characteristic of the polyhedron, and hence of the surface, is then given by equation (2.31). We abstract this procedure so that we may represent each part of a figure by some standard object. We take triangles and their analogues in other dimensions, called simplexes, as the standard objects. By this standardization, it becomes possible to assign to each figure Abelian group structures.

### 3.2.1 Simplexes

Simplexes are building blocks of a polyhedron. A 0 -simplex $\left\langle p_{0}\right\rangle$ is a point, or a vertex, and a 1 -simplex $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1}\right\rangle$ is a line, or an edge. A 2 -simplex $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle$ is defined to be a triangle with its interior included and a 3 -simplex $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle$ is a solid tetrahedron (figure 3.2). It is common to denote a 0 -simplex without the bracket; $\left\langle p_{0}\right\rangle$ may be also written as $p_{0}$. It is easy to continue this construction to any $r$-simplex $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{r}\right\rangle$. Note that for an $r$-simplex to represent an $r$ dimensional object, the vertices $p_{i}$ must be geometrically independent, that is, no ( $r-1$ )-dimensional hyperplane contains all the $r+1$ points. Let $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}$ be points geometrically independent in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ where $m \geq r$. The $r$-simplex $\sigma_{r}=\left\langle p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\rangle$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{r}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \mid x=\sum_{i=0}^{r} c_{i} p_{i}, c_{i} \geq 0, \sum_{i=0}^{r} c_{i}=1\right\} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(c_{0}, \ldots, c_{r}\right)$ is called the barycentric coordinate of $x$. Since $\sigma_{r}$ is a bounded and closed subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, it is compact.

Let $q$ be an integer such that $0 \leq q \leq r$. If we choose $q+1$ points $p_{i_{0}}, \ldots, p_{i_{q}}$ out of $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}$, these $q+1$ points define a $q$-simplex $\sigma_{q}=$ $\left\langle p_{i_{0}}, \ldots, p_{i_{q}}\right\rangle$, which is called a $\boldsymbol{q}$-face of $\sigma_{r}$. We write $\sigma_{q} \leq \sigma_{r}$ if $\sigma_{q}$ is a face of


Figure 3.3. A 0-face $p_{0}$ and a 2 -face $\left\langle p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle$ of a 3 -simplex $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle$.
$\sigma_{r}$. If $\sigma_{q} \neq \sigma_{r}$, we say $\sigma_{q}$ is a proper face of $\sigma_{r}$, denoted as $\sigma_{q}<\sigma_{r}$. Figure 3.3 shows a 0 -face $p_{0}$ and a 2 -face $\left\langle p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle$ of a 3 -simplex $\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle$. There are one 3 -face, four 2 -faces, six 1 -faces and four 0 -faces. The reader should verify that the number of $q$-faces in an $r$-simplex is $\binom{r+1}{q+1}$. A 0 -simplex is defined to have no proper faces.

### 3.2.2 Simplicial complexes and polyhedra

Let $K$ be a set of finite number of simplexes in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. If these simplexes are nicely fitted together, $K$ is called a simplicial complex. By 'nicely' we mean:
(i) an arbitrary face of a simplex of $K$ belongs to $K$, that is, if $\sigma \in K$ and $\sigma^{\prime} \leq \sigma$ then $\sigma^{\prime} \in K$; and
(ii) if $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$ are two simplexes of $K$, the intersection $\sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime}$ is either empty or a common face of $\sigma$ and $\sigma^{\prime}$, that is, if $\sigma, \sigma^{\prime} \in K$ then either $\sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime}=\emptyset$ or $\sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime} \leq \sigma$ and $\sigma \cap \sigma^{\prime} \leq \sigma^{\prime}$.

For example, figure $3.4(a)$ is a simplicial complex but figure $3.4(b)$ is not. The dimension of a simplicial complex $K$ is defined to be the largest dimension of simplexes in $K$.

Example 3.4. Let $\sigma_{r}$ be an $r$-simplex and $K=\left\{\sigma^{\prime} \mid \sigma^{\prime} \leq \sigma_{r}\right\}$ be the set of faces of $\sigma_{r} . \quad K$ is an $r$-dimensional simplicial complex. For example, take


Figure 3.4. (a) is a simplicial complex but (b) is not
$\sigma_{3}=\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle$ (figure 3.3). Then

$$
\begin{align*}
K= & \left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3},\left\langle p_{0} p_{1}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{0} p_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{0} p_{3}\right\rangle,\right. \\
& \left\langle p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{1} p_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{3}\right\rangle, \\
& \left.\left\langle p_{0} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right\rangle\right\} . \tag{3.12}
\end{align*}
$$

A simplicial complex $K$ is a set whose elements are simplexes. If each simplex is regarded as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}(m \geq \operatorname{dim} K)$, the union of all the simplexes becomes a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. This subset is called the polyhedron $|K|$ of a simplicial complex $K$. The dimension of $|K|$ as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is the same as that of $K$; $\operatorname{dim}|K|=\operatorname{dim} K$.

Let $X$ be a topological space. If there exists a simplicial complex $K$ and a homeomorphism $f:|K| \rightarrow X, X$ is said to be triangulable and the pair $(K, f)$ is called a triangulation of $X$. Given a topological space $X$, its triangulation is far from unique. We will be concerned with triangulable spaces only.

Example 3.5. Figure 3.5(a) is a triangulation of a cylinder $S^{1} \times[0,1]$. The reader might think that somewhat simpler choices exist, figure $3.5(b)$, for example. This is, however, not a triangulation since, for $\sigma_{2}=\left\langle p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right\rangle$ and $\sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\left\langle p_{2} p_{3} p_{0}\right\rangle$, we find $\sigma_{2} \cap \sigma_{2}^{\prime}=\left\langle p_{0}\right\rangle \cup\left\langle p_{2}\right\rangle$, which is neither empty nor a simplex.

### 3.3 Homology groups of simplicial complexes

### 3.3.1 Oriented simplexes

We may assign orientations to an $r$-simplex for $r \geq 1$. Instead of $\langle\ldots\rangle$ for an unoriented simplex, we will use (...) to denote an oriented simplex. The symbol $\sigma_{r}$ is used to denote both types of simplex. An oriented 1-simplex $\sigma_{1}=\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$ is a directed line segment traversed in the direction $p_{0} \rightarrow p_{1}$ (figure 3.6(a)). Now
(a)

(b)


Figure 3.5. (a) is a triangulation of a cylinder while (b) is not.


Figure 3.6. An oriented 1 -simplex ( $a$ ) and an oriented 2 -simplex ( $b$ ).
( $p_{0} p_{1}$ ) should be distinguished from ( $p_{1} p_{0}$ ). We require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)=-\left(p_{1} p_{0}\right) \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here ' - ' in front of ( $p_{1} p_{0}$ ) should be understood in the sense of a finitely generated Abelian group. In fact, $\left(p_{1} p_{0}\right)$ is regarded as the inverse of $\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$. Going from $p_{0}$ to $p_{1}$ followed by going from $p_{1}$ to $p_{0}$ means going nowhere, $\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{0}\right)=0$, hence $-\left(p_{1} p_{0}\right)=\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$.

Similarly, an oriented 2 -simplex $\sigma_{2}=\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)$ is a triangular region $p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}$ with a prescribed orientation along the edges (figure 3.6(b)). Observe that the orientation given by $p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}$ is the same as that given by $p_{2} p_{0} p_{1}$ or $p_{1} p_{2} p_{0}$ but opposite to $p_{0} p_{2} p_{1}, p_{2} p_{1} p_{0}$ or $p_{1} p_{0} p_{2}$. We require that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right) & =\left(p_{2} p_{0} p_{1}\right)=\left(p_{1} p_{2} p_{0}\right) \\
& =-\left(p_{0} p_{2} p_{1}\right)=-\left(p_{2} p_{1} p_{0}\right)=-\left(p_{1} p_{0} p_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $P$ be a permutation of $0,1,2$

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 1 & 2 \\
i & j & k
\end{array}\right)
$$

These relations are summarized as

$$
\left(p_{i} p_{j} p_{k}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(P)\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)
$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(P)=+1(-1)$ if $P$ is an even (odd) permutation.
An oriented 3-simplex $\sigma_{3}=\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right)$ is an ordered sequence of four vertices of a tetrahedron. Let

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 2 & 3 \\
i & j & k & l
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a permutation. We define

$$
\left(p_{i} p_{j} p_{k} p_{l}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(P)\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right)
$$

It is now easy to construct an oriented $r$-simplex for any $r \geq 1$. The formal definition goes as follows. Take $r+1$ geometrically independent points $p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. Let $\left\{p_{i_{0}}, p_{i_{1}}, \ldots, p_{i_{r}}\right\}$ be a sequence of points obtained by a permutation of the points $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}$. We define $\left\{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ and $\left\{p_{i_{0}}, \ldots, p_{i_{r}}\right\}$ to be equivalent if

$$
P=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
0 & 1 & \ldots & r \\
i_{0} & i_{1} & \ldots & i_{r}
\end{array}\right)
$$

is an even permutation. Clearly this is an equivalence relation, the equivalence class of which is called an oriented $\boldsymbol{r}$-simplex. There are two equivalence classes, one consists of even permutations of $p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}$, the other of odd permutations. The equivalence class (oriented $r$-simplex) which contains $\left\{p_{0}, \ldots, p_{r}\right\}$ is denoted by $\sigma_{r}=\left(p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{r}\right)$, while the other is denoted by $-\sigma_{r}=-\left(p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{r}\right)$. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(p_{i_{0}} p_{i_{1}} \ldots p_{i_{r}}\right)=\operatorname{sgn}(P)\left(p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{r}\right) . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $r=0$, we formally define an oriented 0 -simplex to be just a point $\sigma_{0}=p_{0}$.

### 3.3.2 Chain group, cycle group and boundary group

Let $K=\left\{\sigma_{\alpha}\right\}$ be an $n$-dimensional simplicial complex. We regard the simplexes $\sigma_{\alpha}$ in $K$ as oriented simplexes and denote them by the same symbols $\sigma_{\alpha}$ as remarked before.

Definition 3.2. The $\boldsymbol{r}$-chain group $C_{r}(K)$ of a simplicial complex $K$ is a free Abelian group generated by the oriented $r$-simplexes of $K$. If $r>\operatorname{dim} K, C_{r}(K)$ is defined to be 0 . An element of $C_{r}(K)$ is called an $\boldsymbol{r}$-chain.

Let there be $I_{r} r$-simplexes in $K$. We denote each of them by $\sigma_{r, i}(1 \leq i \leq$ $\left.I_{r}\right)$. Then $c \in C_{r}(K)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\sum_{i=1}^{I_{r}} c_{i} \sigma_{r, i} \quad c_{i} \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 3.7. (a) An oriented 1-simplex with a fictitious boundary $p_{1}$. (b) A simplicial complex without a boundary.

The integers $c_{i}$ are called the coefficients of $c$. The group structure is given as follows. The addition of two $r$-chains, $c=\sum_{i} c_{i} \sigma_{r, i}$ and $c^{\prime}=\sum_{i} c_{i}^{\prime} \sigma_{r, i}$, is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c+c^{\prime}=\sum_{i}\left(c_{i}+c_{i}^{\prime}\right) \sigma_{r, i} \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unit element is $0=\sum_{i} 0 \cdot \sigma_{r, i}$, while the inverse element of $c$ is $-c=$ $\sum_{i}\left(-c_{i}\right) \sigma_{r, i}$. [Remark: An oppositely oriented $r$-simplex $-\sigma_{r}$ is identified with $(-1) \sigma_{r} \in C_{r}(K)$.] Thus, $C_{r}(K)$ is a free Abelian group of rank $I_{r}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{r}(K) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{I_{r}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we define the cycle group and the boundary group, we need to introduce the boundary operator. Let us denote the boundary of an $r$-simplex $\sigma_{r}$ by $\partial_{r} \sigma_{r} . \partial_{r}$ should be understood as an operator acting on $\sigma_{r}$ to produce its boundary. This point of view will be elaborated later. Let us look at the boundaries of lower-dimensional simplexes. Since a 0 -simplex has no boundary, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{0} p_{0}=0 . \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a 1-simplex $\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)=p_{1}-p_{0} \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader might wonder about the appearance of a minus sign in front of $p_{0}$. This is again related to the orientation. The following examples will clarify this point. In figure 3.7(a), an oriented 1 -simplex $\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)$ is divided into two, $\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$ and ( $p_{1} p_{2}$ ). We agree that the boundary of $\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)$ is $\left\{p_{0}\right\} \cup\left\{p_{2}\right\}$ and so should be that of $\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)$. If $\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)$ were defined to be $p_{0}+p_{2}$, we would have $\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\partial_{1}\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)=p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}$. This is not desirable since $p_{1}$ is a fictitious boundary. If, instead, we take $\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)=p_{2}-p_{0}$, we will have $\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\partial_{1}\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)=p_{1}-p_{0}+p_{2}-p_{1}=p_{2}-p_{0}$ as expected. The next example is the triangle of figure $3.7(b)$. It is the sum of three oriented 1 -simplexes,
$\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)$. We agree that it has no boundary. If we insisted on the rule $\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)=p_{0}+p_{1}$, we would have

$$
\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\partial_{1}\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\partial_{1}\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)=p_{0}+p_{1}+p_{1}+p_{2}+p_{2}+p_{0}
$$

which contradicts our intuition. If, on the other hand, we take $\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)=$ $p_{1}-p_{0}$, we have

$$
\partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\partial_{1}\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\partial_{1}\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)=p_{1}-p_{0}+p_{2}-p_{1}+p_{0}-p_{2}=0
$$

as expected. Hence, we put a plus sign if the first vertex is omitted and a minus sign if the second is omitted. We employ this fact to define the boundary of a general $r$-simplex.

Let $\sigma_{r}\left(p_{0} \ldots p_{r}\right)(r>0)$ be an oriented $r$-simplex. The boundary $\partial_{r} \sigma_{r}$ of $\sigma_{r}$ is an $(r-1)$-chain defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} \sigma_{r} \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{r}(-1)^{i}\left(p_{0} p_{1} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots p_{r}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the point $p_{i}$ under ${ }^{\wedge}$ is omitted. For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{2}\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right) & =\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right) \\
\partial_{3}\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right) & =\left(p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We formally define $\partial_{0} \sigma_{0}=0$ for $r=0$.
The operator $\partial_{r}$ acts linearly on an element $c=\sum_{i} c_{i} \sigma_{r, i}$ of $C_{r}(K)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} c=\sum_{i} c_{i} \partial_{r} \sigma_{r, i} \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS of (3.21) is an element of $C_{r-1}(K)$. Accordingly, $\partial_{r}$ defines a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r}: C_{r}(K) \rightarrow C_{r-1}(K) \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\partial_{r}$ is called the boundary operator. It is easy to see that the boundary operator is a homomorphism.

Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional simplicial complex. There exists a sequence of free Abelian groups and homomorphisms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \xrightarrow{i} C_{n}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n}} C_{n-1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{n-1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial_{2}} C_{1}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{1}} C_{0}(K) \xrightarrow{\partial_{0}} 0 \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i: 0 \hookrightarrow C_{n}(K)$ is an inclusion map ( 0 is regarded as the unit element of $C_{n}(K)$ ). This sequence is called the chain complex associated with $K$ and is denoted by $C(K)$. It is interesting to study the image and kernel of the homomorphisms $\partial_{r}$.

Definition 3.3. If $c \in C_{r}(K)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{r} c=0 \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

$c$ is called an $r$-cycle. The set of $r$-cycles $Z_{r}(K)$ is a subgroup of $C_{r}(K)$ and is called the $\boldsymbol{r}$-cycle group. Note that $Z_{r}(K)=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{r}$. [Remark: If $r=0, \partial_{0} c$ vanishes identically and $Z_{0}(K)=C_{0}(K)$, see (3.23).]

Definition 3.4. Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional simplicial complex and let $c \in C_{r}(K)$. If there exists an element $d \in C_{r+1}(K)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\partial_{r+1} d \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $c$ is called an $\boldsymbol{r}$-boundary. The set of $r$-boundaries $B_{r}(K)$ is a subgroup of $C_{r}(K)$ and is called the $\boldsymbol{r}$-boundary group. Note that $B_{r}(K)=\operatorname{im} \partial_{r+1}$. [Remark: $B_{n}(K)$ is defined to be 0.]

From lemma 3.1, it follows that $Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$ are subgroups of $C_{r}(K)$. We now prove an important relation between $Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$, which is crucial in the definition of homology groups.

Lemma 3.3. The composite map $\partial_{r} \circ \partial_{r+1}: C_{r+1}(K) \rightarrow C_{r-1}(K)$ is a zero map; that is, $\partial_{r}\left(\partial_{r+1} c\right)=0$ for any $c \in C_{r+1}(K)$.

Proof. Since $\partial_{r}$ is a linear operator on $C_{r}(K)$, it is sufficient to prove the identity $\partial_{r} \circ \partial_{r+1}=0$ for the generators of $C_{r+1}(K)$. If $r=0, \partial_{0} \circ \partial_{1}=0$ since $\partial_{0}$ is a zero operator. Let us assume $r>0$. Take $\sigma=\left(p_{0} \ldots p_{r} p_{r+1}\right) \in C_{r+1}(K)$. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{r}\left(\partial_{r+1} \sigma\right)= & \partial_{r} \sum_{i=0}^{r+1}(-1)^{i}\left(p_{0} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots p_{r+1}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{r+1}(-1)^{i} \partial_{r}\left(p_{0} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots p_{r+1}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=0}^{r+1}(-1)^{i}\left(\sum_{j=0}^{i-1}(-1)^{j}\left(p_{0} \ldots \hat{p}_{j} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots p_{r+1}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{j=i+1}^{r+1}(-1)^{j-1}\left(p_{0} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots \hat{p}_{j} \ldots p_{r+1}\right)\right) \\
= & \sum_{j<i}(-1)^{i+j}\left(p_{0} \ldots \hat{p}_{j} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots p_{r+1}\right) \\
& -\sum_{j>i}(-1)^{i+j}\left(p_{0} \ldots \hat{p}_{i} \ldots \hat{p}_{j} \ldots p_{r+1}\right)=0 \tag{3.26}
\end{align*}
$$

which proves the lemma.
Theorem 3.3. Let $Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$ be the $r$-cycle group and the $r$-boundary group of $C_{r}(K)$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{r}(K) \subset Z_{r}(K) \quad\left(\subset C_{r}(K)\right) \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. This is obvious from lemma 3.3. Any element $c$ of $B_{r}(K)$ is written as $c=\partial_{r+1} d$ for some $d \in C_{r+1}(K)$. Then we find $\partial_{r} c=\partial_{r}\left(\partial_{r+1} d\right)=0$, that is, $c \in Z_{r}(K)$. This implies $Z_{r}(K) \supset B_{r}(K)$.

What are the geometrical pictures of $r$-cycles and $r$-boundaries? With our definitions, $\partial_{r}$ picks up the boundary of an $r$-chain. If $c$ is an $r$-cycle, $\partial_{r} c=0$ tells us that $c$ has no boundary. If $c=\partial_{r+1} d$ is an $r$-boundary, $c$ is the boundary of $d$ whose dimension is higher than $c$ by one. Our intuition tells us that a boundary has no boundary, hence $Z_{r}(K) \supset B_{r}(K)$. Those elements of $Z_{r}(K)$ that are not boundaries play the central role in this chapter.

### 3.3.3 Homology groups

So far we have defined three groups $C_{r}(K), Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$ associated with a simplicial complex $K$. How are they related to topological properties of $K$ or to the topological space whose triangulation is $K$ ? Is it possible for $C_{r}(K)$ to express any property which is conserved under homeomorphism? We all know that the edges of a triangle and those of a square are homeomorphic to each other. What about their chain groups? For example, the 1-chain group associated with a triangle is

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{1}\left(K_{1}\right) & =\left\{i\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+j\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+k\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right) \mid i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}
\end{aligned}
$$

while that associated with a square is

$$
C_{1}\left(K_{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}
$$

Clearly $C_{1}\left(K_{1}\right)$ is not isomorphic to $C_{1}\left(K_{2}\right)$, hence $C_{r}(K)$ cannot be a candidate of a topological invariant. The same is true for $Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$. It turns out that the homology groups defined in the following provide the desired topological invariants.

Definition 3.5. Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional simplicial complex. The $\boldsymbol{r}$ th homology group $H_{r}(K), 0 \leq r \leq n$, associated with $K$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K) \equiv Z_{r}(K) / B_{r}(K) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remarks: If necessary, we define $H_{r}(K)=0$ for $r>n$ or $r<0$. If we want to stress that the group structure is defined with integer coefficients, we
write $H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{Z})$. We may also define the homology groups with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients, $H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})$ or those with $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$-coefficients, $H_{r}\left(K ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.]

Since $B_{r}(K)$ is a subgroup of $Z_{r}(K), H_{r}(K)$ is well defined. The group $H_{r}(K)$ is the set of equivalence classes of $r$-cycles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K) \equiv\left\{[z] \mid z \in Z_{r}(K)\right\} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where each equivalence class $[z]$ is called a homology class. Two $r$-cycles $z$ and $z^{\prime}$ are in the same equivalence class if and only if $z-z^{\prime} \in B_{r}(K)$, in which case $z$ is said to be homologous to $z^{\prime}$ and denoted by $z \sim z^{\prime}$ or $[z]=\left[z^{\prime}\right]$. Geometrically $z-z^{\prime}$ is a boundary of some space. By definition, any boundary $b \in B_{r}(K)$ is homologous to 0 since $b-0 \in B_{r}(K)$. We accept the following theorem without proof.

Theorem 3.4. Homology groups are topological invariants. Let $X$ be homeomorphic to $Y$ and let $(K, f)$ and $(L, g)$ be triangulations of $X$ and $Y$ respectively. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K) \cong H_{r}(L) \quad r=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if $(K, f)$ and $(L, g)$ are two triangulations of $X$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K) \cong H_{r}(L) \quad r=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, it makes sense to talk of homology groups of a topological space $X$ which is not necessarily a polyhedron but which is triangulable. For an arbitrary triangulation $(K, f), H_{r}(X)$ is defined to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(X) \equiv H_{r}(K) \quad r=0,1,2, \ldots \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 3.4 tells us that this is independent of the choice of the triangulation $(K, f)$.

Example 3.6. Let $K=\left\{p_{0}\right\}$. The 0 -chain is $C_{0}(K)=\left\{i p_{0} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Clearly $Z_{0}(K)=C_{0}(K)$ and $B_{0}(K)=\{0\}\left(\partial_{0} p_{0}=0\right.$ and $p_{0}$ cannot be a boundary of anything). Thus

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K) \equiv Z_{0}(K) / B_{0}(K)=C_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 3.1. Let $K=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}\right\}$ be a simplicial complex consisting of two 0 simplexes. Show that

$$
H_{r}(K)= \begin{cases}\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} & (r=0)  \tag{3.34}\\ \{0\} & (r \neq 0)\end{cases}
$$

Example 3.7. Let $K=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1},\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)\right\}$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& C_{0}(K)=\left\{i p_{0}+j p_{1} \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& C_{1}(K)=\left\{k\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right) \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$ is not a boundary of any simplex in $K, B_{1}(K)=\{0\}$ and

$$
H_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K) / B_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K)
$$

If $z=m\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right) \in Z_{1}(K)$, it satisfies

$$
\partial_{1} z=m \partial_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)=m\left\{p_{1}-p_{0}\right\}=m p_{1}-m p_{0}=0
$$

Thus, $m$ has to vanish and $Z_{1}(K)=0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(K)=0 . \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

As for $H_{0}(K)$, we have $Z_{0}(K)=C_{0}(K)=\left\{i p_{0}+j p_{1}\right\}$ and

$$
B_{0}(K)=\operatorname{im} \partial_{1}=\left\{\partial_{1} i\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}=\left\{i\left(p_{0}-p_{1}\right) \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Define a surjective (onto) homomorphism $f: Z_{0}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
f\left(i p_{0}+j p_{1}\right)=i+j
$$

Then we find

$$
\operatorname{ker} f=f^{-1}(0)=B_{0}(K)
$$

Theorem 3.1 states that $Z_{0}(K) / \operatorname{ker} f \cong \operatorname{im} f=\mathbb{Z}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K)=Z_{0}(K) / B_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 3.8. Let $K=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2},\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)\right\}$, see figure $3.7(b)$. This is a triangulation of $S^{1}$. Since there are no 2-simplexes in $K$, we have $B_{1}(K)=0$ and $H_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K) / B_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K)$. Let $z=i\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+$ $j\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+k\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right) \in Z_{1}(K)$ where $i, j, k \in \mathbb{Z}$. We require that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{1} z & =i\left(p_{1}-p_{0}\right)+j\left(p_{2}-p_{1}\right)+k\left(p_{0}-p_{2}\right) \\
& =(k-i) p_{0}+(i-j) p_{1}+(j-k) p_{2}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

This is satisfied only when $i=j=k$. Thus, we find that

$$
Z_{1}(K)=\left\{i\left\{\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

This shows that $Z_{1}(K)$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us compute $H_{0}(K)$. We have $Z_{0}(K)=C_{0}(K)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}(K) & =\left\{\partial_{1}\left[l\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+m\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+n\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)\right] \mid l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& =\left\{(n-l) p_{0}+(l-m) p_{1}+(m-n) p_{2} \mid l, m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Define a surjective homomorphism $f: Z_{0}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
f\left(i p_{0}+j p_{1}+k p_{2}\right)=i+j+k
$$

We verify that

$$
\operatorname{ker} f=f^{-1}(0)=B_{0}(K)
$$

From theorem 3.1 we find $Z_{0}(K) / \operatorname{ker} f \cong \operatorname{im} f=\mathbb{Z}$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K)=Z_{0}(K) / B_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is a triangulation of a circle $S^{1}$, and (3.37) and (3.38) are the homology groups of $S^{1}$.

Exercise 3.2. Let $K=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3},\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right),\left(p_{3} p_{0}\right)\right\}$ be a simplicial complex whose polyhedron is a square. Verify that the homology groups are the same as those of example 3.8 above.

Example 3.9. Let $K=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2},\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right),\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)\right\}$; see figure $3.6(b)$. Since the structure of 0 -simplexes and 1 -simplexes is the same as that of example 3.8, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us compute $H_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K) / B_{1}(K)$. From the previous example, we have

$$
Z_{1}(K)=\left\{i\left\{\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)\right\} \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
$$

Let $c=m\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right) \in C_{2}(K)$. If $b=\partial_{2} c \in B_{1}(K)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =m\left\{\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& =m\left\{\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)\right\} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $Z_{1}(K) \cong B_{1}(K)$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(K)=Z_{1}(K) / B_{1}(K) \cong\{0\} . \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since there are no 3 -simplexes in $K$, we have $B_{2}(K)=\{0\}$. Then $H_{2}(K)=Z_{2}(K) / B_{2}(K)=Z_{2}(K)$. Let $z=m\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right) \in Z_{2}(K)$. Since $\partial_{2} z=m\left\{\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)\right\}=0, m$ must vanish. Hence, $Z_{1}(K)=\{0\}$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(K) \cong\{0\} . \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 3.3. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
K= & \left\{p_{0}, p_{1}, p_{2}, p_{3},\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right),\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{0} p_{3}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{3}\right),\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{3}\right),\left(p_{0} p_{2} p_{3}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

be a simplicial complex whose polyhedron is the surface of a tetrahedron. Verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad H_{1}(K) \cong\{0\} \quad H_{2}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K$ is a triangulation of the sphere $S^{2}$ and (3.42) gives the homology groups of $S^{2}$.

### 3.3.4 Computation of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\mathbf{0}}(\mathrm{K})$

Examples 3.6-3.9 and exercises 3.2, 3.3 share the same zeroth homology group, $H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. What is common to these simplicial complexes? We have the following answer.

Theorem 3.5. Let $K$ be a connected simplicial complex. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $K$ is connected, for any pair of 0 -simplexes $p_{i}$ and $p_{j}$, there exists a sequence of 1 -simplexes $\left(p_{i} p_{k}\right),\left(p_{k} p_{l}\right), \ldots,\left(p_{m} p_{j}\right)$ such that $\partial_{1}\left(\left(p_{i} p_{k}\right)+\right.$ $\left.\left(p_{k} p_{l}\right)+\cdots+\left(p_{m} p_{j}\right)\right)=p_{j}-p_{i}$. Then it follows that $p_{i}$ is homologous to $p_{j}$, namely $\left[p_{i}\right]=\left[p_{j}\right]$. Thus, any 0 -simplex in $K$ is homologous to $p_{1}$ say. Suppose

$$
z=\sum_{i=1}^{I_{0}} n_{i} p_{i} \in Z_{0}(K)
$$

where $I_{0}$ is the number of 0 -simplexes in $K$. Then the homology class $[z]$ is generated by a single point,

$$
[z]=\left[\sum_{i} n_{i} p_{i}\right]=\sum_{i} n_{i}\left[p_{i}\right]=\sum_{i} n_{i}\left[p_{1}\right] .
$$

It is clear that $[z]=0$, namely $z \in B_{0}(K)$, if $\sum n_{i}=0$.
Let $\sigma_{j}=\left(p_{j, 1} p_{j, 2}\right)\left(1 \leq j \leq I_{1}\right)$ be 1-simplexes in $K, I_{1}$ being the number of 1 -simplexes in $K$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
B_{0}(K) & =\operatorname{im} \partial_{1} \\
& =\left\{\partial_{1}\left(n_{1} \sigma_{1}+\cdots+n_{I_{1}} \sigma_{I_{1}}\right) \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{I_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} \\
& =\left\{n_{1}\left(p_{1,2}-p_{1,1}\right)+\cdots+n_{I_{1}}\left(p_{I_{1}, 2}-p_{I_{1}, 1}\right) \mid n_{1}, \ldots, n_{I_{1}} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $n_{j}\left(1 \leq j \leq I_{1}\right)$ always appears as a pair $+n_{j}$ and $-n_{j}$ in an element of $B_{0}(K)$. Thus, if

$$
z=\sum_{j} n_{j} p_{j} \in B_{0}(K) \quad \text { then } \sum_{j} n_{j}=0 .
$$



Figure 3.8. A triangulation of the Möbius strip.

Now we have proved for a connected complex $K$ that $z=\sum n_{i} p_{i} \in B_{0}(K)$ if and only if $\sum n_{i}=0$.

Define a surjective homomorphism $f: Z_{0}(K) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$ by

$$
f\left(n_{1} p_{1}+\cdots+n_{I_{0}} p_{I_{0}}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{I_{0}} n_{i}
$$

We then have $\operatorname{ker} f=f^{-1}(0)=B_{0}(K)$. It follows from theorem 3.1 that $H_{0}(K)=Z_{0}(K) / B_{0}(K)=Z_{0}(K) / \operatorname{ker} f \cong \operatorname{im} f=\mathbb{Z}$ 。

### 3.3.5 More homology computations

Example 3.10. This and the next example deal with homology groups of nonorientable spaces. Figure 3.8 is a triangulation of the Möbius strip. Clearly $B_{2}(K)=0$. Let us take a cycle $z \in Z_{2}(K)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z= & i\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)+j\left(p_{2} p_{1} p_{4}\right)+k\left(p_{2} p_{4} p_{3}\right) \\
& +l\left(p_{3} p_{4} p_{5}\right)+m\left(p_{3} p_{5} p_{1}\right)+n\left(p_{1} p_{5} p_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$z$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{2} z= & i\left\{\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +j\left\{\left(p_{1} p_{4}\right)-\left(p_{2} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +k\left\{\left(p_{4} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& +l\left\{\left(p_{4} p_{5}\right)-\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{3} p_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& +m\left\{\left(p_{5} p_{1}\right)-\left(p_{3} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)\right\} \\
& +n\left\{\left(p_{5} p_{0}\right)-\left(p_{1} p_{0}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{5}\right)\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since each of $\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right),\left(p_{1} p_{4}\right),\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right),\left(p_{4} p_{5}\right),\left(p_{3} p_{1}\right)$ and $\left(p_{5} p_{0}\right)$ appears once and only once in $\partial_{2} z$, all the coefficients must vanish, $i=j=k=l=m=n=$

0 . Thus, $Z_{2}(K)=\{0\}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(K)=Z_{2}(K) / B_{2}(K) \cong\{0\} \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find $H_{1}(K)$, we use our intuition rather than doing tedious computations. Let us find the loops which make complete circuits. One such loop is

$$
z=\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{4} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{5} p_{0}\right) .
$$

Then all the other complete circuits are homologous to multiples of $z$. For example, let us take

$$
z^{\prime}=\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{5} p_{1}\right)
$$

We find that $z \sim z^{\prime}$ since

$$
z-z^{\prime}=\partial_{2}\left\{\left(p_{2} p_{1} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{4} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{3} p_{4} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{5} p_{0}\right)\right\} .
$$

If, however, we take

$$
z^{\prime \prime}=\left(p_{1} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{4} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{5} p_{0}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{3} p_{1}\right)
$$

we find that $z^{\prime \prime} \sim 2 z$ since

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 z-z^{\prime \prime}= & 2\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{4} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{5} p_{0}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right) \\
& -\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{3} p_{1}\right) \\
= & \partial_{2}\left\{\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{4} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{4} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{3} p_{4} p_{5}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(p_{3} p_{5} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{5}\right)\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We easily verify that all the closed circuits are homologous to $n z, n \in \mathbb{Z} . H_{1}(K)$ is generated by just one element $[z]$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(K)=\{i[z] \mid i \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $K$ is connected, it follows from theorem 3.5 that $H_{0}(K)=\left\{i\left[p_{a}\right] \mid i \in\right.$ $\mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}, p_{a}$ being any 0 -simplex of $K$.

Example 3.11. The projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ has been defined in example 2.5(c) as the sphere $S^{2}$ whose antipodal points are identified. As a coset space, we may take the hemisphere (or the disc $D^{2}$ ) whose opposite points on the boundary $S^{1}$ are identified, see figure $2.5(b)$. Figure 3.9 is a triangulation of the projective plane. Clearly $B_{2}(K)=\{0\}$. Take a cycle $z \in Z_{2}(K)$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
z= & m_{1}\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)+m_{2}\left(p_{0} p_{4} p_{1}\right)+m_{3}\left(p_{0} p_{5} p_{4}\right) \\
& +m_{4}\left(p_{0} p_{3} p_{5}\right)+m_{5}\left(p_{0} p_{2} p_{3}\right)+m_{6}\left(p_{2} p_{4} p_{3}\right) \\
& +m_{7}\left(p_{2} p_{5} p_{4}\right)+m_{8}\left(p_{2} p_{1} p_{5}\right)+m_{9}\left(p_{1} p_{3} p_{5}\right)+m_{10}\left(p_{1} p_{4} p_{3}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 3.9. A triangulation of the projective plane.

The boundary of $z$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial_{2} z= & m_{1}\left\{\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{2}\left\{\left(p_{4} p_{1}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{3}\left\{\left(p_{5} p_{4}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{5}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{4}\left\{\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{3}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{5}\left\{\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{0} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{0} p_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{6}\left\{\left(p_{4} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{2} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{4}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{7}\left\{\left(p_{5} p_{4}\right)-\left(p_{2} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{5}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{8}\left\{\left(p_{1} p_{5}\right)-\left(p_{2} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{1}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{9}\left\{\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)-\left(p_{1} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{3}\right)\right\} \\
& +m_{10}\left\{\left(p_{4} p_{3}\right)-\left(p_{1} p_{3}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{4}\right)\right\}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us look at the coefficient of each 1-simplex. For example, we have ( $m_{1}-$ $\left.m_{2}\right)\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)$, hence $m_{1}-m_{2}=0$. Similarly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -m_{1}+m_{5}=0, m_{4}-m_{5}=0, m_{2}-m_{3}=0, m_{1}-m_{8}=0 \\
& m_{9}-m_{10}=0,-m_{2}+m_{10}=0, m_{5}-m_{6}=0, m_{6}-m_{7}=0 \\
& m_{6}+m_{10}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

These ten conditions are satisfied if and only if $m_{i}=0,1 \leq i \leq 10$. This means that the cycle group $Z_{2}(K)$ is trivial and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(K)=Z_{2}(K) / B_{2}(K) \cong\{0\} \tag{3.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

Before we calculate $H_{1}(K)$, we examine $H_{2}(K)$ from a slightly different viewpoint. Let us add all the 2 -simplexes in $K$ with the same coefficient,

$$
z \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{10} m \sigma_{2, i} \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

Observe that each 1-simplex of $K$ is a common face of exactly two 2-simplexes. As a consequence, the boundary of $z$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{2} z=2 m\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)+2 m\left(p_{5} p_{4}\right)+2 m\left(p_{4} p_{3}\right) \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, if $z \in Z_{2}(K), m$ must vanish and we find $Z_{2}(K)=\{0\}$ as before. This observation remarkably simplifies the computation of $H_{1}(K)$. Note that any 1cycle is homologous to a multiple of

$$
z=\left(p_{3} p_{5}\right)+\left(p_{5} p_{4}\right)+\left(p_{4} p_{3}\right)
$$

cf example 3.10. Furthermore, equation (3.47) shows that an even multiple of $z$ is a boundary of a 2 -chain. Thus, $z$ is a cycle and $z+z$ is homologous to 0 . Hence, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(K)=\{[z] \mid[z]+[z] \sim[0]\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \tag{3.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

This example shows that a homology group is not necessarily free Abelian but may have the full structure of a finitely generated Abelian group. Since $K$ is connected, we have $H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

It is interesting to compare example 3.11 with the following examples. In these examples, we shall use the intuition developed in this section on boundaries and cycles to obtain results rather than giving straightforward but tedious computations.

Example 3.12. Let us consider the torus $T^{2}$. A formal derivation of the homology groups of $T^{2}$ is left as an exercise to the reader: see Fraleigh (1976), for example. This is an appropriate place to recall the intuitive meaning of the homology groups. The $r$ th homology group is generated by those boundaryless $r$-chains that are not, by themselves, boundaries of some $(r+1)$-chains. For example, the surface of the torus has no boundary but it is not a boundary of some 3chain. Thus, $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(T^{2}\right)$ is freely generated by one generator, the surface itself, $H_{2}\left(T^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Let us look at $H_{1}\left(T^{2}\right)$ next. Clearly the loops $a$ and $b$ in figure 3.10 have no boundaries but are not boundaries of some 2-chains. Take another loop $a^{\prime}$. $a^{\prime}$ is homologous to $a$ since $a^{\prime}-a$ bounds the shaded area of figure 3.10.


Figure 3.10. $a^{\prime}$ is homologous to $a$ but $b$ is not. $a$ and $b$ generate $H_{1}\left(T^{2}\right)$.


Figure 3.11. $a_{i}$ and $b_{i}(1 \leq i \leq g)$ generate $\mathrm{H}_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$.

Hence, $H_{1}\left(T^{2}\right)$ is freely generated by $a$ and $b$ and $H_{1}\left(T^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. Since $T^{2}$ is connected, we have $H_{0}\left(T^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Now it is easy to extend our analysis to the torus $\Sigma_{g}$ of genus $g$. Since $\Sigma_{g}$ has no boundary and there are no 3 -simplexes, the surface $\Sigma_{g}$ itself freely generates $H_{2}\left(T^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The first homology group $H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is generated by those loops which are not boundaries of some area. Figure 3.11 shows the standard choice for the generators. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
H_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) & =\left\{i_{1}\left[a_{1}\right]+j_{1}\left[b_{1}\right]+\cdots+i_{g}\left[a_{g}\right]+j_{g}\left[b_{g}\right]\right\} \\
& \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{2 g} . \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\Sigma_{g}$ is connected, $H_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Observe that $a_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)$ is homologous to the edge $a_{i}\left(b_{i}\right)$ of figure 2.12. The $2 g$ curves $\left\{a_{i}, b_{i}\right\}$ are called the canonical system of curves on $\Sigma_{g}$.

Example 3.13. Figure 3.12 is a triangulation of the Klein bottle. Computations of the homology groups are much the same as those of the projective plane. Since $B_{2}(K)=0$, we have $H_{2}(K)=Z_{2}(K)$. Let $z \in Z_{2}(K)$. If $z$ is a combination of all the 2 -simplexes of $K$ with the same coefficient, $z=\sum m \sigma_{2, i}$, the inner 1 -simplexes cancel out to leave only the outer 1 -simplexes

$$
\partial_{2} z=-2 m a
$$



Figure 3.12. A triangulation of the Klein bottle.
where $a=\left(p_{0} p_{1}\right)+\left(p_{1} p_{2}\right)+\left(p_{2} p_{0}\right)$. For $\partial_{2} z$ to be 0 , the integer $m$ must vanish and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{2}(K)=Z_{2}(K) \cong\{0\} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

To compute $H_{1}(K)$ we first note, from our experience with the torus, that every 1 -cycle is homologous to $i a+j b$ for some $i, j \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a 2 -chain to have a boundary consisting of $a$ and $b$ only, all the 2 -simplexes in $K$ must be added with the same coefficient. As a result, for such a 2 -chain $z=\sum m \sigma_{2, i}$, we have $\partial z=2 m a$. This shows that $2 m a \sim 0$. Thus, $H_{1}(K)$ is generated by two cycles $a$ and $b$ such that $a+a=0$, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{1}(K)=\{i[a]+j[b] \mid i, j \in \mathbb{Z}\} \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

We obtain $H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ since $K$ is connected.

### 3.4 General properties of homology groups

### 3.4.1 Connectedness and homology groups

Let $K=\left\{p_{0}\right\}$ and $L=\left\{p_{0}, p_{1}\right\}$. From example 3.6 and exercise 3.1, we have $H_{0}(K)=\mathbb{Z}$ and $H_{0}(L)=\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$. More generally, we have the following theorem.

Theorem 3.6. Let $K$ be a disjoint union of $N$ connected components, $K=$ $K_{1} \cup K_{2} \cup \cdots \cup K_{N}$ where $K_{i} \cap K_{j}=\emptyset$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K)=H_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus H_{r}\left(K_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first note that an $r$-chain group is consistently separated into a direct sum of $N r$-chain subgroups. Let

$$
C_{r}(K)=\left\{\sum_{i=1}^{I_{r}} c_{i} \sigma_{r, i} \mid c_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

where $I_{r}$ is the number of linearly independent $r$-simplexes in $K$. It is always possible to rearrange $\sigma_{i}$ so that those $r$-simplexes in $K_{1}$ come first, those in $K_{2}$ next and so on. Then $C_{r}(K)$ is separated into a direct sum of subgroups,

$$
C_{r}(K)=C_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus C_{r}\left(K_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus C_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) .
$$

This separation is also carried out for $Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& Z_{r}(K)=Z_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus Z_{r}\left(K_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) \\
& B_{r}(K)=B_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus B_{r}\left(K_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{r}\left(K_{N}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

We now define the homology groups of each component $K_{i}$ by

$$
H_{r}\left(K_{i}\right)=Z_{r}\left(K_{i}\right) / B_{r}\left(K_{i}\right)
$$

This is well defined since $Z_{r}\left(K_{i}\right) \supset B_{r}\left(K_{i}\right)$. Finally, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
H_{r}(K) & =Z_{r}(K) / B_{r}(K) \\
& =Z_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus Z_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) / B_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus B_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) \\
& =\left\{Z_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) / B_{r}\left(K_{1}\right)\right\} \oplus \cdots \oplus\left\{Z_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) / B_{r}\left(K_{N}\right)\right\} \\
& =H_{r}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus H_{r}\left(K_{N}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Corollary 3.1. (a) Let $K$ be a disjoint union of $N$ connected components, $K_{1}, \ldots, K_{N}$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{0}(K) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{N \text { factors }} . \tag{3.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) If $H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}, K$ is connected. [Together with theorem 3.5 we conclude that $H_{0}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $K$ is connected.]

### 3.4.2 Structure of homology groups

$Z_{r}(K)$ and $B_{r}(K)$ are free Abelian groups since they are subgroups of a free Abelian group $C_{r}(K)$. It does not mean that $H_{r}(K)=Z_{r}(K) / B_{r}(K)$ is also free Abelian. In fact, according to theorem 3.2, the most general form of $H_{r}(K)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{f} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{1}} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{k_{p}} \tag{3.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear from our experience that the number of generators of $H_{r}(K)$ counts the number of $(r+1)$-dimensional holes in $|K|$. The first $f$ factors form a free Abelian group of rank $f$ and the next $p$ factors are called the torsion subgroup of $H_{r}(K)$. For example, the projective plane has $H_{1}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ and the Klein bottle has $H_{1}(K) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. In a sense, the torsion subgroup detects the 'twisting' in the polyhedron $|K|$. We now clarify why the homology groups with $\mathbb{Z}$-coefficients are preferable to those with $\mathbb{Z}_{2^{-}}$or $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients. Since $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ has no non-trivial subgroups, the torsion subgroup can never be recognized. Similarly, if $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients are employed, we cannot see the torsion subgroup either, since $\mathbb{R} / m \mathbb{R} \cong\{0\}$ for any $m \in \mathbb{Z}-\{0\}$. [For any $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, there exists a number $c \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a-b=m c$.] If $H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{Z})$ is given by (3.54), $H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R}) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{f} . \tag{3.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 3.4.3 Betti numbers and the Euler-Poincaré theorem

Definition 3.6. Let $K$ be a simplicial complex. The $r$ th Betti number $b_{r}(K)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{r}(K) \equiv \operatorname{dim} H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R}) \tag{3.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

In other words, $b_{r}(K)$ is the rank of the free Abelian part of $H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{Z})$.
For example, the Betti numbers of the torus $T^{2}$ are (see example 3.12)

$$
b_{0}(K)=1, \quad b_{1}(K)=2, \quad b_{2}(K)=1
$$

and those of the sphere $S^{2}$ are (exercise 3.3)

$$
b_{0}(K)=1, \quad b_{1}(K)=0, \quad b_{2}(K)=1
$$

The following theorem relates the Euler characteristic to the Betti numbers.
Theorem 3.7. (The Euler-Poincaré theorem) Let $K$ be an $n$-dimensional simplicial complex and let $I_{r}$ be the number of $r$-simplexes in $K$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(K) \equiv \sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} I_{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} b_{r}(K) \tag{3.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remark: The first equality defines the Euler characteristic of a general polyhedron $|K|$. Note that this is the generalization of the Euler characteristic defined for surfaces in section 2.4.]

Proof. Consider the boundary homomorphism,

$$
\partial_{r}: C_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow C_{r-1}(K ; \mathbb{R})
$$

where $C_{-1}(K ; \mathbb{R})$ is defined to be $\{0\}$. Since both $C_{r-1}(K ; \mathbb{R})$ and $C_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})$ are vector spaces, theorem 2.1 can be applied to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{r} & =\operatorname{dim} C_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})=\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{ker} \partial_{r}\right)+\operatorname{dim}\left(\operatorname{im} \partial_{r}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} Z_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})+\operatorname{dim} B_{r-1}(K ; \mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B_{-1}(K)$ is defined to be trivial. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
b_{r}(K) & =\operatorname{dim} H_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})=\operatorname{dim}\left(Z_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R}) / B_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})\right) \\
& =\operatorname{dim} Z_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})-\operatorname{dim} B_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})
\end{aligned}
$$

From these relations, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi(K) & =\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} I_{r}=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r}\left(\operatorname{dim} Z_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})+\operatorname{dim} B_{r-1}(K ; \mathbb{R})\right) \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n}\left\{(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} Z_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})-(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} B_{r}(K ; \mathbb{R})\right\} \\
& =\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} b_{r}(K)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the Betti numbers are topological invariants, $\chi(K)$ is also conserved under a homeomorphism. In particular, if $f:|K| \rightarrow X$ and $g:\left|K^{\prime}\right| \rightarrow X$ are two triangulations of $X$, we have $\chi(K)=\chi\left(K^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, it makes sense to define the Euler characteristic of $X$ by $\chi(K)$ for any triangulation $(K, f)$ of $X$.


Figure 3.13. A hole in $S^{2}$, whose edges are identified as shown. We may consider $S^{2}$ with $q$ such holes.

## Problems

3.1 The most general orientable two-dimensional surface is a 2 -sphere with $h$ handles and $q$ holes. Compute the homology groups and the Euler characteristic of this surface.
3.2 Consider a sphere with a hole and identify the edges of the hole as shown in figure 3.13. The surface we obtained was simply the projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$. More generally, consider a sphere with $q$ such 'crosscaps' and compute the homology groups and the Euler characteristic of this surface.

## 4

## HOMOTOPY GROUPS

The idea of homology groups in the previous chapter was to assign a group structure to cycles that are not boundaries. In homotopy groups, however, we are interested in continuous deformation of maps one to another. Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces and let $\mathcal{F}$ be the set of continuous maps, from $X$ to $Y$. We introduce an equivalence relation, called 'homotopic to', in $\mathcal{F}$ by which two maps $f, g \in \mathcal{F}$ are identified if the image $f(X)$ is continuously deformed to $g(X)$ in $Y$. We choose $X$ to be some standard topological spaces whose structures are well known. For example, we may take the $n$-sphere $S^{n}$ as the standard space and study all the maps from $S^{n}$ to $Y$ to see how these maps are classified according to homotopic equivalence. This is the basic idea of homotopy groups.

We will restrict ourselves to an elementary study of homotopy groups, which is sufficient for the later discussion. Nash and Sen (1983) and Croom (1978) complement this chapter.

### 4.1 Fundamental groups

### 4.1.1 Basic ideas

Let us look at figure 4.1. One disc has a hole in it, the other does not. What characterizes the difference between these two discs? We note that any loop in figure $4.1(b)$ can be continuously shrunk to a point. In contrast, the loop $\alpha$ in figure $4.1(a)$ cannot be shrunk to a point due to the existence of a hole in it. Some loops in figure 4.1 (a) may be shrunk to a point while others cannot. We say a loop $\alpha$ is homotopic to $\beta$ if $\alpha$ can be obtained from $\beta$ by a continuous deformation. For example, any loop in $Y$ is homotopic to a point. It turns out that 'homotopic to' is an equivalence relation, the equivalence class of which is called the homotopy class. In figure 4.1, there is only one homotopy class associated with $Y$. In $X$, each homotopy class is characterized by $n \in \mathbb{Z}, n$ being the number of times the loop encircles the hole; $n<0$ if it winds clockwise, $n>0$ if counterclockwise, $n=0$ if the loop does not wind round the hole. Moreover, $\mathbb{Z}$ is an additive group and the group operation (addition) has a geometrical meaning; $n+m$ corresponds to going round the hole first $n$ times and then $m$ times. The set of homotopy classes is endowed with a group structure called the fundamental group.


Figure 4.1. A disc with a hole (a) and without a hole (b). The hole in (a) prevents the loop $\alpha$ from shrinking to a point.

### 4.1.2 Paths and loops

Definition 4.1. Let $X$ be a topological space and let $I=[0,1]$. A continuous $\operatorname{map} \alpha: I \rightarrow X$ is called a path with an initial point $x_{0}$ and an end point $x_{1}$ if $\alpha(0)=x_{0}$ and $\alpha(1)=x_{1}$. If $\alpha(0)=\alpha(1)=x_{0}$, the path is called a loop with base point $x_{0}$ (or a loop at $x_{0}$ ).

For $x \in X$, a constant path $c_{x}: I \rightarrow X$ is defined by $c_{x}(s)=x, s \in I$. A constant path is also a constant loop since $c_{x}(0)=c_{x}(1)=x$. The set of paths or loops in a topological space $X$ may be endowed with an algebraic structure as follows.

Definition 4.2. Let $\alpha, \beta: I \rightarrow X$ be paths such that $\alpha(1)=\beta(0)$. The product of $\alpha$ and $\beta$, denoted by $\alpha * \beta$, is a path in $X$ defined by

$$
\alpha * \beta(s)= \begin{cases}\alpha(2 s) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{4.1}\\ \beta(2 s-1) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

see figure 4.2. Since $\alpha(1)=\beta(0), \alpha * \beta$ is a continuous map from $I$ to $X$. [Geometrically, $\alpha * \beta$ corresponds to traversing the image $\alpha(I)$, in the first half, then followed by $\beta(I)$ in the remaining half. Note that the velocity is doubled.]

Definition 4.3. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow X$ be a path from $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$. The inverse path $\alpha^{-1}$ of $\alpha$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{-1}(s) \equiv \alpha(1-s) \quad s \in I \tag{4.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[The inverse path $\alpha^{-1}$ corresponds to traversing the image of $\alpha$ in the opposite direction from $x_{1}$ to $x_{0}$.]

Since a loop is a special path for which the initial point and end point agree, the product of loops and the inverse of a loop are defined in exactly the same way.


Figure 4.2. The product $\alpha * \beta$ of paths $\alpha$ and $\beta$ with a common end point.

It seems that a constant map $c_{x}$ is the unit element. However, it is not: $\alpha * \alpha^{-1}$ is not equal to $c_{x}$ ! We need a concept of homotopy to define a group operation in the space of loops.

### 4.1.3 Homotopy

The algebraic structure of loops introduced earlier is not so useful as it is. For example, the constant path is not exactly the unit element. We want to classify the paths and loops according to a neat equivalence relation so that the equivalence classes admit a group structure. It turns out that if we identify paths or loops that can be deformed continuously one into another, the equivalence classes form a group. Since we are primarily interested in loops, most definitions and theorems are given for loops. However, it should be kept in mind that many statements are also applied to paths with proper modifications.

Definition 4.4. Let $\alpha, \beta: I \rightarrow X$ be loops at $x_{0}$. They are said to be homotopic, written as $\alpha \sim \beta$, if there exists a continuous map $F: I \times I \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
F(s, 0)=\alpha(s), \quad F(s, 1)=\beta(s) \quad \forall s \in I \\
F(0, t)=F(1, t)=x_{0} \quad \forall t \in I . \tag{4.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

The connecting map $F$ is called a homotopy between $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
It is helpful to represent a homotopy as figure 4.3(a). The vertical edges of the square $I \times I$ are mapped to $x_{0}$. The lower edge is $\alpha(s)$ while the upper edge is $\beta(s)$. In the space $X$, the image is continuously deformed as in figure $4.3(b)$.

Proposition 4.1. The relation $\alpha \sim \beta$ is an equivalence relation.


Figure 4.3. (a) The square represents a homotopy $F$ interpolating the loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$. (b) The image of $\alpha$ is continuously deformed to the image of $\beta$ in real space $X$.


Figure 4.4. A homotopy $H$ between $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ via $\beta$.

Proof. Reflectivity: $\alpha \sim \alpha$. The homotopy may be given by $F(s, t)=\alpha(s)$ for any $t \in I$.

Symmetry: Let $\alpha \sim \beta$ with the homotopy $F(s, t)$ such that $F(s, 0)=\alpha(s)$, $F(s, 1)=\beta(s)$. Then $\beta \sim \alpha$, where the homotopy is given by $F(s, 1-t)$.

Transitivity: Let $\alpha \sim \beta$ and $\beta \sim \gamma$. Then $\alpha \sim \gamma$. If $F(s, t)$ is a homotopy between $\alpha$ and $\beta$ and $G(s, t)$ is a homotopy between $\beta$ and $\gamma$, a homotopy between $\alpha$ and $\gamma$ may be (figure 4.4)

$$
H(s, t)= \begin{cases}F(s, 2 t) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ G(s, 2 t-1) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

### 4.1.4 Fundamental groups

The equivalence class of loops is denoted by $[\alpha]$ and is called the homotopy class of $\alpha$. The product between loops naturally defines the product in the set of homotopy classes of loops.

Definition 4.5. Let $X$ be a topological space. The set of homotopy classes of loops at $x_{0} \in X$ is denoted by $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ and is called the fundamental group (or the first homotopy group) of $X$ at $x_{0}$. The product of homotopy classes $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha] *[\beta]=[\alpha * \beta] \tag{4.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 4.1. The product of homotopy classes is independent of the representative, that is, if $\alpha \sim \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta \sim \beta^{\prime}$, then $\alpha * \beta \sim \alpha^{\prime} * \beta^{\prime}$.

Proof. Let $F(s, t)$ be a homotopy between $\alpha$ and $\alpha^{\prime}$ and $G(s, t)$ be a homotopy between $\beta$ and $\beta^{\prime}$. Then

$$
H(s, t)= \begin{cases}F(2 s, t) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ G(2 s-1, t) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

is a homotopy between $\alpha * \beta$ and $\alpha^{\prime} * \beta^{\prime}$, hence $\alpha * \beta \sim \alpha^{\prime} * \beta^{\prime}$ and $[\alpha] *[\beta]$ is well defined.

Theorem 4.1. The fundamental group is a group. Namely, if $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ are loops at $x \in X$, the following group properties are satisfied:
(1) $([\alpha] *[\beta]) *[\gamma]=[\alpha] *([\beta] *[\gamma])$
(2) $[\alpha] *\left[c_{x}\right]=[\alpha]$ and $\left[c_{x}\right] *[\alpha]=[\alpha]$ (unit element)
(3) $[\alpha] *\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]=\left[c_{x}\right]$, hence $[\alpha]^{-1}=\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]$ (inverse).

Proof. (1) Let $F(s, t)$ be a homotopy between $(\alpha * \beta) * \gamma$ and $\alpha *(\beta * \gamma)$. It may be given by (figure 4.5(a))

$$
F(s, t)= \begin{cases}\alpha\left(\frac{4 s}{1+t}\right) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1+t}{4} \\ \beta(4 s-t-1) & \frac{1+t}{4} \leq s \leq \frac{2+t}{4} \\ \gamma\left(\frac{4 s-t-2}{2-t}\right) & \frac{2+t}{4} \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Thus, we may simply write $[\alpha * \beta * \gamma]$ to denote $[(\alpha * \beta) * \gamma]$ or $[\alpha *(\beta * \gamma)]$.
(a)

(b)


Figure 4.5. (a) A homotopy between $(\alpha * \beta) * \gamma$ and $\alpha *(\beta * \gamma)$. (b) A homotopy between $\alpha * c_{x}$ and $\alpha$.
(2) Define a homotopy $F(s, t)$ by (figure $4.5(b)$ )

$$
F(s, t)= \begin{cases}\alpha\left(\frac{2 s}{1+t}\right) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{t+1}{2} \\ x & \frac{t+1}{2} \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Clearly this is a homotopy between $\alpha * c_{x}$ and $\alpha$. Similarly, a homotopy between $c_{x} * \alpha$ and $\alpha$ is given by

$$
F(s, t)= \begin{cases}x & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1-t}{2} \\ \alpha\left(\frac{2 s-1+t}{1+t}\right) & \frac{1-t}{2} \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

This shows that $[\alpha] *\left[c_{x}\right]=[\alpha]=\left[c_{x}\right] *[\alpha]$.
(3) Define a map $F: I \times I \rightarrow X$ by

$$
F(s, t)= \begin{cases}\alpha(2 s(1-t)) & 0 \leq s \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \alpha(2(1-s)(1-t)) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Clearly $F(s, 0)=\alpha * \alpha^{-1}$ and $F(s, 1)=c_{x}$, hence

$$
\left[\alpha * \alpha^{-1}\right]=[\alpha] *\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]=\left[c_{x}\right]
$$

This shows that $\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]=[\alpha]^{-1}$.
In summary, $\pi_{1}(X, x)$ is a group whose unit element is the homotopy class of the constant loop $c_{x}$. The product $[\alpha] *[\beta]$ is well defined and satisfies the


Figure 4.6. From a loop $\alpha$ at $x_{0}$, a loop $\eta^{-1} * \alpha * \eta$ at $x_{1}$ is constructed.
group axioms. The inverse of $[\alpha]$ is $[\alpha]^{-1}=\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]$. In the next section we study the general properties of fundamental groups, which simplify the actual computations.

### 4.2 General properties of fundamental groups

### 4.2.1 Arcwise connectedness and fundamental groups

In section 2.3 we defined a topological space $X$ to be arcwise connected if, for any $x_{0}, x_{1} \in X$, there exists a path $\alpha$ such that $\alpha(0)=x_{0}$ and $\alpha(1)=x_{1}$.

Theorem 4.2. Let $X$ be an arcwise connected topological space and let $x_{0}, x_{1} \in$ $X$. Then $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{1}\right)$.

Proof. Let $\eta: I \rightarrow X$ be a path such that $\eta(0)=x_{0}$ and $\eta(1)=x_{1}$. If $\alpha$ is a loop at $x_{0}$, then $\eta^{-1} * \alpha * \eta$ is a loop at $x_{1}$ (figure 4.6). Given an element $[\alpha] \in \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, this correspondence induces a unique element $\left[\alpha^{\prime}\right]=$ $\left[\eta^{-1} * \alpha * \eta\right] \in \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{1}\right)$. We denote this map by $P_{\eta}: \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{1}\right)$ so that $\left[\alpha^{\prime}\right]=P_{\eta}([\alpha])$.

We show that $P_{\eta}$ is an isomorphism. First, $P_{\eta}$ is a homomorphism, since for $[\alpha],[\beta] \in \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{\eta}([\alpha] *[\beta]) & =\left[\eta^{-1}\right] *[\alpha] *[\beta] *[\eta] \\
& =\left[\eta^{-1}\right] *[\alpha] *[\eta] *\left[\eta^{-1}\right] *[\beta] *[\eta] \\
& =P_{\eta}([\alpha]) * P_{\eta}([\beta]) .
\end{aligned}
$$

To show that $P_{\eta}$ is bijective, we introduce the inverse of $P_{\eta}$. Define a map $P_{\eta}^{-1}: \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{1}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ whose action on $\left[\alpha^{\prime}\right]$ is $P_{\eta}^{-1}\left(\left[\alpha^{\prime}\right]\right)=\left[\eta * \alpha * \eta^{-1}\right]$.

Clearly $P^{-1}$ is the inverse of $P_{\eta}$ since

$$
P_{\eta}^{-1} \circ P_{\eta}([\alpha])=P_{\eta}^{-1}\left(\left[\eta^{-1} * \alpha * \eta\right]\right)=\left[\eta * \eta^{-1} * \alpha * \eta * \eta^{-1}\right]=[\alpha] .
$$

Thus, $P_{\eta}^{-1} \circ P_{\eta}=\mathrm{id}_{\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)}$. From the symmetry, we have $P_{\eta} \circ P_{\eta}^{-1}=\mathrm{id}_{\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{1}\right)}$. We find from exercise 2.3 that $P_{\eta}$ is one to one and onto.

Accordingly, if $X$ is arcwise connected, we do not need to specify the base point since $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{1}\right)$ for any $x_{0}, x_{1} \in X$, and we may simply write $\pi_{1}(X)$.

Exercise 4.1. (1) Let $\eta$ and $\zeta$ be paths from $x_{0}$ to $x_{1}$, such that $\eta \sim \zeta$. Show that $P_{\eta}=P_{\zeta}$.
(2) Let $\eta$ and $\zeta$ be paths such that $\eta(1)=\zeta(0)$. Show that $P_{\eta * \zeta}=P_{\zeta} \circ P_{\eta}$.

### 4.2.2 Homotopic invariance of fundamental groups

The homotopic equivalence of paths and loops is easily generalized to arbitrary maps. Let $f, g: X \rightarrow Y$ be continuous maps. If there exists a continuous map $F: X \times I \rightarrow Y$ such that $F(x, 0)=f(x)$ and $F(x, 1)=g(x), f$ is said to be homotopic to $g$, denoted by $f \sim g$. The map $F$ is called a homotopy between $f$ and $g$.

Definition 4.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces. $X$ and $Y$ are of the same homotopy type, written as $X \simeq Y$, if there exist continuous maps $f: X \rightarrow Y$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ such that $f \circ g \sim \operatorname{id}_{Y}$ and $g \circ f \sim \operatorname{id}_{X}$. The map $f$ is called the homotopy equivalence and $g$, its homotopy inverse. [Remark: If $X$ is homeomorphic to $Y, X$ and $Y$ are of the same homotopy type but the converse is not necessarily true. For example, a point $\{p\}$ and the real line $\mathbb{R}$ are of the same homotopy type but $\{p\}$ is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$.]

Proposition 4.2. 'Of the same homotopy type' is an equivalence relation in the set of topological spaces.

Proof. Reflectivity: $X \simeq X$ where $\mathrm{id}_{X}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Symmetry: Let $X \simeq Y$ with the homotopy equivalence $f: X \rightarrow Y$. Then $Y \simeq X$, the homotopy equivalence being the homotopy inverse of $f$. Transitivity: Let $X \simeq Y$ and $Y \simeq Z$. Suppose $f: X \rightarrow Y, g: Y \rightarrow Z$ are homotopy equivalences and $f^{\prime}: Y \rightarrow X, g^{\prime}: Z \rightarrow Y$, their homotopy inverses. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (g \circ f)\left(f^{\prime} \circ g^{\prime}\right)=g\left(f \circ f^{\prime}\right) g^{\prime} \sim g \circ \operatorname{id}_{Y} \circ g^{\prime}=g \circ g^{\prime} \sim \operatorname{id}_{Z} \\
& \left(f^{\prime} \circ g^{\prime}\right)(g \circ f)=f^{\prime}\left(g^{\prime} \circ g\right) f \sim f^{\prime} \circ \operatorname{id}_{Y} \circ f=f^{\prime} \circ f \sim \operatorname{id}_{X}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows $X \simeq Z$.


Figure 4.7. The circle $R$ is a retract of the annulus $X$. The arrows depict the action of the retraction.

One of the most remarkable properties of the fundamental groups is that two topological spaces of the same homotopy type have the same fundamental group.

Theorem 4.3. Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces of the same homotopy type. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{1}\left(Y, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$.

The following corollary follows directly from theorem 4.3.
Corollary 4.1. A fundamental group is invariant under homeomorphisms, and hence is a topological invariant.

In this sense, we must admit that fundamental groups classify topological spaces in a less strict manner than homeomorphisms. What we claim at most is that if topological spaces $X$ and $Y$ have different fundamental groups, $X$ cannot be homeomorphic to $Y$. Note, however, that the homotopy groups including the fundamental groups have many applications to physics as we shall see in due course. We should stress that the main usage of the homotopy groups in physics is not to classify spaces but to classify maps or field configurations.

It is rather difficult to appreciate what is meant by 'of the same homotopy type' for an arbitrary pair of $X$ and $Y$. In practice, however, it often happens that $Y$ is a subspace of $X$. We then claim that $X \simeq Y$ if $Y$ is obtained by a continuous deformation of $X$.

Definition 4.7. Let $R(\neq \emptyset)$ be a subspace of $X$. If there exists a continuous map $f: X \rightarrow R$ such that $\left.f\right|_{R}=\mathrm{id}_{R}, R$ is called a retract of $X$ and $f$ a retraction.

Note that the whole of $X$ is mapped onto $R$ keeping points in $R$ fixed. Figure 4.7 is an example of a retract and retraction.


Figure 4.8. The circle $R$ is not a deformation retract of $X$.

Definition 4.8. Let $R$ be a subspace of $X$. If there exists a continuous map $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
H(x, 0)=x & H(x, 1) \in R \quad \text { for any } x \in X \\
H(x, t)=x & \text { for any } x \in R \text { and any } t \in I . \tag{4.6}
\end{array}
$$

The space $R$ is said to be a deformation retract of $X$. Note that $H$ is a homotopy between $\operatorname{id}_{X}$ and a retraction $f: X \rightarrow R$, which leaves all the points in $R$ fixed during deformation.

A retract is not necessarily a deformation retract. In figure 4.8, the circle $R$ is a retract of $X$ but not a deformation retract, since the hole in $X$ is an obstruction to continuous deformation of $\mathrm{id}_{X}$ to the retraction. Since $X$ and $R$ are of the same homotopy type, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(X, a) \cong \pi_{1}(R, a) \quad a \in R \tag{4.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.1. Let $X$ be the unit circle and $Y$ be the annulus,

$$
\begin{align*}
X & =\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\right\}  \tag{4.8}\\
Y & =\left\{r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi, \frac{1}{2} \leq r \leq \frac{2}{3}\right\} \tag{4.9}
\end{align*}
$$

see figure 4.7. Define $f: X \hookrightarrow Y$ by $f\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ and $g: Y \rightarrow X$ by $g\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$. Then $f \circ g: r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$ and $g \circ f: \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$. Observe that $f \circ g \sim \mathrm{id}_{Y}$ and $g \circ f=\mathrm{id}_{X}$. There exists a homotopy

$$
H\left(r \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}, t\right)=\{1+(r-1)(1-t)\} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}
$$

which interpolates between $\operatorname{id}_{X}$ and $f \circ g$, keeping the points on $X$ fixed. Hence, $X$ is a deformation retract of $Y$. As for the fundamental groups we have $\pi_{1}(X, a) \cong \pi_{1}(Y, a)$ where $a \in X$.

Definition 4.9. If a point $a \in X$ is a deformation retract of $X, X$ is said to be contractible.

Let $c_{a}: X \rightarrow\{a\}$ be a constant map. If $X$ is contractible, there exists a homotopy $H: X \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $H(x, 0)=c_{a}(x)=a$ and $H(x, 1)=$ $\operatorname{id}_{X}(x)=x$ for any $x \in X$ and, moreover, $H(a, t)=a$ for any $t \in I$. The homotopy $H$ is called the contraction.

Example 4.2. $X=\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is contractible to the origin 0 . In fact, if we define $H: \mathbb{R}^{n} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $H(x, t)=t x$, we have (i) $H(x, 0)=0$ and $H(x, 1)=x$ for any $x \in X$ and (ii) $H(0,1)=0$ for any $t \in I$. Now it is clear that any convex subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is contractible.

Exercise 4.2. Let $D^{2}=\left\{(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \mid x^{2}+y^{2} \leq 1\right\}$. Show that the unit circle $S^{1}$ is a deformation retract of $D^{2}-\{0\}$. Show also that the unit sphere $S^{n}$ is a deformation retract of $D^{n+1}-\{0\}$, where $D^{n+1}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}| | x \mid \leq 1\right\}$.

Theorem 4.4. The fundamental group of a contractible space $X$ is trivial, $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \cong\{e\}$. In particular, the fundamental group of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is trivial, $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}, x_{0}\right) \cong\{e\}$.

Proof. A contractible space has the same fundamental group as a point $\{p\}$ and a point has a trivial fundamental group.

If an arcwise connected space $X$ has a trivial fundamental group, $X$ is said to be simply connected, see section 2.3 .

### 4.3 Examples of fundamental groups

There does not exist a routine procedure to compute the fundamental groups, in general. However, in certain cases, they are obtained by relatively simple considerations. Here we look at the fundamental groups of the circle $S^{1}$ and related spaces.

Let us express $S^{1}$ as $\left\{z \in \mathbb{C}||z|=1\}\right.$. Define a map $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{1}$ by $p: x \mapsto \exp (\mathrm{i} x)$. Under $p$, the point $0 \in \mathbb{R}$ is mapped to $1 \in S^{1}$, which is taken to be the base point. We imagine that $\mathbb{R}$ wraps around $S^{1}$ under $p$, see figure 4.9. If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ satisfies $x-y=2 \pi m(m \in \mathbb{Z})$, they are mapped to the same point in $S^{1}$. Then we write $x \sim y$. This is an equivalence relation and the equivalence class $[x]=\{y \mid x-y=2 \pi m$ for some $m \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ is identified with a point $\exp (\mathrm{i} x) \in S^{1}$. It then follows that $S^{1} \cong \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous map such that $\tilde{f}(0)=0$ and $\tilde{f}(x+2 \pi) \sim \tilde{f}(x)$. It is obvious that $\tilde{f}(x+2 \pi)=\tilde{f}(x)+2 n \pi$ for any $x \in \mathbb{R}$, where $n$ is a fixed integer. If $x \sim y(x-y=2 \pi m)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\widetilde{f}(x)-\tilde{f}(y) & =\widetilde{f}(y+2 \pi m)-\widetilde{f}(y) \\
& =\widetilde{f}(y)+2 \pi m n-\tilde{f}(y)=2 \pi m n
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 4.9. The map $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow S^{1}$ defined by $x \mapsto \exp (\mathrm{i} x)$ projects $x+2 m \pi$ to the same point on $S^{1}$, while $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, such that $\tilde{f}(0)=0$ and $\tilde{f}(x+2 \pi)=\tilde{f}(x)+2 n \pi$ for fixed $n$, defines a map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$. The integer $n$ specifies the homotopy class to which $f$ belongs.
hence $\tilde{f}(x) \sim \tilde{f}(y)$. Accordingly, $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ uniquely defines a continuous $\operatorname{map} f: \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} / 2 \pi \mathbb{Z}$ by $f([x])=p \circ \tilde{f}(x)$, see figure 4.9. Note that $f$ keeps the base point $1 \in S^{1}$ fixed. Conversely, given a map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$, which leaves $1 \in S^{1}$ fixed, we may define a map $\tilde{f}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}(0)=0$ and $\tilde{f}(x+2 \pi)=\tilde{f}(x)+2 \pi n$.
ln summary, there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of maps from $S^{1}$ to $S^{1}$ with $f(1)=1$ and the set of maps from $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{R}$ such that $\tilde{f}(0)=0$ and $\tilde{f}(x+2 \pi)=\tilde{f}(x)+2 \pi n$. The integer $n$ is called the degree of $f$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{deg}(f)$. While $x$ encircles $S^{1}$ once, $f(x)$ encircles $S^{1} n$ times.

Lemma 4.2. (1) Let $f, g: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $f(1)=g(1)=1$. Then $\operatorname{deg}(f)=\operatorname{deg}(g)$ if and only if $f$ is homotopic to $g$.
(2) For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, there exists a map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $\operatorname{deg}(f)=n$.

Proof. (1) Let $\operatorname{deg}(f)=\operatorname{deg}(g)$ and $\tilde{f}, \tilde{g}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be the corresponding maps. Then $\tilde{F}(x, t) \equiv t \tilde{f}(x)+(1-t) \tilde{g}(x)$ is a homotopy between $\tilde{f}(x)$ and $\tilde{g}(x)$. It is easy to verify that $F \equiv p \circ \tilde{F}$ is a homotopy between $f$ and $g$. Conversely, if $f \sim g: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$, there exists a homotopy $F: S^{1} \times I_{\tilde{F}} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $F(1, t)=1$ for any $t \in I$. The corresponding homotopy $\tilde{F}: \mathbb{R} \times I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ between $\tilde{f}$ and $\tilde{g}$ satisfies $\tilde{F}(x+2 \pi, t)=\tilde{F}(x, t)+2 n \pi$ for some $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Thus, $\operatorname{deg}(f)=\operatorname{deg}(g)$.
(2) $\tilde{f}: x \mapsto n x$ induces a map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ with $\operatorname{deg}(f)=n$.

Lemma 4.2 tells us that by assigning an integer $\operatorname{deg}(f)$ to a map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}$ such that $f(1)=1$, there is a bijection between $\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}, 1\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z}$. Moreover, this is an isomorphism. In fact, for $f, g: S^{1} \rightarrow S^{1}, f * g$, defined as a product of loops, satisfies $\operatorname{deg}(f * g)=\operatorname{deg}(f)+\operatorname{deg}(g)$. [Let $\tilde{f}(x+2 \pi)=\tilde{f}(x)+2 \pi n$ and $\tilde{g}(x+2 \pi)=\tilde{g}(x)+2 \pi m$. Then $f * g(x+2 \pi)=f * g(x)+2 \pi(m+n)$. Note that $*$ is not a composite of maps but a product of paths.] We have finally proved the following theorem.
Theorem 4.5. The fundamental group of $S^{1}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Since $S^{1}$ is arcwise connected, we may drop the base point.]
Although the proof of the theorem is not too obvious, the statement itself is easily understood even by children. Suppose we encircle a cylinder with an elastic band. If it encircles the cylinder $n$ times, the configuration cannot be continuously deformed into that with $m(\neq n)$ encirclements. If an elastic band encircles a cylinder first $n$ times and then $m$ times, it encircles the cylinder $n+m$ times in total.

### 4.3.1 Fundamental group of torus

Theorem 4.6. Let $X$ and $Y$ be arcwise connected topological spaces. Then $\pi_{1}\left(X \times Y,\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \oplus \pi_{1}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$.

Proof. Define projections $p_{1}: X \times Y \rightarrow X$ and $p_{2}: X \times Y \rightarrow Y$. If $\alpha$ is a loop in $X \times Y$ at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right), \alpha_{1} \equiv p_{1}(\alpha)$ is a loop in $X$ at $x_{0}$, and $\alpha_{2} \equiv p_{2}(\alpha)$ is a loop in $Y$ at $y_{0}$. Conversely, any pair of loops $\alpha_{1}$ of $X$ at $x_{0}$ and $\alpha_{2}$ of $Y$ at $y_{0}$ determines a unique loop $\alpha=\left(\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}\right)$ of $X \times Y$ at $\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)$. Define a homomorphism $\varphi: \pi_{1}\left(X \times Y,\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \oplus \pi_{1}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$ by

$$
\varphi([\alpha])=\left(\left[\alpha_{1}\right],\left[\alpha_{2}\right]\right) .
$$

By construction $\varphi$ has an inverse, hence it is the required isomorphism and $\pi_{1}\left(X \times Y,\left(x_{0}, y_{0}\right)\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \oplus \pi_{1}\left(Y, y_{0}\right)$.

Example 4.3. (1) Let $T^{2}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$ be a torus. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(T^{2}\right) \cong \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \oplus \pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, for the $n$-dimensional torus

$$
T^{n}=\underbrace{S^{1} \times S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}}_{n}
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(T^{n}\right) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{Z}}_{n} . \tag{4.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

(2) Let $X=S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ be a cylinder. Since $\pi_{1}(\mathbb{R}) \cong\{e\}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(X) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus\{e\} \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 4.4 Fundamental groups of polyhedra

The computation of fundamental groups in the previous section was, in a sense, $a d$ hoc and we certainly need a more systematic way of computing the fundamental groups. Fortunately if a space $X$ is triangulable, we can compute the fundamental group of the polyhedron $K$, and hence that of $X$ by a routine procedure. Let us start with some aspects of group theories.

### 4.4.1 Free groups and relations

The free groups that we define here are not necessarily Abelian and we employ multiplicative notation for the group operation. A subset $X=\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ of a group $G$ is called a free set of generators of $G$ if any element $g \in G-\{e\}$ is uniquely written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \tag{4.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n$ is finite and $i_{k} \in \mathbb{Z}$. We assume no adjacent $x_{j}$ are equal; $x_{j} \neq x_{j+1}$. If $i_{j}=1, x_{j}{ }^{1}$ is simply written as $x_{j}$. If $i_{j}=0$, the term $x_{j}{ }^{0}$ should be dropped from $g$. For example, $g=a^{3} b^{-2} c b^{3}$ is acceptable but $h=a^{3} a^{-2} c b^{0}$ is not. If each element is to be written uniquely, $h$ must be reduced to $h=a c$. If $G$ has a free set of generators, it is called a free group.

Conversely, given a set $X$, we can construct a free group $G$ whose free set of generators is $X$. Let us call each element of $X$ a letter. The product

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \tag{4.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called a word, where $x_{j} \in X$ and $i_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $i_{j} \neq 0$ and $x_{j} \neq x_{j+1}$ the word is called a reduced word. It is always possible to reduce a word by finite steps. For example,

$$
a^{-2} b^{-3} b^{3} a^{4} b^{3} c^{-2} c^{4}=a^{-2} b^{0} a^{4} b^{3} c^{2}=a^{2} b^{3} c^{2}
$$

A word with no letters is called an empty word and denoted by 1. For example, it is obtained by reducing $w=a^{0}$.

A product of words is defined by simply juxtaposing two words. Note that a juxtaposition of reduced words is not necessarily reduced but it is always possible to reduce it. For example, if $v=a^{2} c^{-3} b^{2}$ and $w=b^{-2} c^{2} b^{3}$, the product $v w$ is reduced as

$$
v w=a^{2} c^{-3} b^{2} b^{-2} c^{2} b^{3}=a^{2} c^{-3} c^{2} b^{3}=a^{2} c^{-1} b^{3}
$$

Thus, the set of all reduced words form a well-defined free group called the free group generated by $X$, denoted by $F[X]$. The multiplication is the juxtaposition of two words followed by reduction, the unit element is the empty word and the inverse of

$$
w=x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}
$$

is

$$
w^{-1}=x_{n}^{-i_{n}} \cdots x_{2}^{-i_{2}} x_{1}^{-i_{1}}
$$

Exercise 4.3. Let $X=\{a\}$. Show that the free group generated by $X$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}$.

In general, an arbitrary group $G$ is specified by the generators and certain constraints that these must satisfy. If $\left\{x_{k}\right\}$ is the set of generators, the constraints are most commonly written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
r=x_{k_{1}}^{i_{1}} x_{k_{2}}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{k_{n}}^{i_{n}}=1 \tag{4.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

and are called relations. For example, the cyclic group of order $n$ generated by $x$ (in multiplicative notation) satisfies a relation $x^{n}=1$.

More formally, let $G$ be a group which is generated by $X=\left\{x_{k}\right\}$. Any element $g \in G$ is written as $g=x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}}$, where we do not require that the expression be unique ( $G$ is not necessarily free). For example, we have $x^{i}=x^{n+1}$ in $\mathbb{Z}$. Let $F[X]$ be the free group generated by $X$. Then there is a natural homomorphism $\varphi$ from $F[X]$ onto $G$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \xrightarrow{\varphi} x_{1}^{i_{1}} x_{2}^{i_{2}} \cdots x_{n}^{i_{n}} \in G . \tag{4.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is not an isomorphism since the LHS is not unique. $\varphi$ is onto since $X$ generates both $F[X]$ and $G$. Although $F[X]$ is not isomorphic to $G, F[X] / \operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is (see theorem 3.1),

$$
\begin{equation*}
F[X] / \operatorname{ker} \varphi \cong G \tag{4.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this sense, the set of generators $X$ and $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ completely determine the group $G$. $[\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is a normal subgroup. Lemma 3.1 claims that $\operatorname{ker} \varphi$ is a subgroup of $F[X]$. Let $r \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$, that is, $r \in F[X]$ and $\varphi(r)=1$. For any element $x \in F[X]$, we have $\varphi\left(x^{-1} r x\right)=\varphi\left(x^{-1}\right) \varphi(r) \varphi(x)=\varphi(x)^{-1} \varphi(r) \varphi(x)=1$, hence $x^{-1} r x \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi$.]

In this way, a group $G$ generated by $X$ is specified by the relations. The juxtaposition of generators and relations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{p} ; r_{1}, \ldots, r_{q}\right) \tag{4.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the presentation of $G$. For example, $\mathbb{Z}_{n}=\left(x ; x^{n}\right)$ and $\mathbb{Z}=(x ; \emptyset)$.
Example 4.4. Let $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}=\left\{x^{n} y^{m} \mid n, m \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ be a free Abelian group generated by $X=\{x, y\}$. Then we have $x y=y x$. Since $x y x^{-1} y^{-1}=1$, we have a relation $r=x y x^{-1} y^{-1}$. The presentation of $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is $\left(x, y: x y x^{-1} y^{-1}\right)$.

### 4.4.2 Calculating fundamental groups of polyhedra

We shall be sketchy here to avoid getting into the technical details. We shall follow Armstrong (1983); the interested reader should consult this book or any textbook on algebraic topology. As noted in the previous chapter, a polyhedron $|K|$ is a nice approximation of a given topological space $X$ within a homeomorphism. Since fundamental groups are topological invariants, we have $\pi_{1}(X)=\pi_{1}(|K|)$. We assume $X$ is an arcwise connected space and drop the base point. Accordingly, if we have a systematic way of computing $\pi_{1}(|K|)$, we can also find $\pi_{1}(X)$.

We first define the edge group of a simplicial complex, which corresponds to the fundamental group of a topological space, then introduce a convenient way of computing it. Let $f:|K| \rightarrow X$ be a triangulation of a topological space $X$. If we note that an element of the fundamental group of $X$ can be represented by loops in $X$, we expect that similar loops must exist in $|K|$ as well. Since any loop in $|K|$ is made up of 1 -simplexes, we look at the set of all 1 -simplexes in $|K|$, which can be endowed with a group structure called the edge group of $K$.

An edge path in a simplicial complex $K$ is a sequence $v_{0} v_{1} \ldots v_{k}$ of vertices of $|K|$, in which the consecutive pair $v_{i} v_{i+1}$ is a 0 - or 1 -simplex of $|K|$. [For technical reasons, we allow the possibility $v_{i}=v_{i+1}$, in which case the relevant simplex is a 0 -simplex $v_{i}=v_{i+1}$.] If $v_{0}=v_{k}(=v)$, the edge path is called an edge loop at $v$. We classify these loops into equivalence classes according to some equivalence relation. We define two edge loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$ to be equivalent if one is obtained from the other by repeating the following operations a finite number of times.
(1) If the vertices $u, v$ and $w$ span a 2 -simplex in $K$, the edge path $u v w$ may be replaced by $u w$ and vice versa; see figure $4.10(a)$.
(2) As a special case, if $u=w$ in (1), the edge path $u v w$ corresponds to traversing along $u v$ first then reversing backwards from $v$ to $w=u$. This edge path $u v u$ may be replaced by a 0 -simplex $u$ and vice versa, see figure $4.10(b)$.

Let us denote the equivalence class of edge loops at $v$, to which $v v_{1} \ldots v_{k-1} v$ belongs, by $\left\{v v_{1} \ldots v_{k-1} v\right\}$. The set of equivalence classes forms a group under the product operation defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{v u_{1} \ldots u_{k-1} v\right\} *\left\{v v_{1} \ldots v_{i-1} v\right\}=\left\{v u_{1} \ldots u_{k-1} v v_{1} \ldots v_{i-1} v\right\} . \tag{4.20}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.10. Possible deformations of the edge loops. In (a), $u v w$ is replaced by $u w$. In (b), $u v u$ is replaced by $u$.

The unit element is an equivalence class $\{v\}$ while the inverse of $\left\{v v_{1} \ldots v_{k-1} v\right\}$ is $\left\{v v_{k-1} \ldots v_{1} v\right\}$. This group is called the edge group of $K$ at $v$ and denoted by $E(K ; v)$.

Theorem 4.7. $E(K ; v)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{1}(|K| ; v)$.
The proof is found in Armstrong (1983), for example. This isomorphism $\varphi: E(K ; v) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(|K| ; v)$ is given by identifying an edge loop in $K$ with a loop in $|K|$. To find $E(K ; v)$, we need to read off the generators and relations. Let $L$ be a simplicial subcomplex of $K$, such that
(a) $L$ contains all the vertices ( 0 -simplexes) of $K$;
(b) the polyhedron $|L|$ is arcwise connected and simply connected.

Given an arcwise-connected simplicial complex $K$, there always exists a subcomplex $L$ that satisfies these conditions. A one-dimensional simplicial complex that is arcwise connected and simply connected is called a tree. A tree $T_{\mathrm{M}}$ is called the maximal tree of $K$ if it is not a proper subset of other trees.

Lemma 4.3. A maximal tree $T_{\mathrm{M}}$ contains all the vertices of $K$ and hence satisfies conditions (a) and (b) above.

Proof. Suppose $T_{\mathrm{M}}$ does not contain some vertex $w$. Since $K$ is arcwise connected, there is a 1-simplex $v w$ in $K$ such that $v \in T_{\mathrm{M}}$ and $w \notin T_{\mathrm{M}} . T_{\mathrm{M}} \cup$ $\{v w\} \cup\{w\}$ is a one-dimensional subcomplex of $K$ which is arcwise connected, simply connected and contains $T_{\mathrm{M}}$, which contradicts the assumption.

Suppose we have somehow obtained the subcomplex $L$. Since $|L|$ is simply connected, the edge loops in $|L|$ do not contribute to $E(K ; v)$. Thus, we can effectively ignore the simplexes in $L$ in our calculations. Let $v_{0}(=v), v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}$ be the vertices of $K$. Assign an 'object' $g_{i j}$ for each ordered pair of vertices $v_{i}, v_{j}$ if $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j}\right\rangle$ is a 1 -simplex of $K$. Let $G(K ; L)$ be a group that is generated by all $g_{i j}$. What about the relations? We have the following.
(1) Since we ignore those simplexes in $L$, we assign $g_{i j}=1$ if $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j}\right\rangle \in L$.
(2) If $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}\right\rangle$ is a 2 -simplex of $K$, there are no non-trivial loops around $v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}$ and we have the relation $g_{i j} g_{j k} g_{k i}=1$.

The generators $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}$ and the set of relations completely determine the group $G(K ; L)$.

Theorem 4.8. $G(K ; L)$ is isomorphic to $E(K ; v) \simeq \pi_{1}(|K| ; v)$.
In fact, we can be more efficient than is apparent. For example, $g_{i i}$ should be set equal to 1 since $g_{i i}$ corresponds to the vertex $v_{i}$ which is an element of $L$. Moreover, from $g_{i j} g_{j i}=g_{i i}=1$, we have $g_{i j}=g_{j i}^{-1}$. Therefore, we only need to introduce those generators $g_{i j}$ for each pair of vertices $v_{i}, v_{j}$ such that $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j}\right\rangle \in K-L$ and $i<j$. Since there are no generators $g_{i j}$ such that $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j}\right\rangle \in L$, we can ignore the first type of relation. If $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}\right\rangle$ is a 2 -simplex of $K-L$ such that $i<j<k$, the corresponding relation is uniquely given by $g_{i j} g_{j k}=g_{i k}$ since we are only concerned with simplexes $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j}\right\rangle$ such that $i<j$.

To summarize, the rules of the game are as follows.
(1) First, find a triangulation $f:|K| \rightarrow X$.
(2) Find the subcomplex $L$ that is arcwise connected, simply connected and contains all the vertices of $K$.
(3) Assign a generator $g_{i j}$ to each 1-simplex $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j}\right\rangle$ of $K-L$, for which $i<j$.
(4) Impose a relation $g_{i j} g_{j k}=g_{i k}$ if there is a 2 -simplex $\left\langle v_{i} v_{j} v_{k}\right\rangle$ such that $i<j<k$. If two of the vertices $v_{i}, v_{j}$ and $v_{k}$ form a 1 -simplex of $L$, the corresponding generator should be set equal to 1 .
(5) Now $\pi_{1}(X)$ is isomorphic to $G(K ; L)$ which is a group generated by $\left\{g_{i j}\right\}$ with the relations obtained in (4).

Let us work out several examples.
Example 4.5. From our construction, it should be clear that $E(K ; v)$ and $G(K ; L)$ involve only the $0-$, 1- and 2-simplexes of $K$. Accordingly, if $K^{(2)}$ denotes a 2skeleton of $K$, which is defined to be the set of all $0-, 1$ - and 2 -simplexes in $K$, we should have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(|K|) \cong \pi_{1}\left(\left|K^{(2)}\right|\right) . \tag{4.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is quite useful in actual computations. For example, a 3 -simplex and its boundary have the same 2 -skeleton. A 3 -simplex is a polyhedron $|K|$ of the solid ball $D^{3}$, while its boundary $|L|$ is a polyhedron of the sphere $S^{2}$. Since $D^{3}$ is contractible, $\pi_{1}(|K|) \cong\{e\}$. From (4.21) we find $\pi_{1}\left(S^{2}\right) \cong \pi_{1}(|K|) \cong\{e\}$. In general, for $n \geq 2$, the $(n+1)$-simplex $\sigma_{n+1}$ and the boundary of $\sigma_{n+1}$ have the same 2 -skeleton. If we note that $\sigma_{n+1}$ is contractible and the boundary of $\sigma_{n+1}$ is a polyhedron of $S^{n}$, we find the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong\{e\} \quad n \geq 2 \tag{4.22}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.11. A triangulation of a 3-bouquet. The bold lines denote the maximal tree $L$.

Example 4.6. Let $K \equiv\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3},\left\langle v_{1} v_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{1} v_{3}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{2} v_{3}\right\rangle\right\}$ be a simplicial complex of a circle $S^{1}$. We take $v_{1}$ as the base point. A maximal tree may be $L=\left\{v_{1}, v_{2}, v_{3},\left\langle v_{1} v_{2}\right\rangle,\left\langle v_{1} v_{3}\right\rangle\right\}$. There is only one generator $g_{23}$. Since there are no 2-simplexes in $K$, the relation is empty. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong G(K ; L)=\left(g_{23} ; \emptyset\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with theorem 4.5.
Example 4.7. An $\boldsymbol{n}$-bouquet is defined by the one-point union of $n$ circles. For example, figure 4.11 is a triangulation of a 3-bouquet. Take the common point $v$ as the base point. The bold lines in figure 4.11 form a maximal tree $L$. The generators of $G(K ; L)$ are $g_{12}, g_{34}$ and $g_{56}$. There are no relations and we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(3 \text {-bouquet })=G(K ; L)=(x, y, z ; \emptyset) \tag{4.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this is a free group but not free Abelian. The non-commutativity can be shown as follows. Consider loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at $v$ encircling different holes. Obviously the product $\alpha * \beta * \alpha^{-1}$ cannot be continuously deformed into $\beta$, hence $[\alpha] *[\beta] *[\alpha]^{-1} \neq[\beta]$, or

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha] *[\beta] \neq[\beta] *[\alpha] . \tag{4.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, an $n$-bouquet has $n$ generators $g_{12}, \ldots, g_{2 n-1} 2 n$ and the fundamental group is isomorphic to the free group with $n$ generators with no relations.


Figure 4.12. A triangulation of the torus.

Example 4.8. Let $D^{2}$ be a two-dimensional disc. A triangulation $K$ of $D^{2}$ is given by a triangle with its interior included. Clearly $K$ itself may be $L$ and $K-L$ is empty. Thus, we find $\pi_{1}(K) \cong\{e\}$.

Example 4.9. Figure 4.12 is a triangulation of the torus $T^{2}$. The shaded area is chosen to be the subcomplex $L$. [Verify that it contains all the vertices and is both arcwise and simply connected.] There are 11 generators with ten relations. Let us take $x=g_{02}$ and $y=g_{04}$ and write down the relations
(a) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{02} & g_{27} \\ x & 1\end{array}=g_{07} \rightarrow \quad g_{07}=x$
(b) $\quad g_{03} \quad g_{37}=g_{07} \rightarrow g_{37}=x$
(c) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{37} & g_{78} \\ x & 1\end{array}=g_{38} \rightarrow \quad g_{38}=x$
(d) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{34} & g_{48} \\ 1 & =\begin{array}{c}g_{38} \\ x\end{array} \rightarrow \quad g_{48}=x\end{array}$
(e) $\begin{gathered}g_{24} \\ \\ g_{48} \\ x\end{gathered}=g_{28} \rightarrow \quad g_{24} x=g_{28}$
(f) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{02} & g_{24} \\ x & =\underset{y}{ } \quad g_{04} \quad \rightarrow \quad x g_{24}=y \\ \end{array}$
$(\mathrm{g}) \quad g_{04} \quad g_{46}=g_{06} \quad \rightarrow \quad g_{06}=y$

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
y & 1
\end{array}
$$

(h) $\quad g_{01} \quad g_{16}=g_{06} \quad \rightarrow \quad g_{16}=y$
$1 \quad y$
(i) $\quad g_{16} \quad g_{68}=g_{18} \quad \rightarrow \quad g_{18}=y$
(j) $\begin{gathered}g_{12} \\ 1\end{gathered} g_{28}=\underset{y}{g_{18}} \rightarrow \quad g_{28}=y$

It follows from (e) and (f) that $x^{-1} y x=g_{28}$. We finally have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{02}=g_{07}=g_{37}=g_{38}=g_{48}=x \\
& g_{04}=g_{06}=g_{16}=g_{18}=g_{28}=y \\
& g_{24}=x^{-1} y
\end{aligned}
$$

with a relation $x^{-1} y x=y$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y x^{-1} y^{-1}=1 \tag{4.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $G(K ; L)$ is generated by two commutative generators (note $x y=y x$ ), hence (cf example 4.4)

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(K ; L)=\left(x, y ; x y x^{-1} y^{-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

in agreement with (4.11).
We have the following intuitive picture. Consider loops $\alpha=0 \rightarrow 1 \rightarrow$ $2 \rightarrow 0$ and $\beta=0 \rightarrow 3 \rightarrow 4 \rightarrow 0$. The loop $\alpha$ is identified with $x=g_{02}$ since $g_{12}=g_{01}=1$ and $\beta$ with $y=g_{04}$. They generate $\pi_{1}\left(T^{2}\right)$ since $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are independent non-trivial loops. In terms of these, the relation is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha * \beta * \alpha^{-1} * \beta^{-1} \sim c_{v} \tag{4.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{v}$ is a constant loop at $v$, see figure 4.13.
More generally, let $\Sigma_{g}$ be the torus with genus $g$. As we have shown in problem 2.1, $\Sigma_{g}$ is expressed as a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with proper identifications at the boundary. The fundamental group of $\Sigma_{g}$ is generated by $2 g$ loops $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}$ ( $1 \leq i \leq g$ ). Similarly, to (4.28), we verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{g}\left(\alpha_{i} * \beta_{i} * \alpha_{i}^{-1} * \beta_{i}^{-1}\right) \sim c_{v} \tag{4.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we denote the generators corresponding to $\alpha_{i}$ by $x_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ by $y_{i}$, there is only one relation among them,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i=1}^{g}\left(x_{i} y_{i} x_{i}^{-1} y_{i}^{-1}\right)=1 \tag{4.30}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.13. The loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$ satisfy the relation $\alpha * \beta * \alpha^{-1} * \beta^{-1} \sim c_{v}$.


Figure 4.14. A triangulation of the Klein bottle.

Exercise 4.4. Figure 4.14 is a triangulation of the Klein bottle. The shaded area is the subcomplex $L$. There are 11 generators and ten relations. Take $x=g_{02}$ and $y=g_{04}$ and write down the relations for 2-simplexes to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(\text { Klein bottle }) \cong\left(x, y ; x y x y^{-1}\right) \tag{4.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.10. Figure 4.15 is a triangulation of the projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$. The shaded area is the subcomplex $L$. There are seven generators and six relations.


Figure 4.15. A triangulation of the projective plane.

Let us take $x=g_{23}$ and write down the relations
(a) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{23} & g_{34}=g_{24} \\ x & 1\end{array} \rightarrow \quad g_{24}=x$
(b) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{24} & g_{46} \\ x & 1\end{array}=g_{26} \rightarrow \quad g_{26}=x$
(c) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{12} & g_{26} \\ 1 & x\end{array} g_{16} \rightarrow g_{16}=x$
(d) $\begin{array}{cc}g_{13} \\ 1 & g_{36}\end{array}=\underset{x}{g_{16}} \rightarrow \quad g_{36}=x$
(e) $\quad \begin{array}{cc}g_{35} & g_{56} \\ 1 & = \\ x\end{array} g_{36} \rightarrow g_{35}=x$
(f) $\left.\begin{array}{cc}g_{23} & g_{35} \\ x & x\end{array}\right] \quad g_{25} \rightarrow x^{2}=1$.

Hence, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong\left(x ; x^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \tag{4.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Intuitively, the appearance of a cyclic group is understood as follows. Figure $4.16(a)$ is a schematic picture of $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$. Take loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$. It is easy to see that $\alpha$ is continuously deformed to a point, and hence is a trivial element of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$. Since diametrically opposite points are identified in $\mathbb{R} P^{2}, \beta$ is actually
(a)


Figure 4.16. (a) $\alpha$ is a trivial loop while the loop $\beta$ cannot be shrunk to a point. (b) $\beta * \beta$ is continuously shrunk to a point.
a closed loop. Since it cannot be shrunk to a point, it is a non-trivial element of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$. What about the product? $\beta * \beta$ is a loop which traverses from P to $\mathrm{Q} \sim$ P twice. It can be read off from figure $4.16(b)$ that $\beta * \beta$ is continuously shrunk to a point, and thus belongs to the trivial class. This shows that the generator $x$, corresponding to the homotopy class of the loop $\beta$, satisfies the relation $x^{2}=1$, which verifies our result.

The same pictures can be used to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \tag{4.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ is identified as $S^{3}$ with diametrically opposite points identified, $\mathbb{R} P^{3}=S^{3} /(x \sim-x)$. If we take the hemisphere of $S^{3}$ as the representative, $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ can be expressed as a solid ball $D^{3}$ with diametrically opposite points on the surface identified. If the discs $D^{2}$ in figure 4.16 are interpreted as solid balls $D^{3}$, the same pictures verify (4.33).

Exercise 4.5. A triangulation of the Möbius strip is given by figure 3.8. Find the maximal tree and show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{1}(\text { Möbius strip }) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Note: Of course the Möbius strip is of the same homotopy type as $S^{1}$, hence (4.34) is trivial. The reader is asked to obtain this result through routine procedures.]

### 4.4.3 Relations between $H_{1}(K)$ and $\pi_{1}(|K|)$

The reader might have noticed that there is a certain similarity between the first homology group $H_{1}(K)$ and the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(|K|)$. For example, the fundamental groups of many spaces (circle, disc, $n$-spheres, torus and many more) are identical to the corresponding first homology group. In some cases, however, they are different: $H_{1}(2$-bouquet $) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{1}$ (2-bouquet) $=(x, y: \emptyset)$, for
example. Note that $H_{1}$ (2-bouquet) is a free Abelian group while $\pi_{1}$ (2-bouquet) is a free group. The following theorem relates $\pi_{1}(|K|)$ to $H_{1}(K)$.

Theorem 4.9. Let $K$ be a connected simplicial complex. Then $H_{1}(K)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{1}(|K|) / F$, where $F$ is the commutator subgroup (see later) of $\pi_{1}(|K|)$.

Let $G$ be a group whose presentation is $\left(x_{i} ; r_{m}\right)$. The commutator $\operatorname{subgroup} F$ of $G$ is a group generated by the elements of the form $x_{i} x_{j} x_{i}^{-1} x_{j}^{-1}$. Thus, $G / F$ is a group generated by $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ with the set of relations $\left\{r_{m}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{i} x_{j} x_{i}^{-1} x_{j}^{-1}\right\}$. The theorem states that if $\pi_{1}(|K|)=\left(x_{i}: r_{m}\right)$, then $H_{1}(K) \cong$ $\left(x_{i}: r_{m}, x_{i} x_{j} x_{i}^{-1} x_{j}^{-1}\right)$. For example, from $\pi_{1}(2$-bouquet $)=(x, y: \emptyset)$, we find

$$
\pi_{1}(2 \text {-bouquet }) / F \cong\left(x, y ; x y x^{-1} y^{-1}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}
$$

which is isomorphic to $H_{1}$ (2-bouquet).
The proof of theorem 4.9 is found in Greenberg and Harper (1981) and also outlined in Croom (1978).

Example 4.11. From $\pi_{1}($ Klein bottle $) \cong\left(x, y ; x y x y^{-1}\right)$, we have

$$
\pi_{1}(\text { Klein bottle }) / F \cong\left(x, y ; x y x y^{-1}, x y x^{-1} y^{-1}\right)
$$

Two relations are replaced by $x^{2}=1$ and $x y x^{-1} y^{-1}=1$ to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\pi_{1}(\text { Klein bottle }) / F & \cong\left(x, y ; x y x^{-1} y^{-1}, x^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}_{2} \\
& \cong H_{1}(\text { Klein bottle })
\end{aligned}
$$

where the factor $\mathbb{Z}$ is generated by $y$ and $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by $x$.
Corollary 4.2. Let $X$ be a connected topological space. Then $\pi_{1}(X)$ is isomorphic to $H_{1}(X)$ if and only if $\pi_{1}(X)$ is commutative. In particular, if $\pi_{1}(X)$ is generated by one generator, $\pi_{1}(X)$ is always isomorphic to $H_{1}(X)$. [Use theorem 4.9.]

Corollary 4.3. If $X$ and $Y$ are of the same homotopy type, their first homology groups are identical: $H_{1}(X)=H_{1}(Y)$. [Use theorems 4.9 and 4.3.]

### 4.5 Higher homotopy groups

The fundamental group classifies the homotopy classes of loops in a topological space $X$. There are many ways to assign other groups to $X$. For example, we may classify homotopy classes of the spheres in $X$ or homotopy classes of the tori in $X$. It turns out that the homotopy classes of the sphere $S^{n}(n \geq 2)$ form a group similar to the fundamental group.

### 4.5.1 Definitions

Let $I^{n}(n \geq 1)$ denote the unit $n$-cube $I \times \cdots \times I$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{n}=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \mid 0 \leq s_{i} \leq 1(1 \leq i \leq n)\right\} \tag{4.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary $\partial I^{n}$ is the geometrical boundary of $I^{n}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial I^{n}=\left\{\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in I^{n} \mid \text { some } s_{i}=0 \text { or } 1\right\} . \tag{4.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

We recall that in the fundamental group, the boundary $\partial I$ of $I=[0,1]$ is mapped to the base point $x_{0}$. Similarly, we assume here that we shall be concerned with continuous maps $\alpha: I^{n} \rightarrow X$, which map the boundary $\partial I^{n}$ to a point $x_{0} \in X$. Since the boundary is mapped to a single point $x_{0}$, we have effectively obtained $S^{n}$ from $I^{n}$; cf figure 2.8. If $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$ denotes the cube $I^{n}$ whose boundary $\partial I^{n}$ is shrunk to a point, we have $I^{n} / \partial I^{n} \cong S^{n}$. The map $\alpha$ is called an $\boldsymbol{n}$-loop at $x_{0}$. A straightforward generalization of definition 4.4 is as follows.

Definition 4.10. Let $X$ be a topological space and $\alpha, \beta: I^{n} \rightarrow X$ be $n$-loops at $x_{0} \in X$. The map $\alpha$ is homotopic to $\beta$, denoted by $\alpha \sim \beta$, if there exists a continuous map $F: I^{n} \times I \rightarrow X$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& F\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, 0\right)=\alpha\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)  \tag{4.37a}\\
& F\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, 1\right)=\beta\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)  \tag{4.37b}\\
& F\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}, t\right)=x_{0} \quad \text { for }\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \in \partial I^{n}, t \in I . \tag{4.37c}
\end{align*}
$$

$F$ is called a homotopy between $\alpha$ and $\beta$.
Exercise 4.6. Show that $\alpha \sim \beta$ is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class to which $\alpha$ belongs is called the homotopy class of $\alpha$ and is denoted by $[\alpha]$.

Let us define the group operations. The product $\alpha * \beta$ of $n$-loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$ is defined by

$$
\alpha * \beta\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right)= \begin{cases}\alpha\left(2 s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) & 0 \leq s_{1} \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{4.38}\\ \beta\left(2 s_{1}-1, \ldots, s_{n}\right) & \frac{1}{2} \leq s_{1} \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

The product $\alpha * \beta$ looks like figure $4.17(a)$ in $X$. It is helpful to express it as figure $4.17(b)$. If we define $\alpha^{-1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{-1}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \equiv \alpha\left(1-s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \tag{4.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{-1} * \alpha\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \sim \alpha * \alpha^{-1}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \sim c_{x_{0}}\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \tag{4.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{x_{0}}$ is a constant $n$-loop at $x_{0} \in X, c_{x_{0}}:\left(s_{1}, \ldots, s_{n}\right) \mapsto x_{0}$. Verify that both $\alpha * \beta$ and $\alpha^{-1}$ are $n$-loops at $x_{0}$.


Figure 4.17. A product $\alpha * \beta$ of $n$-loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$.

Definition 4.11. Let $X$ be a topological space. The set of homotopy classes of $n$-loops ( $n \geq 1$ ) at $x_{0} \in X$ is denoted by $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ and called the $\boldsymbol{n}$ th homotopy group at $x_{0}$. $\pi_{n}\left(x, x_{0}\right)$ is called the higher homotopy group if $n \geq 2$.

The product $\alpha * \beta$ just defined naturally induces a product of homotopy classes defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha] *[\beta] \equiv[\alpha * \beta] \tag{4.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are $n$-loops at $x_{0}$. The following exercises verify that this product is well defined and satisfies the group axioms.

Exercise 4.7. Show that the product of $n$-loops defined by (4.41) is independent of the representatives: cf lemma 4.1.

Exercise 4.8. Show that the $n$th homotopy group is a group. To prove this, the following facts may be verified; cf theorem 4.1.
(1) $([\alpha] *[\beta]) *[\gamma]=[\alpha] *([\beta] *[\gamma])$.
(2) $[\alpha] *\left[c_{x}\right]=\left[c_{x}\right] *[\alpha]=[\alpha]$.
(3) $[\alpha] *\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]=\left[c_{x}\right]$, which defines the inverse $[\alpha]^{-1}=\left[\alpha^{-1}\right]$.

We have excluded $\pi_{0}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ so far. Let us classify maps from $I^{0}$ to $X$. We note $I^{0}=\{0\}$ and $\partial I^{0}=\emptyset$. Let $\alpha, \beta:\{0\} \rightarrow X$ be such that $\alpha(0)=x$ and $\beta(0)=y$. We define $\alpha \sim \beta$ if there exists a continuous map $F:\{0\} \times I \rightarrow X$ such that $F(0,0)=x$ and $F(0,1)=y$. This shows that $\alpha \sim \beta$ if and only if $x$ and $y$ are connected by a curve in $X$, namely they are in the same (arcwise) connected component. Clearly this equivalence relation is independent of $x_{0}$ and we simply denote the zeroth homology group by $\pi_{0}(X)$. Note, however, that $\pi_{0}(X)$ is not a group and denotes the number of (arcwise) connected components of $X$.


Figure 4.18. Higher homotopy groups are always commutative, $\alpha * \beta \sim \beta * \alpha$.

### 4.6 General properties of higher homotopy groups

### 4.6.1 Abelian nature of higher homotopy groups

Higher homotopy groups are always Abelian; for any $n$-loops $\alpha$ and $\beta$ at $x_{0} \in X$, $[\alpha]$ and $[\beta]$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\alpha] *[\beta]=[\beta] *[\alpha] . \tag{4.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

To verify this assertion let us observe figure 4.18. Clearly the deformation is homotopic at each step of the sequence. This shows that $\alpha * \beta \sim \beta * \alpha$, namely $[\alpha] *[\beta]=[\beta] *[\alpha]$.

### 4.6.2 Arcwise connectedness and higher homotopy groups

If a topological space $X$ is arcwise connected, $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{1}\right)$ for any pair $x_{0}, x_{1} \in X$. The proof is parallel to that of theorem 4.2. Accordingly, if $X$ is arcwise connected, the base point need not be specified.

### 4.6.3 Homotopy invariance of higher homotopy groups

Let $X$ and $Y$ be topological spaces of the same homotopy type; see definition 4.6. If $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is a homotopy equivalence, the homotopy group $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is isomorphic to $\pi_{n}\left(Y, f\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$; cf theorem 4.3. Topological invariance of higher homotopy groups is the direct consequence of this fact. In particular, if $X$ is contractible, the homotopy groups are trivial: $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)=\{e\}, n>1$.

### 4.6.4 Higher homotopy groups of a product space

Let $X$ and $Y$ be arcwise connected topological spaces. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}(X \times Y) \cong \pi_{n}(X) \oplus \pi_{n}(Y) \tag{4.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf theorem 4.6.

### 4.6.5 Universal covering spaces and higher homotopy groups

There are several cases in which the homotopy groups of one space are given by the known homotopy groups of the other space. There is a remarkable property
between the higher homotopy groups of a topological space and its universal covering space.

Definition 4.12. Let $X$ and $\widetilde{X}$ be connected topological spaces. The pair ( $\widetilde{X}, p$ ), or simply $\widetilde{X}$, is called the covering space of $X$ if there exists a continuous map $p: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ such that
(1) $p$ is surjective (onto)
(2) for each $x \in X$, there exists a connected open set $U \subset X$ containing $x$, such that $p^{-1}(U)$ is a disjoint union of open sets in $\widetilde{X}$, each of which is mapped homeomorphically onto $U$ by $p$.

In particular, if $\tilde{X}$ is simply connected, ( $\tilde{X}, p)$ is called the universal covering space of $X$. [Remarks: Certain groups are known to be topological spaces. They are called topological groups. For example $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ are topological groups. If $X$ and $\widetilde{X}$ in definition 4.12 happen to be topological groups and $p: \widetilde{X} \rightarrow X$ to be a group homomorphism, the (universal) covering space is called the (universal) covering group.]

For example, $\mathbb{R}$ is the universal covering space of $S^{1}$, see section 4.3. Since $S^{1}$ is identified with $\mathrm{U}(1), \mathbb{R}$ is a universal covering group of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ if $\mathbb{R}$ is regarded as an additive group. The map $p: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ may be $p: x \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi x}$. Clearly $p$ is surjective and if $U=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} 2 \pi x} \mid x \in\left(x_{0}-0.1, x_{0}+0.1\right)\right\}$, then

$$
p^{-1}(U)=\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}\left(x_{0}-0.1+n, x_{0}+0.1+n\right)
$$

which is a disjoint union of open sets of $\mathbb{R}$. It is easy to show that $p$ is also a homomorphism with respect to addition in $\mathbb{R}$ and multiplication in $\mathrm{U}(1)$. Hence, $(\mathbb{R}, p)$ is the universal covering group of $\mathrm{U}(1)=S^{1}$.

Theorem 4.10. Let $(\tilde{X}, p)$ be the universal covering space of a connected topological space $X$. If $x_{0} \in X$ and $\tilde{x}_{0} \in \widetilde{X}$ are base points such that $p\left(\tilde{x}_{0}\right)=x_{0}$, the induced homomorphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{*}: \pi_{n}\left(\tilde{X}, \tilde{x}_{0}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right) \tag{4.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

is an isomorphism for $n \geq 2$. [Warning: This theorem cannot be applied if $n=1$; $\pi_{1}(\mathbb{R})=\{e\}$ while $\left.\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}.\right]$

The proof is given in Croom (1978). For example, we have $\pi_{n}(\mathbb{R})=\{e\}$ since $\mathbb{R}$ is contractible. Then we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong \pi_{n}(\mathrm{U}(1))=\{e\} \quad n \geq 2 \tag{4.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 4.12. Let $S^{n}=\left\{\left.x \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}| | x\right|^{2}=1\right\}$. The real projective space $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is obtained from $S^{n}$ by identifying the pair of antipodal points $(x,-x)$. It is easy to
see that $S^{n}$ is a covering space of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ for $n \geq 2$. Since $\pi_{1}\left(S^{n}\right)=\{e\}$ for $n \geq 2$, $S^{n}$ is the universal covering space of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{m}\right) \cong \pi_{n}\left(S^{m}\right) \tag{4.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ is identified with $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. To see this let us specify an element of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ by a rotation about an axis $\boldsymbol{n}$ by an angle $\theta$ $(0<\theta<\pi)$ and assign a 'vector' $\boldsymbol{\Omega} \equiv \theta \boldsymbol{n}$ to this element. $\Omega$ takes its value in the disc $D^{3}$ of radius $\pi$. Moreover, $\pi \boldsymbol{n}$ and $-\pi \boldsymbol{n}$ represent the same rotation and should be identified. Thus, the space to which $\Omega$ belongs is a disc $D^{3}$ whose antipodal points on the surface $S^{2}$ are identified. Note also that we may express $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ as the northern hemisphere $D^{3}$ of $S^{3}$, whose anti-podal points on the boundary $S^{2}$ are identified. This shows that $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ is identified with $\mathrm{SO}(3)$.

It is also interesting to see that $S^{3}$ is identified with $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. First note that any element $g \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is written as

$$
g=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & -\bar{b}  \tag{4.47}\\
b & \bar{a}
\end{array}\right) \quad|a|^{2}+|b|^{2}=1
$$

If we write $a=u+\mathrm{i} v$ and $b=x+\mathrm{i} y$, this becomes $S^{3}$,

$$
u^{2}+v^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}=1
$$

Collecting these results, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}(\mathrm{SO}(3))=\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right)=\pi_{n}\left(S^{3}\right)=\pi_{n}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \quad n \geq 2 \tag{4.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

More generally, the universal covering group $\operatorname{Spin}(n)$ of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ is called the spin group. For small $n$, they are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Spin}(3)=\mathrm{SU}(2)  \tag{4.49}\\
& \operatorname{Spin}(4)=\operatorname{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)  \tag{4.50}\\
& \operatorname{Spin}(5)=\mathrm{USp}(4)  \tag{4.51}\\
& \operatorname{Spin}(6)=\mathrm{SU}(4) \tag{4.52}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $\operatorname{USp}(2 N)$ stands for the compact group of $2 N \times 2 N$ matrices $A$ satisfying $A^{\mathrm{t}} J A=J$, where

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & I_{N} \\
-I_{N} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

### 4.7 Examples of higher homotopy groups

In general, there are no algorithms to compute higher homotopy groups $\pi_{n}(X)$. An ad hoc method is required for each topological space for $n \geq 2$. Here, we study several examples in which higher homotopy groups may be obtained by intuitive arguments. We also collect useful results in table 4.1.

Table 4.1. Useful homotopy groups.

|  | $\pi_{1}$ | $\pi_{2}$ | $\pi_{3}$ | $\pi_{4}$ | $\pi_{5}$ | $\pi_{6}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| SO(3) | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}(4)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}+\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}+\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}+\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}+\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| $\mathrm{SO}(5)$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 |
| SO (6) | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |
| $\mathrm{SO}(n) \quad n>6$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| U(1) | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SU(2) | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| SU(3) | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{6}$ |
| $\mathrm{SU}(n) \quad n>3$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 |
| $S^{2}$ | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| $S^{3}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{12}$ |
| $S^{4}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ | $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ |
| $G_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ |
| $F_{4}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $E_{6}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $E_{7}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $E_{8}$ | 0 | 0 | $\mathbb{Z}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Example 4.13. If we note that $\pi_{n}\left(X, x_{0}\right)$ is the set of the homotopy classes of $n$-loops $S^{n}$ in $X$, we immediately find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}\left(S^{n}, x_{0}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad n \geq 1 \tag{4.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\alpha$ maps $S^{n}$ onto a point $x_{0} \in S^{n},[\alpha]$ is the unit element $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since both $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$ and $S^{n}$ are orientable, we may assign orientations to them. If $\alpha$ maps $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$ homeomorphically to $S^{n}$ in the same sense of orientation, then $[\alpha]$ is assigned an element $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If a homeomorphism $\alpha$ maps $I^{n} / \partial I^{n}$ onto $S^{n}$ in an orientation of opposite sense, $[\alpha]$ corresponds to an element -1 . For example, let $n=2$. Since $I^{2} / \partial I^{2} \cong S^{2}$, the point in $I^{2}$ can be expressed by the polar coordinate $(\theta, \phi)$, see figure 4.19. Similarly, $X=S^{2}$ can be expressed by the polar coordinate $\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$. Let $\alpha:(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ be a 2-loop in $X$. If $\theta^{\prime}=\theta$ and $\phi^{\prime}=\phi$, the point $\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ sweeps $S^{2}$ once while the point $(\theta, \phi)$ scans $I^{2}$ once in the same orientation. This 2-loop belongs to the class $+1 \in \pi_{2}\left(S^{2}, x_{0}\right)$. If $\alpha:(\theta, \phi) \rightarrow\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ is given by $\theta^{\prime}=\theta$ and $\phi^{\prime}=2 \phi$, the point $\left(\theta^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ sweeps $S^{2}$ twice while $(\theta, \phi)$ scans $I^{2}$ once. This 2-loop belongs to the class $2 \in \pi_{2}\left(S^{2}, x_{0}\right)$. In general, the map $(\theta, \phi) \mapsto(\theta, k \phi), k \in \mathbb{Z}$, corresponds to the class $k$ of $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2}, x_{0}\right)$. A similar argument verifies (4.53) for general $n>2$.

Example 4.14. Noting that $S^{n}$ is a universal covering space of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ for $n>2$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{n}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{n}\right) \cong \pi_{n}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \quad n \geq 2 \tag{4.54}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.19. A point in $I^{2}$ may be expressed by polar coordinates $(\theta, \phi)$.
[Of course this happens to be true for $n=1$, since $\mathbb{R} P^{1}=S^{1}$.] For example, we have $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong \pi_{2}\left(S^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathrm{SU}(2)=S^{3}$ is the universal covering group of $\operatorname{SO}(3)=\mathbb{R} P^{3}$, it follows from theorem 4.10 that (see also (4.48))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \cong \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \tag{4.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Shankar's monopoles in superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$ correspond to non-trivial elements of these homotopy classes, see section 4.10. $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ is also employed in the classification of instantons in example 9.8.

In summary, we have table 4.1. In this table, other useful homotopy groups are also listed. We comment on several interesting facts.
(a) Since $\operatorname{Spin}(4)=\mathrm{SU}(2) \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is the universal covering group of $\mathrm{SO}(4)$, we have $\pi_{n}(\mathrm{SO}(4))=\pi_{n}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \oplus \pi_{n}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$ for $n>2$.
(b) There exists a map $J$ called the $J$-homomorphism $J: \pi_{k}(\mathrm{SO}(n)) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{k+n}\left(S^{n}\right)$, see Whitehead (1978). In particular, if $k=1$, the homomorphism is known to be an isomorphism and we have $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(n))=\pi_{n+1}\left(S^{n}\right)$. For example, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(2)) \cong \pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \\
& \pi_{1}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \cong \pi_{4}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong \pi_{4}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \pi_{4}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

(c) The Bott periodicity theorem states that

$$
\pi_{k}(\mathrm{U}(n)) \cong \pi_{k}(\mathrm{SU}(n)) \cong \begin{cases}\{e\} & \text { if } k \text { is even }  \tag{4.56}\\ \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } k \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

for $n \geq(k+1) / 2$. Similarly,

$$
\pi_{k}(\mathrm{O}(n)) \cong \pi_{k}(\mathrm{SO}(n)) \cong \begin{cases}\{e\} & \text { if } k \equiv 2,4,5,6 \quad(\bmod 8)  \tag{4.50}\\ \mathbb{Z}_{2} & \text { if } k \equiv 0,1 \quad(\bmod 8) \\ \mathbb{Z} & \text { if } k \equiv 3,7 \quad(\bmod 8)\end{cases}
$$

for $n \geq k+2$. Similar periodicity holds for symplectic groups which we shall not give here.

Many more will be found in appendix A, table 6 of Ito (1987).

### 4.8 Orders in condensed matter systems

Recently topological methods have played increasingly important roles in condensed matter physics. For example, homotopy theory has been employed to classify possible forms of extended objects, such as solitons, vortices, monopoles and so on, in condensed systems. These classifications will be studied in sections 4.8-4.10. Here, we briefly look at the order parameters of condensed systems that undergo phase transitions.

### 4.8.1 Order parameter

Let $H$ be a Hamiltonian describing a condensed matter system. We assume $H$ is invariant under a certain symmetry operation. The ground state of the system need not preserve the symmetry of $H$. If this is the case, we say the system undergoes spontaneous symmetry breakdown.

To illustrate this phenomenon, we consider the Heisenberg Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-J \sum_{(i, j)} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \cdot \boldsymbol{S}_{j}+\boldsymbol{h} \cdot \sum_{i} \boldsymbol{S}_{i} \tag{4.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

which describes $N$ ferromagnetic Heisenberg spins $\left\{\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right\}$. The parameter $J$ is a positive constant, the summation is over the pair of the nearest-neighbour sites $(i, j)$ and $\boldsymbol{h}$ is the uniform external magnetic field. The partition function is $Z=\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}$, where $\beta=1 / T$ is the inverse temperature. The free energy $F$ is defined by $\exp (-\beta F)=Z$. The average magnetization per spin is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{m} \equiv \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i}\left\langle\boldsymbol{S}_{i}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{N \beta} \frac{\partial F}{\partial \boldsymbol{h}} \tag{4.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle\ldots\rangle \equiv \operatorname{tr}\left(\ldots \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}\right) / Z$. Let us consider the limit $\boldsymbol{h} \rightarrow 0$. Although $H$ is invariant under the $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ rotations of all $\boldsymbol{S}_{i}$ in this limit, it is well known that $\boldsymbol{m}$ does not vanish for large enough $\beta$ and the system does not observe the $\operatorname{SO}(3)$ symmetry. It is said that the system exhibits spontaneous magnetization and the maximum temperature, such that $\boldsymbol{m} \neq 0$ is called the critical temperature.

The vector $\boldsymbol{m}$ is the order parameter describing the phase transition between the ordered state $(\boldsymbol{m} \neq 0)$ and the disordered state $(\boldsymbol{m}=0)$. The system is still symmetric under $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ rotations around the magnetization axis $\boldsymbol{m}$.

What is the mechanism underlying the phase transition? The free energy is $F=\langle H\rangle-T S, S$ being the entropy. At low temperature, the term $T S$ in $F$ may be negligible and the minimum of $F$ is attained by minimizing $\langle H\rangle$, which is realized if all $S_{i}$ align in the same direction. At high temperature, however, the entropy term dominates $F$ and the minimum of $F$ is attained by maximizing $S$, which is realized if the directions of $\boldsymbol{S}_{i}$ are totally random.

If the system is at a uniform temperature, the magnitude $|\boldsymbol{m}|$ is independent of the position and $\boldsymbol{m}$ is specified by its direction only. In the ground state, $\boldsymbol{m}$ itself is expected to be independent of position. It is convenient to introduce the polar coordinate $(\theta, \phi)$ to specify the direction of $\boldsymbol{m}$. There is a one-to-one correspondence between $\boldsymbol{m}$ and a point on the sphere $S^{2}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{m}$ varies as a function of position: $\boldsymbol{m}=\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{x})$. At each point $\boldsymbol{x}$ of the space, a point $(\theta, \phi)$ of $S^{2}$ is assigned and we have a map $(\theta(\boldsymbol{x}), \phi(\boldsymbol{x}))$ from the space to $S^{2}$. Besides the ground state (and excited states that are described by small oscillations (spin waves) around the ground state) the system may carry various excited states that cannot be obtained from the ground state by small perturbations. What kinds of excitation are possible depends on the dimension of the space and the order parameter. For example, if the space is two dimensional, the Heisenberg ferromagnet may admit an excitation called the Belavin-Polyakov monopole shown in figure 4.20 (Belavin and Polyakov 1975). Observe that $\boldsymbol{m}$ approaches a constant vector ( $\hat{z}$ in this case) so the energy does not diverge. This condition guarantees the stability of this excitation; it is impossible to deform this configuration into the uniform one with $\boldsymbol{m}$ far from the origin kept fixed. These kinds of excitation whose stability depends on topological arguments are called topological excitations. Note that the field $\boldsymbol{m}(\boldsymbol{x})$ defines a map $\boldsymbol{m}: S^{2} \rightarrow S^{2}$ and, hence, are classified by the homotopy group $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$.

### 4.8.2 Superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ and superconductors

In Bogoliubov's theory, the order parameter of superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ is the expectation value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\phi(\boldsymbol{x})\rangle=\Psi(\boldsymbol{r})=\Delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha(\boldsymbol{x})} \tag{4.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi(\boldsymbol{x})$ is the field operator. In the operator formalism,

$$
\phi(x) \sim \text { (creation operator })+ \text { (annihilation operator })
$$

from which we find the number of particles is not conserved if $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \neq 0$. This is related to the spontaneous breakdown of the global gauge symmetry. The


Figure 4.20. A sketch of the Belavin-Polyakov monopole. The vector $\boldsymbol{m}$ approaches $\hat{\boldsymbol{z}}$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$.

Hamiltonian of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
H= & \int \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x})\left(-\frac{\nabla^{2}}{2 m}-\mu\right) \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{x} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{y} \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{y}) \phi(\boldsymbol{y}) V(|\boldsymbol{x}-\boldsymbol{y}|) \phi^{\dagger}(\boldsymbol{x}) \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{4.60}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly $H$ is invariant under the global gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \chi} \phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{4.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

The order parameter, however, transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \chi} \Phi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{4.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence does not observe the symmetry of the Hamiltonian. The phenomenological free energy describing ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ is made up of two contributions. The main contribution is the condensation energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{0} \equiv \frac{\alpha}{2!}|\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})|^{2}+\frac{\beta}{4!}|\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})|^{4} \tag{4.63a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \sim \alpha_{0}\left(T-T_{\mathrm{c}}\right)$ changes sign at the critical temperature $T \sim 4 \mathrm{~K}$. Figure 4.21 sketches $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ for $T>T_{\mathrm{c}}$ and $T<T_{\mathrm{c}}$. If $T>T_{\mathrm{c}}$, the minimum of $\mathcal{F}_{0}$ is attained at $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})=0$ while if $T<T_{\mathrm{c}}$ at $|\Psi|=\Delta_{0} \equiv[-(6 \alpha / \beta)]^{1 / 2}$. If $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ depends on $\boldsymbol{x}$, we have an additional contribution called the gradient energy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{grad}} \equiv \frac{1}{2} K \overline{\nabla \Psi(\boldsymbol{x})} \cdot \nabla \Psi(\boldsymbol{x}) \tag{4.63b}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.21. The free energy has a minimum at $|\Psi|=0$ for $T>T_{\mathrm{c}}$ and at $|\Psi|=\Delta_{0}$ for $T<T_{\mathrm{c}}$.
$K$ being a positive constant. If the spatial variation of $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ is mild enough, we may assume $\Delta_{0}$ is constant (the London limit).

In the BCS theory of superconductors, the order parameter is given by (Tsuneto 1982)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\alpha \beta} \equiv\left\langle\psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x}) \psi_{\beta}(\boldsymbol{x})\right\rangle \tag{4.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\psi_{\alpha}(\boldsymbol{x})$ being the (non-relativistic) electron field operator of $\operatorname{spin} \alpha=(\uparrow, \downarrow)$. It should be noted, however, that (4.64) is not an irreducible representation of the spin algebra. To see this, we examine the behaviour of $\Psi_{\alpha \beta}$ under a spin rotation. Consider an infinitesimal spin rotation around an axis $\boldsymbol{n}$ by an angle $\theta$, whose matrix representation is

$$
R=I_{2}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2} n^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu},
$$

$\sigma_{\mu}$ being the Pauli matrices. Since $\psi_{\alpha}$ transforms as $\psi_{\alpha} \rightarrow R_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} \psi_{\beta}$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Psi_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow R_{\alpha}{ }^{\alpha^{\prime}} \Psi_{\alpha^{\prime} \beta^{\prime}} R_{\beta}{ }^{\beta^{\prime}} & =\left(R \cdot \Psi \cdot R^{\mathrm{t}}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \\
& =\left[\Psi+\mathrm{i} \frac{\delta}{2} \boldsymbol{n}\left(\boldsymbol{\sigma} \Psi \sigma_{2}-\Psi \sigma_{2} \sigma\right)\right]_{\alpha \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we note that $\sigma_{\mu}^{\mathrm{t}}=-\sigma_{2} \sigma_{\mu} \sigma_{2}$. Suppose $\Psi_{\alpha \beta} \propto \mathrm{i}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)_{\alpha \beta}$. Then $\Psi$ does not change under this rotation, hence it represents the spin-singlet pairing. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\alpha \beta}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})\left(\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}\right)_{\alpha \beta}=\Delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})}\left(\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \tag{4.65a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, however, we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi_{\alpha \beta}(\boldsymbol{x})=\Delta^{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{i}\left(\sigma_{\mu} \cdot \sigma_{2}\right)_{\alpha \beta} \tag{4.65b}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have

$$
\Psi_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow\left[\Delta^{\mu}+\delta \varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda} n_{\nu} \Delta_{\lambda}\right]\left(\mathrm{i} \sigma_{\mu} \cdot \sigma_{2}\right)_{\alpha \beta}
$$

This shows that $\Delta^{\mu}$ is a vector in spin space, hence (4.65b) represents the spintriplet pairing.

The order parameter of a conventional superconductor is of the form (4.65a) and we restrict the analysis to this case for the moment. In (4.65a), $\Delta(\boldsymbol{x})$ assumes the same form as $\Psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ of superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ and the free energy is again given by (4.63). This similarity is attributed to the Cooper pair. In the superfluid state, a macroscopic number of ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ atoms occupy the ground state (Bose-Einstein condensation) which then behaves like a huge molecule due to the quantum coherence. In this state creating elementary excitations requires a finite amount of energy and the flow cannot decay unless this critical energy is supplied. Since an electron is a fermion there is, at first sight, no Bose-Einstein condensation. The key observation is the Cooper pair. By the exchange of phonons, a pair of electrons feels an attractive force that barely overcomes the Coulomb repulsion. This tiny attractive force makes it possible for electrons to form a pair (in momentum space) that obeys Bose statistics. The pairs then condense to form the superfluid state of the Cooper pairs of electric charge $2 e$.

An electromagnetic field couples to the system through the minimal coupling

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\text {grad }}=\frac{1}{2} K\left|\left(\partial_{\mu}-\mathrm{i} 2 e A_{\mu}\right) \Delta(\boldsymbol{x})\right|^{2} \tag{4.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

(The term $2 e$ is used since the Cooper pair carries charge $2 e$.) Superconductors are roughly divided into two types according to their behaviour in applied magnetic fields. The type-I superconductor forms an intermediate state in which normal and superconducting regions coexist in strong magnetic fields. The type-II superconductor forms a vortex lattice (Abrikosov lattice) to confine the magnetic fields within the cores of the vortices with other regions remaining in the superconducting state. A similar vortex lattice has been observed in rotating superfluid ${ }^{4} \mathrm{He}$ in a cylinder.

### 4.8.3 General consideration

In the next two sections, we study applications of homotopy groups to the classification of defects in ordered media. The analysis of this section is based on Toulouse and Kléman (1976), Mermin (1979) and Mineev (1980).

As we saw in the previous subsections, when a condensed matter system undergoes a phase transition, the symmetry of the system is reduced and this reduction is described by the order parameter. For definiteness, let us consider the three-dimensional medium of a superconductor. The order parameter takes the form $\psi(\boldsymbol{x})=\Delta_{0}(\boldsymbol{x}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi(\boldsymbol{x})}$. Let us consider a homogeneous system under uniform external conditions (temperature, pressure etc). The amplitude $\Delta_{0}$ is uniquely fixed by minimizing the condensation free energy. Note that there are still a large number of degrees of freedom left. $\psi$ may take any value in the circle $S^{1} \cong \mathrm{U}(1)$


Figure 4.22. A circle $S^{1}$ surrounding a line defect (vortex) is mapped to $\mathrm{U}(1)=S^{1}$. This map is classified by the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1)$.
determined by the phase $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}$. In this way, a uniform system takes its value in a certain region $M$ called the order parameter space. For a superconductor, $M=U(1)$. For the Heisenberg spin system, $M=S^{2}$. The nematic liquid crystal has $M=\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ while $M=S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$ for the superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$, see sections 4.94.10 .

If the system is in an inhomogeneous state, the gradient free energy cannot be negligible and $\psi$ may not be in $M$. If the characteristic size of the variation of the order parameter is much larger than the coherence length, however, we may still assume that the order parameter takes its value in $M$, where the value is a function of position this time. If this is the case, there may be points, lines or surfaces in the medium on which the order parameter is not uniquely defined. They are called the defects. We have point defects (monopoles), line defects (vortices) and surface defects (domain walls) according to their dimensionalities. These defects are classified by the homotopy groups.

To be more mathematical, let $X$ be a space which is filled with the medium under consideration. The order parameter is a classical field $\psi(x)$, which is also regarded as a map $\psi: X \rightarrow M$. Suppose there is a defect in the medium. For concreteness, we consider a line defect in the three-dimensional medium of a superconductor. Imagine a circle $S^{1}$ which encircles the line defect. If each part of $S^{1}$ is far from the line defect, much further than the coherence length $\xi$, we may assume the order parameter along $S^{1}$ takes its value in the order parameter space $M=\mathrm{U}(1)$, see figure 4.22 . This is how the fundamental group comes into the problem; we talk of loops in a topological space $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The map $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ is classified by the homotopy classes. Take a point $r_{0} \in S^{1}$ and require that $r_{0}$ be mapped to $x_{0} \in M$. By noting that $\pi_{1}\left(\mathrm{U}(1), x_{0}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$, we may assign an integer to the line defect. This integer is called the winding number since it counts how many times the image of $S^{1}$ winds the space $\mathrm{U}(1)$. If two line defects have the
same winding number, one can be continuously deformed to the other. If two line defects $A$ and $B$ merge together, the new line defect belongs to the homotopy class of the product of the homotopy classes to which $A$ and $B$ belonged before coalescence. Since the group operation in $\mathbb{Z}$ is an addition, the new winding number is a sum of the old winding numbers. A uniform distribution of the order parameter corresponds to the constant map $\psi(x)=x_{0} \in M$, which belongs to the unit element $0 \in \mathbb{Z}$. If two line defects of opposite winding numbers merge together, the new line defect can be continuously deformed into the defect-free configuration.

What about the other homotopy groups? We first consider the dimensionality of the defect and the sphere $S^{n}$ which surrounds it. For example, consider a point defect in a three-dimensional medium. It can be surrounded by $S^{2}$ and the defect is classified by $\pi_{2}\left(M, x_{0}\right)$. If $M$ has many components, $\pi_{0}(M)$ is non-trivia1. Let us consider a three-dimensional Ising model for which $M=\{\downarrow\} \cup\{\uparrow\}$. Then there is a domain wall on which the order parameter is not defined. For example, if $S=\uparrow$ for $x<0$ and $S=\downarrow$ for $x>0$, there is a domain wall in the $y z$-plane at $x=0$. In general, an $m$-dimensional defect in a $d$-dimensional medium is classified by the homotopy group $\pi_{n}\left(M, x_{0}\right)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=d-m-1 \tag{4.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case of the lsing model, $d=3, m=2$; hence $n=0$.

### 4.9 Defects in nematic liquid crystals

### 4.9.1 Order parameter of nematic liquid crystals

Certain organic crystals exhibit quite interesting optical properties when they are in their fluid phases. They are called liquid crystals and they are characterized by their optical anisotropy. Here we are interested in so-called nematic liquid crystals. An example of this is octyloxy-cyanobiphenyl whose molecular structure is


The molecule of a nematic liquid crystal is very much like a rod and the order parameter, called the director, is given by the average direction of the rod. Even though the molecule itself has a head and a tail, the director has an inversion symmetry; it does not make sense to distinguish the directors $\boldsymbol{n}=\rightarrow$ and $-\boldsymbol{n}=$ $\leftarrow$. We are tempted to assign a point on $S^{2}$ to specify the director. This works except for one point. Two antipodal points $\boldsymbol{n}=(\theta, \phi)$ and $-\boldsymbol{n}=(\pi-\theta, \pi+\phi)$ represent the same state; see figure 4.23. Accordingly, the order parameter of the nematic liquid crystal is the projective plane $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$. The director field in general


Figure 4.23. Since the director $\boldsymbol{n}$ has no head or tail, one cannot distinguish $\boldsymbol{n}$ from $-\boldsymbol{n}$. Therefore, these two pictures correspond to the same order-parameter configuration.


Figure 4.24. A vortex in a nematic liquid crystal, which corresponds to the non-trivial element of $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$.
depends on the position $\boldsymbol{r}$. Then we may define a map $f: \mathbb{R}^{3} \rightarrow \mathbb{R} P^{2}$. This map is called the texture. The actual order-parameter configuration in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is also called the texture.

### 4.9.2 Line defects in nematic liquid crystals

From example 4.10 we have $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}=\{0,1\}$. There exist two kinds of line defect in nematic liquid crystals; one can be continuously deformed into a uniform configuration while the other cannot. The latter represents a stable vortex, whose texture is sketched in figure 4.24. The reader should observe how the loop $\alpha$ is mapped to $\mathbb{R} P^{2}$ by this texture.

Exercise 4.9. Show that the line 'defect' in figure 4.25 is fictitious, namely the singularity at the centre may be eliminated by a continuous deformation of directors with directors at the boundary fixed. This corresponds to the operation $1+1=0$.


Figure 4.25. A line defect which may be continuously deformed into a uniform configuration.


Figure 4.26. The texture of a point defect in a nematic liquid crystal.

### 4.9.3 Point defects in nematic liquid crystals

From example 4.14 , we have $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$. Accordingly, there are stable point defects in the nematic liquid crystal. Figure 4.26 shows the texture of the point defects that belong to the class $1 \in \mathbb{Z}$.

It is interesting to point out that a line defect and a point defect may be combined into a ring defect, which is specified by both $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$ and $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$, see Mineev (1980). If the ring defect is observed from far away, it looks like


Figure 4.27. The texture of a ring defect in a nematic liquid crystal. The loop $\alpha$ classifies $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$ while the sphere (2-loop) $\beta$ classifies $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$.
a point defect, while its local structure along the ring is specified by $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)$. Figure 4.27 is an example of such a ring defect. The loop $\alpha$ classifies $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ while the sphere (2-loop) $\beta$ classifies $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$.

### 4.9.4 Higher dimensional texture

The third homotopy group $\pi\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ leads to an interesting singularityfree texture in a three-dimensional medium of nematic liquid crystal. Suppose the director field approaches an asymptotic configuration, say $\boldsymbol{n}=(1,0,0)^{\mathrm{t}}$, as $|\boldsymbol{r}| \rightarrow \infty$. Then the medium is effectively compactified into the threedimensional sphere $S^{3}$ and the topological structure of the texture is classified by $\pi_{3}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. What is the texture corresponding to a non-trivial element of the homotopy group?

An arbitrary rotation in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is specified by a unit vector $\boldsymbol{e}$, around which the rotation is carried out, and the rotation angle $\alpha$. It is possible to assign a 'vector' $\Omega=\alpha \boldsymbol{e}$ to this rotation. It is not exactly a vector since $\Omega=\pi \boldsymbol{e}$ and $-\Omega=-\pi \boldsymbol{e}$ are the same rotation and hence should be identified. Therefore, $\Omega$ belongs to the real projective space $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$. Suppose we take $\boldsymbol{n}_{0}=(1,0,0)^{\mathrm{t}}$ as a standard director. Then an arbitrary director configuration is specified by rotating $\boldsymbol{n}_{0}$ around some axis $\boldsymbol{e}$ by an angle $\alpha: \boldsymbol{n}=R(\boldsymbol{e}, \alpha) \boldsymbol{n}_{0}$, where $R(\boldsymbol{e}, \alpha)$ is the corresponding rotation matrix in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Suppose a texture field is given by applying the rotation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha \boldsymbol{e}(\boldsymbol{r})=f(r) \hat{\boldsymbol{r}} \tag{4.68}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.28. The texture of the non-trivial element of $\pi_{3}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. (a) shows the rotation 'vector' $\alpha \boldsymbol{e}$. The length $\alpha$ approaches $\pi$ as $|\boldsymbol{r}| \rightarrow \infty$. (b) shows the corresponding director field.
to $\boldsymbol{n}_{0}$, where $\hat{\boldsymbol{r}}$ is the unit vector in the direction of the position vector $\boldsymbol{r}$ and

$$
f(r)= \begin{cases}0 & r=0 \\ \pi & r \rightarrow \infty\end{cases}
$$

Figure 4.28 shows the director field of this texture. Note that although there is no singularity in the texture, it is impossible to 'wind off' this to a uniform configuration.

### 4.10 Textures in superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$

### 4.10.1 Superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$

Here comes the last and most interesting example. Before 1972 the only example of the BCS superfluid was the conventional superconductor (apart from indirect observations of superfluid neutrons in neutron stars). Figure 4.29 is the phase diagram of superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ without an external magnetic field. From NMR and other observations, it turns out that the superfluid is in the spin-triplet p-wave state. Instead of the field operators (see (4.65b)), we define the order parameter in terms of the creation and annihilation operators. The most general form of the triplet superfluid order parameter is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle c_{\alpha, \boldsymbol{k}} c_{\beta,-\boldsymbol{k}}\right\rangle \propto \sum_{\mu=1}^{3}\left(\mathrm{i} \sigma_{2} \sigma_{\mu}\right)_{\alpha \beta} d_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{k}) \tag{4.69a}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.29. The phase diagram of superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$.
where $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are spin indices. The Cooper pair forms in the p -wave state hence $d_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{k})$ is proportional to $Y_{1 m} \sim k_{i}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
d_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{k})=\sum_{i=1}^{3} \Delta_{0} A_{\mu i} k_{i} \tag{4.69b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bulk energy has several minima. The absolute minimum depends on the pressure and the temperature. We are particularly interested in the A phase in figure 4.29.

The A-phase order parameter takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu i}=d_{\mu}\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}+\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}\right)_{i} \tag{4.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{d}$ is a unit vector along which the spin projection of the Cooper pair vanishes and $\left(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}\right)$ is a pair of orthonormal unit vectors. The vector $\boldsymbol{d}$ takes its value in $S^{2}$. If we define $\boldsymbol{l} \equiv \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1} \times \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}$, the triad ( $\left.\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{1}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}_{2}, \boldsymbol{l}\right)$ forms an orthonormal frame at each point of the medium. Since any orthonormal frame can be obtained from a standard orthonormal frame ( $\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{3}$ ) by an application of a three-dimensional rotation matrix, we conclude that the order parameter of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$ is $S^{2} \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$. The vector $l$ introduced here is the axis of the angular momentum of the Cooper pair.

For simplicity, we neglect the variation of the $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}$-vector. [In fact, $\hat{\boldsymbol{d}}$ is locked
along $\hat{l}$ due to the dipole force.] The order parameter assumes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=\Delta_{0}\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}+\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}\right)_{i} \tag{4.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}$ and $\hat{\boldsymbol{l}} \equiv \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1} \times \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}$ form an orthonormal frame at each point of the medium. Let us take a standard orthonormal frame ( $\left.\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}\right)$. The frame $\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}, \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)$ is obtained by applying an element $g \in \mathrm{SO}(3)$ to the standard frame,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g:\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}\right) \rightarrow\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}, \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}\right) \tag{4.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g$ depends on the coordinate $x$, the configuration $\left(\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}(x), \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}(x), \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}(x)\right)$ defines a map $\psi: X \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(3)$ as $x \mapsto g(x)$. The map $\psi$ is called the texture of a superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He} .{ }^{1}$ The relevant homotopy groups for classifying defects in superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$ are $\pi_{n}(\mathrm{SO}(3))$.

If a container is filled with ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$, the boundary poses certain conditions on the texture. The vector $\hat{l}$ is understood as the direction of the angular momentum of the Cooper pair. The pair should rotate in the plane parallel to the boundary wall, thus $\hat{l}$ should be perpendicular to the wall. [Remark: If the wall is diffuse, the orbital motion of Cooper pairs is disturbed and there is a depression in the amplitude of the order parameter in the vicinity of the wall. We assume, for simplicity, that the wall is specularly smooth so that Cooper pairs may execute orbital motion with no disturbance.] There are several kinds of free energy and the texture is determined by solving the Euler-Lagrange equation derived from the total free energy under given boundary conditions.

Reviews on superfluid ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}$ are found in Anderson and Brinkman (1975), Leggett (1975) and Mermin (1978).

### 4.10.2 Line defects and non-singular vortices in ${ }^{\mathbf{3}} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$

The fundamental group of $\mathrm{SO}(3) \cong \mathbb{R} P^{3}$ is $\pi_{1}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong\{0,1\}$. Textures which belong to class 0 can be continuously deformed into the uniform configuration. Configurations in class 1 are called disgyrations and have been analysed by Maki and Tsuneto (1977) and Buchholtz and Fetter (1977). Figure 4.30 describes these disgyrations in their lowest free energy configurations.

A remarkable property of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is the addition $1+1=0$; the coalescence of two disgyrations produces a trivial texture. By merging two disgyrations, we may construct a texture that looks like a vortex of double vorticity (homotopy class ' 2 ') without a singular core; see figure $4.31(a)$. It is easy to verify that the image of the loop $\alpha$ traverses $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ twice while that of the smaller loop $\beta$ may be shrunk to a point. This texture is called the Anderson-Toulouse vortex (Anderson and Toulouse 1977). Mermin and Ho (1976) pointed out that if the medium is in a cylinder, the boundary imposes the condition $\hat{\boldsymbol{l}} \perp$ (boundary) and the vortex is cut at the surface, see figure 4.31(b) (the Mermin-Ho vortex).

1 The name 'texture' is, in fact, borrowed from the order-parameter configuration in liquid crystals, see section 4.9.


Figure 4.30. Disgyrations in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$.

(b)


Figure 4.31. The Anderson-Toulouse vortex $(a)$ and the Mermin-Ho vortex $(b)$. In $(b)$ the boundary forces $\hat{l}$ to be perpendicular to the wall.

Since $\pi_{2}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right) \cong\{e\}$, there are no point defects in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$. However, $\pi_{3}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ introduces a new type of pointlike structure called the Shankar monopole, which we will study next.

### 4.10.3 Shankar monopole in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$

Shankar (1977) pointed out that there exists a pointlike singularity-free object in ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$. Consider an infinite medium of ${ }^{3} \mathrm{He}-\mathrm{A}$. We assume the medium is asymptotically uniform, that is, ( $\left.\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}, \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}\right)$ approaches a standard orthonormal frame $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \boldsymbol{e}_{2}, \boldsymbol{e}_{3}\right)$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$. Since all the points far from the origin are mapped to a single point, we have compactified $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ to $S^{3}$. Then the texture is classified according to $\pi_{3}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$. Let us specify an element of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ by a 'vector' $\boldsymbol{\Omega}=\theta \boldsymbol{n}$ in $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ as before (example 4.12). Shankar (1977) proposed a texture,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{r})=\frac{\boldsymbol{r}}{r} \cdot f(r) \tag{4.73}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 4.32. The Shankar monopole: (a) shows the 'vectors' $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{r})$ and $(b)$ shows the triad ( $\hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{1}, \hat{\boldsymbol{\Delta}}_{2}, \hat{\boldsymbol{l}}$ ). Note that as $|\boldsymbol{r}| \rightarrow \infty$ the triad approaches the same configuration.
where $f(r)$ is a monotonically decreasing function such that

$$
f(r)= \begin{cases}2 \pi & r=0  \tag{4.74}\\ 0 & r=\infty\end{cases}
$$

We formally extend the radius of $\mathbb{R} P^{3}$ to $2 \pi$ and define the rotation angle modulo $2 \pi$. This texture is called the Shankar monopole, see figure 4.32(a). At first sight it appears that there is a singularity at the origin. Note, however, that the length of $\boldsymbol{\Omega}$ is $2 \pi$ there and it is equivalent to the unit element of $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Figure $4.32(b)$ describes the triad field. Since $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{r})=0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, irrespective of the direction, the space $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ is compactified to $S^{3}$. As we scan the whole space, $\boldsymbol{\Omega}(\boldsymbol{r})$ sweeps $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ twice and this texture corresponds to class 1 of $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SO}(3)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$.

Exercise 4.10. Sketch the Shankar monopole which belongs to the class -1 of $\pi_{3}\left(\mathbb{R} P^{3}\right)$. [You cannot simply reverse the arrows in figure 4.32.]

Exercise 4.11. Consider classical Heisenberg spins defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, see section 4.8. Suppose spins take the asymptotic value

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{n}(x) \rightarrow \boldsymbol{e}_{z} \quad|x| \geq L \tag{4.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the total energy to be finite, see figure 4.20. Show that the extended objects in this system are classified by $\pi_{2}\left(S^{2}\right)$. Sketch examples of spin configurations for the classes -1 and +2 .

## Problems

4.1 Show that the $n$-sphere $S^{n}$ is a deformation retract of punctured Euclidean space $R^{n+1}-\{0\}$. Find a retraction.
4.2 Let $D^{2}$ be the two-dimensional closed disc and $S^{1}=\partial D^{2}$ be its boundary. Let $f: D^{2} \rightarrow D^{2}$ be a smooth map. Suppose $f$ has no fixed points, namely $f(p) \neq p$ for any $p \in D^{2}$. Consider a semi-line starting at $p$ through $f(p)$ (this semi-line is always well defined if $p \neq f(p)$ ). The line crosses the boundary at some point $q \in S^{1}$. Then define $\tilde{f}: D^{2} \rightarrow S^{1}$ by $\tilde{f}(p)=q$. Use $\pi_{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{Z}$ and $\pi_{1}\left(D^{2}\right)=\{0\}$ to show that such an $\tilde{f}$ does not exist and hence, that $f$ must have fixed points. [Hint: Show that if such an $\tilde{f}$ existed, $D^{2}$ and $S^{1}$ would be of the same homotopy type.] This is the two-dimensional version of the Brouwer fixed-point theorem.
4.3 Construct a map $f: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ which belongs to the elements 0 and 1 of $\pi_{3}\left(S^{2}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. See also example 9.9.

## 5

## MANIFOLDS

Manifolds are generalizations of our familiar ideas about curves and surfaces to arbitrary dimensional objects. A curve in three-dimensional Euclidean space is parametrized locally by a single number $t$ as $(x(t), y(t), z(t))$, while two numbers $u$ and $v$ parametrize a surface as $(x(u, v), y(u, v), z(u, v))$. A curve and a surface are considered locally homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, respectively. A manifold, in general, is a topological space which is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ locally; it may be different from $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ globally. The local homeomorphism enables us to give each point in a manifold a set of $m$ numbers called the (local) coordinate. If a manifold is not homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ globally, we have to introduce several local coordinates. Then it is possible that a single point has two or more coordinates. We require that the transition from one coordinate to the other be smooth. As we will see later, this enables us to develop the usual calculus on a manifold. Just as topology is based on continuity, so the theory of manifolds is based on smoothness.

Useful references on this subject are Crampin and Pirani (1986), Matsushima (1972), Schutz (1980) and Warner (1983). Chapter 2 and appendices B and C of Wald (1984) are also recommended. Flanders (1963) is a beautiful introduction to differential forms. Sattinger and Weaver (1986) deals with Lie groups and Lie algebras and contains many applications to problems in physics.

### 5.1 Manifolds

### 5.1.1 Heuristic introduction

To clarify these points, consider the usual sphere of unit radius in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. We parametrize the surface of $S^{2}$, among other possibilities, by two coordinate systems-polar coordinates and stereographic coordinates. Polar coordinates $\theta$ and $\phi$ are usually defined by (figure 5.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\sin \theta \cos \phi \quad y=\sin \theta \sin \phi \quad z=\cos \theta \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ runs from 0 to $2 \pi$ and $\theta$ from 0 to $\pi$. They may be inverted on the sphere to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\tan ^{-1} \frac{\sqrt{x^{2}+y^{2}}}{z} \quad \phi=\tan ^{-1} \frac{y}{x} \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.1. Polar coordinates $(\theta, \phi)$ and stereographic coordinates $(X, Y)$ of a point $P$ on the sphere $S^{2}$.

Stereographic coordinates, however, are defined by the projection from the North Pole onto the equatorial plane as in figure 5.1. First, join the North Pole $(0,0,1)$ to the point $P(x, y, z)$ on the sphere and then continue in a straight line to the equatorial plane $z=0$ to intersect at $Q(X, Y, 0)$. Then $X$ and $Y$ are the stereographic coordinates of $P$. We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\frac{x}{1-z} \quad Y=\frac{y}{1-z} \tag{5.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two coordinate systems are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\cot \frac{1}{2} \theta \cos \phi \quad Y=\cot \frac{1}{2} \theta \sin \phi \tag{5.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Of course, other systems, polar coordinates with different polar axes or projections from different points on $S^{2}$, could be used. The coordinates on the sphere may be kept arbitrary until some specific calculation is to be carried out. [The longitude is historically measured from Greenwich. However, there is no reason why it cannot be measured from New York or Kyoto.] This arbitrariness of the coordinate choice underlies the theory of manifolds: all coordinate systems are equally good. It is also in harmony with the basic principle of physics: a physical system behaves in the same way whatever coordinates we use to describe it.

Another point which can be seen from this example is that no coordinate system may be usable everywhere at once. Let us look at the polar coordinates on $S^{2}$. Take the equator ( $\theta=\frac{1}{2} \pi$ ) for definiteness. If we let $\phi$ range from 0 to $2 \pi$, then it changes continuously as we go round the equator until we get all the way to $\phi=2 \pi$. There the $\phi$-coordinate has a discontinuity from $2 \pi$ to 0 and nearby points have quite different $\phi$-values. Alternatively we could continue $\phi$ through $2 \pi$. Then we will encounter another difficulty: at each point we must have infinitely many $\phi$-values, differing from one another by an integral multiple of $2 \pi$. A further difficulty arises at the poles, where $\phi$ is not determined at all. [An explorer on the Pole is in a state of timelessness since time is defined by the longitude.] Stereographic coordinates also have difficulties at the North Pole or at any projection point that is not projected to a point on the equatorial plane; and nearby points close to the Pole have widely different stereographic coordinates.

Thus, we cannot label the points on the sphere with a single coordinate system so that both of the following conditions are satisfied.
(i) Nearby points always have nearby coordinates.
(ii) Every point has unique coordinates.

Note, however, that there are infinitely many ways to introduce coordinates that satisfy these requirements on a part of $S^{2}$. We may take advantage of this fact to define coordinates on $S^{2}$ : introduce two or more overlapping coordinate systems, each covering a part of the sphere whose points are to be labelled so that the following conditions hold.
(i') Nearby points have nearby coordinatcs in at least one coordinate system.
(ii') Every point has unique coordinates in each system that contains it.
For example, we may introduce two stereographic coordinates on $S^{2}$, one a projection from the North Pole, the other from the South Pole. Are these conditions ( $\mathrm{i}^{\prime}$ ) and ( $\mathrm{ii}^{\prime}$ ) enough to develop sensible theories of the manifold? In fact, we need an extra condition on the coordinate systems.
(iii) If two coordinate systems overlap, they are related to each other in a sufficiently smooth way.

Without this condition, a differentiable function in one coordinate system may not be differentiable in the other system.

### 5.1.2 Definitions

Definition 5.1. $M$ is an $m$-dimensional differentiable manifold if
(i) $M$ is a topological space;
(ii) $M$ is provided with a family of pairs $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)\right\}$;
(iii) $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ is a family of open sets which covers $M$, that is, $\cup_{i} U_{i}=M . \varphi_{i}$ is a homeomorphism from $U_{i}$ onto an open subset $U_{i}^{\prime}$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ (figure 5.2); and


Figure 5.2. A homeomorphism $\varphi_{i}$ maps $U_{i}$ onto an open subset $U_{i}^{\prime} \subset \mathbb{R}^{m}$, providing coordinates to a point $p \in U_{i}$. If $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$, the transition from one coordinate system to another is smooth.
(iv) given $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$, the map $\psi_{i j}=\varphi_{i} \circ \varphi_{j}^{-1}$ from $\varphi_{j}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ to $\varphi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ is infinitely differentiable.

The pair $\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ is called a chart while the whole family $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)\right\}$ is called, for obvious reasons, an atlas. The subset $U_{i}$ is called the coordinate neighbourhood while $\varphi_{i}$ is the coordinate function or, simply, the coordinate. The homeomorphism $\varphi_{i}$ is represented by $m$ functions $\left\{x^{1}(p), \ldots, x^{m}(p)\right\}$. The set $\left\{x^{\mu}(p)\right\}$ is also called the coordinate. A point $p \in M$ exists independently of its coordinates; it is up to us how we assign coordinates to a point. We sometimes employ the rather sloppy notation $x$ to denote a point whose coordinates are $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right\}$, unless several coordinate systems are in use. From (ii) and (iii), $M$ is locally Euclidean. In each coordinate neighbourhood $U_{i}, M$ looks like an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ whose element is $\left\{x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right\}$. Note that we do not require that $M$ be $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ globally. We are living on the earth whose surface is $S^{2}$, which does not look like $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ globally. However, it looks like an open subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ locally. Who can tell that we live on the sphere by just looking at a map of London, which, of course, looks like a part of $\mathbb{R}^{2} ?^{1}$

[^5]If $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ overlap, two coordinate systems are assigned to a point in $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. Axiom (iv) asserts that the transition from one coordinate system to another be smooth $\left(C^{\infty}\right)$. The map $\varphi_{i}$ assigns $m$ coordinate values $x^{\mu}(1 \leq \mu \leq$ $m)$ to a point $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, while $\varphi_{j}$ assigns $y^{\nu}(1 \leq v \leq m)$ to the same point and the transition from $y$ to $x, x^{\mu}=x^{\mu}(y)$, is given by $m$ functions of $m$ variables. The coordinate transformation functions $x^{\mu}=x^{\mu}(y)$ are the explicit form of the map $\psi_{j i}=\varphi_{j} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}$. Thus, the differentiability has been defined in the usual sense of calculus: the coordinate transformation is differentiable if each function $x^{\mu}(y)$ is differentiable with respect to each $y^{\nu}$. We may restrict ourselves to the differentiability up to $k$ th order $\left(C^{k}\right)$. However, this does not bring about any interesting conclusions. We simply require, instead, that the coordinate transformations be infinitely differentiable, that is, of class $C^{\infty}$. Now coordinates have been assigned to $M$ in such a way that if we move over $M$ in whatever fashion, the coordinates we use vary in a smooth manner.

If the union of two atlases $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(V_{j}, \psi_{j}\right)\right\}$ is again an atlas, these two atlases are said to be compatible. The compatibility is an equivalence relation, the equivalence class of which is called the differentiable structure. It is also said that mutually compatible atlases define the same differentiable structure on $M$.

Before we give examples, we briefly comment on manifolds with boundaries. So far, we have assumed that the coordinate neighbourhood $U_{i}$ is homeomorphic to an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. In some applications, however, this turns out to be too restrictive and we need to relax this condition. If a topological space $M$ is covered by a family of open sets $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ each of which is homeomorphic to an open set of $H^{m} \equiv\left\{\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m} \mid x^{m} \geq 0\right\}, M$ is said to be a manifold with a boundary, see figure 5.3. The set of points which are mapped to points with $x^{m}=0$ is called the boundary of $M$, denoted by $\partial M$. The coordinates of $\partial M$ may be given by $m-1$ numbers $\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{m-1}, 0\right)$. Now we have to be careful when we define the smoothness. The map $\psi_{i j}: \varphi_{j}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right) \rightarrow \varphi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ is defined on an open set of $H^{m}$ in general, and $\psi_{i j}$ is said to be smooth if it is $C^{\infty}$ in an open set of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ which contains $\varphi_{j}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$. Readers are encouraged to use their imagination since our definition is in harmony with our intuitive notions about boundaries. For example, the boundary of the solid ball $D^{3}$ is the sphere $S^{2}$ and the boundary of the sphere is an empty set.

### 5.1.3 Examples

We now give several examples to develop our ideas about manifolds. They are also of great relevance to physics.

Example 5.1. The Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is the most trivial example, where a single chart covers the whole space and $\varphi$ may be the identity map.
shorter than that in the southern part and one may suspect that one lives on a curved surface. Of course, it is the other way around if one lives in a city in the southern hemisphere.


Figure 5.3. A manifold with a boundary. The point $p$ is on the boundary.

Example 5.2. Let $m=1$ and require that $M$ be connected. There are only two manifolds possible: a real line $\mathbb{R}$ and the circle $S^{1}$. Let us work out an atlas of $S^{1}$. For concreteness take the circle $x^{2}+y^{1}=1$ in the $x y$-plane. We need at least two charts. We may take them as in figure 5.4. Define $\varphi_{1}^{-1}:(0,2 \pi) \rightarrow S^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{1}^{-1}: \theta \mapsto(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \tag{5.5a}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose image is $S^{1}-\{(1,0)\}$. Define also $\psi_{2}^{-1}:(-\pi, \pi) \rightarrow S^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{2}^{-1}: \theta \mapsto(\cos \theta, \sin \theta) \tag{5.5b}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose image is $S^{1}-\{(-1,0)\}$. Clearly $\varphi_{1}^{-1}$ and $\varphi_{2}^{-1}$ are invertible and all the $\operatorname{maps} \varphi_{1}, \varphi_{2}, \varphi_{1}^{-1}$ and $\varphi_{2}^{-1}$ are continuous. Thus, $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are homeomorphisms. Verify that the maps $\psi_{12}=\varphi_{1} \circ \varphi_{2}^{-1}$ and $\psi_{21}=\varphi_{2} \circ \varphi_{1}^{-1}$ are smooth.

Example 5.3. The $n$-dimensional sphere $S^{n}$ is a differentiable manifold. It is realized in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=0}^{n}\left(x^{i}\right)^{2}=1 \tag{5.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the coordinate neighbourhoods

$$
\begin{align*}
& U_{i+} \equiv\left\{\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in S^{n} \mid x^{i}>0\right\}  \tag{5.7a}\\
& U_{i-} \equiv\left\{\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in S^{n} \mid x^{i}<0\right\} \tag{5.7b}
\end{align*}
$$



Figure 5.4. Two charts of a circle $S^{1}$.

Define the coordinate map $\varphi_{i+}: U_{i+} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i+}\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \tag{5.8a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\varphi_{i-}: U_{i-} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{i-}\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)=\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{i-1}, x^{i+1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \tag{5.8b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the domains of $\varphi_{i+}$ and $\varphi_{i-}$ are different. $\varphi_{i \pm}$ are the projections of the hemispheres $U_{i \pm}$ to the plane $x^{i}=0$. The transition functions are easily obtained from (5.8). Take $S^{2}$ as an example. The coordinate neighbourhoods are $U_{x \pm}, U_{y \pm}$ and $U_{z \pm}$. The transition function $\psi_{y-x+} \equiv \varphi_{y-} \circ \varphi_{x+}^{-1}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{y-x+}:(y, z) \mapsto\left(\sqrt{1-y^{2}-z^{2}}, z\right) \tag{5.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is infinitely differentiable on $U_{x+} \cap U_{y-}$.
Exercise 5.1. At the beginning of this chapter, we introduced the stereographic coordinates on $S^{2}$. We may equally define the stereographic coordinates projected from points other than the North Pole. For example, the stereographic coordinates $(U, V)$ of a point in $S^{2}-\{$ South Pole $\}$ projected from the South Pole and ( $X, Y$ ) for a point in $S^{2}-\{$ North Pole $\}$ projected from the North Pole are shown in figure 5.5. Show that the transition functions between $(U, V)$ and $(X, Y)$ are $C^{\infty}$ and that they define a differentiable structure on $M$. See also example 8.1.

Example 5.4. The real projective space $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is the set of lines through the origin in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. If $x=\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \neq 0, x$ defines a line through the origin. Note that $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ defines the same line as $x$ if there exists a real number $a \neq 0$ such that $y=a x$. Introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ by $x \sim y$ if there


Figure 5.5. Two stereographic coordinate systems on $S^{2}$. The point $P$ may be projected from the North Pole N giving $(X, Y)$ or from the South Pole S giving $(U, V)$.
exists $a \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ such that $y=a x$. Then $\mathbb{R} P^{n}=\left(\mathbb{R}^{n+1}-\{0\}\right) / \sim$. The $n+1$ numbers $x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}$ are called the homogeneous coordinates. The homogeneous coordinates cannot be a good coordinate system, since $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold (an $(n+1)$-dimensional space with a one-dimensional degree of freedom killed). The charts are defined as follows. First we take the coordinate neighbourhood $U_{i}$ as the set of lines with $x^{i} \neq 0$, and then introduce the inhomogeneous coordinates on $U_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(i)}^{j}=x^{j} / x^{i} . \tag{5.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inhomogeneous coordinates

$$
\xi_{(i)}=\left(\xi_{(i)}^{0}, \xi_{(i)}^{1}, \ldots, \xi_{(i)}^{i-1}, \xi_{(i)}^{i+1}, \ldots, \xi_{(i)}^{n}\right)
$$

with $\xi_{(i)}^{i}=1$ omitted, are well defined on $U_{i}$ since $x^{i} \neq 0$, and furthermore they are independent of the choice of the representative of the equivalence class since $x^{j} / x^{i}=y^{j} / y^{i}$ if $y=a x$. The inhomogeneous coordinate $\xi_{(i)}$ gives the coordinate map $\varphi_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, that is

$$
\varphi_{i}:\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \mapsto\left(x^{0} / x^{i}, \ldots, x^{i-1} / x^{i}, x^{i+1} / x^{i}, \ldots, x^{n} / x^{i}\right)
$$

where $x^{i} / x^{i}=1$ is omitted. For $x=\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ we assign two inhomogeneous coordinates, $\xi_{(i)}^{k}=x^{k} / x^{i}$ and $\xi_{(j)}^{k}=x^{k} / x^{j}$. The coordinate
transformation $\psi_{i j}=\varphi_{i} \circ \varphi_{j}^{-1}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i j}: \xi_{(j)}^{k} \mapsto \xi_{(i)}^{k}=\left(x^{j} / x^{i}\right) \xi_{(j)}^{k} . \tag{5.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a multiplication by $x^{j} / x^{i}$.
In example 4.12 , we defined $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ as the sphere $S^{n}$ with antipodal points identified. This picture is in conformity with the definition here. As a representative of the equivalence class $[x]$, we may take points $|x|=1$ on a line through the origin. These are points on the unit sphere. Since there are two points on the intersection of a line with $S^{n}$ we have to take one of them consistently, that is nearby lines are represented by nearby points in $S^{n}$. This amounts to taking the hemisphere. Note, however, that the antipodal points on the boundary (the equator of $S^{n}$ ) are identified by definition, $\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \sim-\left(x^{0}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$. This 'hemisphere' is homeomorphic to the ball $D^{n}$ with antipodal points on the boundary $S^{n-1}$ identified.

Example 5.5. A straightforward generalization of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is the Grassmann manifold. An element of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ is a one-dimensional subspace in $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. The Grassmann manifold $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ is the set of $k$-dimensional planes in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that $G_{1, n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is nothing but $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$. The manifold structure of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ is defined in a manner similar to that of $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$.

Let $M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ be the set of $k \times n$ matrices of $\operatorname{rank} k(k \leq n)$. Take $A=$ $\left(a_{i j}\right) \in M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ and define $k$ vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}(1 \leq i \leq k)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ by $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}=\left(a_{i j}\right)$. Since $\operatorname{rank} A=k, k$ vectors $\boldsymbol{a}_{i}$ are linearly independent and span a $k$-dimensional plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note, however, that there are infinitely many matrices in $M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ that yield the same $k$-plane. Take $g \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ and consider a matrix $\bar{A}=g A \in M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$. $\bar{A}$ defines the same $k$-plane as $A$, since $g$ simply rotates the basis within the $k$ plane. Introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ by $\bar{A} \sim A$ if there exists $g \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ such that $\bar{A}=g A$. We identify $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ with the coset space $M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R}) / \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$.

Let us find the charts of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$. Take $A \in M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{l}\right\}$, $l=\binom{n}{k}$, be the collection of all $k \times k$ minors of $A$. Since rank $A=k$, there exists some $A_{\alpha}(1 \leq \alpha \leq l)$ such that $\operatorname{det} A \neq 0$. For example, let us assume the minor $A_{1}$ made of the first $k$ columns has non-vanishing determinant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\left(A_{1}, \widetilde{A_{1}}\right) \tag{5.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\widetilde{A_{1}}$ is a $k \times(n-k)$ matrix. Let us take the representative of the class to which $A$ belongs to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{1}^{-1} \cdot A=\left(I_{k}, A_{1}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{A_{1}}\right) \tag{5.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $I_{k}$ is the $k \times k$ unit matrix. Note that $A_{1}^{-1}$ always exists since $\operatorname{det} A_{1} \neq 0$. Thus, the real degrees of freedom are given by the entries of the $k \times(n-k)$ matrix $A_{1}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{A_{1}}$. We denote this subset of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ by $U_{1} . U_{1}$ is a coordinate neighbourhood whose coordinates are given by $k(n-k)$ entries of $A_{1}^{-1} \cdot \widetilde{A_{1}}$. Since $U_{1}$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{k(n-k)}$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})=k(n-k) . \tag{5.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case where $\operatorname{det} A_{\alpha} \neq 0$, where $A_{\alpha}$ is composed of the columns ( $i_{1}, i_{2}, \ldots, i_{k}$ ), we multiply $A_{\alpha}^{-1}$ to obtain the representative

$$
A_{\alpha}^{-1} \cdot A=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc} 
& i_{1} & & i_{2} & \ldots & i_{k} &  \tag{5.15}\\
\ldots & 1 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots \ldots & 0 & \ldots \\
\ldots & 0 & \ldots & 1 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots \\
\ldots & . & \ldots & . & \ldots & . & . \\
\ldots \\
\ldots & 0 & \ldots & 0 & \ldots & . & 1
\end{array} \ldots .\right)
$$

where the entries not written explicitly form a $k \times(n-k)$ matrix. We denote this subset of $M_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ with $\operatorname{det} A_{\alpha} \neq 0$ by $U_{\alpha}$. The entries of the $k \times(n-k)$ matrix are the coordinates of $U_{\alpha}$.

The relation between the projective space and the Grassmann manifold is evident. An element of $M_{1, n+1}(\mathbb{R})$ is a vector $A=\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{n}\right)$. Since the $\alpha$ th minor $A_{\alpha}$ of $A$ is a number $x^{\alpha}$, the condition $\operatorname{det} A_{\alpha} \neq 0$ becomes $x^{\alpha} \neq 0$. The representative (5.15) is just the inhomogeneous coordinate

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(x^{\alpha}\right)^{-1}\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, \ldots, x^{\alpha}, \ldots, x^{n}\right) \\
& =\left(x^{0} / x^{\alpha}, x^{1} / x^{\alpha}, \ldots, x^{\alpha} / x^{\alpha}=1, \ldots, x^{n} / x^{\alpha}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional manifold with an atlas $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $N$ be an $n$ dimensional manifold with $\left\{\left(V_{j}, \psi_{j}\right)\right\}$. A product manifold $M \times N$ is an $(m+n)$ dimensional manifold whose atlas is $\left\{\left(U_{i} \times V_{j}\right),\left(\varphi_{i}, \psi_{j}\right)\right\}$. A point in $M \times N$ is written as $(p, q), p \in M, q \in N$, and the coordinate function $\left(\varphi_{i}, \psi_{j}\right)$ acts on $(p, q)$ to yield $\left(\varphi_{i}(p), \psi_{j}(p)\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{m+n}$. The reader should verify that a product manifold indeed satisfies the axioms of definition 5.1.

Example 5.6. The torus $T^{2}$ is a product manifold of two circles, $T^{2}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$. If we denote the polar angle of each circle as $\theta_{i} \bmod 2 \pi(i=1,2)$, the coordinates of $T^{2}$ are $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$. Since each $S^{1}$ is embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{2}, T^{2}$ may be embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. We often imagine $T^{2}$ as the surface of a doughnut in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, in which case, however, we inevitably have to introduce bending of the surface. This is an extrinsic feature brought about by the 'embedding'. When we say 'a torus is a flat manifold', we refer to the flat surface embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. See definition 5.3 for further details.

We may also consider a direct product of $n$ circles,

$$
T^{n}=\underbrace{S^{1} \times S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}}_{n}
$$

Clearly $T^{n}$ is an $n$-dimensional manifold with the coordinates $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}, \ldots, \theta_{n}\right)$ $\bmod 2 \pi$. This may be regarded as an $n$-cube whose opposite faces are identified, see figure 2.4 for $n=2$.

### 5.2 The calculus on manifolds

The significance of differentiable manifolds resides in the fact that we may use the usual calculus developed in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Smoothness of the coordinate transformations


Figure 5.6. A map $f: M \rightarrow N$ has a coordinate presentation $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
ensures that the calculus is independent of the coordinates chosen.

### 5.2.1 Differentiable maps

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map from an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ to an $n$ dimensional manifold $N$. A point $p \in M$ is mapped to a point $f(p) \in N$, namely $f: p \mapsto f(p)$, see figure 5.6. Take a chart $(U, \varphi)$ on $M$ and $(V, \psi)$ on $N$, where $p \in U$ and $f(p) \in V$. Then $f$ has the following coordinate presentation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{5.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $\varphi(p)=\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\psi(f(p))=\left\{y^{\alpha}\right\}, \psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is just the usual vector-valued function $y=\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)$ of $m$ variables. We sometimes use (in fact, abuse!) the notation $y=f(x)$ or $y^{\alpha}=f^{\alpha}\left(x^{\mu}\right)$, when we know which coordinate systems on $M$ and $N$ are in use. If $y=\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)$, or simply $y^{\alpha}=f^{\alpha}\left(x^{\mu}\right)$, is $C^{\infty}$ with respect to each $x^{\mu}, f$ is said to be differentiable at $p$ or at $x=\varphi(p)$. Differentiable maps are also said to be smooth. Note that we require infinite $\left(C^{\infty}\right)$ differentiability, in harmony with the smoothness of the transition functions $\psi_{i j}$.

The differentiability of $f$ is independent of the coordinate system. Consider two overlapping charts $\left(U_{1}, \varphi_{1}\right)$ and $\left(U_{2}, \varphi_{2}\right)$. Take a point $p \in U_{1} \cap U_{2}$, whose coordinates by $\varphi_{1}$ are $\left\{x_{1}^{\mu}\right\}$, while those by $\varphi_{2}$ are $\left\{x_{2}^{\nu}\right\}$. When expressed in terms of $\left\{x_{1}^{\mu}\right\}, f$ takes the form $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi_{1}^{-1}$, while in $\left\{x_{2}^{\nu}\right\}, \psi \circ f \circ \varphi_{2}^{-1}=$
$\psi \circ f \circ \varphi_{1}^{-1}\left(\varphi_{1} \circ \varphi_{2}^{-1}\right)$. By definition, $\psi_{12}=\varphi_{1} \circ \varphi_{2}^{-1}$ is $C^{\infty}$. In the simpler expressions, they correspond to $y=f\left(x_{1}\right)$ and $y=f\left(x_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$. It is clear that if $f\left(x_{1}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$ with respect to $x_{1}^{\mu}$ and $x_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)$ is $C^{\infty}$ with respect to $x_{2}^{\nu}$, then $y=f\left(x_{1}\left(x_{2}\right)\right)$ is also $C^{\infty}$ with respect to $x_{2}^{v}$.

Exercise 5.2. Show that the differentiability of $f$ is also independent of the chart in $N$.

Definition 5.2. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a homeomorphism and $\psi$ and $\varphi$ be coordinate functions as previously defined. If $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}$ is invertible (that is, there exists a $\operatorname{map} \varphi \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}$ ) and both $y=\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)$ and $x=\varphi \circ f^{-1} \circ \psi^{-1}(y)$ are $C^{\infty}, f$ is called a diffeomorphism and $M$ is said to be diffeomorphic to $N$ and vice versa, denoted by $M \equiv N$.

Clearly $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N$ if $M \equiv N$. In chapter 2 , we noted that homeomorphisms classify spaces according to whether it is possible to deform one space into another continuously. Diffeomorphisms classify spaces into equivalence classes according to whether it is possible to deform one space to another smoothly. Two diffeomorphic spaces are regarded as the same manifold. Clearly a diffeomorphism is a homeomorphism. What about the converse? Is a homeomorphism a diffeomorphism? In the previous section, we defined the differentiable structure as an equivalence class of atlases. Is it possible for a topological space to carry many differentiable structures? It is rather difficult to give examples of 'diffeomorphically inequivalent homeomorphisms' since it is known that this is possible only in higher-dimensional spaces ( $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$ ). It was believed before 1956 that a topological space admits only one differentiable structure. However, Milnor (1956) pointed out that $S^{7}$ admits 28 differentiable structures. A recent striking discovery in mathematics is that $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ admits an infinite number of differentiable structures. Interested readers should consult Donaldson (1983) and Freed and Uhlenbeck (1984). Here we assume that a manifold admits a unique differentiable structure, for simplicity.

The set of diffeomorphisms $f: M \rightarrow M$ is a group denoted by $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$. Take a point $p$ in a chart $(U, \varphi)$ such that $\varphi(p)=x^{\mu}(p)$. Under $f \in \operatorname{Diff}(M)$, $p$ is mapped to $f(p)$ whose coordinates are $\varphi(f(p))=y^{\mu}(f(p))$ (we have assumed $f(p) \in U)$. Clearly $y$ is a differentiable function of $x$; this is an active point of view to the coordinate transformation. However, if $(U, \varphi)$ and $(V, \psi)$ are overlapping charts, we have two coordinate values $x^{\mu}=\varphi(p)$ and $y^{\mu}=\psi(p)$ for a point $p \in U \cap V$. The map $x \mapsto y$ is differentiable by the assumed smoothness of the manifold; this reparametrization is a passive point of view to the coordinate transformation. We also denote the group of reparametrizations by $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$.

Now we look at special classes of mappings, namely curves and functions. An open curve in an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ is a map $c:(a, b) \rightarrow M$ where $(a, b)$ is an open interval such that $a<0<b$. We assume that the curve does not intersect with itself (figure 5.7). The number $a(b)$ may be $-\infty(+\infty)$ and we have included 0 in the interval for later convenience. If a curve is closed, it is


Figure 5.7. A curve $c$ in $M$ and its coordinate presentation $\varphi \circ c$.
regarded as a map $c: S^{1} \rightarrow M$. In both cases, $c$ is locally a map from an open interval to $M$. On a chart $(U, \varphi)$, a curve $c(t)$ has the coordinate presentation $x=\varphi \circ c: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

A function $f$ on $M$ is a smooth map from $M$ to $\mathbb{R}$, see figure 5.8. On a chart $(U, \varphi)$, the coordinate presentation of $f$ is given by $f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{R}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ which is a real-valued function of $m$ variables. We denote the set of smooth functions on $M$ by $\mathcal{F}(M)$.

### 5.2.2 Vectors

Now that we have defined maps on a manifold, we are ready to define other geometrical objects: vectors, dual vectors and tensors. In general, an elementary picture of a vector as an arrow connecting a point and the origin does not work in a manifold. [Where is the origin? What is a straight arrow? How do we define a straight arrow that connects London and Los Angeles on the surface of the Earth?] On a manifold, a vector is defined to be a tangent vector to a curve in $M$.

To begin with, let us look at a tangent line to a curve in the $x y$-plane. If the curve is differentiable, we may approximate the curve in the vicinity of $x_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
y-y\left(x_{0}\right)=a\left(x-x_{0}\right) \tag{5.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a=\mathrm{d} y /\left.\mathrm{d} x\right|_{x=x_{0}}$. The tangent vectors on a manifold $M$ generalize this tangent line. To define a tangent vector we need a curve $c:(a, b) \rightarrow M$ and a function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, where $(a, b)$ is an open interval containing $t=0$, see figure 5.9. We define the tangent vector at $c(0)$ as a directional derivative of a function $f(c(t))$ along the curve $c(t)$ at $t=0$. The rate of change of $f(c(t))$ at


Figure 5.8. A function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and its coordinate presentation $f \circ \varphi^{-1}$.
$t=0$ along the curve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} f(c(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0} \tag{5.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the local coordinate, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}(c(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0} \tag{5.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Note the abuse of the notation! The derivative $\partial f / \partial x^{\mu}$ really means $\partial(f \circ$ $\left.\varphi^{-1}(x)\right) / \partial x^{\mu}$.] In other words, $\mathrm{d} f(c(t)) / \mathrm{d} t$ at $t=0$ is obtained by applying the differential operator $X$ to $f$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=X^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right) \quad\left(X^{\mu}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}(c(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}\right) \tag{5.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} f(c(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}=X^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right) \equiv X[f] \tag{5.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here the last equality defines $X[f]$. It is $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ which we now define as the tangent vector to $M$ at $p=c(0)$ along the direction given by the curve $c(t)$.

Example 5.7. If $X$ is applied to the coordinate functions $\varphi(c(t))=x^{\mu}(t)$, we have

$$
X\left[x^{\mu}\right]=\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t}\right)\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}
$$



Figure 5.9. A curve $c$ and a function $f$ define a tangent vector along the curve in terms of the directional derivative.
which is the $\mu$ th component of the velocity vector if $t$ is understood as time.
To be more mathematical, we introduce an equivalence class of curves in $M$. If two curves $c_{1}(t)$ and $c_{2}(t)$ satisfy
(i) $\quad c_{1}(0)=c_{2}(0)=p$
(ii) $\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\left(c_{1}(t)\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\left(c_{2}(t)\right)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}$
$c_{1}(t)$ and $c_{2}(t)$ yield the same differential operator $X$ at $p$, in which case we define $c_{1}(t) \sim c_{2}(t)$. Clearly $\sim$ is an equivalence relation and defines the equivalence classes. We identify the tangent vector $X$ with the equivalence class of curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
[c(t)]=\left\{\widetilde{c}(t) \mid \widetilde{c}(0)=c(0) \text { and }\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}(\widetilde{c}(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}(c(t))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}\right\} \tag{5.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

rather than a curve itself.
All the equivalence classes of curves at $p \in M$, namely all the tangent vectors at $p$, form a vector space called the tangent space of $M$ at $p$, denoted by $T_{p} M$. To analyse $T_{p} M$, we may use the theory of vector spaces developed in section 2.2. Evidently, $e_{\mu}=\partial / \partial x^{\mu}(1 \leq \mu \leq m)$ are the basis vectors of $T_{p} M$, see (5.20), and $\operatorname{dim} T_{p} M=\operatorname{dim} M$. The basis $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$ is called the coordinate basis. If a vector $V \in T_{p} M$ is written as $V=V^{\mu} e_{\mu}$, the numbers $V^{\mu}$ are called the components of $V$ with respect to $e_{\mu}$. By construction, it is obvious that a vector $X$ exists without specifying the coordinate, see (5.21). The assignment of
the coordinate is simply for our convenience. This coordinate independence of a vector enables us to find the transformation property of the components of the vector. Let $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ and $x=\varphi_{i}(p), y=\varphi_{j}(p)$. We have two expressions for $X \in T_{p} M$,

$$
X=X^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}=\tilde{X}^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}
$$

This shows that $X^{\mu}$ and $\widetilde{X}^{\mu}$ are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{X}^{\mu}=X^{\nu} \frac{\partial y^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \tag{5.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note again that the components of the vector transform in such a way that the vector itself is left invariant.

The basis of $T_{p} M$ need not be $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$, and we may think of the linear combinations $\hat{e}_{i} \equiv A_{i}{ }^{\mu} e_{\mu}$, where $A=\left(A_{i}{ }^{\mu}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. The basis $\left\{\hat{e}_{i}\right\}$ is known as the non-coordinate basis.

### 5.2.3 One-forms

Since $T_{p} M$ is a vector space, there exists a dual vector space to $T_{p} M$, whose element is a linear function from $T_{p} M$ to $\mathbb{R}$, see section 2.2. The dual space is called the cotangent space at $p$, denoted by $T_{p}^{*} M$. An element $\omega: T_{p} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ of $T_{p}^{*} M$ is called a dual vector, cotangent vector or, in the context of differential forms, a one-form. The simplest example of a one-form is the differential $\mathrm{d} f$ of a function $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. The action of a vector $V$ on $f$ is $V[f]=V^{\mu} \partial f / \partial x^{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$. Then the action of $\mathrm{d} f \in T_{p}^{*} M$ on $V \in T_{p} M$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\mathrm{d} f, V\rangle \equiv V[f]=V^{\mu} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{5.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\langle\mathrm{d} f, V\rangle$ is $\mathbb{R}$-linear in both $V$ and $f$.
Noting that $\mathrm{d} f$ is expressed in terms of the coordinate $x=\varphi(p)$ as $\mathrm{d} f=\left(\partial f / \partial x^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$, it is natural to regard $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$ as a basis of $T_{p}^{*} M$. Moreover, this is a dual basis, since

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right\rangle=\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}}=\delta_{\mu}^{\nu} \tag{5.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

An arbitrary one-form $\omega$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{5.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the $\omega_{\mu}$ are the components of $\omega$. Take a vector $V=V^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ and a oneform $\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$. The inner product $\langle, \quad\rangle: T_{p}^{*} M \times T_{p} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\omega, V\rangle=\omega_{\mu} V^{v}\left\langle\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{v}}\right\rangle=\omega_{\mu} V^{v} \delta_{v}^{\mu}=\omega_{\mu} V^{\mu} \tag{5.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the inner product is defined between a vector and a dual vector and not between two vectors or two dual vectors.

Since $\omega$ is defined without reference to any coordinate system, for a point $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, we have

$$
\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}=\widetilde{\omega}_{\nu} \mathrm{d} y^{\nu}
$$

where $x=\varphi_{i}(p)$ and $y=\varphi_{j}(p)$. From $\mathrm{d} y^{\nu}=\left(\partial y^{\nu} / \partial x^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\omega}_{\nu}=\omega_{\mu} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\nu}} \tag{5.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.2.4 Tensors

A tensor of type $(q, r)$ is a multilinear object which maps $q$ elements of $T_{p}^{*} M$ and $r$ elements of $T_{p} M$ to a real number. $\mathcal{T}_{r, p}^{q}(M)$ denotes the set of type $(q, r)$ tensors at $p \in M$. An element of $\mathcal{T}_{r, p}^{q}(M)$ is written in terms of the bases described earlier as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T=T^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q}} \nu_{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{r}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_{1}}} \cdots \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu_{q}}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{1}} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{r}} \tag{5.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly this is a linear function from

$$
\otimes^{q} T_{p}^{*} M \otimes^{r} T_{p} M
$$

to $\mathbb{R}$. Let $V_{i}=V_{i}^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}(1 \leq i \leq r)$ and $\omega_{i}=\omega_{i \mu} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}(1 \leq i \leq q)$. The action of $T$ on them yields a number

$$
T\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{q} ; V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}\right)=T^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q}}{ }_{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{r}} \omega_{1 \mu_{1}} \ldots \omega_{q \mu_{q}} V_{1}^{\nu_{1}} \ldots V_{r}^{v_{r}} .
$$

In the present notation, the inner product is $\langle\omega, X\rangle=\omega(X)$.

### 5.2.5 Tensor fields

If a vector is assigned smoothly to each point of $M$, it is called a vector field over $M$. In other words, $V$ is a vector field if $V[f] \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ for any $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Clearly each component of a vector field is a smooth function from $M$ to $\mathbb{R}$. The set of the vector fields on $M$ is denoted as $X(M)$. A vector field $X$ at $p \in M$ is denoted by $\left.X\right|_{p}$, which is an element of $T_{p} M$. Similarly, we define a tensor field of type $(q, r)$ by a smooth assignment of an element of $\mathcal{T}_{r, p}^{q}(M)$ at each point $p \in M$. The set of the tensor fields of type ( $q, r$ ) on $M$ is denoted by $\mathfrak{T}_{r}^{q}(M)$. For example, $\mathfrak{T}_{1}^{0}(M)$ is the set of the dual vector fields, which is also denoted by $\Omega^{1}(M)$ in the context of differential forms, see section 5.4. Similarly, $\mathcal{T}_{0}^{0}(M)=\mathcal{F}(M)$ is denoted by $\Omega^{0}(M)$ in the same context.


Figure 5.10. A map $f: M \rightarrow N$ induces the differential map $f_{*}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} N$.

### 5.2.6 Induced maps

A smooth map $f: M \rightarrow N$ naturally induces a map $f_{*}$ called the differential map (figure 5.10),

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} N . \tag{5.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit form of $f_{*}$ is obtained by the definition of a tangent vector as a directional derivative along a curve. If $g \in \mathcal{F}(N)$, then $g \circ f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. A vector $V \in T_{p} M$ acts on $g \circ f$ to give a number $V[g \circ f]$. Now we define $f_{*} V \in T_{f(p)} N$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{*} V\right)[g] \equiv V[g \circ f] \tag{5.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, in terms of charts $(U, \varphi)$ on $M$ and $(V \cdot \psi)$ on $N$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f_{*} V\right)\left[g \circ \psi^{-1}(y)\right] \equiv V\left[g \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)\right] \tag{5.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x=\varphi(p)$ and $y=\psi(f(p))$. Let $V=V^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ and $f_{*} V=W^{\alpha} \partial / \partial y^{\alpha}$. Then (5.32) yields

$$
W^{\alpha} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\left[g \circ \psi^{-1}(y)\right]=V^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\left[g \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}(x)\right] .
$$

If we take $g=y^{\alpha}$, we obtain the relation between $W^{\alpha}$ and $V^{\mu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
W^{\alpha}=V^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} y^{\alpha}(x) \tag{5.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the matrix $\left(\partial y^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\mu}\right)$ is nothing but the Jacobian of the map $f$ : $M \rightarrow N$. The differential map $f_{*}$ is naturally extended to tensors of type ( $q, 0$ ), $f_{*}: \mathcal{T}_{0, p}^{q}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{T}_{0, f(p)}^{q}(N)$.

Example 5.8. Let $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$ and $\left(y^{1}, y^{2}, y^{3}\right)$ be the coordinates in $M$ and $N$, respectively, and let $V=a \partial / \partial x^{1}+b \partial / \partial x^{2}$ be a tangent vector at $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}\right)$.

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a map whose coordinate presentation is $y=$ $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, \sqrt{\left.1-\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}\right)}\right.$. Then

$$
f_{*} V=V^{\mu} \frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}}=a \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{1}}+b \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{2}}-\left(a \frac{y^{1}}{y^{3}}+b \frac{y^{2}}{y^{3}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{3}} .
$$

Exercise 5.3. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ and $g: N \rightarrow P$. Show that the differential map of the composite map $g \circ f: M \rightarrow P$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g \circ f)_{*}=g_{*} \circ f_{*} . \tag{5.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

A map $f: M \rightarrow N$ also induces a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}: T_{f(p)}^{*} N \rightarrow T_{p}^{*} M \tag{5.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $f_{*}$ goes in the same direction as $f$, while $f^{*}$ goes backward, hence the name pullback, see section 2.2. If we take $V \in T_{p} M$ and $\omega \in T_{f(p)}^{*} N$, the pullback of $\omega$ by $f^{*}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle f^{*} \omega, V\right\rangle=\left\langle\omega, f_{*} V\right\rangle . \tag{5.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pullback $f^{*}$ naturally extends to tensors of type $(0, r), f^{*}: \mathcal{T}_{r, f(p)}^{0}(N) \rightarrow$ $\mathcal{T}_{r, p}^{0}(M)$. The component expression of $f^{*}$ is given by the Jacobian matrix ( $\partial y^{\alpha} / \partial x^{\mu}$ ), see exercise 5.4.

Exercise 5.4. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Show that for $\omega=\omega_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} y^{\alpha} \in$ $T_{f(p)}^{*} N$, the induced one-form $f^{*} \omega=\xi_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \in T_{p}^{*} M$ has components

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{\mu}=\omega_{\alpha} \frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tag{5.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.5. Let $f$ and $g$ be as in exercise 5.3. Show that the pullback of the composite map $g \circ f$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(g \circ f)^{*}=f^{*} \circ g^{*} \tag{5.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

There is no natural extension of the induced map for a tensor of mixed type. The extension is only possible if $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a diffeomorphism, where the Jacobian of $f^{-1}$ is also defined.
Exercise 5.6. Let

$$
T^{\mu}{ }_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

be a tensor field of type $(1,1)$ on $M$ and let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a diffeomorphism. Show that the induced tensor on $N$ is

$$
f_{*}\left(T^{\mu}{ }_{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}\right)=T^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\beta}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \otimes \mathrm{d} y^{\beta}
$$

where $x^{\mu}$ and $y^{\alpha}$ are local coordinates in $M$ and $N$, respectively.


Figure 5.11. (a) An immersion $f$ which is not an embedding. (b) An embedding $g$ and the submanifold $g\left(S^{1}\right)$.

### 5.2.7 Submanifolds

Before we close this section, we define a submanifold of a manifold. The meaning of embedding is also clarified here.

Definition 5.3. (Immersion, submanifold, embedding) Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map and let $\operatorname{dim} M \leq \operatorname{dim} N$.
(a) The map $f$ is called an immersion of $M$ into $N$ if $f_{*}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} N$ is an injection (one to one), that is rank $f_{*}=\operatorname{dim} M$.
(b) The map $f$ is called an embedding if $f$ is an injection and an immersion. The image $f(M)$ is called a submanifold of $N$. [In practice, $f(M)$ thus defined is diffeomorphic to $M$.]

If $f$ is an immersion, $f^{*}$ maps $T_{p} M$ isomorphically to an $m$-dimensional vector subspace of $T_{f(p)} N$ since $\operatorname{rank} f_{*}=\operatorname{dim} M$. From theorem 2.1, we also find $\operatorname{ker} f_{*}=\{0\}$. If $f$ is an embedding, $M$ is diffeomorphic to $f(M)$. Examples will clarify these rather technical points. Consider a map $f: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ in figure 5.11 (a). It is an immersion since a one-dimensional tangent space of $S^{1}$ is mapped by $f_{*}$ to a subspace of $T_{f(p)} \mathbb{R}^{2}$. The image $f\left(S^{1}\right)$ is not a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ since $f$ is not an injection. The map $g: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ in figure $5.11(b)$ is an embedding and $g\left(S^{1}\right)$ is a submanifold of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. Clearly, an embedding is an immersion although the converse is not necessarily true. In the previous section, we occasionally mentioned the embedding of $S^{n}$ into $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$. Now this meaning is clear; if $S^{n}$ is embedded by $f: S^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ then $S^{n}$ is diffeomorphic to $f\left(S^{n}\right)$.

### 5.3 Flows and Lie derivatives

Let $X$ be a vector field in $M$. An integral curve $x(t)$ of $X$ is a curve in $M$, whose tangent vector at $x(t)$ is $\left.X\right|_{x}$. Given a chart $(U, \varphi)$, this means

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}=X^{\mu}(x(t)) \tag{5.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{\mu}(t)$ is the $\mu$ th component of $\varphi(x(t))$ and $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$. Note the abuse of the notation: $x$ is used to denote a point in $M$ as well as its coordinates. [For later convenience we assume the point $x(0)$ is included in $U$.] Put in another way, finding the integral curve of a vector field $X$ is equivalent to solving the autonomous system of ordinary differential equations (ODEs) (5.39). The initial condition $x_{0}^{\mu}=x^{\mu}(0)$ corresponds to the coordinates of an integral curve at $t=0$. The existence and uniqueness theorem of ODEs guarantees that there is a unique solution to (5.39), at least locally, with the initial data $x_{0}^{\mu}$. It may happen that the integral curve is defined only on a subset of $\mathbb{R}$, in which case we have to pay attention so that the parameter $t$ does not exceed the given interval. In the following we assume that $t$ is maximally extended. It is known that if $M$ is a compact manifold, the integral curve exists for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$.

Let $\sigma\left(t, x_{0}\right)$ be an integral curve of $X$ which passes a point $x_{0}$ at $t=0$ and denote the coordinate by $\sigma^{\mu}\left(t, x_{0}\right)$. Equation (5.39) then becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sigma^{\mu}\left(t, x_{0}\right)=X^{\mu}\left(\sigma\left(t, x_{0}\right)\right) \tag{5.40a}
\end{equation*}
$$

with the initial condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\mu}\left(0, x_{0}\right)=x_{0}^{\mu} \tag{5.40b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The map $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$ is called a flow generated by $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. A flow satisfies the rule

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(t, \sigma^{\mu}\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right)=\sigma\left(t+s, x_{0}\right) \tag{5.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ such that both sides of (5.41) make sense. This can be seen from the uniqueness of ODEs. In fact, we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sigma^{\mu}\left(t, \sigma^{\mu}\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right) & =X^{\mu}\left(\sigma\left(t, \sigma^{\mu}\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right)\right) \\
\sigma\left(0, \sigma\left(s, x_{0}\right)\right) & =\sigma\left(s, x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sigma^{\mu}\left(t+s, x_{0}\right) & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d}(t+s)} \sigma^{\mu}\left(t+s, x_{0}\right)=X^{\mu}\left(\sigma\left(t+s, x_{0}\right)\right) \\
\sigma\left(0+s, x_{0}\right) & =\sigma\left(s, x_{0}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, both sides of (5.41) satisfy the same ODE and the same initial condition. From the uniqueness of the solution, they should be the same. We have obtained the following theorem.

Theorem 5.1. For any point $x \in M$, there exists a differentiable map $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow$ $M$ such that
(i) $\sigma(0, x)=x$;
(ii) $t \mapsto \sigma(t, x)$ is a solution of (5.40a) and (5.40b); and
(iii) $\sigma\left(t, \sigma^{\mu}(s, x)\right)=\sigma(t+s, x)$.
[Note: We denote the initial point by $x$ instead of $x_{0}$ to emphasize that $\sigma$ is a map $\mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$.]

We may imagine a flow as a (steady) stream flow. If a particle is observed at a point $x$ at $t=0$, it will be found at $\sigma(t, x)$ at later time $t$.

Example 5.9. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and let $X((x, y))=-y \partial / \partial x+x \partial / \partial y$ be a vector field in $M$. It is easy to verify that

$$
\sigma(t,(x, y))=(x \cos t-y \sin t, x \sin t+y \cos t)
$$

is a flow generated by $X$. The flow through $(x, y)$ is a circle whose centre is at the origin. Clearly, $\sigma(t,(x, y))=(x, y)$ if $t=2 n \pi, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. If $(x, y)=(0,0)$, the flow stays at $(0,0)$.

Exercise 5.7. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$, and let $X=y \partial / \partial x+x \partial / \partial y$ be a vector field in $M$. Find the flow generated by $X$.

### 5.3.1 One-parameter group of transformations

For fixed $t \in \mathbb{R}$, a flow $\sigma(t, x)$ is a diffeomorphism from $M$ to $M$, denoted by $\sigma_{t}: M \rightarrow M$. It is important to note that $\sigma_{t}$ is made into a commutative group by the following rules.
(i) $\quad \sigma_{t}\left(\sigma_{s}(x)\right)=\sigma_{t+s}(x)$, that is, $\sigma_{t} \circ \sigma_{s}=\sigma_{t+s}$;
(ii) $\sigma_{0}=$ the identity map (= unit element); and
(iii) $\sigma_{-t}=\left(\sigma_{t}\right)^{-1}$.

This group is called the one-parameter group of transformations. The group locally looks like the additive group $\mathbb{R}$, although it may not be isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}$ globally. In fact, in example 5.9, $\sigma_{2 \pi n+t}$ was the same map as $\sigma_{t}$ and we find that the one-parameter group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(2)$, the multiplicative group of $2 \times 2$ real matrices of the form

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rr}
\cos \theta & -\sin \theta \\
\sin \theta & \cos \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

or $\mathrm{U}(1)$, the multiplicative group of complex numbers of unit modulus $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}$.
Under the action of $\sigma_{\varepsilon}$, with an infinitesimal $\varepsilon$, we find from (5.40a) and (5.40b) that a point $x$ whose coordinate is $x^{\mu}$ is mapped to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{\varepsilon}^{\mu}(x)=\sigma^{\mu}(\varepsilon, x)=x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x) \tag{5.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector field $X$ is called, in this context, the infinitesimal generator of the transformation $\sigma_{t}$.

Given a vector field $X$, the corresponding flow $\sigma$ is often referred to as the exponentiation of $X$ and is denoted by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{\mu}(t, x)=\exp (t X) x^{\mu} \tag{5.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

The name 'exponentiation' is justified as we shall see now. Let us take a parameter $t$ and evaluate the coordinate of a point which is separated from the initial point $x=\sigma(0, x)$ by the parameter distance $t$ along the flow $\sigma$. The coordinate corresponding to the point $\sigma(t, x)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\sigma^{\mu}(t, x) & =x^{\mu}+\left.t \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} \sigma^{\mu}(s, x)\right|_{s=0}+\left.\frac{t^{2}}{2!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right)^{2} \sigma^{\mu}(s, x)\right|_{s=0}+\cdots \\
& =\left.\left[1+t \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}+\frac{t^{2}}{2!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right)^{2}+\cdots\right] \sigma^{\mu}(s, x)\right|_{s=0} \\
& \left.\equiv \exp \left(t \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right) \sigma^{\mu}(s, x)\right|_{s=0} \tag{5.44}
\end{align*}
$$

The last expression can also be written as $\sigma^{\mu}(t, x)=\exp (t X) x^{\mu}$, as in (5.43). The flow $\sigma$ satisfies the following exponential properties.
(i) $\quad \sigma(0, x)=x=\exp (0 X) x$
(ii) $\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma(t, x)}{\mathrm{d} t}=X \exp (t X) x=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{d} t}[\exp (t X) x]$
(iii) $\quad \sigma(t, \sigma(s, x))=\sigma(t, \exp (s X) x)=\exp (t X) \exp (s X) x$

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\exp \{(t+s) X\} x=\sigma(t+s, x) \tag{5.45c}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.3.2 Lie derivatives

Let $\sigma(t, x)$ and $\tau(t, x)$ be two flows generated by the vector fields $X$ and $Y$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \sigma^{\mu}(s, x)}{\mathrm{d} s} & =X^{\mu}(\sigma(s, x))  \tag{5.46a}\\
\frac{\mathrm{d} \tau^{\mu}(t, x)}{\mathrm{d} t} & =Y^{\mu}(\tau(t, x)) \tag{5.46b}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us evaluate the change of the vector field $Y$ along $\sigma(s, x)$. To do this, we have to compare the vector $Y$ at a point $x$ with that at a nearby point $x^{\prime}=\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)$, see figure 5.12. However, we cannot simply take the difference between the components of $Y$ at two points since they belong to different tangent spaces $T_{p} M$ and $T_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)} M$; the naive difference between vectors at different points is ill defined. To define a sensible derivative, we first map $\left.Y\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}$ to $T_{x} M$ by $\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*}: T_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)} M \rightarrow T_{x} M$, after which we take a difference between two vectors $\left.\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}$ and $\left.Y\right|_{x}$, both of which are vectors in $T_{x} M$. The Lie derivative of a vector field $Y$ along the flow $\sigma$ of $X$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} Y=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\left.\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}-\left.Y\right|_{x}\right] \tag{5.47}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 5.12. To compare a vector $\left.Y\right|_{x}$ with $\left.Y\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}$, the latter must be transported back to $x$ by the differential map $\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*}$.

Exercise 5.8. Show that $\mathcal{L}_{X} Y$ is also written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{X} Y & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\left.Y\right|_{x}-\left.\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y\right|_{\sigma_{-\varepsilon}(x)}\right] \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\left.Y\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}-\left.\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y\right|_{x}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $(U, \varphi)$ be a chart with the coordinates $x$ and let $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ and $Y=Y^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ be vector fields defined on $U$. Then $\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)$ has the coordinates $x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left.Y\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)} & =\left.Y^{\mu}\left(x^{\nu}+\varepsilon X^{\nu}(x)\right) e_{\mu}\right|_{x+\varepsilon X} \\
& \left.\simeq\left[Y^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x) \partial_{\nu} Y^{\mu}(x)\right] e_{\mu}\right|_{x+\varepsilon X}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ is the coordinate basis and $\partial_{\nu} \equiv \partial / \partial x^{\nu}$. If we map this vector defined at $\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)$ to $x$ by $\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
& {\left.\left[Y^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon X^{\lambda}(x) \partial_{\lambda} Y^{\mu}(x)\right] \partial_{\mu}\left[x^{\nu}-\varepsilon X^{\nu}(x)\right] e_{\nu}\right|_{x}} \\
& \quad=\left.\left[Y^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon X^{\lambda}(x) \partial_{\lambda} Y^{\mu}(x)\right]\left[\delta_{\mu}^{\nu}-\varepsilon \partial_{\mu} X^{\nu}(x)\right] e_{\nu}\right|_{x} \\
& \quad=\left.Y^{\mu}(x) e_{\mu}\right|_{x}+\left.\varepsilon\left[X^{\mu}(x) \partial_{\mu} Y^{\nu}(x)-Y^{\mu}(x) \partial_{\mu} X^{\nu}(x)\right] e_{\nu}\right|_{x}+O\left(\varepsilon^{2}\right) . \tag{5.48}
\end{align*}
$$

From (5.47) and (5.48), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} Y=\left(X^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} Y^{\nu}-Y^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} X^{\nu}\right) e_{\nu} \tag{5.49a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.9. Let $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ and $Y=Y^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ be vector fields in $M$. Define the Lie bracket $[X, Y$ ] by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X, Y] f=X[Y[f]]-Y[X[f]] \tag{5.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Show that $[X, Y]$ is a vector field given by

$$
\left(X^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} Y^{\nu}-Y^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} X^{\nu}\right) e_{\nu}
$$

This exercise shows that the Lie derivative of $Y$ along $X$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} Y=[X, Y] . \tag{5.49b}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remarks: Note that neither $X Y$ nor $Y X$ is a vector field since they are secondorder derivatives. The combination $[X, Y]$ is, however, a first-order derivative and indeed a vector field.]

Exercise 5.10. Show that the Lie bracket satisfies
(a) bilinearity

$$
\begin{aligned}
{\left[X, c_{1} Y_{1}+c_{2} Y_{2}\right] } & =c_{1}\left[X, Y_{1}\right]+c_{2}\left[X, Y_{2}\right] \\
{\left[c_{1} X_{1}+c_{2} X_{2}, Y\right] } & =c_{1}\left[X_{1}, Y\right]+c_{2}\left[X_{2}, Y\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

for any constants $c_{1}$ and $c_{2}$,
(b) skew-symmetry

$$
[X, Y]=-[Y X]
$$

(c) the Jacobi identity

$$
[[X, Y], Z]+[[Z, X], Y]+[[Y, Z], X]=0
$$

Exercise 5.11. (a) Let $X, Y \in X(M)$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{f X} Y & =f[X, Y]-Y[f] X  \tag{5.51a}\\
\mathcal{L}_{X}(f Y) & =f[X, Y]+X[f] Y \tag{5.51b}
\end{align*}
$$

(b) Let $X, Y \in X(M)$ and $f: M \rightarrow N$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{*}[X, Y]=\left[f_{*} X, f_{*} Y\right] . \tag{5.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Geometrically, the Lie bracket shows the non-commutativity of two flows. This is easily observed from the following consideration. Let $\sigma(s, x)$ and $\tau(t, x)$ be two flows generated by vector fields $X$ and $Y$, as before, see figure 5.13. If we move by a small parameter distance $\varepsilon$ along the flow $\sigma$ first, then by $\delta$ along $\tau$, we shall be at the point whose coordinates are

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tau^{\mu}(\delta, \sigma(\varepsilon, x)) & \simeq \tau^{\mu}\left(\delta, x^{\nu}+\varepsilon X^{\nu}(x)\right) \\
& \simeq x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x)+\delta Y^{\mu}\left(x^{\nu}+\varepsilon X^{\nu}(x)\right) \\
& \simeq x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x)+\delta Y^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon \delta X^{\nu}(x) \partial_{\nu} Y^{\nu}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 5.13. A Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ measures the failure of the closure of the parallelogram.

If, however, we move by $\delta$ along $\tau$ first, then by $\varepsilon$ along $\sigma$, we will be at the point

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{\mu}(\varepsilon, \tau(\delta, x)) & \simeq \sigma^{\mu}\left(\varepsilon, x^{\nu}+\delta Y^{\nu}(x)\right) \\
& \simeq x^{\mu}+\delta Y^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon X^{\mu}\left(x^{\nu}+\delta Y^{v}(x)\right) \\
& \simeq x^{\mu}+\delta Y^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x)+\varepsilon \delta Y^{v}(x) \partial_{v} X^{\mu}(x)
\end{aligned}
$$

The difference between the coordinates of these two points is proportional to the Lie bracket,

$$
\tau^{\mu}(\delta, \sigma(\varepsilon, x))-\sigma^{\mu}(\varepsilon, \tau(\delta, x))=\varepsilon \delta[X, Y]^{\mu}
$$

The Lie bracket of $X$ and $Y$ measures the failure of the closure of the parallelogram in figure 5.13. It is easy to see $\mathcal{L}_{X} Y=[X, Y]=0$ if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(s, \tau(t, x))=\tau(t, \sigma(s, x)) \tag{5.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may also define the Lie derivative of a one-form $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ along $X \in X(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega \equiv \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\left.\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}-\left.\omega\right|_{x}\right] \tag{5.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\omega\right|_{x} \in T_{x}^{*} M$ is $\omega$ at $x$. Put $\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$. Repeating a similar analysis as before, we obtain

$$
\left.\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}=\omega_{\mu}(x) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}+\varepsilon\left[X^{\nu}(x) \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}(x)+\partial_{\mu} X^{\nu}(x) \omega_{\nu}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}
$$

which leads to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega=\left(X^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} X^{\nu} \omega_{\nu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{5.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega \in T_{x}^{*}(M)$, since it is a difference of two one-forms at the same point $x$.

The Lie derivative of $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ along a flow $\sigma_{s}$ generated by a vector field $X$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} f & \equiv \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[f\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)\right)-f(x)\right] \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[f\left(x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(x)\right)-f\left(x^{\mu}\right)\right] \\
& =X^{\mu}(x) \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}}=X[f] \tag{5.56}
\end{align*}
$$

which is the usual directional derivative of $f$ along $X$.
The Lie derivative of a general tensor is obtained from the following proposition.

Proposition 5.1. The Lie derivative satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)=\mathcal{L}_{X} t_{1}+\mathcal{L}_{X} t_{2} \tag{5.57a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are tensor fields of the same type and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X}\left(t_{1} \otimes t_{2}\right)=\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} t_{1}\right) \otimes t_{2}+t_{1} \otimes\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} t_{2}\right) \tag{5.57b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are tensor fields of arbitrary types.
Proof. (a) is obvious. Rather than giving the general proof of (b), which is full of indices, we give an example whose extension to more general cases is trivial. Take $Y \in X(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ and construct the tensor product $Y \otimes \omega$. Then $\left.(Y \otimes \omega)\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}$ is mapped onto a tensor at $x$ by the action of $\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} \otimes\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*}$ :

$$
\left.\left[\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} \otimes\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*}\right](Y \otimes \omega)\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}=\left.\left[\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y \otimes\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega\right]\right|_{x}
$$

Then there follows (the Leibnitz rule):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{L}_{X}(Y \otimes \omega) & =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\left.\left\{\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y \otimes\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega\right\}\right|_{x}-\left.(Y \otimes \omega)\right|_{x}\right] \\
& =\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left[\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y \otimes\left\{\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega-\omega\right\}+\left\{\left(\sigma_{-\varepsilon}\right)_{*} Y-Y\right\} \otimes \omega\right] \\
& =Y \otimes\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega\right)+\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} Y\right) \otimes \omega .
\end{aligned}
$$

Extensions to more general cases are obvious.
This proposition enables us to calculate the Lie derivative of a general tensor field. For example, let $t=t_{\mu}{ }^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes e_{\nu} \in \mathcal{T}_{1}^{1}(M)$. Proposition 5.1 gives

$$
\mathcal{L}_{X} t=X\left[t_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}\right] \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes e_{\nu}+t_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}\right) \otimes e_{\nu}+t_{\mu}{ }^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} e_{\nu}\right)
$$

Exercise 5.12. Let $t$ be a tensor field. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]} t=\mathcal{L}_{X} \mathcal{L}_{Y} t-\mathcal{L}_{Y} \mathcal{L}_{X} t . \tag{5.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.4 Differential forms

Before we define differential forms, we examine the symmetry property of tensors. The symmetry operation on a tensor $\omega \in \mathcal{T}_{r, p}^{0}(M)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \omega\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{r}\right) \equiv \omega\left(V_{P(1)}, \ldots, V_{P(r)}\right) \tag{5.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V_{i} \in T_{p} M$ and $P$ is an element of $S_{r}$, the symmetric group of order $r$. Take the coordinate basis $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$. The component of $\omega$ in this basis is

$$
\omega\left(e_{\mu_{1}}, e_{\mu_{2}}, \ldots, e_{\mu_{r}}\right)=\omega_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}}
$$

The component of $P \omega$ is obtained from (5.59) as

$$
P \omega\left(e_{\mu_{1}}, e_{\mu_{2}}, \ldots, e_{\mu_{r}}\right)=\omega_{\mu_{P(1)}} \mu_{P(2)} \ldots \mu_{P(r)}
$$

For a general tensor of type $(q, r)$, the symmetry operations are defined for $q$ indices and $r$ indices separately.

For $\omega \in \mathcal{T}_{r, p}^{0}(M)$, the symmetrizer $\mathcal{S}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} \omega=\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{P \in S_{r}} P \omega \tag{5.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the anti-symmetrizer $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \omega=\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{P \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(P) P \omega \tag{5.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{sgn}(P)=+1$ for even permutations and -1 for odd permutations. $\mathcal{S} \omega$ is totally symmetric (that is, $P S \omega=\mathcal{S} \omega$ for any $P \in S_{r}$ ) and $\mathcal{A} \omega$ is totally antisymmetric $(P \mathcal{A} \omega=\operatorname{sgn}(P) \mathcal{A} \omega)$.

### 5.4.1 Definitions

Definition 5.4. A differential form of order $r$ or an $\boldsymbol{r}$-form is a totally antisymmetric tensor of type $(0, r)$.

Let us define the wedge product $\wedge$ of $r$ one-forms by the totally antisymmetric tensor product

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}=\sum_{P \in S_{r}} \operatorname{sgn}(P) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{P(1)}} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{P(2)}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{P(r)}} . \tag{5.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}= & \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}-\mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \\
\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}= & \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}+\mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \\
& +\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda}-\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \\
& -\mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda}-\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

It is readily verified that the wedge product satisfies the following.
(i) $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}=0$ if some index $\mu$ appears at least twice.
(ii) $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}=\operatorname{sgn}(P) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{P(1)}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{P(r)}}$.
(iii) $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}$ is linear in each $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$.

If we denote the vector space of $r$-forms at $p \in M$ by $\Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$, the set of $r$-forms (5.62) forms a basis of $\Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$ and an element $\omega \in \Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$ is expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \tag{5.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}}$ are taken totally anti-symmetric, reflecting the anti-symmetry of the basis. For example, the components of any second-rank tensor $\omega_{\mu \nu}$ are decomposed into the symmetric part $\sigma_{\mu \nu}$ and the anti-symmetric part $\alpha_{\mu \nu}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{\mu \nu}=\omega_{(\mu \nu)}  \tag{5.64a}\\
& \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\mu \nu}+\omega_{\nu \mu}\right)  \tag{5.64b}\\
& \alpha_{\mu \nu}=\omega_{[\mu \nu]} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\omega_{\mu \nu}-\omega_{\nu \mu}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that $\sigma_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=0$, while $\alpha_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=\omega_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$.
Since there are $\binom{m}{r}$ choices of the set $\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{r}\right)$ out of $(1,2, \ldots, m)$ in (5.62), the dimension of the vector space $\Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$ is

$$
\binom{m}{r}=\frac{m!}{(m-r)!r!}
$$

For later convenience we define $\Omega_{p}^{0}(M)=\mathbb{R}$. Clearly $\Omega_{p}^{1}(M)=T_{p}^{*} M$. If $r$ in (5.62) exceeds $m$, it vanishes identically since some index appears at least twice in the anti-symmetrized summation. The equality $\binom{m}{r}=\binom{m}{m-r}$ implies $\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{p}^{r}(M)=\operatorname{dim} \Omega_{p}^{m-r}(M)$. Since $\Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$ is a vector space, $\Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega_{p}^{m-r}(M)$ (see section 2.2).

Define the exterior product of a $q$-form and an $r$-form $\wedge: ~ \Omega_{p}^{q}(M) \times$ $\Omega_{p}^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{p}^{q+r}(M)$ by a trivial extension. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{p}^{q}(M)$ and $\xi \in \Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$, for example. The action of the $(q+r)$-form $\omega \wedge \xi$ on $q+r$ vectors is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& (\omega \wedge \xi)\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{q+r}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{q!r!} \sum_{P \in S_{q+r}} \operatorname{sgn}(P) \omega\left(V_{P(1)}, \ldots, V_{P(q)}\right) \xi\left(V_{P(q+1)}, \ldots, V_{P(q+r)}\right) \tag{5.65}
\end{align*}
$$

where $V_{i} \in T_{p} M$. If $q+r>m, \omega \wedge \xi$ vanishes identically. With this product, we define an algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{p}^{*}(M) \equiv \Omega_{p}^{0}(M) \oplus \Omega_{p}^{1}(M) \oplus \ldots \oplus \Omega_{p}^{m}(M) \tag{5.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Table 5.1.

| $r$-forms | Basis | Dimension |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $\Omega^{0}(M)=\mathcal{F}(M)$ | $\{1\}$ | 1 |
| $\Omega^{1}(M)=T^{*} M$ | $\left\{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}\right\}$ | $m$ |
| $\Omega^{2}(M)$ | $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}}\right\}$ | $m(m-1) / 2$ |
| $\Omega^{3}(M)$ | $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\left.\mu_{3}\right\}}\right.$ | $m(m-1)(m-2) / 6$ |
| $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ | $\vdots$ |
| $\Omega^{m}(M)$ | $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{m}\right\}$ | 1 |

$\Omega_{p}^{*}(M)$ is the space of all differential forms at $p$ and is closed under the exterior product.

Exercise 5.13. Take the Cartesian coordinates $(x, y)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The two-form $\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$ is the oriented area element (the vector product in elementary vector algebra). Show that, in polar coordinates, this becomes $r \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta$.

Exercise 5.14. Let $\xi \in \Omega_{p}^{q}(M), \eta \in \Omega_{p}^{r}(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega_{p}^{s}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi \wedge \xi=0 \quad \text { if } q \text { is odd }  \tag{5.67a}\\
& \xi \wedge \eta=(-1)^{q r} \eta \wedge \xi  \tag{5.67b}\\
& (\xi \wedge \eta) \wedge \omega=\xi \wedge(\eta \wedge \omega) . \tag{5.67c}
\end{align*}
$$

We may assign an $r$-form smoothly at each point on a manifold $M$. We denote the space of smooth $r$-forms on $M$ by $\Omega^{r}(M)$. We also define $\Omega^{0}(M)$ to be the algebra of smooth functions, $\mathcal{F}(M)$. In summary we have table 5.1.

### 5.4.2 Exterior derivatives

Definition 5.5. The exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}_{r}$ is a map $\Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M)$ whose action on an $r$-form

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}
$$

is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{r} \omega=\frac{1}{r!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} . \tag{5.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is common to drop the subscript $r$ and write simply d. The wedge product automatically anti-symmetrizes the coefficient.

Example 5.10. The $r$-forms in three-dimensional space are:
(i) $\omega_{0}=f(x, y, z)$,
(ii) $\omega_{1}=\omega_{x}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} x+\omega_{y}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} y+\omega_{z}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} z$,
(iii) $\omega_{2}=\omega_{x y}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y+\omega_{y z}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} y \wedge \mathrm{~d} z+\omega_{z x}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} x$ and
(iv) $\omega_{3}=\omega_{x y z}(x, y, z) \mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y \wedge \mathrm{~d} z$.

If we define an axial vector $\alpha^{\mu}$ by $\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda} \omega_{\nu \lambda}$, a two-form may be regarded as a 'vector'. The Levi-Civita symbol $\varepsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda}$ is defined by $\varepsilon^{P(1) P(2) P(3)}=\operatorname{sgn}(P)$ and provides the isomorphism between $X(M)$ and $\Omega^{2}(M)$. [Note that both of these are of dimension three.]

The action of $d$ is
(i) $\mathrm{d} \omega_{0}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial x} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\partial f}{\partial y} \mathrm{~d} y+\frac{\partial f}{\partial z} \mathrm{~d} z$,
(ii) $\mathrm{d} \omega_{1}=\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{y}}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial \omega_{x}}{\partial y}\right) \mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y+\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{z}}{\partial y}-\frac{\partial \omega_{y}}{\partial z}\right) \mathrm{d} y \wedge \mathrm{~d} z$ $+\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{x}}{\partial z}-\frac{\partial \omega_{z}}{\partial x}\right) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} x$,
(iii) $\mathrm{d} \omega_{2}=\left(\frac{\partial \omega_{y z}}{\partial x}+\frac{\partial \omega_{z x}}{\partial y}+\frac{\partial \omega_{x y}}{\partial z}\right) \mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y \wedge \mathrm{~d} z$ and
(iv) $\mathrm{d} \omega_{3}=0$.

Hence, the action of d on $\omega_{0}$ is identified with 'grad', on $\omega_{1}$ with 'rot' and on $\omega_{2}$ with 'div' in the usual vector calculus.

Exercise 5.15. Let $\xi \in \Omega^{q}(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(\xi \wedge \omega)=\mathrm{d} \xi \wedge \omega+(-1)^{q} \xi \wedge \mathrm{~d} \omega \tag{5.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

A useful expression for the exterior derivative is obtained as follows. Let us take $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}, Y=Y^{\nu} \partial / \partial x^{\nu} \in X(M)$ and $\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \in \Omega^{1}(M)$. It is easy to see that the combination

$$
X[\omega(Y)]-Y[\omega(X)]-\omega([X, Y])=\frac{\partial \omega_{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\left(X^{\nu} Y^{\mu}-X^{\mu} Y^{\nu}\right)
$$

is equal to $\mathrm{d} \omega(X, Y)$, and we have the coordinate-free expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \omega(X, Y)=X[\omega(Y)]-Y[\omega(X)]-\omega([X, Y]) \tag{5.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

For an $r$-form $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$, this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \omega\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r+1}\right) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{i+1} X_{i} \omega\left(X_{1}, \ldots, \hat{X}_{i}, \ldots, X_{r+1}\right) \\
&+\sum_{i<j}(-1)^{i+j} \omega\left(\left[X_{i}, X_{j}\right], X_{1}, \ldots, \hat{X}_{i}, \ldots, \hat{X}_{j}, \ldots, X_{r+1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the entry below ^ has been omitted. As an exercise, the reader should verify (5.71) explicitly for $r=2$.

We now prove an important formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2}=0 \quad\left(\text { or } \mathrm{d}_{r+1} \mathrm{~d}_{r}=0\right) \tag{5.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \in \Omega^{r}(M)
$$

The action of $\mathrm{d}^{2}$ on $\omega$ is

$$
\mathrm{d}^{2} \omega=\frac{1}{r!} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\nu}} \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}
$$

This vanishes identically since $\partial^{2} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} / \partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\nu}$ is symmetric with respect to $\lambda$ and $v$ while $\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$ is anti-symmetric.

Example 5.11. It is known that the electromagnetic potential $A=(\phi, A)$ is a one-form, $A=A_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ (see chapter 10). The electromagnetic tensor is defined by $F=\mathrm{d} A$ and has the components

$$
\left(\begin{array}{rrrr}
0 & -E_{x} & -E_{y} & -E_{x}  \tag{5.73}\\
E_{x} & 0 & B_{z} & -B_{y} \\
E_{y} & -B_{z} & 0 & B_{x} \\
E_{z} & B_{y} & -B_{x} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\mathbf{E}=-\nabla \phi-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{0}} \mathbf{A} \quad \text { and } \quad \mathbf{B}=\nabla \times \mathbf{A}
$$

as usual. Two Maxwell equations, $\nabla \cdot \mathbf{B}=0$ and $\partial \mathbf{B} / \partial t=-\nabla \times \mathbf{E}$ follow from the identity $\mathrm{d} F=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d} A)=0$, which is known as the Bianchi identity, while the other set is the equation of motion derived from the Lagrangian (1.245).

A map $f: M \rightarrow N$ induces the pullback $f^{*}: T_{f(p)}^{*} N \rightarrow T_{p}^{*} M$ and $f^{*}$ is naturally extended to tensors of type $(0, r)$; see section 5.2. Since an $r$-form is a tensor of type $(0, r)$, this applies as well. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(N)$ and let $f$ be a map $M \rightarrow N$. At each point $f(p) \in N, f$ induces the pullback $f^{*}: \Omega_{f(p)}^{r} N \rightarrow \Omega_{p}^{r} M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f^{*} \omega\right)\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right) \equiv \omega\left(f_{*} X_{1}, \ldots, f_{*} X_{r}\right) \tag{5.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{i} \in T_{p} M$ and $f_{*}$ is the differential map $T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} N$.
Exercise 5.16. Let $\xi, \omega \in \Omega^{r}(N)$ and let $f: M \rightarrow N$. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}\left(f^{*} \omega\right) & =f^{*}(d \omega)  \tag{5.75}\\
f^{*}(\xi \wedge \omega) & =\left(f^{*} \xi\right) \wedge\left(f^{*} \omega\right) \tag{5.76}
\end{align*}
$$

The exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}_{r}$ induces the sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^{0}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{0}} \Omega^{1}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{1}} \cdots \xrightarrow{d_{m-2}} \Omega^{m-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{m-1}} \Omega^{m}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{m}} 0 \tag{5.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the inclusion map $0 \hookrightarrow \Omega^{0}(M)$. This sequence is called the de Rham complex. Since $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$, we have $\mathrm{im}_{r} \subset \operatorname{ker~}_{r+1}$. [Take $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$. Then $\mathrm{d}_{r} \omega \in \mathrm{im} \mathrm{d}_{r}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{r+1}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{r} \omega\right)=0$ imply $\mathrm{d}_{r} \omega \in \operatorname{kerd}_{r+1}$.] An element of $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{d}_{r}$ is called a closed $\boldsymbol{r}$-form, while an element of $\mathrm{imd}_{r-1}$ is called an exact $r$-form. Namely, $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ is closed if $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ and exact if there exists an $(r-1)$-form $\psi$ such that $\omega=\mathrm{d} \psi$. The quotient space $\operatorname{ker} \mathrm{d}_{r} / \operatorname{im} d_{r-1}$ is called the $r$ th de Rham cohomology group which is made into the dual space of the homology group; see chapter 6.

### 5.4.3 Interior product and Lie derivative of forms

Another important operation is the interior product $\mathrm{i}_{X}: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(M)$, where $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. For $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{X} \omega\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-1}\right) \equiv \omega\left(X, X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r-1}\right) \tag{5.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ and $\omega=(1 / r!) \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{i}_{X} \omega & =\frac{1}{(r-1)!} X^{\nu} \omega_{\nu \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \\
& =\frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=1}^{r} X^{\mu_{s}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s} \ldots \mu_{r}}(-1)^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{s}}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \tag{5.79}
\end{align*}
$$

where the entry below ^ has been omitted. For example, let $(x, y, z)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Then

$$
\mathrm{i}_{e_{x}}(\mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y)=\mathrm{d} y, \quad \mathrm{i}_{e_{x}}(\mathrm{~d} y \wedge \mathrm{~d} z)=0, \quad \mathrm{i}_{e_{x}}(\mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} x)=-\mathrm{d} z
$$

The Lie derivative of a form is most neatly written with the interior product. Let $\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ be a one-form. Consider the combination

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{di}_{X}+\mathrm{i}_{X} \mathrm{~d}\right) \omega & =\mathrm{d}\left(X^{\mu} \omega_{\mu}\right)+\mathrm{i}_{X}\left[\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}\right] \\
& =\left(\omega_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} X^{\mu}+X^{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}+X^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \\
& =\left(\omega_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} X^{\mu}+X^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (5.55), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega=\left(\mathrm{di}_{X}+\mathrm{i}_{X} \mathrm{~d}\right) \omega . \tag{5.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

For a general $r$-form $\omega=(1 / r!) \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega= & \lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{\varepsilon}\left(\left.\left(\sigma_{\varepsilon}\right)^{*} \omega\right|_{\sigma_{\varepsilon}(x)}-\left.\omega\right|_{x}\right) \\
= & X^{\nu} \frac{1}{r!} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \\
& +\sum_{s=1}^{r} \partial_{\mu_{s}} X^{\nu} \frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \stackrel{\downarrow}{\nu} \ldots \mu_{r}}^{s} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} . \tag{5.81}
\end{align*}
$$

We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{di}_{X}+\right. & \left.\mathrm{i}_{X} \mathrm{~d}\right) \omega \\
= & \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=1}^{r}\left[\partial_{\nu} X^{\mu_{s}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s} \ldots \mu_{r}}+X^{\mu_{s}} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s} \ldots \mu_{r}}\right] \\
& \times(-1)^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{s}}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \\
& +\frac{1}{r!}\left[X^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}\right. \\
& \left.+\sum_{s=1}^{r} X^{\mu_{s}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s} \ldots \mu_{r}}(-1)^{s} \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{s}}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{s=1}^{r}\left[\partial_{\nu} X^{\mu_{s}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{s} \ldots \mu_{r}}(-1)^{s-1} \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \widehat{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{s}}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}\right. \\
& +\frac{1}{r!} X^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we interchange the roles of $\mu_{s}$ and $\nu$ in the first term of the last expression and compare it with (5.81), we verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{di}_{X}+\mathrm{i}_{X} \mathrm{~d}\right) \omega=\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega \tag{5.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $r$-form $\omega$.
Exercise 5.17. Let $X, Y \in X(M)$ and $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{[X, Y]} \omega=X\left(\mathrm{i}_{Y} \omega\right)-Y\left(\mathrm{i}_{X} \omega\right) \tag{5.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show also that $\mathrm{i}_{X}$ is an anti-derivation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{X}(\omega \wedge \eta)=\mathrm{i}_{X} \omega \wedge \eta+(-1)^{r} \omega \wedge \mathrm{i}_{X} \eta \tag{5.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

and nilpotent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{X}^{2}=0 \tag{5.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

Use the nilpotency to prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} \mathrm{i}_{X} \omega=\mathrm{i}_{X} \mathcal{L}_{X} \omega \tag{5.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 5.18. Let $t \in \mathcal{T}_{m}^{n}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} t\right)_{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{m}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n}}=X^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda} t_{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{m}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n}}+\sum_{s=1}^{n} \partial_{\nu_{s}} X^{\lambda} t_{\nu_{1} \ldots \lambda \ldots \nu_{m}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{n}}-\sum_{s=1}^{n} \partial_{\lambda} X^{\mu_{s}} t_{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{m}}^{\mu_{1} \ldots \lambda \mu_{n}} \tag{5.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 5.12. Let us reformulate Hamiltonian mechanics (section 1.1) in terms of differential forms. Let $H$ be a Hamiltonian and $\left(q^{\mu}, p_{\mu}\right)$ be its phase space. Define a two-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\mathrm{d} p_{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} q^{\mu} \tag{5.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the symplectic two-form. If we introduce a one-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=q^{\mu} \mathrm{d} p_{\mu} \tag{5.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

the symplectic two-form is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\mathrm{d} \theta \tag{5.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a function $f(q, p)$ in the phase space, one can define the Hamiltonian vector field

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{f}=\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu}} . \tag{5.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it is easy to verify that

$$
\mathrm{i}_{X_{f}} \omega=-\frac{\partial f}{\partial p_{\mu}} \mathrm{d} p^{\mu}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^{\mu}} \mathrm{d} q^{\mu}=-\mathrm{d} f
$$

Consider a vector field generated by the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{H}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}}-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu}} \tag{5.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the solution $\left(q^{\mu}, p_{\mu}\right)$ to Hamilton's equation of motion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} q^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}=\frac{\partial H}{\partial p_{\mu}} \quad \frac{\mathrm{d} p_{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\frac{\partial H}{\partial q^{\mu}} \tag{5.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

we also obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{H}=\frac{\mathrm{d} p_{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \frac{\partial}{\partial p_{\mu}} \frac{\mathrm{d} q^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \frac{\partial}{\partial q^{\mu}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \tag{5.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symplectic two-form $\omega$ is left invariant along the flow generated by $X_{H}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{L}_{X_{H}} \omega & =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{i}_{X_{H}} \omega\right)+\mathrm{i}_{X_{H}}(\mathrm{~d} \omega) \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{i}_{X_{H}} \omega\right)=-\mathrm{d}^{2} H=0 \tag{5.95}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of (5.82). Conversely, if $X$ satisifes $\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega=0$, there exists a Hamiltonian $H$ such that Hamilton's equation of motion is satisfied
along the flow generated by $X$. This follows from the previous observation that $\mathcal{L}_{X} \omega=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{i}_{X} \omega\right)=0$ and hence by Poincaré's lemma, there exists a function $H(q, p)$ such that

$$
\mathrm{i}_{X} \omega=-\mathrm{d} H
$$

The Poisson bracket is cast into a form independent of the special coordinates chosen with the help of the Hamiltonian vector fields. In fact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}_{X_{f}}\left(\mathrm{i}_{X_{g}} \omega\right)=-\mathrm{i}_{X_{f}}(\mathrm{~d} g)=\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^{\mu}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_{\mu}}-\frac{\partial f}{\partial q^{\mu}} \frac{\partial g}{\partial p_{\mu}}=[f, g]_{\mathrm{PB}} \tag{5.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.5 Integration of differential forms

### 5.5.1 Orientation

An integration of a differential form over a manifold $M$ is defined only when $M$ is 'orientable'. So we first define an orientation of a manifold. Let $M$ be a connected $m$-dimensional differentiable manifold. At a point $p \in M$, the tangent space $T_{p} M$ is spanned by the basis $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$, where $x^{\mu}$ is the local coordinate on the chart $U_{i}$ to which $p$ belongs. Let $U_{j}$ be another chart such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$ with the local coordinates $y^{\alpha}$. If $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}, T_{p} M$ is spanned by either $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$ or $\left\{\widetilde{e}_{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial y^{\alpha}\right\}$. The basis changes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}_{\alpha}=\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\alpha}}\right) e_{\mu} \tag{5.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $J=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\alpha}\right)>0$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j},\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ are said to define the same orientation on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ and if $J<0$, they define the opposite orientation.

Definition 5.6. Let $M$ be a connected manifold covered by $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$. The manifold $M$ is orientable if, for any overlapping charts $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$, there exist local coordinates $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ for $U_{i}$ and $\left\{y^{\alpha}\right\}$ for $U_{j}$ such that $J=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\alpha}\right)>0$.

If $M$ is non-orientable, $J$ cannot be positive in all intersections of charts. For example, the Möbius strip in figure $5.14(a)$ is non-orientable since we have to choose $J$ to be negative in the intersection B.

If an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ is orientable, there exists an $m$-form $\omega$ which vanishes nowhere. This $m$-form $\omega$ is called a volume element, which plays the role of a measure when we integrate a function $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ over $M$. Two volume elements $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ are said to be equivalent if there exists a strictly positive function $h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $\omega=h \omega^{\prime}$. A negative-definite function $h^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ gives an inequivalent orientation to $M$. Thus, any orientable manifold admits two inequivalent orientations, one of which is called right handed, the other left handed. Take an $m$-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=h(p) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \tag{5.98}
\end{equation*}
$$


(b)


Figure 5.14. (a) The Möbius strip is obtained by twisting the part $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$ of the second strip by $\pi$ before pasting A with $\mathrm{A}^{\prime}$ and B with $\mathrm{B}^{\prime}$. The coordinate change on B is $y^{1}=x^{1}, y^{2}=-x^{2}$ and the Jacobian is -1 . (b) Basis frames on the Möbius strip.
with a positive-definite $h(p)$ on a chart $(U, \varphi)$ whose coordinate is $x=\varphi(p)$. If $M$ is orientable, we may extend $\omega$ throughout $M$ such that the component $h$ is positive definite on any chart $U_{i}$. If $M$ is orientable, this $\omega$ is a volume element. Note that this positivity of $h$ is independent of the choice of coordinates. In fact, let $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$ and let $x^{\mu}$ and $y^{\alpha}$ be the coordinates of $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$, respectively. Then (5.98) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=h(p) \frac{\partial x^{1}}{\partial y^{\mu_{1}}} \mathrm{~d} y^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \frac{\partial x^{m}}{\partial y^{\mu_{m}}} \mathrm{~d} y^{\mu_{m}}=h(p) \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right) \mathrm{d} y^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} y^{m} \tag{5.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determinant in (5.99) is the Jacobian of the coordinate transformation and must be positive by assumed orientability. If $M$ is non-orientable, $\omega$ with a positive-definite component cannot be defined on $M$. Let us look at figure 5.14 again. If we circumnavigate the strip along the direction shown in the figure, $\omega=\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$ changes the signature $\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y \rightarrow-\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$ when we come back to the starting point. Hence, $\omega$ cannot be defined uniquely on $M$.

### 5.5.2 Integration of forms

Now we are ready to define an integration of a function $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ over an orientable manifold $M$. Take a volume element $\omega$. In a coordinate neighbourhood $U_{i}$ with the coordinate $x$, we define the integration of an $m$-form $f \omega$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{U_{i}} f \omega \equiv \int_{\varphi\left(U_{i}\right)} f\left(\varphi_{i}^{-1}(x)\right) h\left(\varphi_{i}^{-1}(x)\right) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \tag{5.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS is an ordinary multiple integration of a function of $m$ variables. Once the integral of $f$ over $U_{i}$ is defined, the integral of $f$ over the whole of $M$ is given with the help of the 'partition of unity' defined now.

Definition 5.7. Take an open covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ of $M$ such that each point of $M$ is covered with a finite number of $U_{i}$. [If this is always possible, $M$ is called paracompact, which we assume to be the case.] If a family of differentiable functions $\varepsilon_{i}(p)$ satisfies
(i) $0 \leq \varepsilon_{i}(p) \leq 1$
(ii) $\varepsilon_{i}(p)=0$ if $p \notin U_{i}$ and
(iii) $\varepsilon_{1}(p)+\varepsilon_{2}(p)+\ldots=1$ for any point $p \in M$
the family $\{\varepsilon(p)\}$ is called a partition of unity subordinate to the covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$.
From condition (iii), it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=\sum_{i} f(p) \varepsilon_{i}(p)=\sum_{i} f_{i}(p) \tag{5.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{i}(p) \equiv f(p) \varepsilon_{i}(p)$ vanishes outside $U_{i}$ by (ii). Hence, given a point $p \in M$, assumed paracompactness ensures that there are only finite terms in the summation over $i$ in (5.101). For each $f_{i}(p)$, we may define the integral over $U_{i}$ according to (5.100). Finally the integral of $f$ on $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} f \omega \equiv \sum_{i} \int_{U_{i}} f_{i} \omega \tag{5.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Although a different atlas $\left\{\left(V_{i}, \psi_{i}\right)\right\}$ gives different coordinates and a different partition of unity, the integral defined by (5.102) remains the same.
Example 5.13. Let us take the atlas of $S^{1}$ defined in example 5.2. Let $U_{1}=$ $S^{1}-\{(1,0)\}, U_{2}=S^{1}-\{(-1,0)\}, \varepsilon_{1}(\theta)=\sin ^{2}(\theta / 2)$ and $\varepsilon_{2}(\theta)=\cos ^{2}(\theta / 2)$. The reader should verify that $\left\{\varepsilon_{i}(\theta)\right\}$ is a partition of unity subordinate to $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$. Let us integrate a function $f=\cos ^{2} \theta$, for example. [Of course we know

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta \cos ^{2} \theta=\pi
$$

but let us use the partition of unity.] We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{S^{1}} \mathrm{~d} \theta \cos ^{2} \theta & =\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta \sin ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \cos ^{2} \theta+\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \mathrm{d} \theta \cos ^{2} \frac{\theta}{2} \cos ^{2} \theta \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \pi+\frac{1}{2} \pi=\pi
\end{aligned}
$$

So far, we have left $h$ arbitrary provided it is strictly positive. The reader might be tempted to choose $h$ to he unity. However, as we found in (5.99), $h$ is multiplied by the Jacobian under the change of coordinates and there is no canonical way to single out the component $h$; unity in one coordinate might not be unity in the other. The situation changes if the manifold is endowed with a metric, as we will see in chapter 7 .

### 5.6 Lie groups and Lie algebras

A Lie group is a manifold on which the group manipulations, product and inverse, are defined. Lie groups play an extremely important role in the theory of fibre bundles and also find vast applications in physics. Here we will work out the geometrical aspects of Lie groups and Lie algebras.

### 5.6.1 Lie groups

Definition 5.8. A Lie group $G$ is a differentiable manifold which is endowed with a group structure such that the group operations

$$
\text { (i) } \cdot: G \times G \rightarrow G,\left(g_{1}, g_{2}\right) \mapsto g_{1} \cdot g_{2}
$$

(ii) ${ }^{-1}: G \rightarrow G, g \mapsto g^{-1}$
are differentiable. [Remark: It can be shown that $G$ has a unique analytic structure with which the product and the inverse operations are written as convergent power series.]

The unit element of a Lie group is written as $e$. The dimension of a Lie group $G$ is defined to be the dimension of $G$ as a manifold. The product symbol may be omitted and $g_{1} \cdot g_{2}$ is usually written as $g_{1} g_{2}$. For example, let $\mathbb{R}^{*} \equiv \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$. Take three elements $x, y, z \in \mathbb{R}^{*}$ such that $x y=z$. Obviously if we multiply a number close to $x$ by a number close to $y$, we have a number close to $z$. Similarly, an inverse of a number close to $x$ is close to $1 / x$. In fact, we can differentiate these maps with respect to the relevant arguments and $\mathbb{R}^{*}$ is made into a Lie group with these group operations. If the product is commutative, namely $g_{1} g_{2}=g_{2} g_{1}$, we often use the additive symbol + instead of the product symbol.

Exercise 5.19.
(a) Show that $\mathbb{R}^{+}=\{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid x>0\}$ is a Lie group with respect to multiplication.
(b) Show that $\mathbb{R}$ is a Lie group with respect to addition.
(c) Show that $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ is a Lie group with respect to addition defined by $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)+$ $\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)=\left(x_{1}+x_{2}, y_{1}+y_{2}\right)$.

Example 5.14. Let $S^{1}$ be the unit circle on the complex plane,

$$
S^{1}=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mid \theta \in \mathbb{R} \quad(\bmod 2 \pi)\right\}
$$

The group operations defined by $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}(\theta+\varphi)}$ and $\left(\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right)^{-1}=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}$ are differentiable and $S^{1}$ is made into a Lie group, which we call $\mathrm{U}(1)$. It is easy to see that the group operations are the same as those in exercise $5.19(b)$ modulo $2 \pi$.

Of particular interest in physical applications are the matrix groups which are subgroups of general linear groups $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. The product of
elements is simply the matrix multiplication and the inverse is given by the matrix inverse. The coordinates of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ are given by $n^{2}$ entries of $M=\left\{x_{i j}\right\}$. $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a non-compact manifold of real dimension $n^{2}$.

Interesting subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ are the orthogonal group $\mathrm{O}(n)$, the special linear group $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and the special orthogonal group $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{O}(n) & =\left\{M \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid M M^{\mathrm{t}}=M^{\mathrm{t}} M=I_{n}\right\}  \tag{5.103}\\
\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) & =\{M \in \mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{det} M=1\}  \tag{5.104}\\
\mathrm{SO}(n) & =\mathrm{O}(n) \cap \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \tag{5.105}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{t}$ denotes the transpose of a matrix. In special relativity, we are familiar with the Lorentz group

$$
\mathrm{O}(1,3)=\left\{M \in \mathrm{GL}(4, \mathbb{R}) \mid M \eta M^{t}=\eta\right\}
$$

where $\eta$ is the Minkowski metric, $\eta=\operatorname{diag}(-1,1,1,1)$. Extension to higherdimensional spacetime is trivial.

Exercise 5.20. Show that the group $\mathrm{O}(1,3)$ is non-compact and has four connected components according to the sign of the determinant and the sign of the $(0,0)$ entry. The component that contains the unit matrix is denoted by $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{\uparrow}(1,3)$.

The group $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of non-singular linear transformations in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$, which are represented by $n \times n$ non-singular matrices with complex entries. The unitary group $\mathrm{U}(n)$, the special linear group $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C})$ and the special unitary group $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{U}(n) & =\left\{M \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid M M^{\dagger}=M^{\dagger} M=\mathbf{1}\right\}  \tag{5.106}\\
\mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) & =\{M \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \mid \operatorname{det} M=1\}  \tag{5.107}\\
\mathrm{SU}(n) & =\mathrm{U}(n) \cap \operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{C}) \tag{5.108}
\end{align*}
$$

where ${ }^{\dagger}$ is the Hermitian conjugate.
So far we have just mentioned that the matrix groups are subgroups of a Lie group $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ (or GL( $n, \mathbb{C}$ )). The following theorem guarantees that they are Lie subgroups, that is, these subgroups are Lie groups by themselves. We accept this important (and difficult to prove) theorem without proof.

Theorem 5.2. Every closed subgroup $H$ of a Lie group $G$ is a Lie subgroup.
For example, $\mathrm{O}(n), \mathrm{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ are Lie subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. To see why $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a closed subgroup, consider a map $f: \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by $A \mapsto \operatorname{det} A$. Obviously $f$ is a continuous map and $f^{-1}(1)=$ $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. A point $\{1\}$ is a closed subset of $\mathbb{R}$, hence $f^{-1}(1)$ is closed in $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Then theorem 5.2 states that $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is a Lie subgroup. The reader should verify that $\mathrm{O}(n)$ and $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ are also Lie subgroups of $\mathrm{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$.

Let $G$ be a Lie group and $H$ a Lie subgroup of $G$. Define an equivalence relation $\sim$ by $g \sim g^{\prime}$ if there exists an element $h \in H$ such that $g^{\prime}=g h$. An equivalence class $[g]$ is a set $\{g h \mid h \in H\}$. The coset space $G / H$ is a manifold (not necessarily a Lie group) with $\operatorname{dim} G / H=\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} H . G / H$ is a Lie group if $H$ is a normal subgroup of $G$, that is, if $g h g^{-1} \in H$ for any $g \in G$ and $h \in H$. In fact, take equivalence classes $[g],\left[g^{\prime}\right] \in G / H$ and construct the product $[g]\left[g^{\prime}\right]$. If the group structure is well defined in $G / H$, the product must be independent of the choice of the representatives. Let $g h$ and $g^{\prime} h^{\prime}$ be the representatives of $[g]$ and $\left[g^{\prime}\right]$ respectively. Then $g h g^{\prime} h^{\prime}=g g^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime} h^{\prime} \in\left[g g^{\prime}\right]$ where the equality follows since there exists $h^{\prime \prime} \in H$ such that $h g^{\prime}=g^{\prime} h^{\prime \prime}$. It is left as an exercise to the reader to show that $[g]^{-1}$ is also a well defined operation and $[g]^{-1}=\left[g^{-1}\right]$.

### 5.6.2 Lie algebras

Definition 5.9. Let $a$ and $g$ be elements of a Lie group $G$. The right-translation $R_{a}: G \rightarrow G$ and the left-translation $L_{a}: G \rightarrow G$ of $g$ by $a$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{a} g & =g a  \tag{5.109a}\\
L_{a} g & =a g . \tag{5.109b}
\end{align*}
$$

By definition, $R_{a}$ and $L_{a}$ are diffeomorphisms from $G$ to $G$. Hence, the maps $L_{a}: G \rightarrow G$ and $R_{a}: G \rightarrow G$ induce $L_{a *}: T_{g} G \rightarrow T_{a g} G$ and $R_{a *}: T_{g} G \rightarrow T_{g a} G$; see section 5.2. Since these translations give equivalent theories, we are concerned mainly with the left-translation in the following. The analysis based on the right-translation can be carried out in a similar manner.

Given a Lie group $G$, there exists a special class of vector fields characterized by an invariance under group action. [On the usual manifold there is no canonical way of discriminating some vector fields from the others.]

Definition 5.10. Let $X$ be a vector field on a Lie group $G$. $X$ is said to be a leftinvariant vector field if $\left.L_{a *} X\right|_{g}=\left.X\right|_{a g}$.

Exercise 5.21 . Verify that a left-invariant vector field $X$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L_{a *} X\right|_{g}=\left.X^{\mu}(g) \frac{\partial x^{\nu}(a g)}{\partial x^{\mu}(g)} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{v}}\right|_{a g}=\left.X^{v}(a g) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{v}}\right|_{a g} \tag{5.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{\mu}(g)$ and $x^{\mu}(a g)$ are coordinates of $g$ and $a g$, respectively.
A vector $V \in T_{e} G$ defines a unique left-invariant vector field $X_{V}$ throughout $G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X_{V}\right|_{g}=L_{g *} V \quad g \in G . \tag{5.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, we verify from (5.34) that $\left.X_{V}\right|_{a g}=L_{a g *} V=\left(L_{a} L_{g}\right)_{*} V=L_{a *} L_{g *} V=$ $\left.L_{a *} X_{V}\right|_{g}$. Conversely, a left-invariant vector field $X$ defines a unique vector $V=\left.X\right|_{e} \in T_{e} G$. Let us denote the set of left-invariant vector fields on $G$ by
$\mathfrak{g}$. The map $T_{e} G \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ defined by $V \mapsto X_{V}$ is an isomorphism and it follows that the set of left-invariant vector fields is a vector space isomorphic to $T_{e} G$. In particular, $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g}=\operatorname{dim} G$.

Since $\mathfrak{g}$ is a set of vector fields, it is a subset of $X(G)$ and the Lie bracket defined in section 5.3 is also defined on $\mathfrak{g}$. We show that $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed under the Lie bracket. Take two points $g$ and $a g=L_{a} g$ in $G$. If we apply $L_{a *}$ to the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ of $X, Y \in \mathfrak{g}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L_{a *}[X, Y]\right|_{g}=\left[\left.L_{a *} X\right|_{g},\left.L_{a *} Y\right|_{g}\right]=\left.[X, Y]\right|_{a g} \tag{5.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the left-invariances of $X$ and $Y$ and (5.52) have been used. Thus, $[X, Y] \in$ $\mathfrak{g}$, that is $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed under the Lie bracket.

It is instructive to work out the left-invariant vector field of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The coordinates of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ are given by $n^{2}$ entries $x^{i j}$ of the matrix. The unit element is $e=I_{n}=\left(\delta^{i j}\right)$. Let $g=\left\{x^{i j}(g)\right\}$ and $a=\left\{x^{i j}(a)\right\}$ be elements of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The left-translation is

$$
L_{a} g=a g=\sum x^{i k}(a) x^{k j}(g)
$$

Take a vector $V=\sum V^{i j} \partial /\left.\partial x^{i j}\right|_{e} \in T_{e} G$ where the $V^{i j}$ are the entries of $V$. The left-invariant vector field generated by $V$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\left.X_{V}\right|_{g} & =L_{g *} V=\left.\left.\sum_{i j k l m} V^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i j}}\right|_{e} x^{k l}(g) x^{l m}(e) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k m}}\right|_{g} \\
& =\left.\sum V^{i j} x^{k l}(g) \delta_{i}^{l} \delta_{j}^{m} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k m}}\right|_{g} \\
& =\left.\sum x^{k i}(g) V^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k j}}\right|_{g}=\left.\sum(g V)^{k j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k j}}\right|_{g} \tag{5.113}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g V$ is the usual matrix multiplication of $g$ and $V$. The vector $\left.X_{V}\right|_{g}$ is often abbreviated as $g V$ since it gives the components of the vector.

The Lie bracket of $X_{V}$ and $X_{W}$ generated by $V=V^{i j} \partial /\left.\partial x^{i j}\right|_{e}$ and $W=$ $W^{i j} \partial /\left.\partial x^{i j}\right|_{e}$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left.\left[X_{V}, X_{W}\right]\right|_{g} } & =\left.\left.\sum x^{k i}(g) V^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{k j}}\right|_{g} x^{c a}(g) W^{a b} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{c b}}\right|_{g}-(V \leftrightarrow W) \\
& =\left.\sum x^{i j}(g)\left[V^{j k} W^{k l}-W^{j k} V^{k l}\right] \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i l}}\right|_{g} \\
& =\left.\sum(g[V, W])^{i j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{i j}}\right|_{g} \tag{5.114}
\end{align*}
$$

Clearly, (5.113) and (5.114) remain true for any matrix group and we establish that

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{g *} V & =g V  \tag{5.115}\\
{\left.\left[X_{V}, X_{W}\right]\right|_{g} } & =L_{g *}[V, W]=g[V, W] . \tag{5.116}
\end{align*}
$$

Now a Lie algebra is defined as the set of left-invariant vector fields $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Lie bracket.

Definition 5.11. The set of left-invariant vector fields $\mathfrak{g}$ with the Lie bracket $[\quad, \quad]: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is called the Lie algebra of a Lie group $G$.

We denote the Lie algebra of a Lie group by the corresponding lower-case German gothic letter. For example $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ is the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{SO}(n)$.

Example 5.15.
(a) Take $G=\mathbb{R}$ as in exercise 5.19(b). If we define the left translation $L_{a}$ by $x \mapsto x+a$, the left-invariant vector field is given by $X=\partial / \partial x$. In fact,

$$
\left.L_{a *} X\right|_{x}=\frac{\partial(a+x)}{\partial x} \frac{\partial}{\partial(a+x)}=\frac{\partial}{\partial(x+a)}=\left.X\right|_{x+a}
$$

Clearly this is the only left-invariant vector field on $\mathbb{R}$. We also find that $X=\partial / \partial \theta$ is the unique left-invariant vector field on $G=\mathrm{SO}(2)=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mid 0 \leq\right.$ $\theta \leq 2 \pi\}$. Thus, the Lie groups $\mathbb{R}$ and $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ share the common Lie algebra. (b) Let $\mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be the Lie algebra of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $c:(-\varepsilon, \varepsilon) \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ be a curve with $c(0)=I_{n}$. The curve is approximated by $c(s)=I_{n}+s A+$ $O\left(s^{2}\right)$ near $s=0$, where $A$ is an $n \times n$ matrix of real entries. Note that for small enough $s, \operatorname{det} c(s)$ cannot vanish and $c(s)$ is, indeed, in $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. The tangent vector to $c(s)$ at $I_{n}$ is $\left.c^{\prime}(s)\right|_{s=0}=A$. This shows that $\mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices. Clearly $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{R})=n^{2}=\operatorname{dimGL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ are more interesting.
(c) Let us find the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{R})$ of $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Following this prescription, we approximate a curve through $I_{n}$ by $c(s)=I_{n}+s A+O\left(s^{2}\right)$. The tangent vector to $c(s)$ at $I_{n}$ is $\left.c^{\prime}(s)\right|_{s=0}=A$. Now, for the curve $c(s)$ to be in $\operatorname{SL}(n, \mathbb{R}), c(s)$ has to satisfy $\operatorname{det} c(s)=1+s \operatorname{tr} A=1$, namely $\operatorname{tr} A=0$. Thus, $\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is the set of $n \times n$ traceless matrices and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{R})=n^{2}-1$. (d) Let $c(s)=I_{n}+s A+O\left(s^{2}\right)$ be a curve in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$ through $I_{n}$. Since $c(s)$ is a curve in $\mathrm{SO}(n)$, it satisfies $c(s)^{\mathrm{t}} c(s)=I_{n}$. Differentiating this identity, we obtain $c^{\prime}(s)^{\mathrm{t}} c(s)+c(s)^{\mathrm{t}} c^{\prime}(s)=0$. At $s=0$, this becomes $A^{\mathrm{t}}+A=0$. Hence, $\mathfrak{s o}(n)$ is the set of skew-symmetric matrices. Since we are interested only in the vicinity of the unit element, the Lie algebra of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ is the same as that of $\mathrm{SO}(n): \mathfrak{o}(n)=\mathfrak{s o}(n)$. It is easy to see that $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{o}(n)=\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{s o}(n)=n(n-1) / 2$.
(e) A similar analysis can be carried out for matrix groups of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{C})$. $\mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of $n \times n$ matrices with complex entries and $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{C})=$ $2 n^{2}$ (the dimension here is a real dimension). $\mathfrak{s l}(n, \mathbb{C})$ is the set of traceless matrices with real dimension $2\left(n^{2}-1\right)$. To find $\mathfrak{u}(n)$, we consider a curve $c(s)=I_{n}+s A+O\left(s^{2}\right)$ in $\mathrm{U}(n)$. Since $c(s)^{\dagger} c(s)=I_{n}$, we have $c^{\prime}(s)^{\dagger} c(s)+c(s)^{\dagger} c^{\prime}(s)=0$. At $s=0$, we have $A^{\dagger}+A=0$.

Hence, $\mathfrak{u}(n)$ is the set of skew-Hermitian matrices with $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{u}(n)=n^{2}$. $\mathfrak{s u}(n)=\mathfrak{u}(n) \cap \mathfrak{s l}(n)$ is the set of traceless skew-Hermitian matrices with $\operatorname{dim} \mathfrak{s u}(n)=n^{2}-1$.

Exercise 5.22. Let

$$
c(s)=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\cos s & -\sin s & 0 \\
\sin s & \cos s & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

be a curve in $\mathrm{SO}(3)$. Find the tangent vector to this curve at $I_{3}$.

### 5.6.3 The one-parameter subgroup

A vector field $X \in X(M)$ generates a flow in $M$ (section 5.3). Here we are interested in the flow generated by a left-invariant vector field.

Definition 5.12. A curve $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ is called a one-parameter subgroup of $G$ if it satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t) \phi(s)=\phi(t+s) \tag{5.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $\phi(0)=e$ and $\phi^{-1}(t)=\phi(-t)$. Note that the curve $\phi$ thus defined is a homomorphism from $\mathbb{R}$ to $G$. Although $G$ may be non-Abelian, a one-parameter subgroup is an Abelian subgroup: $\phi(t) \phi(s)=\phi(t+s)=$ $\phi(s+t)=\phi(s) \phi(t)$.

Given a one-parameter subgroup $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$, there exists a vector field $X$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi^{\mu}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=X^{\mu}(\phi(t)) \tag{5.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now show that the vector field $X$ is left-invariant. First note that the vector field $\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$ is left-invariant on $\mathbb{R}$, see example $5.15(\mathrm{a})$. Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(L_{t}\right)_{*} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{0}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{t} . \tag{5.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, we apply the induced map $\phi_{*}: T_{t} \mathbb{R} \rightarrow T_{\phi(t)} G$ on the vectors $\mathrm{d} /\left.\mathrm{d} t\right|_{0}$ and $\mathrm{d} /\left.\mathrm{d} t\right|_{t}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.\phi_{*} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{0}=\left.\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi^{\mu}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{0} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{\mu}}\right|_{e}=\left.X\right|_{e}  \tag{5.120a}\\
& \left.\phi_{*} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{t}=\left.\left.\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi^{\mu}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t} \frac{\partial}{\partial g^{\mu}}\right|_{g}=\left.X\right|_{g} \tag{5.120b}
\end{align*}
$$

where we put $\phi(t)=g$. From (5.119) and (5.120b), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left(\phi L_{t}\right)_{*} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{0}=\left.\phi_{*} L_{t *} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{0}=\left.X\right|_{g} \tag{5.121a}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from the commutativity $\phi L_{t}=L_{g} \phi$ that $\phi_{*} L_{t *}=L_{g *} \phi_{*}$. Then (5.121a) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\phi_{*} L_{t *} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{0}=\left.L_{g *} \phi_{*} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{0}=\left.L_{g *} X\right|_{e} \tag{5.121b}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (5.121), we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.L_{g *} X\right|_{e}=\left.X\right|_{g} \tag{5.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, given a flow $\phi(t)$, there exists an associated left-invariant vector field $X \in \mathfrak{g}$.

Conversely, a left-invariant vector field $X$ defines a one-parameter group of transformations $\sigma(t, g)$ such that $\mathrm{d} \sigma(t, g) / \mathrm{d} t=X$ and $\sigma(0, g)=g$. If we define $\phi: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ by $\phi(t) \equiv \sigma(t, e)$, the curve $\phi(t)$ becomes a one-parameter subgroup of $G$. To prove this, we have to show $\phi(s+t)=\phi(s) \phi(t)$. By definition, $\sigma$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sigma(t, \sigma(s, e))=X(\sigma(t, \sigma(s, e))) . \tag{5.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

[We have omitted the coordinate indices for notational simplicity. If readers feel uneasy, they may supplement the indices as in (5.118).] If the parameter $s$ is fixed, $\bar{\sigma}(t, \phi(s)) \equiv \phi(s) \phi(t)$ is a curve $\mathbb{R} \rightarrow G$ at $\phi(s) \phi(0)=\phi(s)$. Clearly $\sigma$ and $\bar{\sigma}$ satisfy the same initial condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(0, \sigma(s, e))=\bar{\sigma}(0, \phi(s))=\phi(s) . \tag{5.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\bar{\sigma}$ also satisfies the same differential equation as $\sigma$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \bar{\sigma}(t, \phi(t)) & =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \phi(s) \phi(t)=\left(L_{\phi(s)}\right) * \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \phi(t) \\
& =\left(L_{\phi(s)}\right)_{*} X(\phi(t)) \\
& =X(\phi(s) \phi(t)) \quad(\text { left-invariance }) \\
& =X(\bar{\sigma}(t, \phi(s))) \tag{5.125}
\end{align*}
$$

From the uniqueness theorem of ODEs, we conclude that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(s+t)=\phi(s) \phi(t) \tag{5.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have found that there is a one-to-one correspondence between a oneparameter subgroup of $G$ and a left-invariant vector field. This correspondence becomes manifest if we define the exponential map as follows.

Definition 5.13. Let $G$ be a Lie group and $V \in T_{e} G$. The exponential map $\exp : T_{e} G \rightarrow G$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp V \equiv \phi_{V}(1) \tag{5.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{V}$ is a one-parameter subgroup of $G$ generated by the left-invariant vector field $\left.X_{V}\right|_{g}=L_{g *} V$.

Proposition 5.2. Let $V \in T_{e} G$ and let $t \in \mathbb{R}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp (t V)=\phi_{V}(t) \tag{5.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi_{V}(t)$ is a one-parameter subgroup generated by $\left.X_{V}\right|_{g}=L_{g *} V$.
Proof. Let $a \neq 0$ be a constant. Then $\phi_{V}(a t)$ satisfies

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \phi_{V}(a t)\right|_{t=0}=\left.a \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \phi_{V}(t)\right|_{t=0}=a V
$$

which shows that $\phi_{V}(a t)$ is a one-parameter subgroup generated by $L_{g *} a V$. The left-invariant vector field $L_{g *} a V$ also generates $\phi_{a V}(t)$ and, from the uniqueness of the solution, we find that $\phi_{V}(a t)=\phi_{a V}(t)$. From definition 5.13, we have

$$
\exp (a V)=\phi_{a V}(1)=\phi_{V}(a)
$$

The proof is completed if $a$ is replaced by $t$.
For a matrix group, the exponential map is given by the exponential of a matrix. Take $G=\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and $A \in \mathfrak{g l}(n, \mathbb{R})$. Let us define a one-parameter $\operatorname{subgroup} \phi_{A}: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{A}(t)=\exp (t A)=I_{n}+t A+\frac{t^{2}}{2!} A^{2}+\cdots+\frac{t^{n}}{n!} A^{n}+\cdots \tag{5.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $\phi_{A}(t) \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ since $\left[\phi_{A}(t)\right]^{-1}=\phi_{A}(-t)$ exists. It is also easy to see $\phi_{A}(t) \phi_{A}(s)=\phi(t+s)$. Now the exponential map is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{A}(1)=\exp (A)=I_{n}+A+\frac{1}{2!} A^{2}+\cdots+\frac{1}{n!} A^{n}+\cdots \tag{5.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curve $g \exp (t A)$ is a flow through $g \in G$. We find that

$$
\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} g \exp (t A)\right|_{t=0}=L_{g *} A=\left.X_{A}\right|_{g}
$$

where $X_{A}$ is a left-invariant vector field generated by $A$. From (5.115), we find, for a matrix group $G$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{g *} A=\left.X_{A}\right|_{g}=g A \tag{5.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curve $g \exp (t A)$ defines a map $\sigma_{t}: G \rightarrow G$ by $\sigma_{t}(g) \equiv g \exp (t A)$ which is also expressed as a right-translation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{t}=R_{\exp (t A)} \tag{5.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 5.6.4 Frames and structure equation

Let the set of $n$ vectors $\left\{V_{1}, V_{2}, \ldots, V_{n}\right\}$ be a basis of $T_{e} G$ where $n=\operatorname{dim} G$. [We assume throughout this book that $n$ is finite.] The basis defines the set of $n$ linearly independent left-invariant vector fields $\left\{X_{1}, X_{2}, \ldots, X_{n}\right\}$ at each point $g$ in $G$ by $\left.X_{\mu}\right|_{g}=L_{g *} V_{\mu}$. Note that the set $\left\{X_{\mu}\right\}$ is a frame of a basis defined throughout $G$. Since $\left.\left[X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right]\right|_{g}$ is again an element of $\mathfrak{g}$ at $g$, it can be expanded in terms of $\left\{X_{\mu}\right\}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right]=c_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\lambda} X_{\lambda} \tag{5.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\lambda}$ are called the structure constants of the Lie group $G$. If $G$ is a matrix group, the LHS of (5.133) at $g=e$ is precisely the commutator of matrices $V_{\mu}$ and $V_{\nu}$; see (5.116). We show that the $c_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\lambda}$ are, indeed, constants independent of $g$. Let $c_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\lambda}(e)$ be the structure constants at the unit element. If $L_{g *}$ is applied to the Lie bracket, we have

$$
\left.\left[X_{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right]\right|_{g}=\left.c_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\lambda}(e) X_{\lambda}\right|_{g}
$$

which shows the $g$-independence of the structure constants. In a sense, the structure constants determine a Lie group completely (Lie's theorem).

Exercise 5.23. Show that the structure constants satisfy
(a) skew-symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=-c_{\nu \mu}{ }^{\lambda} \tag{5.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Jacobi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\tau} c_{\tau \rho}{ }^{\lambda}+c_{\rho \mu}{ }^{\tau} c_{\tau \nu}{ }^{\lambda}+c_{\nu \rho}{ }^{\tau} c_{\tau \mu}{ }^{\lambda}=0 \tag{5.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce a dual basis to $\left\{X_{\mu}\right\}$ and denote it by $\left\{\theta^{\mu}\right\} ;\left\langle\theta^{\mu}, X_{\nu}\right\rangle=\delta_{\nu}^{\mu}$. $\left\{\theta^{\mu}\right\}$ is a basis for the left-invariant one-forms. We will show that the dual basis satisfies Maurer-Cartan's structure equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \theta^{\mu}=-\frac{1}{2} c_{\nu \lambda} \theta^{\nu} \wedge \theta^{\lambda} \tag{5.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

This can be seen by making use of (5.70):

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} \theta^{\mu}\left(X_{\nu}, X_{\lambda}\right) & =X_{\nu}\left[\theta^{\mu}\left(X_{\lambda}\right)\right]-X_{\lambda}\left[\theta^{\mu}\left(X_{\nu}\right)\right]-\theta^{\mu}\left(\left[X_{\nu}, X_{\lambda}\right]\right) \\
& =X_{\nu}\left[\delta_{\lambda}^{\mu}\right]-X_{\lambda}\left[\delta_{\nu}^{\mu}\right]-\theta^{\mu}\left(c_{\nu \lambda}{ }^{\kappa} X_{\kappa}\right)=-c_{\nu \lambda}{ }^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (5.136).
We define a Lie-algebra-valued one-form $\theta: T_{g} G \rightarrow T_{e} G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta: X \mapsto\left(L_{g^{-1}}\right)_{*} X=\left(L_{g}\right)_{*}^{-1} X \quad X \in T_{g} G \tag{5.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\theta$ is called the canonical one-form or Maurer-Cartan form on $G$.

Theorem 5.3. (a) The canonical one-form $\theta$ is expanded as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=V_{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\mu} \tag{5.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{V_{\mu}\right\}$ is the basis of $T_{e} G$ and $\left\{\theta^{\mu}\right\}$ the dual basis of $T_{e}^{*} G$.
(b) The canonical one-form $\theta$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \theta+\frac{1}{2}[\theta \wedge \theta]=0 \tag{5.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \theta \equiv V_{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} \theta^{\mu}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\theta \wedge \theta] \equiv\left[V_{\mu}, V_{\nu}\right] \otimes \theta^{\mu} \wedge \theta^{v} \tag{5.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
(a) Take any vector $Y=Y^{\mu} X_{\mu} \in T_{g} G$, where $\left\{X_{\mu}\right\}$ is the set of frame vectors generated by $\left\{V_{\mu}\right\} ;\left.X_{\mu}\right|_{g}=L_{g *} V_{\mu}$. From (5.137), we find

$$
\theta(Y)=Y^{\mu} \theta\left(X_{\mu}\right)=Y^{\mu}\left(L_{g *}\right)^{-1}\left[L_{g *} V_{\mu}\right]=Y^{\mu} V_{\mu}
$$

However,

$$
\left(V_{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\mu}\right)(Y)=Y^{v} V_{\mu} \theta^{\mu}\left(X_{v}\right)=Y^{\nu} V_{\mu} \delta_{v}^{\mu}=Y^{\mu} V_{\mu}
$$

Since $Y$ is arbitrary, we have $\theta=V_{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\mu}$.
(b) We use the Maurer-Cartan structure equation (5.136):

$$
\mathrm{d} \theta+\frac{1}{2}[\theta \wedge \theta]=-\frac{1}{2} V_{\mu} \otimes c_{\nu \lambda}{ }^{\mu} \theta^{\nu} \wedge \theta^{\lambda}+\frac{1}{2} c_{\nu \lambda}{ }^{\mu} V_{\mu} \otimes \theta^{\nu} \wedge \theta^{\lambda}=0
$$

where the $c_{\nu \lambda}{ }^{\mu}$ are the structure constants of $G$.

### 5.7 The action of Lie groups on manifolds

In physics, a Lie group often appears as the set of transformations acting on a manifold. For example, $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ is the group of rotations in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, while the Poincaré group is the set of transformations acting on the Minkowski spacetime. To study more general cases, we abstract the action of a Lie group $G$ on a manifold $M$. We have already encountered this interaction between a group and geometry. In section 5.3 we defined a flow in a manifold $M$ as a map $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \times M \rightarrow M$, in which $\mathbb{R}$ acts as an additive group. We abstract this idea as follows.

### 5.7.1 Definitions

Definition 5.14. Let $G$ be a Lie group and $M$ be a manifold. The action of $G$ on $M$ is a differentiable map $\sigma: G \times M \rightarrow M$ which satisfies the conditions
(i) $\quad \sigma(e, p)=p \quad$ for any $p \in M$
(ii) $\quad \sigma\left(g_{1}, \sigma\left(g_{2}, p\right)\right)=\sigma\left(g_{1} g_{2}, p\right)$.
[Remark: We often use the notation $g p$ instead of $\sigma(g, p)$. The second condition in this notation is $g_{1}\left(g_{2} p\right)=\left(g_{1} g_{2}\right) p$.]

Example 5.16. (a) A flow is an action of $\mathbb{R}$ on a manifold $M$. If a flow is periodic with a period $T$, it may be regarded as an action of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ or $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ on $M$. Given a periodic flow $\sigma(t, x)$ with period $T$, we construct a new action $\bar{\sigma}(\exp (2 \pi \mathrm{i} t / T), x) \equiv \sigma(t, x)$ whose group $G$ is $\mathrm{U}(1)$.
(b) Let $M \in \operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ and let $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. The action of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is defined by the usual matrix action on a vector:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(M, x)=M \cdot x \tag{5.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of the subgroups of $\operatorname{GL}(n, \mathbb{R})$ is defined similarly. They may also act on a smaller space. For example, $\mathrm{O}(n)$ acts on $S^{n-1}(r)$, an $(n-1)$-sphere of radius $r$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma: \mathrm{O}(n) \times S^{n-1}(r) \rightarrow S^{n-1}(r) \tag{5.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) It is known that $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ acts on a four-dimensional Minkowski space $M_{4}$ in a special manner. For $x=\left(x^{0}, x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right) \in M_{4}$, define a Hermitian matrix,

$$
X(x) \equiv x^{\mu} \sigma_{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
x^{0}+x^{3} & x^{1}-\mathrm{i} x^{2}  \tag{5.144}\\
x^{1}+\mathrm{i} x^{2} & x^{0}-x^{3}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\sigma_{\mu}=\left(I_{2}, \sigma_{1}, \sigma_{2}, \sigma_{3}\right), \sigma_{i}(i=1,2,3)$ being the Pauli matrices. Conversely, given a Hermitian matrix $X$, a unique vector $\left(x^{\mu}\right) \in M_{4}$ is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\sigma_{\mu} X\right) \tag{5.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{tr}$ is over the $2 \times 2$ matrix indices. Thus, there is an isomorphism between $M_{4}$ and the set of $2 \times 2$ Hermitian matrices. It is interesting to note that $\operatorname{det} X(x)=\left(x^{0}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}=-X^{\mathrm{t}} \eta X=-(\text { Minkowski norm })^{2}$. Accordingly

$$
\begin{array}{rlrl}
\operatorname{det} X(x)>0 & & \text { if } x \text { is a timelike vector } \\
& =0 & & \text { if } x \text { is on the light cone } \\
<0 & & \text { if } x \text { is a spacelike vector. }
\end{array}
$$

Take $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and define an action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $M_{4}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(A, x) \equiv A X(x) A^{\dagger} \tag{5.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that this action, in fact, satisfies the axioms of definition 5.14. The action of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ on $M_{4}$ represents the Lorentz transformation $\mathrm{O}(1,3)$. First we note that the action preserves the Minkowski norm,

$$
\operatorname{det} \sigma(A, x)=\operatorname{det}\left[A X(x) A^{\dagger}\right]=\operatorname{det} X(x)
$$

since $\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} A^{\dagger}=1$. Moreover, there is a homomorphism $\varphi: \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{O}(1,3)$ since

$$
A\left(B X B^{\dagger}\right) A^{\dagger}=(A B) X(A B)^{\dagger}
$$

However, this homomorphism cannot be one to one, since $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and $-A$ give the same element of $O(1,3)$; see (5.145). We verify (exercise 5.24) that the following matrix is an explicit form of a rotation about the unit vector $\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$ by an angle $\theta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \frac{\theta}{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]=\cos \frac{\theta}{2} I_{2}-\mathrm{i}(\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sin \frac{\theta}{2} \tag{5.146a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The appearance of $\theta / 2$ ensures that the homomorphism between $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ and the $\mathrm{O}(3)$ subgroup of $\mathrm{O}(1,3)$ is indeed two to one. In fact, rotations about $\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$ by $\theta$ and by $2 \pi+\theta$ should be the same $\mathrm{O}(3)$ rotation, but $A(2 \pi+\theta)=-A(\theta)$ in $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$. This leads to the existence of spinors. [See Misner et al (1973) and Wald (1984).] A boost along the direction $\hat{\boldsymbol{n}}$ with the velocity $v=\tanh \alpha$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A=\exp \left[\frac{\alpha}{2}(\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma})\right]=\cosh \frac{\alpha}{2} I_{2}+(\hat{\boldsymbol{n}} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}) \sinh \frac{\alpha}{2} . \tag{5.146b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that $\varphi$ maps $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ onto the proper orthochronous Lorentz group $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{\uparrow}(1,3)=\left\{\Lambda \in \mathrm{O}(1,3) \mid \operatorname{det} \Lambda=+1, \Lambda_{00}>0\right\}$. Take any

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})
$$

and suppose $x^{\mu}=(1,0,0,0)$ is mapped to $x^{\prime \mu}$. If we write $\varphi(A)=\Lambda$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\prime 0} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(A X A^{\dagger}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{a} & \bar{c} \\
\bar{b} & \bar{d}
\end{array}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(|a|^{2}+|b|^{2}+|c|^{2}+|d|^{2}\right)>0
\end{aligned}
$$

hence $\Lambda_{00}>0$. To show $\operatorname{det} A=+1$, we note that any element of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ may be written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
A & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \alpha}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos \beta & \sin \beta \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma} \\
-\sin \beta \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \gamma} & \cos \beta
\end{array}\right) B \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha / 2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha / 2}
\end{array}\right)^{2}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos (\beta / 2) & \sin (\beta / 2) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma} \\
-\sin (\beta / 2) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \gamma} & \cos (\beta / 2)
\end{array}\right)^{2} B \\
& \equiv M^{2} N^{2} B_{0}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $B \equiv B_{0}^{2}$ is a positive-definite matrix. This shows that $\varphi(A)$ is positive definite:

$$
\operatorname{det} \varphi(A)=(\operatorname{det} \varphi(M))^{2}(\operatorname{det} \varphi(N))^{2}\left(\operatorname{det} \varphi\left(B_{0}\right)\right)^{2}>0
$$

Now we have established that $\varphi(\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})) \subset \mathrm{O}_{+}^{\uparrow}(1,3)$. Equations (5.146a) and (5.146b) show that for any element of $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{\uparrow}(1,3)$, there is a corresponding matrix $A \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, hence $\varphi$ is onto. Thus, we have established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}))=\mathrm{O}_{+}^{\uparrow}(1,3) \tag{5.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is simply connected and is the universal covering group $\operatorname{SpIN}(1,3)$ of $\mathrm{O}_{+}^{\uparrow}(1,3)$, see section 4.6.

Exercise 5.24. Verify by explicit calculations that
(a)

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta / 2} & 0 \\
0 & \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta / 2}
\end{array}\right)
$$

represents a rotation about the $z$-axis by $\theta$;
(b)

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cosh (\alpha / 2)+\sinh (\alpha / 2) & 0 \\
0 & \cosh (\alpha / 2)-\sinh (\alpha / 2)
\end{array}\right)
$$

represents a boost along the $z$-axis with the velocity $v=\tanh \alpha$.
Definition 5.15. Let $G$ be a Lie group that acts on a manifold $M$ by $\sigma: G \times M \rightarrow$ $M$. The action $\sigma$ is said to be
(a) transitive if, for any $p_{1}, p_{2} \in M$, there exists an element $g \in G$ such that $\sigma\left(g, p_{1}\right)=p_{2}$;
(b) free if every non-trivial element $g \neq e$ of $G$ has no fixed points in $M$, that is, if there exists an element $p \in M$ such that $\sigma(g, p)=p$, then $g$ must be the unit element $e$; and
(c) effective if the unit element $e \in G$ is the unique element that defines the trivial action on $M$, i.e. if $\sigma(g, p)=p$ for all $p \in M$, then $g$ must be the unit element $e$.

Exercise 5.25. Show that the right translation $R:(a, g) \mapsto R_{a} g$ and left translation $L:(a, g) \mapsto L_{a} g$ of a Lie group are free and transitive.

### 5.7.2 Orbits and isotropy groups

Given a point $p \in M$, the action of $G$ on $p$ takes $p$ to various points in $M$. The orbit of $p$ under the action $\sigma$ is the subset of $M$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G p=\{\sigma(g, p) \mid g \in G\} \tag{5.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the action of $G$ on $M$ is transitive, the orbit of any $p \in M$ is $M$ itself. Clearly the action of $G$ on any orbit $G p$ is transitive.

Example 5.17. (a) A flow $\sigma$ generated by a vector field $X=-y \partial / \partial x+x \partial / \partial y$ is periodic with period $2 \pi$, see example 5.9. The action $\sigma: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}$ defined by $(t,(x, y)) \rightarrow \sigma(t,(x, y))$ is not effective since $\sigma(2 \pi n,(x, y))=(x, y)$ for all $(x, y) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$. For the same reason, this flow is not free either. The orbit through $(x, y) \neq(0,0)$ is a circle $S^{1}$ centred at the origin.
(b) The action of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is not transitive since if $|x| \neq\left|x^{\prime}\right|$, no element of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ takes $x$ to $x^{\prime}$. However, the action of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ on $S^{n-1}$ is obviously transitive. The orbit through $x$ is the sphere $S^{n-1}$ of radius $|x|$. Accordingly, given an action $\sigma: \mathrm{O}(n) \times \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the orbits divide $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ into mutually disjoint spheres of different radii. Introduce a relation by $x \sim y$ if $y=\sigma(g, x)$ for some $g \in G$. It is easily verified that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation. The equivalence class $[x]$ is an orbit through $x$. The coset space $\mathbb{R}^{n} / \mathrm{O}(n)$ is $[0, \infty)$ since each equivalence class is parametrized by the radius.

Definition 5.16. Let $G$ be a Lie group that acts on a manifold $M$. The isotropy group of $p \in M$ is a subgroup of $G$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(p)=\{g \in G \mid \sigma(g, p)=p\} \tag{5.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H(p)$ is also called the little group or stabilizer of $p$.
It is easy to see that $H(p)$ is indeed a subgroup. Let $g_{1}, g_{2} \in H(p)$, then $g_{1} g_{2} \in H(p)$ since $\sigma\left(g_{1} g_{2}, p\right)=\sigma\left(g_{1}, \sigma\left(g_{2}, p\right)\right)=\sigma\left(g_{1}, p\right)=p$. Clearly $e \in H(p)$ since $\sigma(e, p)=p$ by definition. If $g \in H(p)$, then $g^{-1} \in H(p)$ since $p=\sigma(e, p)=\sigma\left(g^{-1} g, p\right)=\sigma\left(g^{-1}, \sigma(g, p)\right)=\sigma\left(g^{-1}, p\right)$.

Exercise 5.26. Suppose a Lie group $G$ acts on a manifold $M$ freely. Show that $H(p)=\{e\}$ for any $p \in M$.

Theorem 5.4. Let $G$ be a Lie group which acts on a manifold $M$. Then the isotropy group $H(p)$ for any $p \in M$ is a Lie subgroup.

Proof. For fixed $p \in M$, we define a $\operatorname{map} \varphi_{p}: G \rightarrow M$ by $\varphi_{p}(g) \equiv g p$. Then $H(p)$ is the inverse image $\varphi_{p}^{-1}(p)$ of a point $p$, and hence a closed set. The group properties have been shown already. It follows from theorem 5.2 that $H(p)$ is a Lie subgroup.

For example, let $M=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and take a point $p=(0,0,1) \in$ $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The isotropy group $H(p)$ is the set of rotations about the $z$-axis, which is isomorphic to $\mathrm{SO}(2)$.

Let $G$ be a Lie group and $H$ any subgroup of $G$. The coset space $G / H$ admits a differentiable structure and $G / H$ becomes a manifold, called a homogeneous space. Note that $\operatorname{dim} G / H=\operatorname{dim} G-\operatorname{dim} H$. Let $G$ be a Lie group which acts on a manifold $M$ transitively and let $H(p)$ be an isotropy group of $p \in M$. $H(p)$ is a Lie subgroup and the coset space $G / H(p)$ is a homogeneous space.

In fact, if $G, H(p)$ and $M$ satisfy certain technical requirements (for example, $G / H(p)$ compact) is, it can be shown that $G / H(p)$ is homeomorphic to $M$, see example 5.18.

Example 5.18. (a) Let $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ be a group acting on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $H=\mathrm{SO}(2)$ be the isotropy group of $x \in \mathbb{R}^{3}$. The group $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ acts on $S^{2}$ transitively and we have $\mathrm{SO}(3) / \mathrm{SO}(2) \cong S^{2}$. What is the geometrical picture of this? Let $g^{\prime}=g h$ where $g, g^{\prime} \in G$ and $h \in H$. Since $H$ is the set of rotations in a plane, $g$ and $g^{\prime}$ must be rotations about the common axis. Then the equivalence class $[g]$ is specified by the polar angles $(\theta, \phi)$. Thus, we again find that $G / H=S^{2}$. Since $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ is not a normal subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(3), S^{2}$ does not admit a group structure.

It is easy to generalize this result to higher-dimensional rotation groups and we have the useful result

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{SO}(n+1) / \mathrm{SO}(n)=S^{n} \tag{5.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{O}(n+1)$ also acts on $S^{n}$ transitively and we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}(n+1) / \mathrm{O}(n)=S^{n} . \tag{5.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similar relations hold for $\mathrm{U}(n)$ and $\mathrm{SU}(n)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{U}(n+1) / \mathrm{U}(n)=\mathrm{SU}(n+1) / \mathrm{SU}(n)=S^{2 n+1} \tag{5.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) The group $\mathrm{O}(n+1)$ acts on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ transitively from the left. Note, first, that $\mathrm{O}(n+1)$ acts on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ in the usual manner and preserves the equivalence relation employed to define $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$ (see example 5.12). In fact, take $x, x^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ and $g \in \mathrm{O}(n+1)$. If $x \sim x^{\prime}$ (that is if $x^{\prime}=a x$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ ), then it follows that $g x \sim g x^{\prime}\left(g x^{\prime}=a g x\right)$. Accordingly, this action of $\mathrm{O}(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ induces the natural action of $\mathrm{O}(n+1)$ on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$. Clearly this action is transitive on $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$. (Look at two representatives with the same norm.) If we take a point $p$ in $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$, which corresponds to a point $(1,0, \ldots, 0) \in \mathbb{R}^{n+1}$, the isotropy group $H(p)$ is

$$
H(p)=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc} 
\pm 1 & 0 & 0 & \ldots & 0  \tag{5.153}\\
0 & & & & \\
0 & & & & \\
\vdots & & \mathrm{O}(n) & & \\
0 & & & & \mathrm{O}(1) \times \mathrm{O}(n) \text { ) }
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathrm{O}(1)$ is the set $\{-1,+1\}=\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Now we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}(n+1) /[\mathrm{O}(1) \times \mathrm{O}(n)] \cong S^{n} / \mathbb{Z}_{2} \cong \mathbb{R} P^{n} \tag{5.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) This result is easily generalized to the Grassmann manifolds: $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})=$ $\mathrm{O}(n) /[\mathrm{O}(k) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k)]$. We first show that $\mathrm{O}(n)$ acts on $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ transitively.

Let $A$ be an element of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$, then $A$ is a $k$-dimensional plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Define an $n \times n$ matrix $P_{A}$ which projects a vector $v \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ to the plane $A$. Let us introduce an orthonormal basis $\left\{e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right\}$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and another orthonormal basis $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}$ in the plane $A$, where the orthonormality is defined with respect to the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. In terms of $\left\{e_{i}\right\}, f_{a}$ is expanded as $f_{a}=\sum_{i} f_{a i} e_{i}$ and the projected vector is

$$
\begin{aligned}
P_{A} v & =\left(v f_{1}\right) f_{1}+\cdots+\left(v f_{k}\right) f_{k} \\
& =\sum_{i, j}\left(v_{i} f_{1 i} f_{1 j}+\cdots+v_{i} f_{k i} f_{k j}\right) e_{j}=\sum_{i, a, j} v_{i} f_{a i} f_{a j} e_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, $P_{A}$ is represented by a matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{A}\right)_{i j}=\sum f_{a i} f_{a j} \tag{5.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $P_{A}^{2}=P_{A}, P_{A}^{\mathrm{t}}=P_{A}$ and $\operatorname{tr} P_{A}=k$. [The last relation holds since it is always possible to choose a coordinate system such that

$$
P_{A}=\operatorname{diag}(\underbrace{1,1, \ldots, 1}_{k}, \underbrace{0, \ldots, 0}_{n-k}) .
$$

This guarantees that $A$ is, indeed, a $k$-dimensional plane.] Conversely any matrix $P$ that satisfies these three conditions determines a unique $k$-dimensional plane in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, that is a unique element of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$.

We now show that $\mathrm{O}(n)$ acts on $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ transitively. Take $A \in G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ and $g \in \mathrm{O}(n)$ and construct $P_{B} \equiv g P_{A} g^{-1}$. The matrix $P_{B}$ determines an element $B \in G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ since $P_{B}^{2}=P_{B}, P_{B}^{\mathrm{t}}=P_{B}$ and $\operatorname{tr} P_{B}=k$. Let us denote this action by $B=\sigma(g, A)$. Clearly this action is transitive since given a standard $k$-dimensional basis of $A,\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}$ for example, any $k$-dimensional basis $\left\{\widetilde{f}_{1}, \ldots, \widetilde{f}_{k}\right\}$ can be reached by an action of $\mathrm{O}(n)$ on this basis.

Let us take a special plane $C_{0}$ which is spanned by the standard basis $\left\{f_{1}, \ldots, f_{k}\right\}$. Then an element of the isotropy group $H\left(C_{0}\right)$ is of the form

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
k & n-k \\
g_{1} & 0  \tag{5.156}\\
0 & g_{2}
\end{array}\right) \begin{gathered}
k \\
n-k
\end{gathered}
$$

where $g_{1} \in \mathrm{O}(k)$. Since $M \in \mathrm{O}(n)$, an $(n-k) \times(n-k)$ matrix $g_{2}$ must be an element of $\mathrm{O}(n-k)$. Thus, the isotropy group is isomorphic to $\mathrm{O}(k) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k)$. Finally we verified that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R}) \cong \mathrm{O}(n) /[\mathrm{O}(k) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k)] \tag{5.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

The dimension of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R})$ is obtained from the general formula as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{dim} G_{k, n}(\mathbb{R}) & =\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{O}(n)-\operatorname{dim}[\mathrm{O}(k) \times \mathrm{O}(n-k)] \\
& =\frac{1}{2} n(n-1)-\left[\frac{1}{2} k(k-1)+\frac{1}{2}(n-k)(n-k-1)\right] \\
& =k(n-k) \tag{5.158}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with the result of example 5.5. Equation (5.157) also shows that the Grassmann manifold is compact.

### 5.7.3 Induced vector fields

Let $G$ be a Lie group which acts on $M$ as $(g, x) \mapsto g x$. A left-invariant vector field $X_{V}$ generated by $V \in T_{e} G$ naturally induces a vector field in $M$. Define a flow in $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(t, x)=\exp (t V) x \tag{5.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sigma(t, x)$ is a one-parameter group of transformations, and define a vector field called the induced vector field denoted by $V^{\sharp}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.V^{\sharp}\right|_{x}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \exp (t V) x\right|_{t=0} \tag{5.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have obtained a map $\#: T_{e} G \rightarrow X(M)$ defined by $V \mapsto V^{\sharp}$.
Exercise 5.27. The Lie group $\mathrm{SO}(2)$ acts on $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in the usual way. Let

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

be an element of $\mathfrak{s o}(2)$.
(a) Show that

$$
\exp (t V)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\cos t & -\sin t \\
\sin t & \cos t
\end{array}\right)
$$

and find the induced flow through

$$
\boldsymbol{x}=\binom{x}{y} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} .
$$

(b) Show that $\left.V^{\sharp}\right|_{x}=-y \partial / \partial x+x \partial / \partial y$.

Example 5.19. Let us take $G=\mathrm{SO}(3)$ and $M=\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The basis vectors of $T_{e} G$ are generated by rotations about the $x, y$ and $z$ axes. We denote them by $X_{x}, X_{y}$ and $X_{z}$, respectively (see exercise 5.22),

$$
X_{x}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{y}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad X_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Repeating a similar analysis to the previous one, we obtain the corresponding induced vectors,

$$
X_{x}^{\sharp}=-z \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+y \frac{\partial}{\partial z}, \quad X_{y}^{\sharp}=-x \frac{\partial}{\partial z}+z \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad X_{z}^{\sharp}=-y \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+x \frac{\partial}{\partial y} .
$$

### 5.7.4 The adjoint representation

A Lie group $G$ acts on $G$ itself in a special way.
Definition 5.17. Take any $a \in G$ and define a homomorphism $\operatorname{ad}_{a}: G \rightarrow G$ by the conjugation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ad}_{a}: g \mapsto a g a^{-1} \tag{5.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

This homomorphism is called the adjoint representation of $G$.
Exercise 5.28. Show that $\mathrm{ad}_{a}$ is a homomorphism. Define a map $\sigma: G \times G \rightarrow G$ by $\sigma(a, g) \equiv \operatorname{ad}_{a} g$. Show that $\sigma(a, g)$ is an action of $G$ on itself.

Noting that $\mathrm{ad}_{a} e=e$, we restrict the induced map ad ${ }_{a *}: T_{g} G \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{ad}_{a} g} G$ to $g=e$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ad}_{a}: T_{e} G \rightarrow T_{e} G \tag{5.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\operatorname{Ad}_{a} \equiv \operatorname{ad}_{a *}\right|_{T_{e} G}$. If we identify $T_{e} G$ with the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$, we have obtained a map Ad : $G \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ called the adjoint map of $G$. Since $\operatorname{ad}_{a *} \operatorname{ad}_{b *}=\operatorname{ad}_{a b *}$, it follows that $\operatorname{Ad}_{a} \mathrm{Ad}_{b}=\mathrm{Ad}_{a b}$. Similarly, $\operatorname{Ad}_{a^{-1}}=\operatorname{Ad}_{a}^{-1}$ follows from $\left.\mathrm{ad}_{a^{-1} *} \mathrm{ad}_{a *}\right|_{T_{e} G}=\mathrm{id}_{T_{e} G}$.

If $G$ is a matrix group, the adjoint representation becomes a simple matrix operation. Let $g \in G$ and $X_{V} \in \mathfrak{g}$, and let $\sigma_{V}(t)=\exp (t V)$ be a oneparameter subgroup generated by $V \in T_{e} G$. Then $\operatorname{ad}_{g}$ acting on $\sigma_{V}(t)$ yields $g \exp (t V) g^{-1}=\exp \left(t g V g^{-1}\right)$. As for $\operatorname{Ad}_{g}$ we have $\operatorname{Ad}_{g}: V \mapsto g V g^{-1}$ since

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Ad}_{g} V & =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\operatorname{ad}_{g} \exp (t V)\right]\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \exp \left(t g V g^{-1}\right)\right|_{t=0}=g V g^{-1} \tag{5.163}
\end{align*}
$$

## Problems

5.1 The Stiefel manifold $V(m, r)$ is the set of orthonormal vectors $\left\{\boldsymbol{e}_{i}\right\}(1 \leq i \leq$ $r)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m}(r \leq m)$. We may express an element $A$ of $V(m, r)$ by an $m \times r$ matrix $\left(\boldsymbol{e}_{1}, \ldots, \boldsymbol{e}_{r}\right)$. Show that $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ acts transitively on $V(m, r)$. Let

$$
A_{0} \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \ldots & 0 \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 1 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \ldots & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

be an element of $V(m, r)$. Show that the isotropy group of $A_{0}$ is $\mathrm{SO}(m-r)$. Verify that $V(m, r)=\mathrm{SO}(m) / \mathrm{SO}(m-r)$ and $\operatorname{dim} V(m, r)=[r(r-1)] / 2+r(m-r)$. [Remark: The Stiefel manifold is, in a sense, a generalization of a sphere. Observe that $V(m, 1)=S^{m-1}$.]
5.2 Let $M$ be the Minkowski four-spacetime. Define the action of a linear operator *: $\Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{4-r}(M)$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
r=0: & * 1=-\mathrm{d} x^{0} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3} ; \\
r=1: & * \mathrm{~d} x^{i}=-\mathrm{d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{0} \quad * \mathrm{~d} x^{0}=-\mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3} \\
r=2: & * \mathrm{~d} x^{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j}=\mathrm{d} x^{k} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{0} \quad * \mathrm{~d} x^{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{0}=-\mathrm{d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{k} \\
r=3: & * \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3}=-\mathrm{d} x^{0} \quad * \quad * \mathrm{~d} x^{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{0}=-\mathrm{d} x^{k} \\
r=4: & * \mathrm{~d} x^{0} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3}=1 ;
\end{array}
$$

where $(i, j, k)$ is an even permutation of $(1,2,3)$. The vector potential $A$ and the electromagnetic tensor $F$ are defined as in example 5.11. $J=J_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}=$ $\rho \mathrm{d} x^{0}+j_{k} \mathrm{~d} x^{k}$ is the current one-form.
(a) Write down the equation $\mathrm{d} * F=* J$ and verify that it reduces to two of the Maxwell equations $\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}=\rho$ and $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}-\partial \boldsymbol{E} / \partial t=\boldsymbol{j}$.
(b) Show that the identity $0=\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{d} * F)=\mathrm{d} * J$ reduces to the charge conservation equation

$$
\partial_{\mu} J^{\mu}=\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial t}+\nabla \cdot \mathbf{j}=0
$$

(c) Show that the Lorentz condition $\partial_{\mu} A^{\mu}=0$ is expressed as $\mathrm{d} * A=0$.

## 6

## DE RHAM COHOMOLOGY GROUPS

The homology groups of topological spaces have been defined in chapter 3. If a topological space $M$ is a manifold, we may define the dual of the homology groups out of differential forms defined on $M$. The dual groups are called the de Rham cohomology groups. Besides physicists' familiarity with differential forms, cohomology groups have several advantages over homology groups.

We follow closely Nash and Sen (1983) and Flanders (1963). Bott and Tu (1982) contains more advanced topics.

### 6.1 Stokes' theorem

One of the main tools in the study of de Rham cohomology groups is Stokes' theorem with which most physicists are familiar from electromagnetism. Gauss' theorem and Stokes' theorem are treated in a unified manner here.

### 6.1.1 Preliminary consideration

Let us define an integration of an $r$-form over an $r$-simplex in a Euclidean space. To do this, we need first to define the standard $\boldsymbol{n}$-simplex $\bar{\sigma}_{r}=\left(p_{0} p_{1} \ldots p_{r}\right)$ in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$ where

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{0} & =(0,0, \ldots, 0) \\
p_{1} & =(1,0, \ldots, 0) \\
\ldots & \\
p_{r} & =(0,0, \ldots, 1)
\end{aligned}
$$

see figure 6.1. If $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ is a coordinate of $\mathbb{R}^{r}, \bar{\sigma}_{r}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\sigma}_{r}=\left\{\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{r} \mid x^{\mu} \geq 0, \sum_{\mu=1}^{r} x^{\mu} \leq 1\right\} . \tag{6.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

An $r$-form $\omega$ (the volume element) in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$ is written as

$$
\omega=a(x) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{r} .
$$



Figure 6.1. The standard 2-simplex $\bar{\sigma}_{2}=\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2}\right)$ and the standard 3-simplex $\bar{\sigma}_{3}=\left(p_{0} p_{1} p_{2} p_{3}\right)$.

We define the integration of $\omega$ over $\bar{\sigma}_{r}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} \omega \equiv \int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} a(x) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r} \tag{6.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the RHS is the usual $r$-fold integration. For example, if $r=2$ and $\omega=\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y$, we have

$$
\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{2}} \omega=\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} x \int_{0}^{1-x} \mathrm{~d} y=\frac{1}{2}
$$

Next we define an $r$-chain, an $r$-cycle and an $r$-boundary in an $m$ dimensional manifold $M$. Let $\sigma_{r}$ be an $r$-simplex in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$ and let $f: \sigma_{r} \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. [To avoid the subtlety associated with the differentiability of $f$ at the boundary of $\sigma_{r}, f$ may be defined over an open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{R}^{r}$, which contains $\sigma_{r}$.] Here we assume $f$ is not required to have an inverse. For example, $\operatorname{im} f$ may be a point in $M$. We denote the image of $\sigma_{r}$ in $M$ by $s_{r}$ and call it a (singular) $\boldsymbol{r}$-simplex in $M$. These simplexes are called singular since they do not provide a triangulation of $M$ and, moreover, geometrical independence of points makes no sense in a manifold (see section 3.2). If $\left\{s_{r, i}\right\}$ is the set of $r$-simplexes in $M$, we define an $\boldsymbol{r}$-chain in $M$ by a formal sum of $\left\{s_{r, i}\right\}$ with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients

$$
\begin{equation*}
c=\sum_{i} a_{i} s_{r, i} \quad a_{i} \in \mathbb{R} \tag{6.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following, we are concerned with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients only and we omit the explicit quotation of $\mathbb{R}$. The $r$-chains in $M$ form the chain group $C_{r}(M)$. Under $f: \sigma_{r} \rightarrow M$, the boundary $\partial \sigma_{r}$ is also mapped to a subset of $M$. Clearly, $\partial s_{r} \equiv f\left(\partial \sigma_{r}\right)$ is a set of $(r-1)$-simplexes in $M$ and is called the boundary of
$s_{r} . \partial s_{r}$ corresponds to the geometrical boundary of $s_{r}$ with an induced orientation defined in section 3.3. We have a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial: C_{r}(M) \rightarrow C_{r-1}(M) . \tag{6.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The result of section 3.3 tells us that $\partial$ is nilpotent; $\partial^{2}=0$.
Cycles and boundaries are defined in exactly the same way as in section 3.3 (note, however, that $\mathbb{Z}$ is replaced by $\mathbb{R}$ ). If $c_{r}$ is an $\boldsymbol{r}$-cycle, $\partial c_{r}=0$ while if $c_{r}$ is an $\boldsymbol{r}$-boundary, there exists an $(r+1)$-chain $c_{r+1}$ such that $c_{r}=\partial c_{r+1}$. The boundary group $B_{r}(M)$ is the set of $r$-boundaries and the cycle group $Z_{r}(M)$ is the set of $r$-cycles. There are infinitely many singular simplexes which make up $C_{r}(M), B_{r}(M)$ and $Z_{r}(M)$. It follows from $\partial^{2}=0$ that $Z_{r}(M) \supset B_{r}(M)$; cf theorem 3.3. The singular homology group is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{r}(M) \equiv Z_{r}(M) / B_{r}(M) . \tag{6.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

With mild topological assumptions, the singular homology group is isomorphic to the corresponding simplicial homology group with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients and we employ the same symbol to denote both of them.

Now we are ready to define an integration of an $r$-form $\omega$ over an $r$-chain in $M$. We first define an integration of $\omega$ on an $r$-simplex $s_{r}$ of $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{r}} \omega=\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} f^{*} \omega \tag{6.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: \bar{\sigma}_{r} \rightarrow M$ is a smooth map such that $s_{r}=f\left(\bar{\sigma}_{r}\right)$. Since $f^{*} \omega$ is an $r$-form in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$, the RHS is the usual $r$-fold integral. For a general $r$-chain $c=\sum_{i} a_{i} s_{r, i} \in C_{r}(M)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c} \omega=\sum_{i} a_{i} \int_{s_{r, i}} \omega \tag{6.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.1.2 Stokes' theorem

Theorem 6.1. (Stokes' theorem) Let $\omega \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)$ and $c \in C_{r}(M)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c} \mathrm{~d} \omega=\int_{\partial c} \omega \tag{6.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since $c$ is a linear combination of $r$-simplexes, it suffices to prove (6.8) for an $r$-simplex $s_{r}$ in $M$. Let $f: \bar{\sigma}_{r} \rightarrow M$ be a map such that $f\left(\bar{\sigma}_{r}\right)=s_{r}$. Then

$$
\int_{s_{r}} \mathrm{~d} \omega=\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} f^{*}(\mathrm{~d} \omega)=\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} \mathrm{~d}\left(f^{*} \omega\right)
$$

where (5.75) has been used. We also have

$$
\int_{\partial s_{r}} \omega=\int_{\partial \bar{\sigma}_{r}} f^{*} \omega .
$$

Note that $f^{*} \omega$ is an $(r-1)$-form in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$. Thus, to prove Stokes' theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S_{r}} \mathrm{~d} \omega=\int_{\partial s_{r}} \omega \tag{6.9a}
\end{equation*}
$$

it suffices to prove an alternative formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} \mathrm{~d} \psi=\int_{\partial \bar{\sigma}_{r}} \psi \tag{6.9b}
\end{equation*}
$$

for an $(r-1)$-form $\psi$ in $\mathbb{R}^{r}$. The most general form of $\psi$ is

$$
\psi=\sum a_{\mu}(x) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu+1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{r}
$$

Since an integration is distributive, it suffices to prove (6.9b) for $\psi=a(x) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge$ $\ldots \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{r-1}$. We note that

$$
\mathrm{d} \psi=\frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{r} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1}=(-1)^{r-1} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{r}
$$

By direct computation, we find, from (6.2), that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} \mathrm{~d} \psi= & (-1)^{r-1} \int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r}} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1} \mathrm{~d} x^{r} \\
= & (-1)^{r-1} \int_{x^{\mu} \geq 0, \sum_{\mu=1}^{r-1} x^{\mu} \leq 1} \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1} \int_{0}^{1-\sum_{\mu=1}^{r-1} x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial a}{\partial x^{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{r} \\
= & (-1)^{r-1} \int \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1} \\
& \times\left[a\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r-1}, 1-\sum_{\mu=1}^{r-1} x^{\mu}\right)-a\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r-1}, 0\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

For the boundary of $\bar{\sigma}_{r}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\partial \bar{\sigma}_{r}= & \left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)-\left(p_{0}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right) \\
& +\cdots+(-1)^{r}\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that $\psi=a(x) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1}$ vanishes when one of $x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r-1}$ is constant. Then it follows that

$$
\int_{\left(p_{0}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)} \psi=0
$$

since $x^{1} \equiv 0$ on $\left(p_{0}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)$. In fact, most of the faces of $\partial \bar{\sigma}_{r}$ do not contribute to the RHS of ( 6.9 b ) and we are left with

$$
\int_{\partial \bar{\sigma}_{r}} \psi=\int_{\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)} \psi+(-1)^{r} \int_{\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1}\right)} \psi
$$

Since $\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1}\right)$ is the standard $(r-1)$-simplex $\left(x^{\mu} \geq 0, \sum_{\mu=1}^{r-1} x^{\mu} \leq\right.$ 1 ), on which $x^{r}=0$, the second term is

$$
(-1)^{r} \int_{\left(p_{0}, p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1}\right)} \psi=(-1)^{r} \int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r-1}} a\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r-1}, 0\right) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1}
$$

The first term is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{\left(p_{1}, p_{2}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)} \psi & =\int_{\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1}, p_{0}\right)} a\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r-1}, 1-\sum_{\mu=1}^{r-1} x^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1} \\
& =(-1)^{r-1} \int_{\bar{\sigma}_{r-1}} a\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{r-1}, 1-\sum_{\mu=1}^{r-1} x^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{r-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the integral domain $\left(p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r}\right)$ has been projected along $x^{r}$ to the ( $p_{1}, \ldots, p_{r-1}, p_{0}$ )-plane, preserving the orientation. Collecting these results, we have proved (6.9b). [The reader is advised to verify this proof for $m=3$ using figure 6.1.]

Exercise 6.1. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and $\omega=a \mathrm{~d} x+b \mathrm{~d} y+c \mathrm{~d} z$. Show that Stokes' theorem is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S} \operatorname{curl} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{S}=\oint_{C} \boldsymbol{\omega} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{S} \quad(\text { Stokes' theorem }) \tag{6.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=(a, b, c)$ and $C$ is the boundary of a surface $S$. Similarly, for $\psi=\frac{1}{2} \psi_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$, show that

$$
\int_{V} \operatorname{div} \boldsymbol{\psi} \mathrm{~d} V=\oint_{S} \boldsymbol{\psi} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{S} \quad \text { (Gauss' theorem) }
$$

where $\psi^{\lambda}=\varepsilon^{\lambda \mu \nu} \psi_{\mu \nu}$ and $S$ is the boundary of a volume $V$.

## 6.2 de Rham cohomology groups

### 6.2.1 Definitions

Definition 6.1. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional differentiable manifold. The set of closed $r$-forms is called the $r$ th cocycle group, denoted $Z^{r}(M)$. The set of exact $r$-forms is called the $r$ th coboundary group, denoted $B^{r}(M)$. These are vector spaces with $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients. It follows from $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$ that $Z^{r}(M) \supset B^{r}(M)$.

Exercise 6.2. Show that
(a) if $\omega \in Z^{r}(M)$ and $\psi \in Z^{s}(M)$, then $\omega \wedge \psi \in Z^{r+s}(M)$;
(b) if $\omega \in Z^{r}(M)$ and $\psi \in B^{s}(M)$, then $\omega \wedge \psi \in B^{r+s}(M)$; and
(c) if $\omega \in B^{r}(M)$ and $\psi \in B^{s}(M)$, then $\omega \wedge \psi \in B^{r+s}(M)$.

Definition 6.2. The $r$ th de Rham cohomology group is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{R}) \equiv Z^{r}(M) / B^{r}(M) \tag{6.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $r \leq-1$ or $r \geq m+1, H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ may be defined to be trivial. In the following, we omit the explicit quotation of $\mathbb{R}$-coefficients.

Let $\omega \in Z^{r}(M)$. Then $[\omega] \in H^{r}(M)$ is the equivalence class $\left\{\omega^{\prime} \in\right.$ $\left.Z^{r}(M) \mid \omega^{\prime}=\omega+\mathrm{d} \psi, \psi \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)\right\}$. Two forms which differ by an exact form are called cohomologous. We will see later that $H^{r}(M)$ is isomorphic to $H_{r}(M)$. The following examples will clarify the idea of de Rham cohomology groups.

Example 6.1. When $r=0, B^{0}(M)$ has no meaning since there is no ( -1 )-form. We define $\Omega^{-1}(M)$ to be empty, hence $B^{0}(M)=0$. Then $H^{0}(M)=Z^{0}(M)=$ $\left\{f \in \Omega^{0}(M)=\mathcal{F}(M) \mid \mathrm{d} f=0\right\}$. If $M$ is connected, the condition $\mathrm{d} f=0$ is satisfied if and only if $f$ is constant over $M$. Hence, $H^{0}(M)$ is isomorphic to the vector space $\mathbb{R}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}(M) \cong \mathbb{R} \tag{6.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $M$ has $n$ connected components, $\mathrm{d} f=0$ is satisfied if and only if $f$ is constant on each connected component, hence it is specified by $n$ real numbers,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}(M) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{n} . \tag{6.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 6.2. Let $M=\mathbb{R}$. From example 6.1, we have $H^{0}(\mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}$. Let us find $H^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ next. Let $x$ be a coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. Since $\operatorname{dim} \mathbb{R}=1$, any one-form $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(\mathbb{R})$ is closed, $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$. Let $\omega=f \mathrm{~d} x$, where $f \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})$. Define a function $F(x)$ by

$$
F(x)=\int_{0}^{x} f(s) \mathrm{d} s \in \mathcal{F}(\mathbb{R})=\Omega^{0}(\mathbb{R})
$$

Since $\mathrm{d} F(x) / \mathrm{d} x=f(x), \omega$ is an exact form,

$$
\omega=f \mathrm{~d} x=\frac{\mathrm{d} F(x)}{\mathrm{d} x} \mathrm{~d} x=\mathrm{d} F
$$

Thus, any one-form is closed as well as exact. We have established

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}(\mathbb{R})=\{0\} \tag{6.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 6.3. Let $S^{1}=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mid 0 \leq \theta<2 \pi\right\}$. Since $S^{1}$ is connected, we have $H^{0}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{R}$. We compute $H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ next. Let $\omega=f(\theta) \mathrm{d} \theta \in \Omega^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$. Is it
possible to write $\omega=\mathrm{d} F$ for some $F \in \mathcal{F}\left(S^{1}\right)$ ? Let us repeat the analysis of the previous example. If $\omega=\mathrm{d} F$, then $F \in \mathcal{F}\left(S^{1}\right)$ must be given by

$$
F(\theta)=\int_{0}^{\theta} f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta^{\prime}
$$

For $F$ to be defined uniquely on $S^{1}, F$ must satisfy the periodicity $F(2 \pi)=$ $F(0)(=0)$. Namely $F$ must satisfy

$$
F(2 \pi)=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta^{\prime}=0
$$

If we define a map $\lambda: \Omega^{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda: \omega=f \mathrm{~d} \theta \mapsto \int_{0}^{2 \pi} f\left(\theta^{\prime}\right) \mathrm{d} \theta^{\prime} \tag{6.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $B^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)$ is identified with ker $\lambda$. Now we have (theorem 3.1)

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\Omega^{1}\left(S^{1}\right) / \operatorname{ker} \lambda=\operatorname{im} \lambda=\mathbb{R} \tag{6.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is also obtained from the following consideration. Let $\omega$ and $\omega^{\prime}$ be closed forms that are not exact. Although $\omega-\omega^{\prime}$ is not exact in general, we can show that there exists a number $a \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $\omega^{\prime}-a \omega$ is exact. In fact, if we put

$$
a=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} \omega^{\prime} / \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \omega
$$

we have

$$
\int_{0}^{2 \pi}\left(\omega^{\prime}-a \omega\right)=0
$$

This shows that, given a closed form $\omega$ which is not exact, any closed form $\omega^{\prime}$ is cohomologous to $a \omega$ for some $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Thus, each cohomology class is specified by a real number $a$, hence $H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{R}$.

Exercise 6.3. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{0\}$. Define a one-form $\omega$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{-y}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{x}{x^{2}+y^{2}} \mathrm{~d} y \tag{6.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

(a) Show that $\omega$ is closed.
(b) Define a 'function' $F(x, y)=\tan ^{-1}(y / x)$. Show that $\omega=\mathrm{d} F$. Is $\omega$ exact?

### 6.2.2 Duality of $\boldsymbol{H}_{\boldsymbol{r}}(M)$ and $\boldsymbol{H}^{\boldsymbol{r}}(\boldsymbol{M})$; de Rham's theorem

As the name itself suggests, the cohomology group is a dual space of the homology group. The duality is provided by Stokes' theorem. We first define the inner product of an $r$-form and an $r$-chain in $M$. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional manifold and let $C_{r}(M)$ be the chain group of $M$. Take $c \in C_{r}(M)$ and $\omega \in$ $\Omega^{r}(M)$ where $1 \leq r \leq m$. Define an inner product $(\quad, \quad): C_{r}(M) \times \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c, \omega \mapsto(c, \omega) \equiv \int_{c} \omega \tag{6.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $(c, \omega)$ is linear in both $c$ and $\omega$ and $(\quad, \omega)$ may be regarded as a linear map acting on $c$ and vice versa,

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(c_{1}+c_{2}, \omega\right) & =\int_{c_{1}+c_{2}} \omega=\int_{c_{1}} \omega+\int_{c_{2}} \omega  \tag{6.19a}\\
\left(c, \omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right) & =\int_{c}\left(\omega_{1}+\omega_{2}\right)=\int_{c} \omega_{1}+\int_{c} \omega_{2} \tag{6.19b}
\end{align*}
$$

Now Stokes' theorem takes a compact form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(c, \mathrm{~d} \omega)=(\partial c, \omega) \tag{6.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this sense, the exterior derivative operator d is the adjoint of the boundary operator $\partial$ and vice versa.

Exercise 6.4. Let (i) $c \in B_{r}(M), \omega \in Z^{r}(M)$ or (ii) $c \in Z_{r}(M), \omega \in B^{r}(M)$. Show, in both cases, that $(c, \omega)=0$.

The inner product ( , ) naturally induces an inner product $\lambda$ between the elements of $H_{r}(M)$ and $H^{r}(M)$. We now show that $H_{r}(M)$ is the dual of $H^{r}(M)$. Let $[c] \in H_{r}(M)$ and $[\omega] \in H^{r}(M)$ and define an inner product $\Lambda: H_{r}(M) \times H^{r}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda([c],[\omega]) \equiv(c, \omega)=\int_{c} \omega . \tag{6.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is well defined since (6.21) is independent of the choice of the representatives. In fact, if we take $c+\partial c^{\prime}, c^{\prime} \in C_{r+1}(M)$, we have, from Stokes' theorem,

$$
\left(c+\partial c^{\prime}, \omega\right)=(c, \omega)+\left(c^{\prime}, \mathrm{d} \omega\right)=(c, \omega)
$$

where $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ has been used. Similarly, for $\omega+\mathrm{d} \psi, \psi \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)$,

$$
(c, \omega+\mathrm{d} \psi)=(c, \omega)+(\partial c, \psi)=(c, \omega)
$$

since $\partial c=0$. Note that $\Lambda(,[\omega])$ is a linear map $H_{r}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$, and $\Lambda([c], \quad)$ is a linear map $H^{r}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. To prove the duality of $H_{r}(M)$ and $H^{r}(M)$, we have
to show that $\Lambda(\quad,[\omega])$ has the maximal rank, that is, $\operatorname{dim} H_{r}(M)=\operatorname{dim} H^{r}(M)$. We accept the following theorem due to de Rham without the proof which is highly non-trivial.

Theorem 6.2. (de Rham's theorem) If $M$ is a compact manifold, $H_{r}(M)$ and $H^{r}(M)$ are finite dimensional. Moreover the map

$$
\Lambda: H_{r}(M) \times H^{r}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

is bilinear and non-degenerate. Thus, $H^{r}(M)$ is the dual vector space of $H_{r}(M)$.
A period of a closed $r$-form $\omega$ over a cycle $c$ is defined by $(c, \omega)=\int_{c} \omega$. Exercise 6.4 shows that the period vanishes if $\omega$ is exact or if $c$ is a boundary. The following corollary is easily derived from de Rham's theorem.

Corollary 6.1. Let $M$ be a compact manifold and let $k$ be the $r$ th Betti number (see section 3.4). Let $c_{1}, c_{2}, \ldots, c_{k}$ be properly chosen elements of $Z_{r}(M)$ such that $\left[c_{i}\right] \neq\left[c_{j}\right]$.
(a) A closed $r$-form $\psi$ is exact if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c_{i}} \psi=0 \quad(1 \leq i \leq k) \tag{6.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For any set of real numbers $b_{1}, b_{2}, \ldots, b_{k}$ there exists a closed $r$-form $\omega$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{c_{i}} \omega=b_{i} \quad(1 \leq i \leq k) \tag{6.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) de Rham's theorem states that the bilinear form $\Lambda([c],[\omega])$ is nondegenerate. Hence, if $\Lambda\left(\left[c_{i}\right], \quad\right)$ is regarded as a linear map acting on $H^{r}(M)$, the kernel consists of the trivial element, the cohomology class of exact forms. Accordingly, $\psi$ is an exact form.
(b) de Rham's theorem ensures that corresponding to the homology basis $\left\{\left[c_{i}\right]\right\}$, we may choose the dual basis $\left\{\left[\omega_{i}\right]\right\}$ of $H^{r}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Lambda\left(\left[c_{i}\right],\left[\omega_{j}\right]\right)=\int_{c_{i}} \omega_{j}=\delta_{i j} . \tag{6.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define $\omega \equiv \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_{i} \omega_{i}$, the closed $r$-form $\omega$ satisfies

$$
\int_{c_{i}} \omega=b_{i}
$$

as claimed.
For example. we observe the duality of the following groups.
(a) $H^{0}(M) \cong H_{0}(M) \cong \underbrace{\mathbb{R} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{R}}_{n}$ if $M$ has $n$ connected components.
(b) $H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong H_{1}\left(S^{1}\right) \cong \mathbb{R}$.

Since $H^{r}(M)$ is isomorphic to $H_{r}(M)$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{r}(M) \equiv \operatorname{dim} H^{r}(M)=\operatorname{dim} H_{r}(M)=b_{r}(M) \tag{6.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b_{r}(M)$ is the Betti number of $M$. The Euler characteristic is now written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{r} b^{r}(M) . \tag{6.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is quite an interesting formula; the LHS is purely topological while the RHS is given by an analytic condition (note that $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ is a set of partial differential equations). We will frequently encounter this interplay between topology and analysis.

In summary, we have the chain complex $C(M)$ and the de Rham complex $\Omega^{*}(M)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \longleftarrow C_{r-1}(M) \stackrel{\partial_{r}}{\longleftarrow} C_{r}(M) \stackrel{\partial_{r+1}}{\leftrightarrows} C_{r+1}(M) \longleftarrow  \tag{6.27}\\
& \longrightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{r}} \Omega^{r}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}_{r+1}} \Omega^{r+1}(M) \longleftarrow
\end{align*}
$$

for which the $r$ th homology group is defined by

$$
H_{r}(M)=Z_{r}(M) / B_{r}(M)=\operatorname{ker} \partial_{r} / \operatorname{im} \partial_{r+1}
$$

and the $r$ th de Rham cohomology group is defined by

$$
H^{r}(M)=Z^{r}(M) / B^{r}(M)=\operatorname{kerd}_{r+1} / \operatorname{im~d}_{r}
$$

### 6.3 Poincaré's lemma

An exact form is always closed but the converse is not necessarily true. However, the following theorem provides the situation in which the converse is also true.

Theorem 6.3. (Poincaré's lemma) If a coordinate neighbourhood $U$ of a manifold $M$ is contractible to a point $p_{0} \in M$, any closed $r$-form on $U$ is also exact.

Proof. We assume $U$ is smoothly contractible to $p_{0}$, that is, there exists a smooth map $F: U \times I \rightarrow U$ such that

$$
F(x, 0)=x, \quad F(x, 1)=p_{0} \quad \text { for } x \in U
$$

Let us consider an $r$-form $\eta \in \Omega^{r}(U \times I)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta= & a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(x, t) \mathrm{d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}} \\
& +b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r-1}}(x, t) \mathrm{d} t \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{r-1}} \tag{6.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x$ is the coordinate of $U$ and $t$ of $I$. Define a map $P: \Omega^{r}(U \times I) \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{r-1}(U)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
P \eta \equiv\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} s b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r-1}}(x, s)\right) \mathrm{d} x^{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{r-1}} \tag{6.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, define a map $f_{t}: U \rightarrow U \times I$ by $f_{t}(x)=(x, t)$. The pullback of the first term of (6.28) by $f_{t}^{*}$ is an element of $\Omega^{r}(U)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{t}^{*} \eta=a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(x, t) \mathrm{d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}} \in \Omega^{r}(U) \tag{6.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We now prove the following identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}(P \eta)+P(\mathrm{~d} \eta)=f_{1}{ }^{*} \eta-f_{0}{ }^{*} \eta . \tag{6.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each term of the LHS is calculated to be

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} P \eta= & \mathrm{d}\left(\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} s b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r-1}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{r-1}} \\
= & \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} s\left(\frac{\partial b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{j_{r}}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{j_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{r-1}} \\
P \mathrm{~d} \eta= & P\left[\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}}{\partial x^{i_{r+1}}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{i_{r+1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}}\right. \\
& +\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}}{\partial t}\right) \mathrm{d} t \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}} \\
& \left.+\left(\frac{\partial b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r-1}}^{\partial j_{r}}}{\partial x_{r}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{j_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} t \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{r-1}}\right] \\
= & {\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} s\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}}{\partial s}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}} } \\
& -\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} s\left(\frac{\partial b_{j_{1} \ldots j_{r-1}}}{\partial x^{j_{r}}}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x^{j_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j_{r-1}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Collecting these results, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d}(P \eta)+P(\mathrm{~d} \eta) & =\left[\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} s\left(\frac{\partial a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}}{\partial s}\right)\right] \mathrm{d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}} \\
& =\left[a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(x, 1)-a_{i_{1} \ldots i_{r}}(x, 0)\right] \mathrm{d} x^{i_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{i_{r}} \\
& =f_{1}{ }^{*} \eta-f_{0}^{*} \eta .
\end{aligned}
$$

Poincaré's lemma readily follows from (6.31). Let $\omega$ be a closed $r$-form on a contractible chart $U$. We will show that $\omega$ is written as an exact form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\mathrm{d}\left(-P F^{*} \omega\right) \tag{6.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F$ being the smooth contraction map. In fact, if $\eta$ in (6.31) is replaced by $F^{*} \omega \in \Omega^{r}(U \times I)$ we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} P F^{*} \omega+P \mathrm{~d} F^{*} \omega & =f_{1}^{*} \circ F^{*} \omega-f_{0}^{*} \circ F^{*} \omega \\
& =\left(F \circ f_{1}\right)^{*} \omega-\left(F \circ f_{0}\right)^{*} \omega \tag{6.33}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the relation $(f \circ g)^{*}=g^{*} \circ f^{*}$. Clearly $F \circ f_{1}: U \rightarrow$ $U$ is a constant map $x \mapsto p_{0}$, hence $\left(F \circ f_{1}\right)^{*}=0$. However, $F \circ f_{0}=\mathrm{id}_{U}$, hence $\left(F \circ f_{0}\right)^{*}: \Omega^{r}(U) \rightarrow \Omega^{r}(U)$ is the identity map. Thus, the RHS of (6.33) is simply $-\omega$. The second term of the LHS vanishes since $\omega$ is closed; $\mathrm{d} F^{*} \omega=F^{*} \mathrm{~d} \omega=0$, where use has been made of (5.75). Finally, (6.33) becomes $\omega=-\mathrm{d} P F^{*} \omega$, which proves the theorem.

Any closed form is exact at least locally. The de Rham cohomology group is regarded as an obstruction to the global exactness of closed forms.

Example 6.4. Since $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is contractible, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=0 \quad 1 \leq r \leq n . \tag{6.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note, however, that $H^{0}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)=\mathbb{R}$.

### 6.4 Structure of de Rham cohomology groups

de Rham cohomology groups exhibit quite an interesting structure that is very difficult or even impossible to appreciate with homology groups.

### 6.4.1 Poincaré duality

Let $M$ be a compact $m$-dimensional manifold and let $\omega \in H^{r}(M)$ and $\eta \in$ $H^{m-r}(M)$. Noting that $\omega \wedge \eta$ is a volume element, we define an inner product $\langle\rangle:, H^{r}(M) \times H^{m-r}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle\omega, \eta\rangle \equiv \int_{M} \omega \wedge \eta \tag{6.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The inner product is bilinear. Moreover, it is non-singular, that is, if $\omega \neq 0$ or $\eta \neq 0,\langle\omega, \eta\rangle$ cannot vanish identically. Thus, (6.35) defines the duality of $H^{r}(M)$ and $H^{m-r}(M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}(M) \cong H^{m-r}(M) \tag{6.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the Poincaré duality. Accordingly, the Betti numbers have a symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
b_{r}=b_{m-r} \tag{6.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (6.37) that the Euler characteristic of an odd-dimensional space vanishes,

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi(M) & =\sum(-1)^{r} b_{r}=\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum(-1)^{r} b_{r}+\sum(-1)^{m-r} b_{m-r}\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left\{\sum(-1)^{r} b_{r}-\sum(-1)^{-r} b_{r}\right\}=0 . \tag{6.38}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.4.2 Cohomology rings

Let $[\omega] \in H^{q}(M)$ and $[\eta] \in H^{r}(M)$. Define a product of $[\omega]$ and $[\eta]$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\omega] \wedge[\eta] \equiv[\omega \wedge \eta] \tag{6.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from exercise 6.2 that $\omega \wedge \eta$ is closed, hence $[\omega \wedge \eta$ ] is an element of $H^{q+r}(M)$. Moreover, $[\omega \wedge \eta]$ is independent of the choice of the representatives of $[\omega]$ and $[\eta]$. For example, if we take $\omega^{\prime}=\omega+\mathrm{d} \psi$ instead of $\omega$, we have

$$
\left[\omega^{\prime}\right] \wedge[\eta] \equiv[(\omega+\mathrm{d} \psi) \wedge \eta]=[\omega \wedge \eta+\mathrm{d}(\psi \wedge \eta)]=[\omega \wedge \eta]
$$

Thus, the product $\wedge: H^{q}(M) \times H^{r}(M) \rightarrow H^{q+r}(M)$ is a well-defined map.
The cohomology ring $H^{*}(M)$ is defined by the direct sum,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{*}(M) \equiv \bigoplus_{r=1}^{m} H^{r}(M) \tag{6.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product is provided by the exterior product defined earlier,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\wedge: H^{*}(M) \times H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}(M) \tag{6.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

The addition is the formal sum of two elements of $H^{*}(M)$. One of the superiorities of cohomology groups over homology groups resides here. Products of chains are not well defined and homology groups cannot have a ring structure.

### 6.4.3 The Künneth formula

Let $M$ be a product of two manifolds $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$. Let $\left\{\omega_{i}^{p}\right\} \quad(1 \leq i \leq$ $\left.b^{p}\left(M_{1}\right)\right)$ be a basis of $H^{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $\left\{\eta_{i}^{p}\right\}\left(1 \leq i \leq b^{p}\left(M_{2}\right)\right)$ be that of $H^{p}\left(M_{2}\right)$. Clearly $\omega_{i}^{p} \wedge \eta_{j}^{r-p}(1 \leq p \leq r)$ is a closed $r$-form in $M$. We show that it is not exact. If it were exact, it would be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i}^{p} \wedge \eta_{j}^{r-p}=\mathrm{d}\left(\alpha^{p-1} \wedge \beta^{r-p}+\gamma^{p} \wedge \delta^{r-p-1}\right) \tag{6.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha^{p-1} \in \Omega^{p-1}\left(M_{1}\right), \beta^{r-p} \in \Omega^{r-p}\left(M_{2}\right), \gamma^{p} \in \Omega^{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $\delta^{r-p-1} \in$ $\Omega^{r-p-1}\left(M_{2}\right)$. [If $p=0$, we put $\alpha^{p-1}=0$.] By executing the exterior derivative in (6.42), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega_{i}^{p} \wedge \eta_{j}^{r-p}= & \mathrm{d} \alpha^{p-1} \wedge \beta^{r-p}+(-1)^{p-1} \alpha^{p-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \beta^{r-p} \\
& +\mathrm{d} \gamma^{p} \wedge \delta^{r-p-1}+(-1)^{p} \gamma^{p} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \delta^{r-p-1} \tag{6.43}
\end{align*}
$$

By comparing the LHS with the RHS, we find $\alpha^{p-1}=\delta^{r-p-1}=0$, hence $\omega_{i}^{p} \wedge \eta_{j}^{r-p}=0$ in contradiction to our assumption. Thus, $\omega_{i}^{p} \wedge \eta_{j}^{r-p}$ is a nontrivial element of $H^{r}(M)$. Conversely, any element of $H^{r}(M)$ can be decomposed into a sum of a product of the elements of $H^{p}\left(M_{1}\right)$ and $H^{r-p}\left(M_{2}\right)$ for $0 \leq p \leq r$. Now we have obtained the Künneth formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}(M)=\bigoplus_{p+q=r}\left[H^{p}\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes H^{q}\left(M_{2}\right)\right] \tag{6.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is rewritten in terms of the Betti numbers as

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{r}(M)=\sum_{p+q=r} b^{p}\left(M_{1}\right) b^{q}\left(M_{2}\right) . \tag{6.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Künneth formula also gives a relation between the cohomology rings of the respective manifolds,

$$
\begin{align*}
H^{*}(M) & =\sum_{r=1}^{m} H^{r}(M)=\sum_{r=1}^{m} \bigoplus_{p+q=r} H^{p}\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes H^{q}\left(M_{2}\right) \\
& =\sum_{p} H^{p}\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes \sum_{q} H^{q}\left(M_{2}\right)=H^{*}\left(M_{1}\right) \otimes H^{*}\left(M_{2}\right) \tag{6.46}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 6.5. Let $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\chi\left(M_{1}\right) \cdot \chi\left(M_{2}\right) . \tag{6.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 6.5. Let $T^{2}=S^{1} \times S^{1}$ be the torus. Since $H^{0}\left(S^{1}\right)=\mathbb{R}$ and $H^{1}\left(S^{1}\right)=$ $\mathbb{R}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& H^{0}\left(T^{2}\right)=\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R}  \tag{6.48a}\\
& H^{1}\left(T^{2}\right)=(\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}) \oplus(\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}  \tag{6.48b}\\
& H^{2}\left(T^{2}\right)=\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}=\mathbb{R} \tag{6.48c}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe the Poincaré duality $H^{0}\left(T^{2}\right)=H^{2}\left(T^{2}\right)$. [Remark: $\mathbb{R} \otimes \mathbb{R}$ is the tensor product and should not be confused with the direct product. Clearly the product of two real numbers is a real number.] Let us parametrize the coordinate of $T^{2}$
as $\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2}\right)$ where $\theta_{i}$ is the coordinate of $S^{1}$. The groups $H^{r}\left(T^{2}\right)$ are generated by the following forms:

$$
\begin{array}{lll}
r=0: & \omega_{0}=c_{0} \quad c_{0} \in \mathbb{R} & \\
r=1: & \omega_{1}=c_{1} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1}+c_{1}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \theta_{2} & c_{1}, c_{1}^{\prime} \in \mathbb{R}  \tag{6.49a}\\
r=2: & \omega_{2}=c_{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta_{2} \quad c_{2} \in \mathbb{R} .
\end{array}
$$

Although the one-form $\mathrm{d} \theta_{i}$ looks like an exact form, there is no function $\theta_{i}$ which is defined uniquely on $S^{1}$. Since $\chi\left(S^{1}\right)=0$, we have $\chi\left(T^{2}\right)=0$.

The de Rham cohomology groups of

$$
T^{n}=\underbrace{S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}}_{n}
$$

are obtained similarly. $H^{r}\left(T^{n}\right)$ is generated by $r$-forms of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \theta^{i_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta^{i_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta^{i_{r}} \tag{6.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i_{1}<i_{2}<\cdots<i_{r}$ are chosen from $1, \ldots, n$. Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
b^{r}=\operatorname{dim} H^{r}\left(T^{n}\right)=\binom{n}{r} \tag{6.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Euler characteristic is directly obtained from (6.51) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi\left(T^{n}\right)=\sum(-1)^{r}\binom{n}{r}=(1-1)^{n}=0 \tag{6.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 6.4.4 Pullback of de Rham cohomology groups

Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a smooth map. Equation (5.75) shows that the pullback $f^{*}$ maps closed forms to closed forms and exact forms to exact forms. Accordingly, we may define a pullback of the cohomology groups $f^{*}: H^{r}(N) \rightarrow H^{r}(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}[\omega]=\left[f^{*} \omega\right] \quad[\omega] \in H^{r}(N) \tag{6.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

The pullback $f^{*}$ preserves the ring structure of $H^{*}(N)$. In fact, if $[\omega] \in H^{p}(N)$ and $[\eta] \in H^{q}(N)$, we find

$$
\begin{align*}
f^{*}([\omega] \wedge[\eta]) & =f^{*}[\omega \wedge \eta]=\left[f^{*}(\omega \wedge \eta)\right] \\
& =\left[f^{*} \omega \wedge f^{*} \eta\right]=\left[f^{*} \omega\right] \wedge\left[f^{*} \eta\right] \tag{6.54}
\end{align*}
$$

### 6.4.5 Homotopy and $H^{\mathbf{1}}(M)$

Let $f, g: M \rightarrow N$ be smooth maps. We assume $f$ and $g$ are homotopic to each other, that is, there exists a smooth map $F: M \times I \rightarrow N$ such that $F(p, 0)=$
$f(p)$ and $F(p, 1)=g(p)$. We now prove that $f^{*}: H^{r}(N) \rightarrow H^{r}(M)$ is equal to $g^{*}: H^{r}(N) \rightarrow H^{r}(M)$.

Lemma 6.1. Let $f^{*}$ and $g^{*}$ be defined as before. If $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(N)$ is a closed form, the difference of the pullback images is exact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*} \omega-g^{*} \omega=\mathrm{d} \psi \quad \psi \in \Omega^{r-1}(M) \tag{6.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first note that

$$
f=F \circ f_{0}, \quad g=F \circ f_{1}
$$

where $f_{t}: M \rightarrow M \times I \quad(p \mapsto(p, t))$ has been defined in theorem 6.3. The LHS of (6.55) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(F \circ f_{0}\right)^{*} \omega-\left(F \circ f_{1}\right)^{*} \omega & =f_{0}^{*} \circ F^{*} \omega-f_{1}^{*} \circ F^{*} \omega \\
& =-\left[\mathrm{d} P\left(F^{*} \omega\right)+P \mathrm{~d}\left(F^{*} \omega\right)\right]=-\mathrm{d} P F^{*} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where (6.33) has been used. This shows that $f^{*} \omega-g^{*} \omega=\mathrm{d}\left(-P F^{*} \omega\right)$.
Now it is easy to see that $f^{*}=g^{*}$ as the pullback maps $H^{r}(N) \rightarrow H^{r}(M)$. In fact, from the previous lemma,

$$
\left[f^{*} \omega-g^{*} \omega\right]=\left[f^{*} \omega\right]-\left[g^{*} \omega\right]=[\mathrm{d} \psi]=0 .
$$

We have established the following theorem.
Theorem 6.4. Let $f, g: M \rightarrow N$ be maps which are homotopic to each other. Then the pullback maps $f^{*}$ and $g^{*}$ of the de Rham cohomology groups $H^{r}(N) \rightarrow H^{r}(M)$ are identical.

Let $M$ be a simply connected manifold, namely $\pi_{1}(M) \cong\{0\}$. Since $H_{1}(M)=\pi_{1}(M)$ modulo the commutator subgroup (theorem 4.9), it follows that $H_{1}(M)$ is also trivial. In terms of the de Rham cohomology group this can be expressed as follows.

Theorem 6.5. Let $M$ be a simply connected manifold. Then its first de Rham cohomology group is trivial.

Proof. Let $\omega$ be a closed one-form on $M$. It is clear that if $\omega=\mathrm{d} f$, then a function $f$ must be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(p)=\int_{p_{0}}^{p} \omega \tag{6.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

$p_{0} \in M$ being a fixed point.
We first prove that an integral of a closed form along a loop vanishes. Let $\alpha: I \rightarrow M$ be a loop at $p \in M$ and let $c_{p}: I \rightarrow M(t \mapsto p)$ be a constant
loop. Since $M$ is simply connected, there exists a homotopy $F(s, t)$ such that $F(s, 0)=\alpha(s)$ and $F(s, 1)=c_{p}(s)$. We assume $F: I \times I \rightarrow M$ is smooth. Define the integral of a one-form $\omega$ over $\alpha(I)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\alpha(I)} \omega=\int_{S^{1}} \alpha^{*} \omega \tag{6.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have taken the integral domain in the RHS to be $S^{1}$ since $I=[0,1]$ in the LHS is compactified to $S^{1}$. From lemma 6.1, we have, for a closed one-form $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha^{*} \omega-c_{p}^{*} \omega=\mathrm{d} g \tag{6.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=-P F^{*} \omega$. The pullback $c_{p} \omega$ vanishes since $c_{p}$ is a constant map. Then (6.57) vanishes since $\partial S^{1}$ is empty,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{1}} \alpha^{*} \omega=\int_{S^{1}} \mathrm{~d} g=\int_{\partial S^{1}} g=0 . \tag{6.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\beta$ and $\gamma$ be two paths connecting $p_{0}$ and $p$. According to (6.59), integrals of $\omega$ along $\beta$ and along $\gamma$ are identical,

$$
\int_{\beta(I)} \omega=\int_{\gamma(I)} \omega
$$

This shows that (6.56) is indeed well defined, hence $\omega$ is exact.
Example 6.6. The $n$-sphere $S^{n}(n \geq 2)$ is simply connected, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{1}\left(S^{n}\right)=0 \quad n \geq 2 \tag{6.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the Poincaré duality, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{0}\left(S^{n}\right) \cong H^{n}\left(S^{n}\right)=\mathbb{R} \tag{6.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}\left(S^{n}\right)=0 \quad 1 \leq r \leq n-1 \tag{6.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

$H^{n}\left(S^{n}\right)$ is generated by the volume element $\Omega$. Since there are no $(n+1)$-forms on $S^{n}$, every $n$-form is closed. $\Omega$ cannot be exact since if $\Omega=\mathrm{d} \psi$, we would have

$$
\int_{S^{n}} \Omega=\int_{S^{n}} \mathrm{~d} \psi=\int_{\partial S^{n}} \psi=0
$$

The Euler characteristic is

$$
\chi\left(S^{n}\right)=1+(-1)^{n}= \begin{cases}0 & n \text { is odd }  \tag{6.63}\\ 2 & n \text { is even }\end{cases}
$$

Example 6.7. Take $S^{2}$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \phi \tag{6.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $(\theta, \phi)$ is the usual polar coordinate. Verify that $\Omega$ is closed. We may formally write $\Omega$ as

$$
\Omega=-\mathrm{d}(\cos \theta) \wedge \mathrm{d} \phi=-\mathrm{d}(\cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi) .
$$

Note, however, that $\Omega$ is not exact.

## RIEMANNIAN GEOMETRY

A manifold is a topological space which locally looks like $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Calculus on a manifold is assured by the existence of smooth coordinate systems. A manifold may carry a further structure if it is endowed with a metric tensor, which is a natural generalization of the inner product between two vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ to an arbitrary manifold. With this new structure, we define an inner product between two vectors in a tangent space $T_{p} M$. We may also compare a vector at a point $p \in M$ with another vector at a different point $p^{\prime} \in M$ with the help of the 'connection'.

There are many books about Riemannian geometry. Those which are accessible to physicists are Choquet-Bruhat et al (1982), Dodson and Poston (1977) and Hicks (1965). Lightman et al (1975) and chapter 3 of Wald (1984) are also recommended.

### 7.1 Riemannian manifolds and pseudo-Riemannian manifolds

### 7.1.1 Metric tensors

In elementary geometry, the inner product between two vectors $\boldsymbol{U}$ and $\boldsymbol{V}$ is defined by $\boldsymbol{U} \cdot \boldsymbol{V}=\sum_{i=1}^{m} U_{i} V_{i}$ where $U_{i}$ and $V_{i}$ are the components of the vectors in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. On a manifold, an inner product is defined at each tangent space $T_{p} M$.

Definition 7.1. Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold. A Riemannian metric $g$ on $M$ is a type $(0,2)$ tensor field on $M$ which satisfies the following axioms at each point $p \in M$ :
(i) $g_{p}(U, V)=g_{p}(V, U)$,
(ii) $g_{p}(U, U) \geq 0$, where the equality holds only when $U=0$.

Here $U, V \in T_{p} M$ and $g_{p}=\left.g\right|_{p}$. In short, $g_{p}$ is a symmetric positive-definite bilinear form.

A tensor field $g$ of type $(0,2)$ is a pseudo-Riemannian metric if it satisfies (i) and
(ii') if $g_{p}(U, V)=0$ for any $U \in T_{p} M$, then $V=0$.

In chapter 5, we have defined the inner product between a vector $V \in T_{M}$ and a dual vector $\omega \in T_{p}^{*} M$ as a map $\langle\rangle:, T_{p}^{*} M \times T_{p} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. If there exists a metric $g$, we define an inner product between two vectors $U, V \in T_{p} M$ by $g_{p}(U, V)$. Since $g_{p}$ is a map $T_{p} M \otimes T_{p} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ we may define a linear map $g_{p}(U):, T_{p} M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $V \mapsto g_{p}(U, V)$. Then $g_{p}(U$,$) is identified$ with a one-form $\omega_{U} \in T_{p}^{*} M$. Similarly, $\omega \in T_{p}^{*} M$ induces $V_{\omega} \in T_{p} M$ by $\langle\omega, U\rangle=g\left(V_{\omega}, U\right)$. Thus, the metric $g_{p}$ gives rise to an isomorphism between $T_{p} M$ and $T_{p}^{*} M$.

Let $(U, \varphi)$ be a chart in $M$ and $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ the coordinates. Since $g \in \mathcal{T}_{2}^{0}(M)$, it is expanded in terms of $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}=g_{\mu \nu}(p) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \tag{7.1a}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily checked that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}(p)=g_{p}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)=g_{\nu \mu}(p) \quad(p \in M) \tag{7.1b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We usually omit $p$ in $g_{\mu \nu}$ unless it may cause confusion. It is common to regard $\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$ as a matrix whose $(\mu, \nu)$ th entry is $g_{\mu \nu}$. Since $\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$ has the maximal rank, it has an inverse denoted by ( $g^{\mu \nu}$ ) according to the tradition: $g_{\mu \nu} g^{\nu \lambda}=g^{\lambda \nu} g_{\nu \mu}=\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda}$. The determinant $\operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)$ is denoted by $g$. Clearly $\operatorname{det}\left(g^{\mu \nu}\right)=g^{-1}$. The isomorphism between $T_{p} M$ and $T_{p}^{*} M$ is now expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mu}=g_{\mu \nu} U^{\nu}, \quad U^{\mu}=g^{\mu \nu} \omega_{\nu} \tag{7.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.1a) and (7.1b) we recover the 'old-fashioned' definition of the metric as an infinitesimal distance squared. Take an infinitesimal displacement $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu} \in T_{p} M$ and plug it into $g$ to find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2} & =g\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}, \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right) \\
& =g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \tag{7.3}
\end{align*}
$$

We also call the quantity $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$ a metric, although in a strict sense the metric is a tensor $g=g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$.

Since $\left(g^{\mu \nu}\right)$ is a symmetric matrix, the eigenvalues are real. If $g$ is Riemannian, all the eigenvalues are strictly positive and if $g$ is pseudoRiemannian, some of them may be negative. If there are $i$ positive and $j$ negative eigenvalues, the pair $(i, j)$ is called the index of the metric. If $j=1$, the metric is called a Lorentz metric. Once a metric is diagonalized by an appropriate orthogonal matrix, it is easy to reduce all the diagonal elements to $\pm 1$ by a suitable scaling of the basis vectors with positive numbers. If we start with a Riemannian metric we end up with the Euclidean metric $\delta=\operatorname{diag}(1, \ldots, 1)$ and if we start with a Lorentz metric, the Minkowski metric $\eta=\operatorname{diag}(-1,1, \ldots, 1)$.

If $(M, g)$ is Lorentzian, the elements of $T_{p} M$ are divided into three classes as follows,
(i) $g(U, U)>0 \longrightarrow U$ is spacelike,
(ii) $g(U, U)=0 \longrightarrow U$ is lightlike (or null),
(iii) $g(U, U)<0 \longrightarrow U$ is timelike.

Exercise 7.1. Diagonalize the metric

$$
\left(g_{\mu \nu}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{llll}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

to show that it reduces to the Minkowski metric. The frame on which the metric takes this form is known as the light cone frame. Let $\left\{e_{0}, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ be the basis of the Minkowski frame in which the metric is $g_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\mu \nu}$. Show that $\left\{e_{+}, e_{-}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ are the basis vectors in the light cone frame, where $e_{ \pm} \equiv$ $\left(e_{1} \pm e_{0}\right) / \sqrt{2}$. Let $V=\left(V^{+}, V^{-}, V^{2}, V^{3}\right)$ be components of a vector $V$. Find the components of the corresponding one-form.

If a smooth manifold $M$ admits a Riemannian metric $g$, the pair $(M, g)$ is called a Riemannian manifold. If $g$ is a pseudo-Riemannian metric, $(M, g)$ is called a pseudo-Riemannian manifold. If $g$ is Lorentzian, $(M, g)$ is called a Lorentz manifold. Lorentz manifolds are of special interest in the theory of relativity. For example, an $m$-dimensional Euclidean space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \delta\right)$ is a Riemannian manifold and an $m$-dimensional Minkowski space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \eta\right)$ is a Lorentz manifold.

### 7.1.2 Induced metric

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional submanifold of an $n$-dimensional Riemanian manifold $N$ with the metric $g_{N}$. If $f: M \rightarrow N$ is the embedding which induces the submanifold structure of $M$ (see section 5.2), the pullback map $f^{*}$ induces the natural metric $g_{M}=f^{*} g_{N}$ on $M$. The components of $g_{M}$ are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{M \mu \nu}(x)=g_{N \alpha \beta}(f(x)) \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \tag{7.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f^{\alpha}$ denote the coordinates of $f(x)$. For example, consider the metric of the unit sphere embedded in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \delta\right)$. Let $(\theta, \phi)$ be the polar coordinates of $S^{2}$ and define $f$ by the usual inclusion

$$
f:(\theta, \phi) \mapsto(\sin \theta \cos \phi, \sin \theta \sin \phi, \cos \theta)
$$

from which we obtain the induced metric

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} & =\delta_{\alpha \beta} \frac{\partial f^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial f^{\beta}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \\
& =\mathrm{d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi \tag{7.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 7.2. Let $f: T^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{3}$ be an embedding of the torus into $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \delta\right)$ defined by

$$
f:(\theta, \phi) \mapsto((R+r \cos \theta) \cos \phi,(R+r \cos \theta) \sin \phi, r \sin \theta)
$$

where $R>r$. Show that the induced metric on $T^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=r^{2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+(R+r \cos \theta)^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi \tag{7.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

When a manifold $N$ is pseudo-Riemannian, its submanifold $f: M \rightarrow N$ need not have a metric $f^{*} g_{N}$. The tensor $f^{*} g_{N}$ is a metric only when it has a fixed index on $M$.

### 7.2 Parallel transport, connection and covariant derivative

A vector $X$ is a directional derivative acting on $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ as $X: f \mapsto X[f]$. However, there is no directional derivative acting on a tensor field of type ( $p, q$ ), which arises naturally from the differentiable structure of $M$. [Note that the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{V} X=[V, X]$ is not a directional derivative since it depends on the derivative of $V$.] What we need is an extra structure called the connection, which specifies how tensors are transported along a curve.

### 7.2.1 Heuristic introduction

We first give a heuristic approach to parallel transport and covariant derivatives. As we have noted several times, two vectors defined at different points cannot be compared naively with each other. Let us see how the derivative of a vector field in a Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ is defined. The derivative of a vector field $\boldsymbol{V}=V^{\mu} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mu}$ with respect to $x^{\nu}$ has the $\mu$ th component

$$
\frac{\partial V^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}=\lim _{\Delta x \rightarrow 0} \frac{V^{\mu}\left(\ldots, x^{\nu}+\Delta x^{\nu}, \ldots\right)-V^{\mu}\left(\ldots, x^{\nu}, \ldots\right)}{\Delta x^{\nu}}
$$

The first term in the numerator of the LHS is defined at $x+\Delta x=\left(x^{1}, \ldots, x^{\nu}+\right.$ $\left.\Delta x^{\nu}, \ldots, x^{m}\right)$, while the second term is defined at $x=\left(x^{\mu}\right)$. To subtract $V^{\mu}(x)$ from $V^{\mu}(x+\Delta x)$, we have to transport $V^{\mu}(x)$ to $x+\Delta x$ without change and compute the difference. This transport of a vector is called a parallel transport. We have implicitly assumed that $\left.V\right|_{x}$ parallel transported to $x+\Delta x$ has the same component $V^{\mu}(x)$. However, there is no natural way to parallel transport a vector in a manifold and we have to specify how it is parallel transported from one point
to the other. Let $\left.\widetilde{V}\right|_{x+\Delta x}$ denote a vector $\left.V\right|_{x}$ parallel transported to $x+\Delta x$. We demand that the components satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\widetilde{V}^{\mu}(x+\Delta x) & -V^{\mu}(x) \propto \Delta x  \tag{7.8a}\\
\left(V^{\mu+W^{\mu}}\right)(x+\Delta x) & =\widetilde{V}^{\mu}(x+\Delta x)+\widetilde{W}^{\mu}(x+\Delta x) \tag{7.8b}
\end{align*}
$$

These conditions are satisfied if we take

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{V}^{\mu}(x+\Delta x)=V^{\mu}(x)-V^{\lambda}(x) \Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}(x) \Delta x^{\nu} . \tag{7.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivative of $V$ with respect to $x^{\nu}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\Delta x^{\nu} \rightarrow 0} \frac{V^{\mu}(x+\Delta x)-\tilde{V}^{\mu}(x+\Delta x)}{\Delta x^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}=\left(\frac{\partial V^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}+V^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tag{7.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

This quantity is a vector at $x+\Delta x$ since it is a difference of two vectors $\left.V\right|_{x+\Delta x}$ and $\left.\widetilde{V}\right|_{x+\Delta x}$ defined at the same point $x+\Delta x$. There are many distinct rules of parallel transport possible, one for each choice of $\Gamma$. If the manifold is endowed with a metric, there exists a preferred choice of $\Gamma$, called the Levi-Civita connection, see example 7.1 and section 7.4.

Example 7.1. Let us work out a simple example: two-dimensional Euclidean space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \delta\right)$. We define parallel transportation according to the usual sense in elementary geometry. In the Cartesian coordinate system $(x, y)$, all the components of $\Gamma$ vanish since $\widetilde{V}^{\mu}(x+\Delta x, y+\Delta y)=V^{\mu}(x, y)$ for any $\Delta x$ and $\Delta y$. Next we take the polar coordinates $(r, \phi)$. If $(r, \phi) \mapsto(r \cos \phi, r \sin \phi)$ is regarded as an embedding, we find the induced metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mathrm{d} r \otimes \mathrm{~d} r+r^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi \tag{7.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\boldsymbol{V}=V^{r} \partial / \partial r+V^{\phi} \partial / \partial \phi$ be a vector defined at $(r, \phi)$. If we parallel transport this vector to $(r+\Delta r, \phi)$, we have a new vector $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}=\widetilde{V}^{r} \partial /\left.\partial r\right|_{(r+\Delta r, \phi)}+$ $\widetilde{V}^{\phi} \partial /\left.\partial \phi\right|_{(r+\Delta r, \phi)}\left(\right.$ figure 7.1(a)). Note that $V^{r}=V \cos \theta$ and $V^{\phi}=V(\sin \theta / r)$, $\widetilde{V}^{\text {where }} V=\sqrt{g(\boldsymbol{V}, \boldsymbol{V})}$ and $\theta$ is the angle between $\boldsymbol{V}$ and $\partial / \partial r$. Then we have $\widetilde{V}^{r}=V^{r}$ and

$$
\widetilde{V}^{\phi}=\frac{r}{r+\Delta r} V^{\phi} \simeq V^{\phi}-\frac{\Delta r}{r} V^{\phi}
$$

By comparing these components with (7.9), we easily find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{r r}^{r}=0 \quad \Gamma_{r \phi}^{r}=0 \quad \Gamma_{r r}^{\phi}=0 \quad \Gamma_{r \phi}^{\phi}=\frac{1}{r} \tag{7.12a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, if $V$ is parallel transported to $(r, \phi+\Delta \phi)$, it becomes

$$
\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}=\left.\widetilde{V}^{r} \frac{\partial}{\partial r}\right|_{(r, \phi+\Delta \phi)}+\left.\widetilde{V}^{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right|_{(r, \phi+\Delta \phi)}
$$



Figure 7.1. $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{V}}$ is a vector $\boldsymbol{V}$ parallel transported to $(a)(r+\Delta r, \phi)$ and $(b)(r, \phi+\Delta \phi)$.
where

$$
\widetilde{V}^{r}=V \cos (\theta-\Delta \phi) \simeq V \cos \theta+V \sin \theta \Delta \phi=V^{r}+V^{\phi} r \Delta \phi
$$

and

$$
\widetilde{V}^{\phi}=V \frac{\sin (\theta-\Delta \phi)}{r} \simeq V \frac{\sin \theta}{r}-V \cos \theta \frac{\Delta \phi}{r}=V^{\phi}-V^{r} \frac{\Delta \phi}{r}
$$

(figure 7.1(b)). Then we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\phi r}^{r}=0 \quad \Gamma_{\phi \phi}^{r}=-r \quad \Gamma_{\phi r}^{\phi}=\frac{1}{r} \quad \Gamma_{\phi \phi}^{\phi}=0 \tag{7.12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that the $\Gamma$ satisfy the symmetry $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu}$. It is also implicitly assumed that the norm of a vector is invariant under parallel transport. A rule of parallel transport which satisfies these two conditions is called a Levi-Civita connection, see section 7.4. Our intuitive approach leads us to the formal definition of the affine connection.

### 7.2.2 Affine connections

Definition 7.2. An affine connection $\nabla$ is a map $\nabla: X(M) \times X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$, or $(X, Y) \mapsto \nabla_{X} Y$ which satisfies the following conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X}(Y+Z) & =\nabla_{X} Y+\nabla_{X} Z  \tag{7.13a}\\
\nabla_{(X+Y)} Z & =\nabla_{X} Z+\nabla_{Y} Z  \tag{7.13b}\\
\nabla_{(f X)} Y & =f \nabla_{X} Y  \tag{7.13c}\\
\nabla_{X}(f Y) & =X[f] Y+f \nabla_{X} Y \tag{7.13d}
\end{align*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ and $X, Y, Z \in X(M)$.
Take a chart $(U, \varphi)$ with the coordinate $x=\varphi(p)$ on $M$, and define $m^{3}$ functions $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{v \mu}$ called the connection coefficients by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\nu} e_{\mu} \equiv \nabla_{e_{\nu}} e_{\mu}=e_{\lambda} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu} \tag{7.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ is the coordinate basis in $T_{p} M$. The connection coefficients specify how the basis vectors change from point to point. Once the action of $\nabla$ on the basis vectors is defined, we can calculate the action of $\nabla$ on any vectors. Let $V=V^{\mu} e_{\mu}$ and $W=W^{\nu} e_{\nu}$ be elements of $T_{p}(M)$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{V} W & =V^{\mu} \nabla_{e_{\mu}}\left(W^{\nu} e_{\nu}\right)=V^{\mu}\left(e_{\mu}\left[W^{\mu}\right] e_{\nu}+W^{\nu} \nabla_{e_{\mu}} e_{\nu}\right) \\
& =V^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial W^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+W^{\nu} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}\right) e_{\lambda} \tag{7.15}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that this definition of the connection coefficient is in agreement with the previous heuristic result (7.10). By definition, $\nabla$ maps two vectors $V$ and $W$ to a new vector given by the RHS of (7.15), whose $\lambda$ th component is $V^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} W^{\lambda}$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} W^{\lambda} \equiv \frac{\partial W^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} W^{\nu} \tag{7.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\nabla_{\mu} W^{\lambda}$ is the $\lambda$ th component of a vector $\nabla_{\mu} W=\nabla_{\mu} W^{\lambda} e_{\lambda}$ and should not be confused with the covariant derivative of a component $W^{\lambda} . \nabla_{V} W$ is independent of the derivative of $V$, unlike the Lie derivative $\mathcal{L}_{V} W=[V, W]$. In this sense, the covariant derivative is a proper generalization of the directional derivative of functions to tensors.

### 7.2.3 Parallel transport and geodesics

Given a curve in a manifold $M$, we may define the parallel transport of a vector along the curve. Let $c:(a, b) \rightarrow M$ be a curve in $M$. For simplicity, we assume the image is covered by a single chart $(U, \varphi)$ whose coordinate is $x=\varphi(p)$. Let $X$ be a vector field defined (at least) along $c(t)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.X\right|_{c(t)}=\left.X^{\mu}(c(t)) e_{\mu}\right|_{c(t)} \tag{7.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{\mu}=\partial / \partial x^{\mu}$. If $X$ satisfies the condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{V} X=0 \quad \text { for any } t \in(a, b) \tag{7.18a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$X$ is said to be parallel transported along $c(t)$ where $V=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t=$ $\left.\left(\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}(c(t)) / \mathrm{d} t\right) e_{\mu}\right|_{c(t)}$ is the tangent vector to $c(t)$. The condition (7.18a) is written in terms of the components as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} X^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\nu}(c(t))}{\mathrm{d} t} X^{\lambda}=0 \tag{7.18b}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the tangent vector $V(t)$ itself is parallel transported along $c(t)$, namely if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{V} V=0 \tag{7.19a}
\end{equation*}
$$

the curve $c(t)$ is called a geodesic. Geodesics are, in a sense, the straightest possible curves in a Riemannian manifold. In components, the geodesic equation (7.19a) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d} t}=0 \tag{7.19b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ are the coordinates of $c(t)$. We might say that (7.19a) is too strong to be the condition for the straightest possible curve, and instead require a weaker condition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{V} V=f V \tag{7.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. 'Change of $V$ is parallel to $V$ ' is also a feature of a straight line. However, under the reparametrization $t \rightarrow t^{\prime}$, the component of the tangent vector changes as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \rightarrow \frac{\mathrm{~d} t}{\mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}} \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}
$$

and (7.20) reduces to (7.19a) if $t^{\prime}$ satisfies

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} t^{\prime}}{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}=f \frac{\mathrm{~d} t^{\prime}}{\mathrm{d} t}
$$

Thus, it is always possible to reparametrize the curve so that the geodesic equation takes the form (7.19a).

Exercise 7.3. Show that (7.19b) is left invariant under the affine reparametrization $t \rightarrow a t+b(a, b \in \mathbb{R})$.

### 7.2.4 The covariant derivative of tensor fields

Since $\nabla_{X}$ has the meaning of a derivative, it is natural to define the covariant derivative of $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ by the ordinary directional derivative:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} f=X[f] \tag{7.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (7.13d) looks exactly like the Leibnitz rule,

$$
\nabla_{X}(f Y)=\left(\nabla_{X} f\right) Y+f \nabla_{X} Y
$$

We require that this be true for any product of tensors,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X}\left(T_{1} \otimes T_{2}\right)=\left(\nabla_{X} T_{1}\right) \otimes T_{2}+T_{1} \otimes\left(\nabla_{X} T_{2}\right) \tag{7.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{1}$ and $T_{2}$ are tensor fields of arbitrary types. Equation (7.22) is also true when some of the indices are contracted. With these requirements, we compute the covariant derivative of a one-form $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$. Since $\langle\omega, Y\rangle \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ for $Y \in X(M)$, we should have

$$
X[\langle\omega, Y\rangle]=\nabla_{X}[\langle\omega, Y\rangle]=\left\langle\nabla_{X} \omega, Y\right\rangle+\left\langle\omega, \nabla_{X} Y\right\rangle
$$

Writing down both sides in terms of the components we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{X} \omega\right)_{\nu}=X^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}-X^{\mu} \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu} \omega_{\lambda} . \tag{7.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for $X=e_{\mu}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\mu} \omega\right)_{\nu}=\partial_{\mu} \omega_{\nu}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} \omega_{\lambda} \tag{7.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\omega=\mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$, we obtain (cf (7.14))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=-\Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} . \tag{7.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to generalize these results as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\nu} t_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q}}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p}}=\partial_{\nu} t_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q}}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p}}+\Gamma^{\lambda_{1}}{ }_{\nu \kappa} t_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q}}^{\kappa \lambda_{2} \ldots \lambda_{p}}+\cdots \\
& +\Gamma^{\lambda_{p}}{ }_{\nu \kappa} t_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q}}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p-1} \kappa}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu_{1}} t_{\kappa \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{q}}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p}}-\cdots \\
& -\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu_{q}} t_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{q-1} \kappa}^{\lambda_{1} \ldots \lambda_{p}} . \tag{7.26}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 7.4. Let $g$ be a metric tensor. Verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\nu} g\right)_{\lambda \mu}=\partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \mu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda} g_{\kappa \mu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu} g_{\lambda \kappa} . \tag{7.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.2.5 The transformation properties of connection coefficients

Introduce another chart $(V, \psi)$ such that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$, whose coordinates are $y=\psi(p)$. Let $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial y^{\alpha}\right\}$ be bases of the respective coordinates. Denote the connection coefficients with respect to the $y$-coordinates by $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma}$. The basis vector $f_{\alpha}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{f_{\alpha}} f_{\beta}=\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta} f_{\gamma} \tag{7.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we write $f_{\alpha}=\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\alpha}\right) e_{\mu}$, the LHS becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{f_{\alpha}} f_{\beta} & =\nabla_{f_{\alpha}}\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\beta}} e_{\mu}\right)=\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} e_{\mu}+\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\beta}} \nabla_{e_{\lambda}} e_{\mu} \\
& =\left(\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}}+\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\beta}} \Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\lambda \mu}\right) e_{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the RHS of (7.28) is equal to $\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}\left(\partial x^{\nu} / \partial y^{\gamma}\right) e_{\nu}$, the connection coefficients must transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial x^{\lambda}}{\partial y^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\beta}} \frac{\partial y^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\lambda \mu}+\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\alpha} \partial y^{\beta}} \frac{\partial y^{\gamma}}{\partial x^{\nu}} . \tag{7.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that this transformation rule indeed makes $\nabla_{X} Y$ a vector, namely

$$
\widetilde{X}^{\alpha}\left(\widetilde{\partial}_{\alpha} \widetilde{Y}^{\gamma}+\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta} \widetilde{Y}^{\beta}\right) f_{\gamma}=X^{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\lambda} Y^{\nu}+\Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\lambda \mu} Y^{\nu}\right) e_{\nu} .
$$

In the literature, connection coefficients are often defined as objects which transform as (7.29). From our viewpoint, however, they must transform according to (7.29) to make $\nabla_{X} Y$ independent of the coordinate chosen.

Exercise 7.5. Let $\Gamma$ be an arbitrary connection coefficient. Show that $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}+t^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is another connection coefficient provided that $t^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is a tensor field. Conversely, suppose $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ and $\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ are connection coefficients. Show that $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}-\bar{\Gamma}^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is a component of a tensor of type $(1,2)$.

### 7.2.6 The metric connection

So far we have left $\Gamma$ arbitrary. Now that our manifold is endowed with a metric, we may put reasonable restrictions on the possible form of connections. We demand that the metric $g_{\mu \nu}$ be covariantly constant, that is, if two vectors $X$ and $Y$ are parallel transported along any curve, then the inner product between them remains constant under parallel transport. [In example 7.1, we have already assumed this reasonable condition.] Let $V$ be a tangent vector to an arbitrary curve along which the vectors are parallel transported. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\nabla_{V}[g(X, Y)]=V^{\kappa}\left[\left(\nabla_{\kappa} g\right)(X, Y)+g\left(\nabla_{\kappa} X, Y\right)+g\left(X, \nabla_{\kappa} Y\right)\right] \\
& =V^{\kappa} X^{\mu} Y^{v}\left(\nabla_{\kappa} g\right)_{\mu \nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have noted that $\nabla_{\kappa} X=\nabla_{\kappa} Y=0$. Since this is true for any curves and vectors, we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\kappa} g\right)_{\mu \nu}=0 \tag{7.30a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or, from exercise 7.4,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu} g_{\kappa \nu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \nu} g_{\kappa \mu}=0 \tag{7.30b}
\end{equation*}
$$

If (7.30a) is satisfied, the affine connection $\nabla$ is said to be metric compatible or simply a metric connection. We will deal with metric connections only. Cyclic permutations of $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ yield

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu} g_{\kappa \lambda}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \lambda} g_{\kappa \nu}=0  \tag{7.30c}\\
& \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \mu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda} g_{\kappa \mu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu} g_{\kappa \lambda}=0 . \tag{7.30d}
\end{align*}
$$

The combination $-(7.30 \mathrm{~b})+(7.30 \mathrm{c})+(7.30 \mathrm{~d})$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}+\partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \mu}+T_{\lambda \mu}^{\kappa} g_{\kappa \nu}+T_{\lambda \nu}^{\kappa} g_{\kappa \mu}-2 \Gamma^{\kappa}(\mu \nu) g_{\kappa \lambda}=0 \tag{7.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu} \equiv 2 \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{[\lambda \mu]} \equiv \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \lambda}$ and $\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{(\mu \nu)} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu}+\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}\right)$. The tensor $T^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu}$ is anti-symmetric with respect to the lower indices $T^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu}=-T^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \lambda}$ and called the torsion tensor, see exercise 7.6. The torsion tensor will be studied in detail in the next section. Equation (7.31) is solved for $\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{(\mu \nu)}$ to yield

$$
\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{(\mu \nu)}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa  \tag{7.32}\\
\mu \nu
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\nu}{ }^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu}+T_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu}\right)
$$

where $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\kappa \\ \mu \nu\end{array}\right\}$ are the Christoffel symbols defined by

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa  \tag{7.33}\\
\mu \nu
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\kappa \lambda}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}+\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \lambda}-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}\right)
$$

Finally, the connection coefficient $\Gamma$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu} & =\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{(\mu \nu)}+\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{[\mu \nu]} \\
& =\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa \\
\mu \nu
\end{array}\right\}+\frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\nu}{ }^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu}+T_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu}+T^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}\right) . \tag{7.34}
\end{align*}
$$

The second term of the last expression of (7.34) is called the contorsion, denoted by $K^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
K^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(T_{\mu \nu}^{\kappa}+T_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu}+T_{\nu}{ }^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu}\right) \tag{7.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the torsion tensor vanishes on a manifold $M$, the metric connection $\nabla$ is called the Levi-Civita connection. Levi-Civita connections are natural generalizations of the connection defined in the classical geometry of surfaces, see section 7.4.

Exercise 7.6. Show that $T^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ obeys the tensor transformation rule. [Hint: Use (7.29).] Show also that $K^{\kappa}{ }_{[\mu \nu]}=\frac{1}{2} T^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ and $K_{\kappa \mu \nu}=-K_{\nu \mu \kappa}$ where $K_{\kappa \mu \nu}=$ $g_{\kappa \lambda} K^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$.

### 7.3 Curvature and torsion

### 7.3.1 Definitions

Since $\Gamma$ is not a tensor, it cannot have an intrinsic geometrical meaning as a measure of how much a manifold is curved. For example, the connection coefficients in example 7.1 vanish if the Cartesian coordinate is employed while they do not in polar coordinates. As intrinsic objects, we define the torsion tensor
$T: X(M) \otimes X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ and the Riemann curvature tensor (or Riemann tensor) $R: X(M) \otimes X(M) \otimes X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
T(X, Y) & \equiv \nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y]  \tag{7.36}\\
R(X, Y, Z) & \equiv \nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \tag{7.37}
\end{align*}
$$

It is common to write $R(X, Y) Z$ instead of $R(X, Y, Z)$, so that $R$ looks like an operator acting on $Z$. Clearly, they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=-T(Y, X), \quad R(X, Y) Z=-R(Y, X) Z \tag{7.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first sight, $T$ and $R$ seem to be differential operators and it is not obvious that they are multilinear objects. We prove the tensorial property of $R$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(f X, g Y) h Z= & f \nabla_{X}\left\{g \nabla_{Y}(h Z)\right\}-g \nabla_{Y}\left\{f \nabla_{X}(h Z)\right\}-f X[g] \nabla_{Y}(h Z) \\
& +g Y[f] \nabla_{X}(h Z)-f g \nabla_{[X, Y]}(h Z) \\
= & f g \nabla_{X}\left\{Y[h] Z+h \nabla_{Y} Z\right\}-g f \nabla_{Y}\left\{X[h] Z+h \nabla_{X} Z\right\} \\
& -f g[X, Y][h] Z-f g h \nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\
= & f g h\left\{\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z\right\} \\
= & f g h R(X, Y) Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Now it is easy to see that $R$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
R(X, Y) Z=X^{\lambda} Y^{\mu} Z^{\nu} R\left(e_{\lambda}, e_{\mu}\right) e_{v} \tag{7.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies the tensorial property of $R$. Since $R$ maps three vector fields to a vector field, it is a tensor field of type $(1,3)$.
Exercise 7.7. Show that $T$ defined by (7.36) is multilinear,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T(X, Y)=X^{\mu} Y^{\nu} T\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right) \tag{7.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

and hence a tensor field of type (1, 2).
Since $T$ and $R$ are tensors, their operations on vectors are obtained once their actions on the basis vectors are known. With respect to the coordinate basis $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$ and the dual basis $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$, the components of these tensors are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} & =\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda}, T\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda}, \nabla_{\mu} e_{\nu}-\nabla_{\nu} e_{\mu}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda}, \Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\mu \nu} e_{\eta}-\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\nu \mu} e_{\eta}\right\rangle=\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu} \tag{7.41}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu} & =\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\kappa}, R\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right) e_{\lambda}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\kappa}, \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} e_{\lambda}-\nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} e_{\lambda}\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\kappa}, \nabla_{\mu}\left(\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\nu \lambda} e_{\eta}\right)-\nabla_{\nu}\left(\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\mu \nu} e_{\eta}\right)\right\rangle \\
& =\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\kappa},\left(\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\nu \lambda}\right) e_{\eta}+\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\nu \lambda} \Gamma^{\xi}{ }_{\mu \eta} e_{\xi}-\left(\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\mu \lambda}\right) e_{\eta}-\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \Gamma^{\xi}{ }_{\nu \eta} e_{\xi}\right\rangle \\
& =\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda}-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \lambda}+\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\nu \lambda} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \eta}-\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \eta} . \tag{7.42}
\end{align*}
$$

We readily find (cf (7.38))

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=-T_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda} \quad R_{\lambda \mu \nu}^{\kappa}=-R_{\lambda \nu \mu}^{\kappa} . \tag{7.43}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7.2. It is natural to define $V$ parallel transported along a great circle if the angle $V$ makes with the great circle is kept fixed. If $V$ at $p$ is parallel transported along great circles $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, the resulting vectors at $q$ point in opposite directions.


Figure 7.3. A vector $V_{0}$ at $p$ is parallel transported along $C$ and $C^{\prime}$ to yield $V_{C}(r)$ and $V_{C^{\prime}}(r)$ at $r$. The curvature measures the difference between two vectors.

### 7.3.2 Geometrical meaning of the Riemann tensor and the torsion tensor

Before we proceed further, we examine the geometrical meaning of these tensors. We consider the Riemann tensor first. A crucial observation is that if we parallel transport a vector $V$ at $p$ to $q$ along two different curves $C$ and $C^{\prime}$, the resulting vectors at $q$ are different in general (figure 7.2). If, however, we parallel transport a vector in a Euclidean space, where the parallel transport is defined in our usual sense, the resulting vector does not depend on the path along which it has been parallel transported. We expect that this non-integrability of parallel transport characterizes the intrinsic notion of curvature, which does not depend
on the special coordinates chosen. Let us take an infinitesimal parallelogram pqrs whose coordinates are $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\},\left\{x^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}\right\},\left\{x^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}+\delta^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{x^{\mu}+\delta^{\mu}\right\}$ respectively, $\varepsilon^{\mu}$ and $\delta^{\mu}$ being infinitesimal (figure 7.3). If we parallel transport a vector $V_{0} \in T_{p} M$ along $C=p q r$, we will have a vector $V_{C}(r) \in T_{r} M$. The vector $V_{0}$ parallel transported to $q$ along $C$ is

$$
V_{C}^{\mu}(q)=V_{0}^{\mu}-V_{0}^{\kappa} \Gamma_{\nu \kappa}^{\mu}(p) \varepsilon^{\nu}
$$

Then $V_{C}^{\mu}(r)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{C}^{\mu}(r)= & V_{C}^{\mu}(q)-V_{C}^{\kappa}(q) \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(q) \delta^{\nu} \\
= & V_{0}^{\mu}-V_{0}^{\kappa} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa} \varepsilon^{\nu}-\left[V_{0}^{\kappa}-V_{0}^{\rho} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\zeta \rho}(p) \varepsilon^{\zeta}\right] \\
& \times\left[\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p)+\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \varepsilon^{\lambda}\right] \delta^{\nu} \\
\simeq & V_{0}^{\mu}-V_{0}^{\kappa} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \varepsilon^{\nu}-V_{0}^{\kappa} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \delta^{\nu} \\
& -V_{0}^{\kappa}\left[\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p)-\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\lambda \kappa}(p) \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \rho}(p)\right] \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have kept terms of up to order two in $\varepsilon$ and $\delta$. Similarly, parallel transport of $V_{0}$ along $C^{\prime}=p s r$ yields another vector $V_{C^{\prime}}(r) \in T_{r} M$, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
V_{C^{\prime}}^{\mu}(r) \simeq & V_{0}^{\mu}-V_{0}^{\kappa} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \delta^{\nu}-V_{0}^{\kappa} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \varepsilon^{\nu} \\
& -V_{0}^{\kappa}\left[\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda \kappa}(p)-\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda \rho}(p)\right] \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The two vectors at $r$ differ by

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{C^{\prime}}(r)-V_{C}(r)= & V_{0}^{\kappa}\left[\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p)-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda \kappa}(p)\right. \\
& \left.-\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\lambda \kappa}(p) \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \rho}(p)+\Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\nu \kappa}(p) \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda \rho}(p)\right] \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu} \\
= & V_{0}^{\kappa} R^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa \lambda \nu \nu} \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu} . \tag{7.44}
\end{align*}
$$

We next look at the geometrical meaning of the torsion tensor. Let $p \in M$ be a point whose coordinates are $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$. Let $X=\varepsilon^{\mu} e_{\mu}$ and $Y=\delta^{\mu} e_{\mu}$ be infinitesimal vectors in $T_{p} M$. If these vectors are regarded as small displacements, they define two points $q$ and $s$ near $p$, whose coordinates are $\left\{x^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{x^{\mu}+\delta^{\mu}\right\}$ respectively (figure 7.4). If we parallel transport $X$ along the line $p s$, we obtain a vector $s r_{1}$ whose component is $\varepsilon^{\mu}-\varepsilon^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \lambda} \delta^{\nu}$. The displacement vector connecting $p$ and $r_{1}$ is

$$
p r_{1}=p s+s r_{1}=\delta^{\mu}+\varepsilon^{\mu}-\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu}
$$

Similarly, the parallel transport of $\delta^{\mu}$ along $p q$ yields a vector

$$
p r_{2}=p q+q r_{2}=\varepsilon^{\mu}+\delta^{\mu}-\Gamma_{\lambda \nu}^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu}
$$

In general, $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ do not agree and the difference is

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2} r_{1}=p r_{2}-p r_{1}=\left(\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}-\Gamma_{\lambda \nu}^{\mu}\right) \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu}=T_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\lambda} \delta^{\nu} . \tag{7.45}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7.4. The vector $q r_{2}\left(s r_{1}\right)$ is the vector $p s(p q)$ parallel transported to $q(s)$. In general, $r_{1} \neq r_{2}$ and the torsion measures the difference $r_{2} r_{1}$.

Thus, the torsion tensor measures the failure of the closure of the parallelogram made up of the small displacement vectors and their parallel transports.

Example 7.2. Suppose we are navigating on the surface of the Earth. We define a vector to be parallel transported if the angle between the vector and the latitude is kept fixed during the navigation. [Remarks: This definition of parallel transport is not the usual one. For example, the geodesic is not a great circle but a straight line on Mercator's projection. See example 7.5.] Suppose we navigate along a small quadrilateral pqrs made up of latitudes and longitudes (figure 7.5(a)). We parallel transport a vector at $p$ along $p q r$ and $p s r$, separately. According to our definition of parallel transport, two vectors at $r$ should agree, hence the curvature tensor vanishes. To find the torsion, we parametrize the points $p, q, r$ and $s$ as in figure $7.5(b)$. We find the torsion by evaluating the difference between $p r_{1}$ and $p r_{2}$ as in (7.45). If we parallel transport the vector $p q$ along $p s$, we obtain a vector $s r_{1}$, whose length is $R \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi$. However, a parallel transport of the vector $p s$ along $p q$ yields a vector $q r_{2}=q r$. Since $s r$ has a length $R \sin (\theta-\mathrm{d} \theta) \mathrm{d} \phi \simeq R \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi-R \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi$, we find that $r_{1} r_{2}$ has a length $R \cos \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi$. Since $r_{1} r_{2}$ is parallel to $-\partial / \partial \phi$, the connection has a torsion $T^{\phi}{ }_{\theta \phi}$, see (7.45). From $g_{\phi \phi}=R^{2} \sin ^{2} \theta$, we find that $r_{1} r_{2}$ has components $(0,-\cot \theta \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{d} \phi)$. Since the $\phi$-component of $r_{1} r_{2}$ is equal to $T^{\phi}{ }_{\theta \phi} \mathrm{d} \theta \mathrm{d} \phi$, we obtain $T^{\phi}{ }_{\theta \phi}=-\cot \theta$.

Note that the basis $\{\partial / \partial \theta, \partial / \partial \phi\}$ is not well defined at the poles. It is known that the sphere $S^{2}$ does not admit two vector fields which are linearly independent everywhere on $S^{2}$. Any vector field on $S^{2}$ must vanish somewhere on $S^{2}$ and


Figure 7.5. (a) If a vector makes an angle $\alpha$ with the longitude at $p$, this angle is kept fixed during parallel transport. (b) The vector $s r_{1}\left(q r_{2}\right)$ is the vector $p q(p s)$ parallel transported to $s(q)$. The torsion does not vanish.
hence cannot be linearly independent of the other vector field there. If an $m$ dimensional manifold $M$ admits $m$ vector fields which are linearly independent everywhere, $M$ is said to be parallelizable. On a parallelizable manifold, we can use these $m$ vector fields to define a tangent space at each point of $M$. A vector $V_{p} \in T_{p} M$ is defined to be parallel to $V_{q} \in T_{q} M$ if all the components of $V_{p}$ at $T_{p} M$ are equal to those of $V_{q}$ at $T_{q} M$. Since the vector fields are defined throughout $M$, this parallelism should be independent of the path connecting $p$ and $q$, hence the Riemann curvature tensor vanishes although the torsion tensor may not in general. For $S^{m}$, this is possible only when $m=1,3$ and 7 , which is closely related to the existence of complex numbers, quaternions and octonions, respectively. For definiteness, let us consider

$$
S^{3}=\left\{\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right) \mid \sum_{i=1}^{4}\left(x^{i}\right)^{2}=1\right\}
$$

embedded in $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, \delta\right)$. Three orthonormal vectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& \boldsymbol{e}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(-x^{2}, x^{1},-x^{4}, x^{3}\right) \\
& \boldsymbol{e}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(-x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{1},-x^{2}\right)  \tag{7.46}\\
& \boldsymbol{e}_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(-x^{4},-x^{3}, x^{2}, x^{1}\right)
\end{align*}
$$

are orthogonal to $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$ and linearly independent everywhere on $S^{3}$, hence define the tangent space $T_{x} S^{3}$. Two vectors $\boldsymbol{V}_{1}(x)$ and $\boldsymbol{V}_{2}(y)$
are parallel if $\boldsymbol{V}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\sum c^{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}(\boldsymbol{x})$ and $\boldsymbol{V}_{2}(\boldsymbol{y})=\sum c^{i} \boldsymbol{e}_{i}(\boldsymbol{y})$. The connection coefficients are computed from (7.14). Let $\varepsilon \boldsymbol{e}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})$ be a small displacement under which $x=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$ changes to $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}=\boldsymbol{x}+\varepsilon \boldsymbol{e}_{1}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left\{x^{1}-\right.$ $\left.\varepsilon x^{2}, x^{2}+\varepsilon x^{1}, x^{3}-\varepsilon x^{4}, x^{4}+\varepsilon x^{3}\right\}$. The difference between the basis vectors at $\boldsymbol{x}$ and $\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}$ is $\boldsymbol{e}_{2}\left(\boldsymbol{x}^{\prime}\right)-\boldsymbol{e}_{2}(\boldsymbol{x})=\left(-x^{3}-\varepsilon x^{4}, x^{4}+\varepsilon x^{3}, x^{1}-\varepsilon x^{2},-x^{2}-\varepsilon x^{1}\right)-$ $\left(-x^{3}, x^{4}, x^{1},-x^{2}\right)=-\varepsilon \boldsymbol{e}_{3}(\boldsymbol{x})=\varepsilon \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{12} \boldsymbol{e}_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{x})$, hence $\Gamma^{3}{ }_{12}=-1, \Gamma^{1}{ }_{12}=$ $\Gamma_{12}^{2}=0$. Similarly, $\Gamma^{3}{ }_{21}=1$ hence we find $T^{3}{ }_{12}=-2$. The reader should complete the computation of the connection coefficients and verify that $T^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}=-2(+2)$ if $(\lambda \mu \nu)$ is an even (odd) permutation of (123) and vanishes otherwise.

Let us see how this parallelizability of $S^{3}$ is related to the existence of quaternions. The multiplication rule of quaternions is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right) \cdot\left(y^{1}, y^{2}, y^{3}, y^{4}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(x^{1} y^{1}-x^{2} y^{2}-x^{3} y^{3}-x^{4} y^{4}, x^{1} y^{2}+x^{2} y^{1}+x^{3} y^{4}-x^{4} y^{3}\right. \\
& \left.\quad x^{1} y^{3}-x^{2} y^{4}+x^{3} y^{1}+x^{4} y^{2}, x^{1} y^{4}+x^{2} y^{3}-x^{3} y^{2}+x^{4} y^{1}\right) \tag{7.47}
\end{align*}
$$

$S^{3}$ may be defined by the set of unit quaternions

$$
S^{3}=\left\{\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right) \mid \boldsymbol{x} \cdot \overline{\boldsymbol{x}}=1\right\}
$$

where the conjugate of $x$ is defined by $\overline{\boldsymbol{x}}=\left(x^{1},-x^{2},-x^{3},-x^{4}\right)$. According to (7.46), the tangent space at $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}=(1,0,0,0)$ is spanned by

$$
\boldsymbol{e}_{1}=(0,1,0,0) \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{2}=(0,0,1,0) \quad \boldsymbol{e}_{3}=(0,0,0,1)
$$

Then the basis vectors (7.46) of the tangent space at $\boldsymbol{x}=\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}, x^{4}\right)$ are expressed as the quaternion products

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{1}(x)=e_{1} \cdot x \quad e_{2}(x)=e_{2} \cdot x \quad e_{3}(x)=e_{3} \cdot x \tag{7.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Because of this algebra, it is always possible to give a set of basis vectors at an arbitrary point of $S^{3}$ once it is given at some point, $\boldsymbol{x}_{0}=(1,0,0,0)$, for example.

By the same token, a Lie group is parallelizable. If the set of basis vectors $\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{m}\right\}$ at the unit element $e$ of a Lie group $G$ is given, we can always find a set of basis vectors of $T_{g} G$ by the left translation of $\left\{V_{\mu}\right\}$ (see section 5.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{V_{1}, \ldots, V_{n}\right\} \xrightarrow{L_{g *}}\left\{\left.X_{1}\right|_{g}, \ldots,\left.X_{n}\right|_{g}\right\} \tag{7.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.3.3 The Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature

From the Riemann curvature tensor, we construct new tensors by contracting the indices. The Ricci tensor Ric is a type $(0,2)$ tensor defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}(X, Y) \equiv\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}, R\left(e_{\mu}, Y\right) X\right\rangle \tag{7.50a}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose component is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ric}_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right)=R_{\mu \lambda \nu}^{\lambda} \tag{7.50b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The scalar curvature $\mathcal{R}$ is obtained by further contracting indices,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R} \equiv g^{\mu \nu} \operatorname{Ric}\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right)=g^{\mu \nu} R i c_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.4 Levi-Civita connections

### 7.4.1 The fundamental theorem

Among affine connections, there is a special connection called the Levi-Civita connection, which is a natural generalization of the connection in the classical differential geometry of surfaces. A connection $\nabla$ is called a symmetric connection if the torsion tensor vanishes. In the coordinate basis, connection coefficients of a symmetric connection satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda} \tag{7.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7.1. (The fundamental theorem of (pseudo-)Riemannian geometry) On a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold ( $M, g$ ), there exists a unique symmetric connection which is compatible with the metric $g$. This connection is called the Levi-Civita connection.

Proof. This follows directly from (7.34). Let $\nabla$ be an arbitrary connection such that

$$
\widetilde{\Gamma}^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa \\
\mu \nu
\end{array}\right\}+K_{\mu \nu}^{\kappa}
$$

where $\left\{\begin{array}{c}\kappa \\ \mu \nu\end{array}\right\}$ is the Christoffel symbol and $K$ the contorsion tensor. It was shown in exercise 7.5 that $\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu} \equiv \widetilde{\Gamma}^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}+t^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ is another connection coefficient if $t$ is a tensor field of type (1,2). Now we choose $t^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}=-K^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ so that

$$
\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa  \tag{7.53}\\
\mu \nu
\end{array}\right\}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\kappa \lambda}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \nu}+\partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \mu}-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}\right)
$$

By construction, this is symmetric and certainly unique given a metric.
Exercise 7.8. Let $V$ be a Levi-Civita connection.
(a) Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} f=\nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} f \tag{7.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \omega=\left(\nabla_{\mu} \omega\right)_{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \tag{7.55}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7.6. On a surface $M$, a vector $V_{p} \in T_{p} M$ is defined to be parallel to $V_{q} \in T_{q} M$ if the projection of $V_{q}$ onto $T_{p} M$ is parallel to $V_{p}$ in our ordinary sense of parallelism in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.
(c) Let $\omega \in \Omega^{1}(M)$ and let $U \in X(M)$ be the corresponding vector field: $U^{\mu}=g^{\mu \nu} \omega_{\nu}$. Show that, for any $V \in \mathcal{X}(M)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\nabla_{X} U, V\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{X} \omega, V\right\rangle \tag{7.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 7.3.
(a) The metric on $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ in polar coordinates is $g=\mathrm{d} r \otimes \mathrm{~d} r+r^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi$. The non-vanishing components of the Levi-Civita connection coefficients are $\Gamma^{\phi}{ }_{r \phi}=\Gamma^{\phi}{ }_{\phi r}=r^{-1}$ and $\Gamma^{r}{ }_{\phi \phi}=-r$. This is in agreement with the result obtained in example 7.1.
(b) The induced metric on $S^{2}$ is $g=\mathrm{d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi$. The nonvanishing components of the Levi-Civita connection are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\phi \phi}^{\theta}=-\cos \theta \sin \theta \quad \Gamma_{\theta \phi}^{\phi}=\Gamma_{\phi \theta}^{\phi}=\cot \theta \tag{7.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.4.2 The Levi-Civita connection in the classical geometry of surfaces

In the classical differential geometry of surfaces embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, Levi-Civita defined the parallelism of vectors at the nearby points $p$ and $q$ in the following sense (figure 7.6). First, take the tangent plane at $p$ and a vector $V_{p}$ at $p$, which lies in the tangent plane. A vector $V_{q}$ at $q$ is defined to be parallel to $V_{p}$ if the projection of $V_{q}$ to the tangent plane at $p$ is parallel to $V_{p}$ in our usual sense. Now take two points $q$ and $s$ near $p$ as in figure 7.7 and parallel transport the displacement vectors $p q$ along $p s$ and $p s$ along $p q$. If the parallelism is defined in the sense of Levi-Civita, the displacement vectors projected to the tangent plane at $p$ form a closed parallelogram, hence this parallelism has vanishing torsion. As has been proved in theorem 7.1, there exists a unique connection which has vanishing torsion, which generalizes the parallelism defined here to arbitrary manifolds.


Figure 7.7. If the parallelism is defined in the sense of Levi-Civita, the torsion vanishes identically.

### 7.4.3 Geodesics

When the Levi-Civita connection is employed, we can compute the connection coefficients, Riemann tensors and many relations involving these by simple routines. Besides this simplicity, the Levi-Civita connection provides a geodesic (defined as the straightest possible curve) with another picture, namely the shortest possible curve connecting two given points. In Newtonian mechanics, the trajectory of a free particle is the straightest possible as well as the shortest possible curve, that is, a straight line. Einstein proposed that this property should be satisfied in general relativity as well; if gravity is understood as a part of the geometry of spacetime, a freely falling particle should follow the straightest as well as the shortest possible curve. [Remark: To be precise, the shortest possible curve is too strong a condition. As we see later, a geodesic defined with respect to the Levi-Civita connection gives the local extremum of the length of a curve connecting two points.]
Example 7.4. In a flat manifold $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \delta\right)$ or $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \eta\right)$, the Levi-Civita connection coefficients $\Gamma$ vanish identically. Hence, the geodesic equation (7.19b) is easily solved to yield $x^{\mu}=A^{\mu} t+B^{\mu}$, where $A^{\mu}$ and $B^{\mu}$ are constants.
Exercise 7.9. A metric on a cylinder $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is given by $g=\mathrm{d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{d} \phi+\mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} z$, where $\phi$ is the polar angle of $S^{1}$ and $z$ the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$. Show that the geodesics given by the Levi-Civita connection are helices.

The equivalence of the straightest possible curve and the local extremum of the distance is proved as follows. First we parametrize the curve by the distance $s$ along the curve, $x^{\mu}=x^{\mu}(s)$. The length of a path $c$ connecting two points $p$ and $q$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(c)=\int_{c} \mathrm{~d} s=\int_{c} \sqrt{g_{\mu \nu} x^{\prime \mu} x^{\prime \nu}} \mathrm{d} s \tag{7.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x^{\prime \mu}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} / \mathrm{d} s$. Instead of deriving the Euler-Lagrange equation from (7.58), we will solve a slightly easier problem. Let $F \equiv \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} x^{\prime \mu} x^{\prime \nu}$ and write
(7.58) as $I(c)=\int_{c} L(F) \mathrm{d} s$. The Euler-Lagrange equation for the original problem takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\lambda}}=0 . \tag{7.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $F=L^{2} / 2$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}}\right)-\frac{\partial F}{\partial x^{\lambda}}=L\left[\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}}\right)-\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\lambda}}\right]+\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}} \frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}} \frac{\mathrm{d} L}{\mathrm{~d} s} \tag{7.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last expression vanishes since $L \equiv 1$ along the curve; $\mathrm{d} L / \mathrm{d} s=0$. Now we have proved that $F$ also satisfies the Euler-Lagrange equation provided that $L$ does so. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\left(g_{\lambda \mu} x^{\prime \mu}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} x^{\prime \mu} x^{\prime \nu} \\
& \quad=\frac{\partial g_{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} x^{\prime \mu} x^{\prime \nu}+g_{\lambda \mu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} s^{2}}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}} x^{\prime \mu} x^{\prime \nu} \\
& \quad=g_{\lambda \mu} \frac{d^{2} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} s^{2}}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial g_{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}+\frac{\partial g_{\lambda \nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}}-\frac{\partial g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda}}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} s}=0 . \tag{7.61}
\end{align*}
$$

If (7.61) is multiplied by $g^{\kappa \lambda}$, we reproduce the geodesic equation (7.19b).
Having proved that $L$ and $F$ satisfy the same variational problem, we take advantage of this to compute the Christoffel symbols. Take $S^{2}$, for example. $F$ is given by $\frac{1}{2}\left(\theta^{\prime 2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \phi^{\prime 2}\right)$ and the Euler-Lagrange equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} s^{2}}-\sin \theta \cos \theta\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right)^{2}=0  \tag{7.62a}\\
& \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s^{2}}+2 \cot \theta \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} s}=0 \tag{7.62b}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to read off the connection coefficients $\Gamma^{\theta}{ }_{\phi \phi}=-\sin \theta \cos \theta$ and $\Gamma^{\phi}{ }_{\phi \theta}=\Gamma_{\theta \phi}=\cot \theta$, see (7.57).

Example 7.5. Let us compute the geodesics of $S^{2}$. Rather than solving the geodesic equations (7.62) we find the geodesic by minimizing the length of a curve connecting two points on $S^{2}$. Without loss of generality, we may assign coordinates $\left(\theta_{1}, \phi_{0}\right)$ and $\left(\theta_{2}, \phi_{0}\right)$ to these points. Let $\phi=\phi(\theta)$ be a curve connecting these points. Then the length of the curve is

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(c)=\int_{\theta_{1}}^{\theta_{2}} \sqrt{1+\sin ^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \theta \tag{7.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is minimized when $\mathrm{d} \phi / \mathrm{d} \theta \equiv 0$, that is $\phi \equiv \phi_{0}$. Thus, the geodesic is a great circle $\left(\theta, \phi_{0}\right), \theta_{1} \leq \theta \leq \theta_{2}$. [Remark: Solving (7.62) is not very difficult. Let $\theta=\theta(\phi)$ be the equation of the geodesic. Then

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} s}=\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s} \quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} s^{2}}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s^{2}}
$$

Substituting these into the first equation of (7.62), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right)^{2}+\frac{\mathrm{d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s^{2}}-\sin \theta \cos \theta\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \phi}{\mathrm{~d} s}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{7.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

The second equation of (7.62) and (7.64) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}}-2 \cot \theta\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}\right)^{2}-\sin \theta \cos \theta=0 \tag{7.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define $f(\theta) \equiv \cot \theta$, (7.65) becomes

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} f}{\mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}}+f=0
$$

whose general solution is $f(\theta)=\cot \theta=A \cos \phi+B \sin \phi$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \sin \theta \cos \phi+B \sin \theta \sin \phi-\cos \theta=0 \tag{7.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7.66) is the equation of a great circle which lies in a plane whose normal vector is $(A, B,-1)$.]

Example 7.6. Let $U$ be the upper half-plane $U \equiv\{(x, y) \mid y>0\}$ and introduce the Poincaré metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{\mathrm{d} x \otimes \mathrm{~d} x+\mathrm{d} y \otimes \mathrm{~d} y}{y^{2}} \tag{7.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The geodesic equations are

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime \prime}-\frac{2}{y} x^{\prime} y^{\prime} & =0  \tag{7.68a}\\
y^{\prime \prime}-\frac{1}{y}\left[x^{\prime 2}+3 y^{\prime 2}\right] & =0 \tag{7.68b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $x^{\prime} \equiv \mathrm{d} x / \mathrm{d} s$ etc. The first equation of (7.68) is easily integrated, if divided by $x^{\prime}$, to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{x^{\prime}}{y^{2}}=\frac{1}{R} \tag{7.69}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 7.8. Geodesics defined by the Poincaré metric in the upper half-plane. The geodesic has an infinite length.
where $R$ is a constant. Since the parameter $s$ is taken so that the vector $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ has unit length, it satisfies $\left(x^{\prime 2}+y^{\prime 2}\right) / y^{2}=1$. From (7.69), this becomes $y^{2} / R^{2}+\left(y^{\prime} / y\right)^{2}=1$ or

$$
\mathrm{d} s=\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{y \sqrt{1-y^{2} / R^{2}}}=\frac{\mathrm{d} t}{\sin t}
$$

where we put $y=R \sin t$. Equation (7.69) then becomes

$$
x^{\prime}=\frac{y^{2}}{R}=R \sin ^{2} t .
$$

Now $x$ is solved for $t$ to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\int x^{\prime} \mathrm{d} s=\int \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} s} \frac{\mathrm{~d} s}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =\int R \sin t \mathrm{~d} t=-R \cos t+x_{0}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we obtain the solution

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=-R \cos t+x_{0} \quad y=R \sin t \quad(y>0) \tag{7.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a circle with radius $R$ centred at ( $x_{0}, 0$ ). Maximally extended geodesics are given by $0<t<\pi$ (figure 7.8) whose length is infinite,

$$
\begin{aligned}
I & =\int \mathrm{d} s=\int_{0+\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{\mathrm{d} s}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathrm{~d} t=\int_{0+\varepsilon}^{\pi-\varepsilon} \frac{1}{\sin t} \mathrm{~d} t \\
& =-\left.\frac{1}{2} \log \frac{1+\cos t}{1-\cos t}\right|_{0+\varepsilon} ^{\pi-\varepsilon} \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \rightarrow 0]{ } \infty
\end{aligned}
$$

### 7.4.4 The normal coordinate system

The subject here is not restricted to Levi-Civita connections but it does take an especially simple form when the Levi-Civita connection is employed. Let $c(t)$ be
a geodesic in $(M, g)$ defined with respect to a connection $\nabla$, which satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(0)=p,\left.\quad \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right|_{p}=X=X^{\mu} e_{\mu} \in T_{p} M \tag{7.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$ is the coordinate basis at $p$. Any geodesic emanating from $p$ is specified by giving $X \in T_{p} M$. Take a point $q$ near $p$. There are many geodesics which connect $p$ and $q$. However, there exists a unique geodesic $c_{q}$ such that $c_{q}(1)=q$. Let $X_{q} \in T_{p} M$ be the tangent vector of this geodesic at $p$. As long as $q$ is not far from $p, q$ uniquely specifies $X_{q}=X_{q}^{\mu} e_{\mu} \in T_{p} M$ and $\varphi: q \rightarrow X_{q}^{\mu}$ serves as a good coordinate system in the neighbourhood of $p$. This coordinate system is called the normal coordinate system based on $p$ with basis $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$. Obviously $\varphi(p)=0$. We define a map EXP : $T_{p} M \rightarrow M$ by EXP : $X_{q} \mapsto q$. By definition, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\operatorname{EXP} X_{q}^{\mu} e_{\mu}\right)=X_{q}^{\mu} \tag{7.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

With respect to this coordinate system, a geodesic $c(t)$ with $c(0)=p$ and $c(1)=q$ has the coordinate presentation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi(c(t))=X^{\mu}=X_{q}^{\mu} t \tag{7.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{q}^{\mu}$ are the normal coordinates of $q$.
We now show that Levi-Civita connection coefficients vanish in the normal coordinate system. We write down the geodesic equation in the normal coordinate system,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} X^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t^{2}}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}\left(X_{q}^{\kappa} t\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} X^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} X^{\lambda}}{\mathrm{d} t}=\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}\left(X_{q}^{\kappa} t\right) X_{q}^{\nu} X_{q}^{\lambda} \tag{7.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \lambda}(p) X_{q}^{\nu} X_{q}^{\lambda}=0$ for any $X_{q}^{v}$ at $p$ for which $t=0$, we find $\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \lambda}(p)+$ $\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda \nu}(p)=0$. Since our connection is symmetric we must have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}(p)=0 . \tag{7.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

As a consequence, the covariant derivative of any tensor $t$ in this coordinate system takes the extremely simple form at $p$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} t_{\cdots}^{\cdots}=X\left[t_{\cdots}^{\cdots}\right] . \tag{7.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7.75) does not imply that $\Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \lambda}$ vanishes at $q(\neq p)$. In fact, we find from (7.42) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}(p)=\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda}(p)-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \lambda}(p) \tag{7.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda}(p) \neq 0$ if $R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}(p) \neq 0$.

### 7.4.5 Riemann curvature tensor with Levi-Civita connection

Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection. The components of the Riemann curvature tensor are given by (7.42) with

$$
\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\kappa \\
\mu \nu
\end{array}\right\}
$$

while the torsion tensor vanishes by definition. Many formulae are simplified if the Levi-Civita connections are employed.

Exercise 7.10.
(a) Let $g=\mathrm{d} r \otimes \mathrm{~d} r+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)$ be the metric of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{3}, \delta\right)$, where $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi<2 \pi$. Show, by direct calculation, that all the components of the Riemann curvature tensor with respect to the Levi-Civita connection vanish.
(b) The spatially homogeneous and isotropic universe is described by the Robertson-Walker metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=-\mathrm{d} t \otimes \mathrm{~d} t+a^{2}(t)\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} r \otimes \mathrm{~d} r}{1-k r^{2}}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)\right) \tag{7.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $k$ is a constant, which may be chosen to be $-1,0$ or +1 by a suitable rescaling of $r$ and $0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi<2 \pi$. If $k=+1, r$ is restricted to $0 \leq r<1$. Compute the Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature.
(c) The Schwarzschild metric takes the from

$$
\begin{align*}
g= & -\left(1-\frac{2 M}{r}\right) \mathrm{d} t \otimes \mathrm{~d} t \\
& +\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 M}{r}} \mathrm{~d} r \otimes \mathrm{~d} r+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi\right) \tag{7.79}
\end{align*}
$$

where $0<2 M<r, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi<2 \pi$. Compute the Riemann tensor, the Ricci tensor and the scalar curvature. [Remark: The metric (7.79) describes a spacetime of a spherically symmetric object with mass $M$.]

Exercise 7.11. Let $R$ be the Riemann tensor defined with respect to the LeviCivita connection. Show that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial^{2} g_{\kappa \mu}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\nu}}-\frac{\partial^{2} g_{\lambda \mu}}{\partial x^{\kappa} \partial x^{\nu}}-\frac{\partial^{2} g_{\kappa \nu}}{\partial x^{\lambda} \partial x^{\mu}}+\frac{\partial^{2} g_{\mu \nu}}{\partial x^{\kappa} \partial x^{\mu}}\right) \\
& +g_{\zeta \eta}\left(\Gamma^{\zeta}{ }_{\kappa \mu} \Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\lambda \nu}-\Gamma^{\zeta}{ }_{\kappa \nu} \Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\lambda \mu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \equiv g_{\kappa \zeta} R^{\zeta}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}$. Verify the following symmetries,

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=-R_{\kappa \lambda \nu \mu} \quad(\operatorname{cf}(7.43))  \tag{7.80a}\\
& R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=-R_{\lambda \kappa \mu \nu}  \tag{7.80b}\\
& R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=R_{\mu \nu \kappa \lambda}  \tag{7.80c}\\
& R i c_{\mu \nu}=\text { Ric }_{\nu \mu} \tag{7.80d}
\end{align*}
$$

Theorem 7.2. (Bianchi identities) Let $R$ be the Riemann tensor defined with respect to the Levi-Civita connection. Then $R$ satisfies the following identities:

$$
\begin{align*}
& R(X, Y) Z+R(Z, X) Y+R(Y, Z) X=0 \\
& \quad \text { (the first Bianchi identity) }  \tag{7.81a}\\
& \left(\nabla_{X} R\right)(Y, Z) V+\left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)(X, Y) V+\left(\nabla_{Y} R\right)(Z, X) V=0 \\
& \quad \text { (the second Bianchi identity). } \tag{7.81b}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. Our proof follows Nomizu (1981). Define the symmetrizor $\mathfrak{S}$ by $\mathfrak{S}\{f(X, Y, Z)\}=f(X, Y, Z)+f(Z, X, Y)+f(Y, Z, X)$. Let us prove the first Bianchi identity $\mathfrak{S}\{R(X, Y) Z\}=0$. Covariant differentiation of the identity $T(X, Y)=\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y]=0$ with respect to $Z$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\nabla_{Z}\left\{\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y]\right\} \\
& =\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{Y} X-\left\{\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z+[Z,[X, Y]]\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the torsion-free condition has been used again to derive the second equality. Symmetrizing this, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\mathfrak{S}\left\{\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{Y} X-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z-[Z,[X, Y]]\right\} \\
& =\mathfrak{S}\left\{\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Z} \nabla_{Y} X-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z\right\}=\mathfrak{S}\{R(X, Y) Z\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the Jacobi identity $\mathfrak{S}\{[X,[Y, Z]]\}=0$ has been used.
The second Bianchi identity becomes $\mathfrak{S}\left\{\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)(Y, Z)\right\} V=0$ where $\mathfrak{S}$ symmetrizes $(X, Y, Z)$ only. If the identity $R(T(X, Y), Z) V=R\left(\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-\right.$ $[X, Y], Z) V=0$ is symmetrized, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & =\mathfrak{S}\left\{R\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-R\left(\nabla_{Y} X, Z\right)-R([X, Y], Z)\right\} V \\
& =\mathfrak{S}\left\{R\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-R\left(X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right)-R([X, Y], Z)\right\} V \tag{7.82}
\end{align*}
$$

If we note the Leibnitz rule,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{Z}\{R(X, Y) V\}= & \left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)(X, Y) V \\
& +R(X, Y) \nabla_{Z} V+R\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right) V+R\left(X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right) V
\end{aligned}
$$

(7.82) becomes

$$
0=\mathfrak{S}\left\{-\left(\nabla_{Z} R\right)(X, Y)+\left[\nabla_{Z}, R(X, Y)\right]-R([X, Y], Z)\right\} V
$$

The last two terms vanish if $R(X, Y) V=\left\{\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]-\nabla_{[X, Y]}\right\} V$ is substituted into them,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathfrak{S}\left\{\left[\nabla_{Z}\right.\right., R(X, Y)]-R([X, Y], Z)\} V \\
& \quad= \mathfrak{S}\left\{\left[\nabla_{Z},\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]\right]-\left[\nabla_{Z}, \nabla_{[X, Y]}\right]-\left[\nabla_{[X, Y]}, \nabla_{Z}\right]+\nabla_{[[X, Y], Z]}\right\} V \\
& \quad=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the Jacobi identities $\mathfrak{S}\left\{\left[\nabla_{Z},\left[\nabla_{X}, \nabla_{Y}\right]\right]\right\}=\mathfrak{S}\{[[X, Y], Z]\}=0$ have been used. We finally obtain $\mathfrak{S}\left\{\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)(Y, Z)\right\} V=0$.

In components, the Bianchi identities are

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}+R_{\mu \nu \lambda}^{\kappa}+R_{\nu \lambda \mu}^{\kappa}=0 \\
& \quad \text { (the first Bianchi identity) }  \tag{7.83a}\\
& \left(\nabla_{\kappa} R\right)^{\xi}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}+\left(\nabla_{\mu} R\right)^{\xi}{ }_{\lambda \nu \kappa}+\left(\nabla_{\nu} R\right)^{\xi}{ }_{\lambda \kappa \mu}=0 \\
& \quad \text { (the second Bianchi identity). } \tag{7.83b}
\end{align*}
$$

By contracting the indices $\xi$ and $\mu$ of the second Bianchi identity, we obtain an important relation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\kappa} R i c\right)_{\lambda \nu}+\left(\nabla_{\mu} R\right)^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda v \kappa}-\left(\nabla_{\nu} R i c\right)_{\lambda \kappa}=0 \tag{7.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the indices $\lambda$ and $v$ are further contracted, we have $\nabla_{\mu}(\mathcal{R} \delta-2 \text { Ric })^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa}=0$ or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} G^{\mu \nu}=0 \tag{7.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{\mu \nu}$ is the Einstein tensor defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\mu \nu}=R i c^{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g^{\mu \nu} \mathcal{R} \tag{7.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Historically, when Einstein formulated general relativity, he first equated the Ricci tensor Ric ${ }^{\mu \nu}$ to the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu \nu}$. Later he realized that $T^{\mu \nu}$ satisfies the covariant conservation equation $\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}=0$ while Ric ${ }^{\mu \nu}$ does not. To avoid this difficulty, he proposed that $G^{\mu \nu}$ should be equated to $T^{\mu \nu}$. This new equation is natural in the sense that it can be derived from a scalar action by variation, see section 7.10.

Exercise 7.12. Let $(M, g)$ be a two-dimensional manifold with $g=-\mathrm{d} t \otimes \mathrm{~d} t+$ $R^{2}(t) \mathrm{d} x \otimes \mathrm{~d} x$, where $R(t)$ is an arbitrary function of $t$. Show that the Einstein tensor vanishes.

The symmetry properties (7.80a)-(7.80c) restrict the number of independent components of the Riemann tensor. Let $m$ be the dimension of a manifold $(M, g)$. The anti-symmetry $R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=-R_{\lambda \kappa \nu \mu}$ implies that there are $N \equiv\binom{m}{2}$ independent choices of the pair $(\mu, \nu)$. Similarly, from $R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=-R_{\lambda \kappa \mu \nu}$, we find there are
$N$ independent pairs of $(\kappa, \lambda)$. Since $R_{\kappa} \lambda \mu \nu$ is symmetric with respect to the interchange of the pairs $(\kappa, \lambda)$ and $(\mu, \nu)$, the number of independent choices of the pairs reduces from $N^{2}$ to $\binom{N+1}{2}=\frac{1}{2} N(N+1)$. The first Bianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}+R_{\kappa \mu \nu \lambda}+R_{\kappa \nu \lambda \mu}=0 \tag{7.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

further reduces the number of independent components. The LHS of (7.87) is totally anti-symmetric with respect to the interchange of the indices $(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$. Furthermore, the anti-symmetry (7.80b) ensures that it is totally anti-symmetric in all the indices. If $m<4$, (7.87) is trivially satisfied and it imposes no additional restrictions. If $m \geq 4$, (7.87) yields non-trivial constraints only when all the indices are different. The number of constraints is equal to the number of possible ways of choosing four different indices out of $m$ indices, namely $\binom{m}{4}$. Noting that $\binom{m}{4}=m(m-1)(m-2)(m-3) / 4$ ! vanishes for $m<4$, the number of independent components of the Riemann tensor is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(m)=\frac{1}{2}\binom{m}{2}\left[\binom{m}{2}+1\right]-\binom{m}{4}=\frac{1}{12} m^{2}\left(m^{2}-1\right) . \tag{7.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$F(1)=0$ implies that one-dimensional manifolds are flat. Since $F(2)=1$, there is only one independent component $R_{1212}$ on a two-dimensional manifold, other components being either 0 or $\pm R_{1212} . F(4)=20$ is a well-known fact in general relativity.

Exercise 7.13. Let $(M, g)$ be a two-dimensional manifold. Show that the Riemann tensor is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=K\left(g_{\kappa \mu} g_{\lambda \nu}-g_{\kappa \nu} g_{\lambda \mu}\right) \tag{7.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Compute the Ricci tensor to show Ric $\mu_{\mu \nu} \propto g_{\mu \nu}$. Compute the scalar curvature to show $K=\mathcal{R} / 2$.

### 7.5 Holonomy

Let $(M, g)$ be an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold with an affine connection $\nabla$. The connection naturally defines a transformation group at each tangent space $T_{p} M$ as follows.

Definition 7.3. Let $p$ be a point in $(M, g)$ and consider the set of closed loops at $p,\{c(t) \mid 0 \leq t \leq 1, c(0)=c(1)=p\}$. Take a vector $X \in T_{p} M$ and parallel transport $X$ along a curve $c(t)$. After a trip along $c(t)$, we end up with a new vector $X_{c} \in T_{p} M$. Thus, the loop $c(t)$ and the connection $\nabla$ induce a linear transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{c}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{p} M . \tag{7.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of these transformations is denoted by $H(p)$ and is called the holonomy group at $p$.

We assume that $H(p)$ acts on $T_{p} M$ from the right, $P_{c} X=X h \quad(h \in$ $H(p))$. In components, this becomes $P_{c} X=X^{\mu} h_{\mu}{ }^{\nu} e_{\nu},\left\{e_{\nu}\right\}$ being the basis of $T_{p} M$. It is easy to see that $H(p)$ is a group. The product $P_{c^{\prime}} P_{c}$ corresponds to parallel transport along $c$ first and then $c^{\prime}$. If we write $P_{d}=P_{c^{\prime}} P_{c}$, the loop $d$ is given by

$$
d(t)= \begin{cases}c(2 t) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2}  \tag{7.91}\\ c^{\prime}(2 t-1) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

The unit element corresponds to the constant map $c_{p}(t)=p(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ and the inverse of $P_{c}$ is given by $P_{c^{-1}}$, where $c^{-1}(t)=c(1-t)$. Note that $H(p)$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$, which is the maximal holonomy group possible. $H(p)$ is trivial if and only if the Riemann tensor vanishes. In particular, if $(M, g)$ is parallelizable (see example 7.2), we can make $H(p)$ trivial.

If $M$ is (arcwise-)connected, any two points $p, q \in M$ are connected by a curve $a$. The curve $a$ defines a map $\tau_{a}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{q} M$ by parallel transporting a vector in $T_{p} M$ to $T_{q} M$ along $a$. Then the holonomy groups $H(p)$ and $H(q)$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(q)=\tau_{a}^{-1} H(p) \tau_{a} \tag{7.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $H(q)$ is isomorphic to $H(p)$.
In general, the holonomy group is a subgroup of $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. If $\nabla$ is a metric connection, $\nabla$ preserves the length of a vector, $g_{p}\left(P_{c}(X), P_{c}(X)\right)=g_{p}(X, X)$ for $X \in T_{p} M$. Then the holonomy group must be a subgroup of $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ if $(M, g)$ is orientable and Riemannian and $\mathrm{SO}(m-1,1)$ if it is orientable and Lorentzian.

Example 7.7. We work out the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection on $S^{2}$ with the metric $g=\mathrm{d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi$. The non-vanishing connection coefficients are $\Gamma^{\theta}{ }_{\phi \phi}=-\sin \theta \cos \theta$ and $\Gamma^{\phi}{ }_{\phi \theta}=\Gamma^{\phi}{ }_{\theta \phi}=\cot \theta$. For simplicity, we take a vector $e_{\theta}=\partial / \partial \theta$ at a point $\left(\theta_{0}, 0\right)$ and parallel transport it along a circle $\theta=\theta_{0}, 0 \leq \phi \leq 2 \pi$. Let $X$ be the vector $e_{\theta}$ parallel transported along the circle. The vector $X=X^{\theta} e_{\theta}+X^{\phi} e_{\phi}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\phi} X^{\theta}-\sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0} X^{\phi} & =0  \tag{7.93a}\\
\partial_{\phi} X^{\phi}+\cot \theta_{0} X^{\theta} & =0 . \tag{7.93b}
\end{align*}
$$

Equations (7.93a) and (7.93b) represent the harmonic oscillations. Indeed if we take a $\phi$-derivative of (7.93a) and use (7.93b), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} X^{\theta}}{\mathrm{d} \phi^{2}}-\sin \theta_{0} \cos \theta_{0} \frac{\mathrm{~d} X^{\phi}}{\mathrm{d} \phi}=\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2} X^{\theta}}{\mathrm{d} \phi^{2}}-\cos ^{2} \theta_{0} X^{\theta}=0 \tag{7.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

The general solution is $X^{\theta}=A \cos \left(C_{0} \phi\right)+B \sin \left(C_{0} \phi\right)$, where $C_{0} \equiv \cos \theta_{0}$. Since $X^{\theta}=1$ at $\phi=0$ we have

$$
X^{\theta}=\cos \left(C_{0} \phi\right) \quad X^{\phi}=-\frac{\sin \left(C_{0} \phi\right)}{\sin \theta_{0}} .
$$

After parallel transport along the circle, we end up with

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(\phi=2 \pi)=\cos \left(2 \pi C_{0}\right) e_{\theta}-\frac{\sin \left(2 \pi C_{0} \phi\right)}{\sin \theta_{0}} e_{\phi} \tag{7.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the vector is rotated by $\Theta=2 \pi \cos \theta_{0}$, with its magnitude kept fixed. If we take a point $p \in S^{2}$ and a circle in $S^{2}$ which passes through $p$, we can always find a coordinate system such that the circle is given by $\theta=\theta_{0}(0 \leq \theta<\pi)$ and we can apply our previous calculation. The rotation angle is $-2 \pi \leq \Theta<2 \pi$ and we find that the holonomy group at $p \in S^{2}$ is $\mathrm{SO}(2)$.

In general, $S^{m}(m \geq 2)$ admits the holonomy group $\mathrm{SO}(m)$. Product manifolds admit more restricted holonomy groups. The following example is taken from Horowitz (1986). Consider six-dimensional manifolds made of the spheres with standard metrics. Examples are $S^{6}, S^{3} \times S^{3}, S^{2} \times S^{2} \times S^{2}, T^{6}=$ $S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}$. Their holonomy groups are:
(i) $S^{6}: H(p)=\mathrm{SO}(6)$.
(ii) $S^{3} \times S^{3}: H(p)=\mathrm{SO}(3) \times \mathrm{SO}(3)$.
(iii) $S^{2} \times S^{2} \times S^{2}: H(p)=\mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2) \times \mathrm{SO}(2)$.
(iv) $T^{6}: H(p)$ is trivial since the Riemann tensor vanishes.

Exercise 7.14. Show that the holonomy group of the Levi-Civita connection of the Poincaré metric given in example 7.6 is $\mathrm{SO}(2)$.

### 7.6 Isometries and conformal transformations

### 7.6.1 Isometries

Definition 7.4. Let $(M, g)$ be a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. A diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ is an isometry if it preserves the metric

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*} g_{f(p)}=g_{p} \tag{7.96a}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is, if $g_{f(p)}\left(f_{*} X, f_{*} Y\right)=g_{p}(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in T_{p} M$.
In components, the condition (7.96a) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y^{\beta}}{\partial x^{v}} g_{\alpha \beta}(f(p))=g_{\mu v}(p) \tag{7.96b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $x$ and $y$ are the coordinates of $p$ and $f(p)$, respectively. The identity map, the composition of the isometries and the inverse of an isometry are isometries; all these isometries form a group. Since an isometry preserves the length of a vector, in particular that of an infinitesimal displacement vector, it may be regarded as a rigid motion. For example, in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, the Euclidean group $E^{n}$, that is the set of maps $f: x \mapsto A x+T\left(A \in \mathrm{SO}(n), T \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, is the isometry group.

### 7.6.2 Conformal transformations

Definition 7.5. Let $(M, g)$ be a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. A diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow M$ is called a conformal transformation if it preserves the metric up to a scale,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*} g_{f(p)}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g_{p} \quad \sigma \in \mathcal{F}(M) \tag{7.97a}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely, $g_{f(p)}\left(f_{*} X, f_{*} Y\right)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g_{p}(X, Y)$ for $X, Y \in T_{p} M$.
In components, the condition (7.97a) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial y^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y^{\beta}}{\partial x^{v}} g_{\alpha \beta}(f(p))=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(p)} g_{\mu \nu}(p) \tag{7.97b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set of conformal transformations on $M$ is a group, the conformal group denoted by $\operatorname{Conf}(M)$. Let us define the angle $\theta$ between two vectors $X=X^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}$, $Y=Y^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \in T_{p} M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cos \theta=\frac{g_{p}(X, Y)}{\sqrt{g_{p}(X, X) g_{p}(Y, Y)}}=\frac{g_{\mu \nu} X^{\mu} Y^{\nu}}{\sqrt{g_{\zeta \eta} X^{\zeta} X^{\eta} g_{\kappa \lambda} Y^{\kappa} Y^{\lambda}}} \tag{7.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $f$ is a conformal transformation, the angle $\theta^{\prime}$ between $f_{*} X$ and $f_{*} Y$ is given by

$$
\cos \theta^{\prime}=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g_{\mu \nu} X^{\mu} Y^{\nu}}{\sqrt{\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g_{\zeta \eta} X^{\zeta} X^{\eta} \cdot \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g_{\kappa \lambda} Y^{\kappa} Y^{\lambda}}}=\cos \theta
$$

hence $f$ preserves the angle. In other words, $f$ changes the scale but not the shape.

A concept related to conformal transformations is Weyl rescaling. Let $g$ and $\bar{g}$ be metrics on a manifold $M . \bar{g}$ is said to be conformally related to $g$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{p}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(p)} g_{p} \tag{7.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly this is an equivalence relation among the set of metrics on $M$. The equivalence class is called the conformal structure. The transformation $g \rightarrow$ $\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g$ is called a Weyl rescaling. The set of Weyl rescalings on $M$ is a group denoted by $\operatorname{Weyl}(M)$.
Example 7.8. Let $w=f(z)$ be a holomorphic function defined on the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. [A $C^{\infty}$-function regarded as a function of $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$ and $\bar{z}=x-\mathrm{i} y$ is holomorphic if $\partial_{\bar{z}} f(z, \bar{z})=0$.] We write the real part and the imaginary part of the respective variables as $z=x+\mathrm{i} y$ and $w=u+\mathrm{i} v$. The map $f:(x, y) \mapsto(u, v)$ is conformal since

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} u^{2}+\mathrm{d} v^{2} & =\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\partial u}{\partial y} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial v}{\partial x} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \mathrm{~d} y\right)^{2} \\
& =\left[\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial y}\right)^{2}\right]\left(\mathrm{d} x^{2}+\mathrm{d} y^{2}\right) \tag{7.100}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the Cauchy-Riemann relations

$$
\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}=\frac{\partial v}{\partial y} \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}
$$

Exercise 7.15. Let $f: M \rightarrow M$ be a conformal transformation on a Lorentz manifold $(M, g)$. Show that $f_{*}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{f(p)} M$ preserves the local light cone structure, namely

$$
f_{*}:\left\{\begin{array}{ccc}
\text { timelike vector } & \mapsto & \text { timelike vector }  \tag{7.101}\\
\text { null vector } & \mapsto & \text { null vector } \\
\text { spacelike vector } & \mapsto & \text { spacelike vector. }
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $\bar{g}$ be a metric on $M$, which is conformally related to $g$ as $\bar{g}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(p)} g$. Let us compute the Riemann tensor of $\bar{g}$. We could simply substitute $\bar{g}$ into the defining equation (7.42). However, we follow the elegant coordinate-free derivation of Nomizu (1981). Let $K$ be the difference of the covariant derivatives $\bar{\nabla}$ with respect to $\bar{g}$ and $\nabla$ with respect to $g$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(X, Y) \equiv \bar{\nabla}_{X} Y-\nabla_{X} Y \tag{7.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 7.1. Let $U$ be a vector field which corresponds to the one-form $\mathrm{d} \sigma$ : $Z[\sigma]=\langle\mathrm{d} \sigma, Z\rangle=g(U, Z)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(X, Y)=X[\sigma] Y+Y[\sigma] X-g(X, Y) U \tag{7.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. It follows from the torsion-free condition that $K(X, Y)=K(Y, X)$. Since $\bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{g}=\nabla_{X} g=0$, we have

$$
X[\bar{g}(Y, Z)]=\bar{\nabla}_{X}[\bar{g}(Y, Z)]=\bar{g}\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X}, Z\right)+\bar{g}\left(Y, \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z\right)
$$

and also

$$
\begin{aligned}
X[\bar{g}(Y, Z)] & =\nabla_{X}\left[\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g(Y, Z)\right] \\
& =2 X[\sigma] \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g(Y, Z)+\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma}\left[g\left(\nabla_{X}, Z\right)+g\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking the difference between these two expressions, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(K(X, Y), Z)+g(Y, K(X, Z))=2 X[\sigma] g(Y, Z) \tag{7.104a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Permutations of ( $X, Y, Z$ ) yield

$$
\begin{align*}
g(K(Y, X), Z)+g(X, K(Y, Z)) & =2 Y[\sigma] g(X, Z)  \tag{7.104b}\\
g(K(Z, X), Y)+g(X, K(Z, Y)) & =2 Z[\sigma] g(X, Y) \tag{7.104c}
\end{align*}
$$

The combination $(7.104 a)+(7.104 b)-(7.104 c)$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(K(X, Y), Z)=X[\sigma] g(Y, Z)+Y[\sigma] g(X, Z)-Z[\sigma] g(X, Y) . \tag{7.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

The last term is modified as

$$
Z[\sigma] g(X, Y)=g(U, Z) g(X, Y)=g(g(Y, X) U, Z)
$$

Substituting this into (7.105), we find

$$
g(K(X, Y)-X[\sigma] Y-Y[\sigma] X+g(X, Y) U, Z)=0
$$

Since this is true for any $Z$, we have (7.103).
The component expression for $K$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
K\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right) & =\bar{\nabla}_{\mu} e_{\nu}-\nabla_{\mu} e_{\nu}=\left(\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}\right) e_{\lambda} \\
& =e_{\mu}[\sigma] e_{\nu}+e_{\nu}[\sigma] e_{\mu}-g\left(e_{\mu}, e_{\nu}\right) g^{\kappa \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} \sigma e_{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it is readily seen that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Gamma}_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}+\delta_{\nu}^{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \sigma+\delta_{\mu}^{\lambda} \partial_{\nu} \sigma-g_{\mu \nu} g^{\kappa \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} \sigma \tag{7.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the Riemann curvature tensor, we start from the definition,

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z= & \bar{\nabla}_{X} \bar{\nabla}_{Y} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{Y} \bar{\nabla}_{X} Z-\bar{\nabla}_{[X, Y]} Z \\
= & \bar{\nabla}_{X}\left[\nabla_{Y} Z+K(Y, Z)\right]-\bar{\nabla}_{Y}\left[\nabla_{X} Z+K(X, Z)\right] \\
& -\left\{\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z+K([X, Y], Z)\right\} \\
= & \nabla_{X}\left\{\nabla_{Y} Z+K(Y, Z)\right\}+K\left(X, \nabla_{Y} Z+K(Y, Z)\right) \\
& -\nabla_{Y}\left\{\nabla_{X} Z+K(X, Z)\right\}-K\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z+K(X, Z)\right) \\
& -\left\{\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z+K([X, Y], Z)\right\} . \tag{7.107}
\end{align*}
$$

After a straightforward but tedious calculation, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z+\left\langle\nabla_{X} \mathrm{~d} \sigma, Z\right\rangle Y-\left\langle\nabla_{Y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma, Z\right\rangle X \\
& -g(Y, Z) \nabla_{X} U+Y[\sigma] Z[\sigma] X \\
& -g(Y, Z) U[\sigma] X+X[\sigma] g(Y, Z) U \\
& +g(X, Z) \nabla_{Y} U-X[\sigma] Z[\sigma] Y \\
& +g(X, Z) U[\sigma] Y-Y[\sigma] g(X, Z) U . \tag{7.108}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us define a type $(1,1)$ tensor field $B$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
B X \equiv-X[\sigma] U+\nabla_{X} U+\frac{1}{2} U[\sigma] X \tag{7.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g\left(\nabla_{Y} U, Z\right)=\left\langle\nabla_{Y} \mathrm{~d} \sigma, Z\right\rangle$ (exercise 7.8(c)), (7.108) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\bar{R}(X, Y) Z= & R(X, Y) Z-[g(Y, Z) B X-g(B X, Z) Y \\
& +g(B Y, Z) X-g(X, Z) B Y] \tag{7.110}
\end{align*}
$$

In components, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{R}_{\lambda \mu \nu}^{\kappa}=R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}-g_{\nu \lambda} B_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa}+g_{\xi \lambda} B_{\mu}{ }^{\xi} \delta^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu}-g_{\xi \lambda} B_{\nu}{ }^{\xi} \delta^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu}+g_{\mu \lambda} B_{\nu}{ }^{\kappa} \tag{7.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the components of the tensor $B$ are

$$
\begin{align*}
B_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa} & =-\partial_{\mu} \sigma U^{\kappa}+\left(\nabla_{\mu} U\right)^{\kappa}+\frac{1}{2} U[\sigma] \delta_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa} \\
& =-\partial_{\mu} \sigma g^{\kappa \lambda} \partial_{\lambda} \sigma+g^{\kappa \lambda}\left(\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\lambda} \sigma-\Gamma^{\xi}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \partial_{\xi} \sigma\right)+\frac{1}{2} g^{\lambda \xi} \partial_{\lambda} \sigma \partial_{\xi} \sigma \delta_{\mu}{ }^{\kappa} \tag{7.112}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that $B_{\mu \nu} \equiv g_{\nu \lambda} B_{\mu}{ }^{\lambda}=B_{\nu \mu}$.
By contracting the indices in (7.111), we obtain

$$
\begin{gather*}
\overline{\operatorname{Ric}}_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{Ric}_{\mu \nu}-g_{\mu \nu} B_{\lambda}{ }^{\lambda}-(m-2) B_{\nu \mu}  \tag{7.113}\\
\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} \overline{\mathcal{R}}=\mathcal{R}-2(m-1) B_{\lambda}{ }^{\lambda} \tag{7.114a}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$. Equation (7.114a) is also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{g}_{\mu \nu} \overline{\mathcal{R}}=\left[\mathcal{R}-2(m-1) B_{\lambda}{ }^{\lambda}\right] g_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.114b}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we eliminate $g_{\mu \nu} B_{\lambda}{ }^{\lambda}$ and $B_{\mu \nu}$ in $\bar{R}^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}$ in favour of $\overline{\text { Ric }}$ and $\overline{\mathcal{R}}$ and separate barred and unbarred terms, we find a combination which is independent of $\sigma$,

$$
\begin{align*}
C_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}= & R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}+\frac{1}{m-2}\left(\text { Ric }_{\kappa \mu} g_{\lambda \nu}-\operatorname{Ric}_{\lambda \mu} g_{\kappa \nu}+\operatorname{Ric}_{\lambda \nu} g_{\kappa \mu}-\operatorname{Ric}_{\kappa \nu} g_{\lambda \mu}\right) \\
& +\frac{\mathcal{R}}{(m-2)(m-1)}\left(g_{\kappa \mu} g_{\lambda \nu}-g_{\kappa \nu} g_{\lambda \mu}\right) \tag{7.115}
\end{align*}
$$

where $m \geq 4$ (see problem 7.2 for $m=3$ ). The tensor $C$ is called the Weyl tensor. The reader should verify that $C_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}=\bar{C}_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}$.

If every point $p$ of a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ has a chart $(U, \varphi)$ containing $p$ such that $g_{\mu \nu}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} \delta_{\mu \nu}$, then $(M, g)$ is said to be conformally flat. Since the Weyl tensor vanishes for a flat metric, it also vanishes for a conformally flat metric. If $\operatorname{dim} M \geq 4$, then $C=0$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for conformal flatness (Weyl-Schouten). If $\operatorname{dim} M=3$, the Weyl tensor vanishes identically; see problem 7.2. If $\operatorname{dim} M=2, M$ is always conformally flat; see the next example.

Example 7.9. Any two-dimensional Riemannian manifold $(M, g)$ is conformally flat. Let $(x, y)$ be the original local coordinates with which the metric takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=g_{x x} \mathrm{~d} x^{2}+2 g_{x y} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y+g_{y y} \mathrm{~d} y^{2} . \tag{7.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $g \equiv g_{x x} g_{y y}-g_{x y}^{2}$ and write (7.116) as

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\left(\sqrt{g_{x x}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{g_{x y}+\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g_{x x}}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)\left(\sqrt{g_{y y}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{g_{x y}-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g_{x x}}} \mathrm{~d} y\right) .
$$

According to the theory of differential equations, there exists an integrating factor $\lambda(x, y)=\lambda_{1}(x, y)+\mathrm{i} \lambda_{2}(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left(\sqrt{g_{x x}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{g_{x y}+\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g_{x x}}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)=\mathrm{d} u+\mathrm{id} v  \tag{7.117a}\\
& \bar{\lambda}\left(\sqrt{g_{y y}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{g_{x y}-\mathrm{i} \sqrt{g}}{\sqrt{g_{x x}}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)=\mathrm{d} u-\mathrm{id} v . \tag{7.117b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\left(\mathrm{d} u^{2}+\mathrm{d} v^{2}\right) /|\lambda|^{2}$ and by setting $|\lambda|^{-2}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma}$, we have the desired coordinate system. The coordinates $(u, v)$ are called the isothermal coordinates. [Remark: If the curve $u=$ a constant is regarded as an isothermal curve, $v=\mathrm{a}$ constant corresponds to the line of heat flow.]

For example, let $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}$ be the standard metric of $S^{2}$. Noting that

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta} \log \left|\tan \frac{\theta}{2}\right|=\frac{1}{\sin \theta}
$$

we find that $f:(\theta, \phi) \mapsto(u, v)$ defined by $u=\log \left|\tan \frac{1}{2} \theta\right|$ and $v=\phi$ yields a conformally flat metric. In fact,

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\sin ^{2} \theta\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}}{\sin ^{2} \theta}+\mathrm{d} \phi^{2}\right)=\sin ^{2} \theta\left(\mathrm{~d} u^{2}+\mathrm{d} v^{2}\right)
$$

If $(M, g)$ is a Lorentz manifold, we have integrating factors $\lambda(x, y)$ and $\mu(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \lambda\left(\sqrt{g_{x x}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{g_{x y}+\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{g_{x x}}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)=\mathrm{d} u+\mathrm{d} v  \tag{7.118a}\\
& \mu\left(\sqrt{g_{x x}} \mathrm{~d} x+\frac{g_{x y}-\sqrt{-g}}{\sqrt{g_{x x}}} \mathrm{~d} y\right)=\mathrm{d} u-\mathrm{d} v \tag{7.118b}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the coordinates $(u, v)$ the metric takes the form $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\lambda^{-1} \mu^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~d} u^{2}-\right.$ $\mathrm{d} v^{2}$ ). The product $\lambda \mu$ is either positive definite or negative definite and we may set $1 /|\lambda \mu|=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma}$ to obtain the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2}= \pm \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma}\left(\mathrm{~d} u^{2}-\mathrm{d} v^{2}\right) \tag{7.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 7.16. Let $(M, g)$ be a two-dimensional Lorentz manifold with $g=$ $-\mathrm{d} t \otimes \mathrm{~d} t+t^{2} \mathrm{~d} x \otimes \mathrm{~d} x$ (the Milne universe). Use the transformation $|t| \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\eta}$ to show that $g$ is conformally flat. In fact, it is further simplified by $(\eta, x) \mapsto(u=$ $\left.\mathrm{e}^{\eta} \sinh x, v=\mathrm{e}^{\eta} \cosh x\right)$. What is the resulting metric?

### 7.7 Killing vector fields and conformal Killing vector fields

### 7.7.1 Killing vector fields

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and $X \in X(M)$. If a displacement $\varepsilon X, \varepsilon$ being infinitesimal, generates an isometry, the vector field $X$ is called a Killing vector field. The coordinates $x^{\mu}$ of a point $p \in M$ change to $x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}(p)$ under this displacement, see (5.42). If $f: x^{\mu} \mapsto x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}$ is an isometry, it satisfies (7.96b),

$$
\frac{\partial\left(x^{\kappa}+\varepsilon X^{\kappa}\right)}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial\left(x^{\lambda}+\varepsilon X^{\lambda}\right)}{\partial x^{\nu}} g_{\kappa \lambda}(x+\varepsilon X)=g_{\mu \nu}(x)
$$

After a simple calculation, we find that $g_{\mu \nu}$ and $X^{\mu}$ satisfy the Killing equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\xi} \partial_{\xi} g_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\mu} X^{\kappa} g_{\kappa \nu}+\partial_{\nu} X^{\lambda} g_{\mu \lambda}=0 \tag{7.120a}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the definition of the Lie derivative, this is written in a compact form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{L}_{X} g\right)_{\mu \nu}=0 . \tag{7.120b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi_{t}: M \rightarrow M$ be a one-parameter group of transformations which generates the Killing vector field $X$. Equation (7.120b) then shows that the local geometry does not change as we move along $\phi_{t}$. In this sense, the Killing vector fields represent the direction of the symmetry of a manifold.

A set of Killing vector fields are defined to be dependent if one of them is expressed as a linear combination of others with constant coefficients. Thus, there may be more Killing vector fields than the dimension of the manifold. [The number of independent symmetries has no direct connection with $\operatorname{dim} M$. The maximum number, however, has; see example 7.10.]

Exercise 7.17. Let $\nabla$ be the Levi-Civita connection. Show that the Killing equation is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\mu} X\right)_{\nu}+\left(\nabla_{\nu} X\right)_{\mu}=\partial_{\mu} X_{v}+\partial_{\nu} X_{\mu}-2 \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda} X_{\lambda}=0 \tag{7.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 7.18. Find three Killing vector fields of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}, \delta\right)$. Show that two of them correspond to translations while the third corresponds to a rotation; cf next example.

Example 7.10. Let us work out the Killing vector fields of the Minkowski spacetime $\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, \eta\right)$, for which all the Levi-Civita connection coefficients vanish. The Killing equation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} X_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} X_{\mu}=0 \tag{7.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $X_{\mu}$ is, at most, of the first order in $x$. The constant solutions

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(i)}^{\mu}=\delta_{i}^{\mu} \quad(0 \leq i \leq 3) \tag{7.123a}
\end{equation*}
$$

correspond to spacetime translations. Next, let $X_{\mu}=a_{\mu \nu} x^{\mu}, a_{\mu \nu}$ being constant. Equation (7.122) implies that $a_{\mu \nu}$ is anti-symmetric with respect to $\mu \leftrightarrow \nu$. Since $\binom{4}{2}=6$, there are six independent solutions of this form, three of which

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(j) 0}=0 \quad X_{(j) m}=\varepsilon_{j m n} x^{n} \quad(1 \leq j, m, n \leq 3) \tag{7.123b}
\end{equation*}
$$

correspond to spatial rotations about the $x^{j}$-axis, while the others

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{(k) 0}=x^{k} \quad X_{(k) m}=-\delta_{k m} x^{0} \quad(1 \leq k, m \leq 3) \tag{7.123c}
\end{equation*}
$$

correspond to Lorentz boosts along the $x^{k}$-axis.
In $m$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime ( $m \geq 2$ ), there are $m(m+1) / 2$ Killing vector fields, $m$ of which generate translations, ( $m-1$ ), boosts and $(m-1)(m-2) / 2$, space rotations. Those spaces (or spacetimes) which admit $m(m+1) / 2$ Killing vector fields are called maximally symmetric spaces.

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Killing vector fields. We easily verify that
(i) a linear combination $a X+b Y(a, b \in \mathbb{R})$ is a Killing vector field; and (ii) the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ is a Killing vector field.
(i) is obvious from the linearity of the covariant derivative. To prove (ii), we use (5.58). We have $\mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]} g=\mathcal{L}_{X} \mathcal{L}_{Y} g-\mathcal{L}_{Y} \mathcal{L}_{X} g=0$, since $\mathcal{L}_{X} g=\mathcal{L}_{Y} g=0$. Thus, all the Killing vector fields form a Lie algebra of the symmetric operations on the manifold $M$; see the next example.

Example 7.11. Let $g=d \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi$ be the standard metric of $S^{2}$. The Killing equations (7.121) are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial_{\theta} X_{\theta}+\partial_{\theta} X_{\theta}=0  \tag{7.124a}\\
& \partial_{\phi} X_{\phi}+\partial_{\phi} X_{\phi}+2 \sin \theta \cos \theta X_{\theta}=0  \tag{7.124b}\\
& \partial_{\theta} X_{\phi}+\partial_{\phi} X_{\theta}-2 \cot \theta X_{\phi}=0 \tag{7.124c}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (7.124a) that $X_{\theta}$ is independent of $\theta: X_{\theta}(\theta, \phi)=f(\phi)$. Substituting this into (7.124b), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\phi}=-F(\phi) \sin \theta \cos \theta+g(\theta) \tag{7.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(\phi)=\int^{\phi} f(\phi) \mathrm{d} \phi$. Substitution of (7.125) into (7.124c) yields

$$
-F(\phi)\left(\cos ^{2} \theta-\sin ^{2} \theta\right)+\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}+\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}+2 \cot \theta(F(\phi) \sin \theta \cos \theta-g(\theta))=0
$$

This equation may be separated into

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}-2 \cot \theta g(\theta)=-\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}-F(\phi)
$$

Since both sides must be separately constant $(\equiv C)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} g}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}-2 \cot \theta g(\theta) & =C  \tag{7.126a}\\
\frac{\mathrm{~d} f}{\mathrm{~d} \phi}+F(\phi) & =-C \tag{7.126b}
\end{align*}
$$

Equation (7.126a) is solved if we multiply both sides by $\exp \left(-\int \mathrm{d} \theta 2 \cot \theta\right)=$ $\sin ^{-2} \theta$ to make the LHS a total derivative,

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} \theta}\left(\frac{g(\theta)}{\sin ^{2} \theta}\right)=\frac{C}{\sin ^{2} \theta}
$$

The solution is easily found to be

$$
g(\theta)=\left(C_{1}-C \cot \theta\right) \sin ^{2} \theta
$$

Differentiating (7.126b) again, we find that $f$ is harmonic,

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\theta}(\phi) & =f(\phi)=A \sin \phi+B \cos \phi \\
F(\phi) & =-A \cos \phi+B \sin \phi-C
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these results into (7.125), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
X_{\phi}(\theta, \phi) & =-(-A \cos \phi+B \sin \phi-C) \sin \theta \cos \theta+\left(C_{1}-C \cot \theta\right) \sin ^{2} \theta \\
& =(A \cos \phi-B \sin \phi) \sin \theta \cos \theta+C_{1} \sin ^{2} \theta
\end{aligned}
$$

A general Killing vector is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
X= & X^{\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+X^{\phi} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \\
= & A\left(\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\cos \phi \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right) \\
& +B\left(\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-\sin \phi \cot \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}\right)+C_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \tag{7.127}
\end{align*}
$$

The basis vectors

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{x}=-\cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\cot \theta \sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}  \tag{7.128a}\\
& L_{y}=\sin \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}+\cot \theta \cos \phi \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi}  \tag{7.128b}\\
& L_{z}=\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi} \tag{7.128c}
\end{align*}
$$

generate rotations round the $x, y$ and $z$ axes respectively.

These vectors generate the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s o}(3)$. This reflects the fact that $S^{2}$ is the homogeneous space $\mathrm{SO}(3) / \mathrm{SO}(2)$ and the metric on $S^{2}$ retains this $\mathrm{SO}(3)$ symmetry (see example $5.18(\mathrm{a})$ ). In general $S^{n}=\mathrm{SO}(n+1) / \mathrm{SO}(n)$ with the usual metric has $\operatorname{dim} \mathrm{SO}(n+1)=n(n+1) / 2$ Killing vectors and they form the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s o}(n+1)$. The sphere $S^{n}$ with the usual metric is a maximally symmetric space. We may squash $S^{n}$ so that it has fewer symmetries. For example, if $S^{2}$ considered here is squashed along the $z$-axis it has a rotational symmetry around the $z$-axis only and there exists one Killing vector field $L_{z}=$ $\partial / \partial \phi$.

### 7.7.2 Conformal Killing vector fields

Let $(M, g)$ be a Riemannian manifold and let $X \in \mathcal{X}(M)$. If an infinitesimal displacement given by $\varepsilon X$ generates a conformal transformation, the vector field $X$ is called a conformal Killing vector field (CKV). Under the displacement $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu}+\varepsilon X^{\mu}$, this condition is written as

$$
\frac{\partial\left(x^{\kappa}+\varepsilon X^{\kappa}\right)}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial\left(x^{\lambda}+\varepsilon X^{\lambda}\right)}{\partial x^{\nu}} g_{\kappa \lambda}(x+\varepsilon X)=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} g_{\mu \nu}(x)
$$

Noting that $\sigma \propto \varepsilon$, we set $\sigma=\varepsilon \psi / 2$, where $\psi \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Then we find that $g_{\mu \nu}$ and $X^{\mu}$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{X} g_{\mu \nu}=X^{\xi} \partial_{\xi} g_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\mu} X^{\kappa} g_{\kappa \nu}+\partial_{\nu} X^{\lambda} g_{\mu \lambda}=\psi g_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.129a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (7.129a) is easily solved for $\psi$ to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\frac{X^{\xi} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\xi} g_{\mu \nu}+2 \partial_{\mu} X^{\mu}}{m} \tag{7.129b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$. We verify that
(i) a linear combination of CKVs is a CKV: $\left(\mathcal{L}_{a X+b Y} g\right)_{\mu \nu}=(a \varphi+b \psi) g_{\mu \nu}$ where $a, b \in \mathbb{R}, \mathcal{L}_{X} g_{\mu \nu}=\varphi g_{\mu \nu}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{Y} g_{\mu \nu}=\psi g_{\mu \nu}$;
(ii) the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ of a CKV is again a CKV: $\mathcal{L}_{[X, Y]} g_{\mu \nu}=(X[\psi]-$ $Y[\varphi]) g_{\mu \nu}$.

Example 7.12. Let $x^{\mu}$ be the coordinates of $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \delta\right)$. The vector

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \equiv x^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tag{7.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

(dilatation vector) is a CKV. In fact,

$$
\mathcal{L}_{D} \delta_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} x^{\kappa} \delta_{\kappa \nu}+\partial_{\nu} x^{\lambda} \delta_{\mu \lambda}=2 \delta_{\mu \nu}
$$

### 7.8 Non-coordinate bases

### 7.8.1 Definitions

In the coordinate basis, $T_{p} M$ is spanned by $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}=\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ and $T_{p}^{*} M$ by $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$. If, moreover, $M$ is endowed with a metric $g$, there may be an alternative choice. Let us consider the linear combination,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{e}_{\alpha}=e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \quad\left\{e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}\right\} \in \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R}) \tag{7.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{det} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}>0$. In other words, $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ is the frame of basis vectors which is obtained by a $\operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$-rotation of the basis $\left\{e_{\mu}\right\}$ preserving the orientation. We require that $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ be orthonormal with respect to $g$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g\left(\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)=e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} e_{\beta}{ }^{\nu} g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\alpha \beta} \tag{7.132a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the manifold is Lorentzian, $\delta_{\alpha \beta}$ should be replaced by $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$. We easily reverse (7.132a),

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} e^{\beta}{ }_{\nu} \delta_{\alpha \beta} \tag{7.132b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}$ is the inverse of $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} ; e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\nu}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}, e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} e^{\beta}{ }^{\mu}=\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$. [We have used the same symbols for a matrix and its inverse. So long as the indices are written explicitly it does not cause confusion.] Since a vector $V$ is independent of the basis chosen, we have $V=V^{\mu} e_{\mu}=V^{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\alpha}=V^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} e_{\mu}$. It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mu}=V^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} \quad V^{\alpha}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} V^{\mu} \tag{7.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us introduce the dual basis $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}$ defined by $\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right\rangle=\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} . \hat{\theta}^{\alpha}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{7.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}$, the metric is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=\delta_{\alpha \beta} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{\beta} \tag{7.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

The bases $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}$ are called the non-coordinate bases. We use $\kappa, \lambda$, $\mu, v, \ldots(\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \ldots)$ to denote the coordinate (non-coordinate) basis. The coefficients $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}$ are called the vierbeins if the space is four dimensional and vielbeins if it is many dimensional. The non-coordinate basis has a non-vanishing Lie bracket. If the $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ are given by (7.131), they satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left[\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right]\right|_{p}=\left.c_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma}(p) \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right|_{p} \tag{7.136a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}(p)=e^{\gamma}{ }_{\nu}\left[e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} e_{\beta}{ }^{\nu}-e_{\beta}{ }^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\nu}\right](p) \tag{7.136b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 7.13. The standard metric on $S^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mathrm{d} \theta \otimes \mathrm{~d} \theta+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi=\hat{\theta}^{1} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{1}+\hat{\theta}^{2} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{2} \tag{7.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{\theta}^{1}=\mathrm{d} \theta$ and $\hat{\theta}^{2}=\sin \theta \mathrm{d} \phi$. The 'zweibeins' are

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
e^{1}{ }_{\theta}=1 & e^{1}{ }_{\phi}=0 \\
e^{2}{ }_{\theta}=0 & e^{2}{ }_{\phi}=\sin \theta . \tag{7.138}
\end{array}
$$

The non-vanishing components of $c_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma}$ are $c_{12}{ }^{2}=-c_{21}{ }^{2}=-\cot \theta$.
Exercise 7.19. (a) Verify the identities,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{\alpha \beta}=g^{\mu \nu} e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} e^{\beta}{ }_{v} \quad g^{\mu \nu}=\delta^{\alpha \beta} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} e_{\beta}{ }^{\nu} . \tag{7.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $\gamma^{\alpha}$ be the Dirac matrices in Minkowski spacetime, which satisfy $\left\{\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}\right\}=2 \eta^{\alpha \beta}$. Define the curved spacetime counterparts of the Dirac matrices by $\gamma^{\mu} \equiv e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} \gamma^{\alpha}$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right\}=2 g^{\mu \nu} \tag{7.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.8.2 Cartan's structure equations

In section 7.3 the curvature tensor $R$ and the torsion tensor $T$ have been defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y) Z & =\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \\
T(X, Y) & =\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Let $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ be the non-coordinate basis and $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}$ the dual basis. The vector fields $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ satisfy $\left[\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right]=c_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} \hat{e}_{\gamma}$. Define the connection coefficients with respect to the basis $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\beta} \equiv \nabla_{\hat{e}_{\alpha}} \hat{e}_{\beta}=\Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta} \hat{e}_{\gamma} . \tag{7.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\hat{e}_{\alpha}=e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} e_{\mu}$. Then (7.141) becomes $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu} e_{\beta}{ }^{\nu}+e_{\beta}{ }^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\mu \lambda}\right) e_{\nu}=\Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta} e_{\gamma}{ }^{\nu} e_{\nu}$, from which we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}=e^{\gamma}{ }_{\nu} e_{\alpha}^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu} e_{\beta}^{\nu}+e_{\beta}{ }^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\mu \lambda}\right)=e^{\gamma}{ }_{\nu} e_{\alpha}^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} e_{\beta}^{\nu} \tag{7.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $T$ and $R$ in this basis are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma} & =\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, T\left(\hat{e}_{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right)\right\rangle=\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, \nabla_{\beta} \hat{e}_{\gamma}-\nabla_{\gamma} \hat{e}_{\beta}-\left[\hat{e}_{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right]\right\rangle \\
& =\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma}-\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \beta}-c_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} .  \tag{7.143}\\
R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma \delta} & =\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, \nabla_{\gamma} \nabla_{\delta} \hat{e}_{\beta}-\nabla_{\delta} \nabla_{\gamma} \hat{e}_{\beta}-\nabla_{\left[\hat{e}_{\gamma}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right]} \hat{e}_{\beta}\right\rangle \\
& \left.=\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, \nabla_{\gamma}\left(\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\delta \beta} \hat{e}_{\varepsilon}\right)-\nabla_{\delta} \Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\gamma \beta} \hat{e}_{\varepsilon}\right)-c_{\gamma \delta}{ }^{\varepsilon} \nabla_{\varepsilon} \hat{e}_{\beta}\right\rangle \\
& =\hat{e}_{\gamma}\left[\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta \beta}\right]-\hat{e}_{\delta}\left[\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \beta}\right]+\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\delta \beta} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \varepsilon}-\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\gamma \beta} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta \varepsilon}-c_{\gamma \delta}{ }^{\varepsilon} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\varepsilon \beta} . \tag{7.144}
\end{align*}
$$

We define a matrix-valued one-form $\left\{\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}\right\}$ called the connection one-form by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \equiv \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \beta} \hat{\theta}^{\gamma} . \tag{7.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 7.3. The connection one-form $\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ satisfies Cartan's structure equations,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha}+\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta} & =T^{\alpha}  \tag{7.146a}\\
\mathrm{d} \omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}+\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta} & =R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \tag{7.146b}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have introduced the torsion two-form $T^{\alpha} \equiv \frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma} \hat{\theta}^{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\gamma}$ and the curvature two-form $R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \equiv \frac{1}{2} R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma \delta} \hat{\theta}^{\gamma} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\delta}$.

Proof. Let the LHS of (7.146a) act on the basis vectors $\hat{e}_{\gamma}$ and $\hat{e}_{\delta}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{d} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\left(\hat{e}_{\gamma}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right)+\left[\left\langle\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right\rangle\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right\rangle-\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right\rangle\left\langle\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right\rangle\right] \\
& \quad=\left\{\hat{e}_{\gamma}\left[\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right\rangle\right]-\hat{e}_{\delta}\left[\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right\rangle\right]-\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\alpha},\left[\hat{e}_{\gamma}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right]\right\rangle\right\}+\left\{\left\langle\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right\rangle-\left\langle\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right\rangle\right\} \\
& \quad=-c_{\gamma \delta}^{\alpha}+\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \delta}-\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta \gamma}=T^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \delta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of (5.70). The RHS acting on $\hat{e}_{\gamma}$ and $\hat{e}_{\delta}$ yields

$$
\frac{1}{2} T^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \varepsilon}\left[\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right\rangle\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right\rangle-\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\varepsilon}, \hat{e}_{\gamma}\right\rangle\left\langle\hat{\theta}^{\beta}, \hat{e}_{\delta}\right\rangle\right]=T^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \delta}
$$

which verifies (7.146a).
Equation (7.146b) may be proved similarly (exercise).
Taking the exterior derivative of (7.146a) and (7.146b), we have the Bianchi identities

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} T^{\alpha}+\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \wedge T^{\beta} & =R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta}  \tag{7.147a}\\
\mathrm{d} R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}+\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge R^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta}-R^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta} & =0 . \tag{7.147b}
\end{align*}
$$

These are the non-coordinate basis versions of (7.81a) and (7.81b).

### 7.8.3 The local frame

In an $m$-dimensional Riemannian manifold, the metric tensor $g_{\mu \nu}$ has $m(m+1) / 2$ degrees of freedom while the vielbein $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}$ has $m^{2}$ degrees of freedom. There are many non-coordinate bases which yield the same metric, $g$, each of which is related to the other by the local orthogonal rotation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\theta}^{\alpha} \longrightarrow \hat{\theta}^{\prime \alpha}(p)=\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(p) \hat{\theta}^{\beta}(p) \tag{7.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

at each point $p$. The vielbein transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}(p) \longrightarrow e^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\mu}(p)=\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}(p) e_{\mu}^{\beta}(p) . \tag{7.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

Unlike $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \ldots$ which transform under coordinate changes, the indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ transform under the local orthogonal rotation and are inert under coordinate changes. Since the metric tensor is invariant under the rotation, $\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \delta_{\alpha \delta} \Lambda^{\delta}{ }_{\gamma}=\delta_{\beta \gamma} & \text { if } M \text { is Riemannian } \\
\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \eta_{\alpha \delta} \Lambda^{\delta}{ }_{\gamma}=\eta_{\beta \gamma} & \text { if } M \text { is Lorentzian. } \tag{7.150b}
\end{array}
$$

This implies that $\left\{\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}(p)\right\} \in \mathrm{SO}(m)$ if $M$ is Riemannian with $\operatorname{dim} M=m$ and $\left\{\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}(p)\right\} \in \mathrm{SO}(m-1,1)$ if $M$ is Lorentzian. The dimension of these Lie groups is $m(m-1) / 2=m^{2}-m(m+1) / 2$, that is the difference between the degrees of freedom of $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}$ and $g_{\mu \nu}$. Under the local frame rotation $\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}(p)$, the indices $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta, \ldots$ are rotated while $\kappa, \lambda, \mu, \nu, \ldots$ (world indices) are not affected. Under the rotation (7.148), the basis vector transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{e}_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \hat{e}_{\alpha}^{\prime}=\hat{e}_{\beta}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} . \tag{7.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $t=t^{\mu}{ }_{\nu} e_{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$ be a tensor field of type $(1,1)$. In the bases $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}$, we have $t=t^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \hat{e}_{\alpha} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{\beta}$, where $t^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} e^{\beta}{ }^{\nu} t^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}$. If the new frames $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}=\left\{\hat{e}_{\beta}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\prime \alpha}\right\}=\left\{\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}^{\beta}\right\}$ are employed, the tensor $t$ is expressed as

$$
t=t^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta} \hat{e}_{\alpha}^{\prime} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{\beta}{ }^{\beta}=t^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta} \hat{e}_{\gamma}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} \otimes \Lambda^{\beta}{ }_{\delta} \hat{\theta}^{\delta}
$$

from which we find the transformation rule,

$$
t^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \longrightarrow t^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta}=\Lambda_{\gamma}^{\alpha} t^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)_{\beta}^{\delta}
$$

To summarize, the upper (lower) non-coordinate indices are rotated by $\Lambda\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)$. The change from the coordinate basis to the non-coordinate basis is carried out by multiplications of vielbeins.

From these facts we find the transformation rule of the connection one-form $\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$. The torsion two-form transforms as

$$
T^{\alpha} \longrightarrow T^{\prime \alpha}=\mathrm{d} \hat{\theta}^{\prime \alpha}+\omega^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\prime \beta}=\Lambda_{\beta}^{\alpha}\left[\mathrm{d} \hat{\theta}^{\beta}+\omega^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\gamma}\right]
$$

Substituting $\hat{\theta}^{\prime \alpha}=\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \hat{\theta}^{\beta}$ into this equation, we find that

$$
\omega^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta} \Lambda_{\gamma}^{\beta}=\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta} \omega^{\delta}{ }_{\gamma}-\mathrm{d} \Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}
$$

Multiplying both sides by $\Lambda^{-1}$ from the right, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta}=\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\delta}{ }_{\beta}+\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}\left(\mathrm{d} \Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta} \tag{7.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

where use has been made of the identity $\mathrm{d} \Lambda \Lambda^{-1}+\Lambda \mathrm{d} \Lambda^{-1}=0$, which is derived from $\Lambda \Lambda^{-1}=I_{m}$.

The curvature two-form transforms homogeneously as

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \longrightarrow R^{\prime \alpha}{ }_{\beta}=\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} R^{\gamma}{ }_{\delta}\left(\Lambda^{-1}\right)^{\delta}{ }_{\beta} \tag{7.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

under a local frame rotation $\Lambda$.

### 7.8.4 The Levi-Civita connection in a non-coordinate basis

Let $\nabla$ be a Levi-Civita connection on $(M, g)$, which is characterized by the metric compatibility $\nabla_{X} g=0$, and the vanishing torsion $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu}=0$. It is interesting to see how these conditions are expressed in the present approach. The components $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ and $\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma}$ are related to each other by (7.142). Let ( $M, g$ ) be a Riemannian manifold (if $(M, g)$ is Lorentzian, we simply replace $\delta_{\alpha \beta}$ all below by $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$ ). If we define the Ricci rotation coefficient $\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma}$ by $\delta_{\alpha \delta} \Gamma^{\delta}{ }_{\beta \gamma}$ the metric compatibility is expressed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma_{\alpha \beta \gamma} & =\delta_{\alpha \delta} e^{\delta}{ }_{\lambda} e_{\beta}{ }^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} e_{\gamma}{ }^{\lambda}=-\delta_{\alpha \delta} e_{\gamma}{ }^{\lambda} e_{\beta}{ }^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} e^{\delta}{ }_{\lambda} \\
& =-\delta_{\gamma \delta} e^{\delta}{ }_{\lambda} e_{\beta}{ }^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\lambda}=-\Gamma_{\gamma \beta \alpha} \tag{7.154}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla_{\mu} g=0$ has been used. In terms of the connection one-form $\omega_{\alpha \beta} \equiv$ $\delta_{\alpha \gamma} \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta}$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha \beta}=-\omega_{\beta \alpha} \tag{7.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

The torsion-free condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha}+\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta}=0 \tag{7.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that (7.156) implies the symmetry of the connection coefficient $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu}$ in the coordinate basis. The condition (7.156) enables us to compute the $c_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma}$ of the basis $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$. Let us look at the commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} \hat{e}_{\gamma}=\left[\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right]=\nabla_{\alpha} \hat{e}_{\beta}-\nabla_{\beta} \hat{e}_{\alpha} \tag{7.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the final equality follows from the torsion-free condition. From (7.141), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma}=\Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha \beta}-\Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta \alpha} . \tag{7.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (7.158) into (7.144) we may express the Riemaun curvature tensor in terms of $\Gamma$ only,

$$
\begin{align*}
R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma \delta}= & \hat{e}_{\gamma}\left[\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta \beta}\right]-\hat{e}_{\delta}\left[\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \beta}\right]+\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\delta \beta} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma \varepsilon}-\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\gamma \beta} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\delta \varepsilon} \\
& -\left(\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\gamma \delta}-\Gamma^{\varepsilon}{ }_{\delta \gamma}\right) \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\varepsilon \beta} . \tag{7.159}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 7.14. Let us take the sphere $S^{2}$ of example 7.13. The components of $e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
e^{1}{ }_{\theta}=1 \quad e_{\phi}^{1}=0 \quad e_{\theta}^{2}=0 \quad e_{\phi}^{2}=\sin \theta \tag{7.160}
\end{equation*}
$$

We first note that the metric condition implies $\omega_{11}=\omega_{22}=0$, hence $\omega^{1}{ }_{1}=$ $\omega^{2}{ }_{2}=0$. Other connection one-forms are obtained from the torsion-free conditions,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{~d} \theta)+\omega^{1}{ }_{2} \wedge(\sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi) & =0  \tag{7.161a}\\
\mathrm{~d}(\sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi)+\omega^{2}{ }_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta & =0 \tag{7.161b}
\end{align*}
$$

From the second equation of (7.161), we easily see that $\omega^{2}{ }_{1}=\cos \theta \mathrm{d} \phi$ and the metric condition $\omega_{12}=-\omega_{21}$ implies $\omega^{1}{ }_{2}=-\cos \theta \mathrm{d} \phi$. The Riemann tensor is also found from Cartan's structure equation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \omega^{1}{ }_{2} \wedge \omega^{2}{ }_{1}=\frac{1}{2} R^{1}{ }_{1 \alpha \beta} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta}  \tag{7.162a}\\
&=\frac{1}{2} R^{1}{ }_{2 \alpha \beta} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta}  \tag{7.162b}\\
& \mathrm{d} \omega^{1}{ }_{2}  \tag{7.162c}\\
& \mathrm{~d} \omega^{2}{ }_{1}=\frac{1}{2} R^{2}{ }_{1 \alpha \beta} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta}  \tag{7.162d}\\
& \omega^{2}{ }_{1} \wedge \omega^{1}{ }_{2}=\frac{1}{2} R^{2}{ }_{2 \alpha \beta} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta} .
\end{align*}
$$

The non-vanishing components are $R^{1}{ }_{212}=-R^{1}{ }_{221}=\sin \theta, R^{2}{ }_{112}=$ $-R^{2}{ }_{121}=-\sin \theta$. The transition to the coordinate basis expression is carried out with the help of $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}$ and $e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}$. For example,

$$
R^{\theta}{ }_{\phi \theta \phi}=e_{\alpha}{ }^{\theta} e^{\beta}{ }_{\phi} e^{\gamma}{ }_{\theta} e^{\delta}{ }_{\phi} R^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma \delta}=\frac{1}{\sin ^{2} \theta} R^{1}{ }_{212}=\frac{1}{\sin \theta} .
$$

Example 7.15. The Schwarzschild metric is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} s^{2} & =-\left(1-\frac{2 M}{r}\right) \mathrm{d} t^{2}+\frac{1}{1-\frac{2 M}{r}} \mathrm{~d} r^{2}+r^{2}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta^{2}+\sin ^{2} \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi^{2}\right) \\
& =-\hat{\theta}^{0} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{0}+\hat{\theta}^{1} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{1}+\hat{\theta}^{2} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{2}+\hat{\theta}^{3} \otimes \hat{\theta}^{3} \tag{7.163}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{\theta}^{0}=\left(1-\frac{2 M}{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t \quad \hat{\theta}^{1}=\left(1-\frac{2 M}{r}\right)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} r  \tag{7.164}\\
\hat{\theta}^{2}=r \mathrm{~d} \theta \quad \hat{\theta}^{3}=r \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi
\end{gather*}
$$

The parameters run over the range $0<2 M<r, 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi$ and $0 \leq \phi<2 \pi$. The metric condition yields $\omega^{0}{ }_{0}=\omega^{1}{ }_{1}=\omega^{2}{ }_{2}=\omega^{3}{ }_{3}=0$ and the torsion-free conditions are:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}\left[(1-2 M / r)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} t\right]+\omega^{0}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta} & =0  \tag{7.165a}\\
\mathrm{~d}\left[(1-2 M / r)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} r\right]+\omega^{1}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta} & =0  \tag{7.165b}\\
\mathrm{~d}(r \mathrm{~d} \theta)+\omega^{2}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta} & =0  \tag{7.165c}\\
\mathrm{~d}(r \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi)+\omega^{3}{ }_{\beta} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta} & =0 . \tag{7.165d}
\end{align*}
$$

The non-vanishing components of the connection one-forms are

$$
\begin{gather*}
\omega^{0}{ }_{1}=\omega^{1}{ }_{0}=\frac{M}{r^{2}} \mathrm{~d} t \quad \omega^{2}{ }_{1}=-\omega^{1}{ }_{2}=\left(1-\frac{2 M}{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d} \theta \\
\omega^{3}{ }_{1}=-\omega^{1}{ }_{3}=\left(1-\frac{2 M}{r}\right)^{1 / 2} \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \phi \quad \omega^{3}{ }_{2}=-\omega^{2}{ }_{3}=\cos \theta \mathrm{d} \phi \tag{7.166}
\end{gather*}
$$

The curvature two-forms are found from the structure equations to be

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
R_{1}^{0}=R_{0}^{1}=\frac{2 M}{r^{3}} \hat{\theta}^{0} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{1} & R_{2}^{0}=R_{0}^{2}=-\frac{2 M}{r^{3}} \hat{\theta}^{0} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{2} \\
R_{3}^{0}=R_{0}^{3}=-\frac{M}{r^{3}} \hat{\theta}^{0} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{3} & R_{2}^{1}=-R_{1}^{2}=-\frac{M}{r^{3}} \hat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{2}  \tag{7.167}\\
R_{3}^{1}=-R_{1}^{3}=-\frac{M}{r^{3}} \hat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{3} & R_{3}^{2}=-R_{2}^{3}=\frac{2 M}{r^{3}} \hat{\theta}^{2} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{3} .
\end{array}
$$

### 7.9 Differential forms and Hodge theory

### 7.9.1 Invariant volume elements

We have defined the volume element as a non-vanishing $m$-form on an $m$ dimensional orientable manifold $M$ in section 5.5. If $M$ is endowed with a metric $g$, there exists a natural volume element which is invariant under coordinate transformation. Let us define the invariant volume element by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{M} \equiv \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \tag{7.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\operatorname{det} g_{\mu \nu}$ and $x^{\mu}$ are the coordinates of the chart $(U, \varphi)$. The $m$-form $\Omega_{M}$ is, indeed, invariant under a coordinate change. Let $y^{\lambda}$ be the coordinates of another chart $(V, \psi)$ with $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The invariant volume element is

$$
\sqrt{\left|\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\kappa}} \frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\lambda}} g_{\mu \nu}\right)\right|} \mathrm{d} y^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} y^{m}
$$

in terms of the $y$-coordinates. Noting that $\mathrm{d} y^{\lambda}=\left(\partial y^{\lambda} / \partial x^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$, this becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\kappa}}\right)\right| \sqrt{|g|} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\partial y^{\lambda}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \\
& \quad= \pm \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m}
\end{aligned}
$$

If $x^{\mu}$ and $y^{\kappa}$ define the same orientation, $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\kappa}\right)$ is strictly positive on $U \cap V$ and $\Omega_{M}$ is invariant under the coordinate change.
Exercise 7.20. Let $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$ be the non-coordinate basis. Show that the invariant volume element is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{M}=|e| \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m}=\hat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \hat{\theta}^{2} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{m} \tag{7.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e=\operatorname{det} e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}$.
Now that we have defined the invariant volume element, it is natural to define an integration of $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ over $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} f \Omega_{M} \equiv \int_{M} f \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \tag{7.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Obviously (7.170) is invariant under a change of coordinates. In physics, there are many objects which are expressed as volume integrals of this type, see section 7.10 .

### 7.9.2 Duality transformations (Hodge star)

As noted in section 5.4, $\Omega^{r}(M)$ is isomorphic to $\Omega^{m-r}(M)$ on an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$. If $M$ is endowed with a metric $g$, we can define a natural isomorphism between them called the Hodge $*$ operation. Define the totally anti-symmetric tensor $\varepsilon$ by

$$
\varepsilon_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{m}}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if }\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{m}\right) \text { is an even permutation of }(12 \ldots m)  \tag{7.171a}\\ -1 & \text { if }\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{m}\right) \text { is an odd permutation of }(12 \ldots m) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise. }\end{cases}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varepsilon^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{m}}=g^{\mu_{1} \nu_{1}} g^{\mu_{2} v_{2}} \ldots g^{\mu_{m} v_{m}} \varepsilon_{\nu_{1} \nu_{2} \ldots v_{m}}=g^{-1} \varepsilon_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{m}} . \tag{7.171b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hodge $*$ is a linear map $*: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{m-r}(M)$ whose action on a basis vector of $\Omega^{r}(M)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& *\left(\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{(m-r)!} \varepsilon^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}}{ }_{v_{r+1} \ldots \nu_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{v_{r+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{m}} \tag{7.172}
\end{align*}
$$

It should be noted that $* 1$ is the invariant volume element:

$$
* 1=\frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{m!} \varepsilon_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{m}}=\sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m}
$$

For

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \in \Omega^{r}(M)
$$

we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
* \omega=\frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{r!(m-r)!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}} \varepsilon^{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} \ldots \mu_{r}}{ }_{v_{r+1} \ldots v_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{v_{r+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{v_{m}} \tag{7.173}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take the non-coordinate basis $\left\{\theta^{\alpha}\right\}=\left\{e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$, the $*$ operation becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
*\left(\hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{r}}\right)=\frac{1}{(m-r)!} \varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}}{ }_{\beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \hat{\theta}^{\beta_{r+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\beta_{m}} \tag{7.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\varepsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{m}}= \begin{cases}+1 & \text { if }\left(\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{m}\right) \text { is an even permutation of }(12 \ldots m)  \tag{7.175}\\ -1 & \text { if }\left(\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{m}\right) \text { is an odd permutation of }(12 \ldots m) \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

and the indices are raised by $\delta^{\alpha \beta}$ or $\eta^{\alpha \beta}$.
Theorem 7.4.

$$
\begin{equation*}
* * \omega=(-1)^{r(m-r)} \omega . \tag{7.176a}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $(M, g)$ is Riemannian and

$$
\begin{equation*}
* * \omega=(-1)^{1+r(m-r)} \omega \tag{7.176b}
\end{equation*}
$$

if Lorentzian.
Proof. It is simpler to prove (7.176a) with a non-coordinate basis. Let

$$
\omega=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{r}}
$$

Repeated applications of $*$ on $\omega$ yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
* * \omega= & \frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \frac{1}{(m-r)!} \varepsilon^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}}{ }_{\beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{r!} \varepsilon^{\beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{r} \hat{\theta}^{\gamma_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\gamma_{r}} \\
= & \frac{(-1)^{r(m-r)}}{r!r!(m-r)!} \sum_{\alpha \beta \gamma} \omega_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r} \beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \varepsilon_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{r} \beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \\
& \times \hat{\theta}^{\gamma_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\gamma_{r}} \\
= & \frac{(-1)^{r(m-r)}}{r!} \omega_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{r}}=(-1)^{r(m-r)} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the identity

$$
\sum_{\beta_{\gamma}} \varepsilon_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r} \beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \varepsilon_{\gamma_{1} \ldots \gamma_{r} \beta_{r+1} \ldots \beta_{m}} \hat{\theta}^{\gamma_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\gamma_{r}}=r!(m-r)!\hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{r}}
$$

The proof of (7.176b) is left as an exercise to the reader (use det $\eta=-1$ ).
Thus, we find that $(-1)^{r(m-r)} * *\left(\right.$ or $\left.(-1)^{1+r(m-r)} * *\right)$ is an identity map on $\Omega^{r}(M)$. We define the inverse of $*$ by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
*^{-1}=(-1)^{r(m-r)} * & (M, g) \text { is Riemannian } \\
*^{-1}=(-1)^{1+r(m-r)} * & (M, g) \text { is Lorentzian. } \tag{7.177b}
\end{array}
$$

### 7.9.3 Inner products of $\boldsymbol{r}$-forms

Take

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \\
\eta & =\frac{1}{r!} \eta_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The exterior product $\omega \wedge * \eta$ is an $m$-form:

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega \wedge * \eta= & \frac{1}{(r!)^{2}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \eta_{\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{r}} \frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{(m-r)!} \varepsilon^{\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{r}}{ }_{\mu_{r+1} \ldots \mu_{m}} \\
= & \times \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{m}} \\
r! & \sum_{\mu \nu} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \eta^{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{r}} \frac{1}{r!(m-r)!} \varepsilon_{\nu_{1} \ldots v_{r} \mu_{r+1} \ldots \mu_{m}} \\
& \times \varepsilon_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r} \mu_{r+1} \ldots \mu_{m}} \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \\
= & \frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \eta^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m} . \tag{7.178}
\end{align*}
$$

This expression shows that the product is symmetric:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \wedge * \eta=\eta \wedge * \omega . \tag{7.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}\right\}$ be the non-coordinate basis and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega & =\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \hat{\theta}^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{r}} \\
\eta & =\frac{1}{r!} \eta_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{\alpha_{r}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (7.178) is rewritten as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \wedge * \eta=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \eta^{\alpha_{1} \ldots \alpha_{r}} \hat{\theta}^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \hat{\theta}^{m} \tag{7.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha \wedge * \beta$ is an $m$-form, its integral over $M$ is well defined. Define the inner product $(\omega, \eta)$ of two $r$-forms by

$$
\begin{align*}
(\omega, \eta) & \equiv \int \omega \wedge * \eta \\
& =\frac{1}{r!} \int_{M} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \eta^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \tag{7.181}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\omega \wedge * \eta=\eta \wedge * \omega$, the inner product is symmetric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\omega, \eta)=(\eta, \omega) . \tag{7.182}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $(M, g)$ is Riemannian, the inner product is positive definite,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha, \alpha) \geq 0 \tag{7.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the equality holds only when $\alpha=0$. This is not true if $(M, g)$ is Lorentzian.

### 7.9.4 Adjoints of exterior derivatives

Definition 7.6. Let d : $\Omega^{r-1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r}(M)$ be the exterior derivative operator. The adjoint exterior derivative operator $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1}(M)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}=(-1)^{m r+m+1} * \mathrm{~d} * \tag{7.184a}
\end{equation*}
$$

if $(M, g)$ is Riemannian and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}=(-1)^{m r+m} * \mathrm{~d} * \tag{7.184b}
\end{equation*}
$$

if Lorentzian, where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$.
In summary, we have the following diagram (for a Riemannian manifold),


The operator $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}$ is nilpotent since d is: $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger 2}=* \mathrm{~d} * * \mathrm{~d} * \propto * \mathrm{~d}^{2} *=0$.
Theorem 7.5. Let $(M, g)$ be a compact orientable manifold without a boundary and $\alpha \in \Omega^{r}(M), \beta \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathrm{d} \beta, \alpha)=\left(\beta, \mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \alpha\right) \tag{7.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Since both $\mathrm{d} \beta \wedge * \alpha$ and $\beta \wedge * \mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \alpha$ are $m$-forms, their integrals over $M$ are well defined. Let dact on $\beta \wedge * \alpha$,

$$
\mathrm{d}(\beta \wedge * \alpha)=\mathrm{d} \beta \wedge * \alpha-(-1)^{r} \beta \wedge \mathrm{~d} * \alpha
$$

Suppose $(M, g)$ is Riemannian. Noting that $\mathrm{d} * \alpha$ is an $(m-r+1)$-form and inserting the identity map $(-1)^{(m-r+1)[m-(m-r+1)]} * *=(-1)^{m r+m+r+1} * *$ in front of $\mathrm{d} * \alpha$ in the second term, we have

$$
\mathrm{d}(\beta \wedge * \alpha)=\mathrm{d} \beta \wedge * \alpha-(-1)^{m r+m+1} \beta \wedge *(* \mathrm{~d} * \alpha)
$$

Integrating this equation over $M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} \beta \wedge * \alpha-\int_{M} \beta \wedge *\left[(-1)^{m r+m+1} * \mathrm{~d} * \alpha\right] & =\int_{M} \mathrm{~d}(\beta \wedge * \alpha) \\
& =\int_{\partial M} \beta \wedge * \alpha=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality follows by assumption. This shows that $(\mathrm{d} \beta, \alpha)=$ $\left(\beta, \mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \alpha\right)$. The reader should check how the proof is modified when $(M, g)$ is Lorentzian.

### 7.9.5 The Laplacian, harmonic forms and the Hodge decomposition theorem

Definition 7.7. The Laplacian $\Delta: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r}(M)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d} \tag{7.187}
\end{equation*}
$$

As an example, we obtain the explicit form of $\Delta: \Omega^{0}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{0}(M)$. Let $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. Since $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} f=0$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta f & =\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d} f=-* \mathrm{~d} *\left(\partial_{\mu} f \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}\right) \\
& =-* \mathrm{~d}\left(\frac{\sqrt{|g|}}{(m-1)!} \partial_{\mu} f g^{\mu \lambda} \varepsilon_{\lambda \nu_{2} \ldots \nu_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{m}}\right) \\
& =-* \frac{1}{(m-1)!} \partial_{\nu}\left[\sqrt{|g|} g^{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\mu} f\right] \varepsilon_{\lambda \nu_{2} \ldots \nu_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu_{2}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{m}} \\
& =-* \partial_{\nu}\left[\sqrt{|g|} g^{\nu \mu} \partial_{\mu} f\right] g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{m} \\
& =-\frac{1}{\sqrt{|g|}} \partial_{\nu}\left[\sqrt{|g|} g^{\nu \mu} \partial_{\mu} f\right] . \tag{7.188}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 7.21. Take a one-form $\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ in the Euclidean space $\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, \delta\right)$. Show that

$$
\Delta \omega=-\sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \frac{\partial^{2} \omega_{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu} \partial x^{\mu}} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

Example 7.16. In example 5.11, it was shown that half of the Maxwell equations are reduced to the identity, $\mathrm{d} F=\mathrm{d}^{2} A=0$, where $A=A_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ is the vector potential one-form and $F=\mathrm{d} A$ is the electromagnetic two-form. Let $\rho$ be the electric charge density and $\boldsymbol{j}$ the electric current density and form the current oneform $j=\eta_{\mu \nu} j^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}=-\rho \mathrm{d} t+\boldsymbol{j} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{x}$. Then the remaining Maxwell equations become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} F=\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d} A=j \tag{7.189a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The component expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}=\rho \quad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}=\boldsymbol{j} \tag{7.189b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The vector potential $A$ has a large number of degrees of freedom and we can always choose an $A$ which satisfies the Lorentz condition $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} A=0$. Then (7.189a) becomes ( $\left.\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}\right) A=\Delta A=j$.

Let $(M, g)$ be a compact Riemannian manifold. The Laplacian $\Delta$ is a positive operator on $M$ in the sense that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\omega, \Delta \omega)=\left(\omega,\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}+\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger}\right) \omega\right)=(\mathrm{d} \omega, \mathrm{~d} \omega)+\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \omega, \mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \omega\right) \geq 0 \tag{7.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (7.183) has been used. An $r$-form $\omega$ is called harmonic if $\Delta \omega=0$ and closed (coclosed) if $\mathrm{d} \omega=0\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \omega=0\right)$. The following theorem is a direct consequence of (7.190).
Theorem 7.6. An $r$-form $\omega$ is harmonic if and only if $\omega$ is closed and coclosed.
An $r$-form $\omega$ is called coexact if it is written globally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}=\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1} \tag{7.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta_{r+1} \in \Omega^{r+1}(M)$ [cf a form $\omega_{r} \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ is exact if $\omega_{r}=\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}$, $\left.\alpha_{r-1} \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)\right]$. We denote the set of harmonic $r$-forms on $M$ by $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ and the set of exact $r$-forms (coexact $r$-forms) by $\mathrm{d} \Omega^{r-1}(M)\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \Omega^{r+1}(M)\right)$. [Note: The set of exact $r$-forms has been denoted by $B^{r}(M)$ so far.]

Theorem 7.7. (Hodge decomposition theorem) Let $(M, g)$ be a compact orientable Riemannian manifold without a boundary. Then $\Omega^{r}(M)$ is uniquely decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{r}(M)=\mathrm{d} \Omega^{r-1}(M) \oplus \mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \Omega^{r+1}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M) \tag{7.192a}
\end{equation*}
$$

[That is, any $r$-form $\omega_{r}$ is written globally as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}=\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}+\gamma_{r} \tag{7.192b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{r-1} \in \Omega^{r-1}(M), \beta_{r+1} \in \Omega^{r+1}(M)$ and $\gamma_{r} \in \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$.]
If $r=0$, we define $\Omega^{-1}(M)=\{0\}$. The proof of this theorem requires the results of the following two easy exercises.
Exercise 7.22. Let $(M, g)$ be as given in theorem 7.7. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}, \mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}, \gamma_{r}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}, \gamma_{r}\right)=0 \tag{7.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show also that if $\omega_{r} \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}, \omega_{r}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}, \omega_{r}\right)=\left(\gamma_{r}, \omega_{r}\right)=0 \tag{7.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1} \in \mathrm{~d} \Omega^{r-1}(M), \mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1} \in \mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \Omega^{r+1}(M)$ and $\gamma_{r} \in \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$, then $\omega_{r}=0$.

Exercise 7.23. Suppose $\omega_{r} \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ is written as $\omega_{r}=\Delta \psi_{r}$ for some $\psi_{r} \in$ $\Omega^{r}(M)$. Show that $\left(\omega_{r}, \gamma_{r}\right)=0$ for any $\gamma_{r} \in \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$. The proof of the converse 'if $\omega_{r}$ is orthogonal to any harmonic $r$-form, then $\omega_{r}$ is written as $\Delta \psi_{r}$ for some $\psi_{r} \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ ' is highly technical and we just state that the operator $\Delta^{-1}$ (the Green function) is well defined in the present problem and $\psi_{r}$ is given by $\Delta^{-1} \omega_{r}$.

Let $P: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ be a projection operator to the space of harmonic $r$-forms. Take an element $\omega_{r} \in \Omega^{r}(M)$. Since $\omega_{r}-P \omega_{r}$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$, it can be written as $\Delta \psi_{r}$ for some $\psi_{r} \in \Omega^{r}(M)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}=\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \psi_{r}\right)+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\left(\mathrm{d} \psi_{r}\right)+P \omega_{r} \tag{7.195}
\end{equation*}
$$

This realizes the decomposition of theorem 7.7.

### 7.9.6 Harmonic forms and de Rham cohomology groups

We show that any element of the de Rham cohomology group has a unique harmonic representative. Let $\left[\omega_{r}\right] \in H^{r}(M)$. We first show that $\omega_{r} \in$ $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M) \oplus \mathrm{d} \Omega^{r-1}(M)$. According to (7.192b), $\omega_{r}$ is decomposed as $\omega_{r}=$ $\gamma_{r}+\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}$. Since $\mathrm{d} \omega_{r}=0$, we have

$$
0=\left(\mathrm{d} \omega_{r}, \beta_{r+1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}, \beta_{r+1}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}, \mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}\right)
$$

This is satisfied if and only if $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \beta_{r+1}=0$. Hence, $\omega_{r}=\gamma_{r}+\mathrm{d} \alpha_{r-1}$. From (7.195) we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{r}=P \omega_{r}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \psi\right)=P \omega_{r}+\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger} \Delta^{-1} \omega_{r} . \tag{7.196a}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\gamma_{r} \equiv P \omega_{r}$ is the harmonic representative of $\left[\omega_{r}\right]$. Let $\widetilde{\omega}_{r}$ be another representative of $\left[\omega_{r}\right]: \widetilde{\omega}_{r}-\omega_{r}=\mathrm{d} \eta_{r-1}, \eta_{r-1} \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)$. Corresponding to (7.196a), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\omega}_{r}=P \widetilde{\omega}_{r}+\mathrm{d}\left(\mathrm{~d}^{\dagger} \Delta^{-1} \widetilde{\omega}_{r}\right)=P \omega_{r}+\mathrm{d}(\ldots) \tag{7.196b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality follows since $\mathrm{d} \eta_{r-1}$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ and hence its projection to $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ vanishes. (7.196a) and (7.196b) show that $\left[\omega_{r}\right.$ ] has a unique harmonic representative $P \omega_{r}$.

This proof shows that $H^{r}(M) \subset \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$. Now we prove that $H^{r}(M) \supset$ $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$. Since $\mathrm{d} \gamma_{r}=0$ for any $\gamma_{r} \in \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$, we find that $Z^{r}(M) \supset$ $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$. We also have $B^{r}(M) \cap \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)=\emptyset$ since $B^{r}(M)=\mathrm{d} \Omega^{r-1}(M)$, see (7.192a). Thus, every element of $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ is a non-trivial member of $H^{r}(M)$ and we find that $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ is a vector subspace of $H^{r}(M)$ and hence $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M) \subset H^{r}(M)$. We have proved:

Theorem 7.8. (Hodge's theorem) On a compact orientable Riemannian manifold $(M, g), H^{r}(M)$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}(M) \cong \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M) \tag{7.197}
\end{equation*}
$$

The isomorphism is provided by identifying $[\omega] \in H^{r}(M)$ with $P \omega \in$ $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$.

In particular, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)=\operatorname{dim} H^{r}(M)=b^{r} \tag{7.198}
\end{equation*}
$$

$b^{r}$ being the Betti number. The Euler characteristic is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\sum(-1)^{r} b^{r}=\sum(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M) \tag{7.199}
\end{equation*}
$$

see theorem 3.7. We note that the LHS is a topological quantity while the RHS is an analytical quantity given by the eigenvalue problem of the Laplacian $\Delta$.

### 7.10 Aspects of general relativity

### 7.10.1 Introduction to general relativity

The general theory of relativity is one of the most beautiful and successful theories in classical physics. There is no disagreement between the theory and astrophysical and cosmological observations such as solar system tests, gravitational radiation from pulsars, gravitational red shifts, the recently discovered gravitational lens effect and so on. Readers not very familiar with general relativity may consult Berry (1989) or the primer by Price (1982).

Einstein proposed the following principles to construct the general theory of relativity
(I) Principle of General Relativity: All laws in physics take the same forms in any coordinate system.
(II) Principle of Equivalence: There exists a coordinate system in which the effect of a gravitational field vanishes locally. (An observer in a freely falling lift does not feel gravity until it crashes.)

Any theory of gravity must reduce to Newton's theory of gravity in the weakfield limit. In Newton's theory, the gravitational potential $\Phi$ satisfies the Poisson equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \Phi=4 \pi G \rho \tag{7.200}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\rho$ is the mass density. The Einstein equation generalizes this classical result so that the principle of general relativity is satisfied.

In general relativity, the gravitational potential is replaced by the components of the metric tensor. Then, instead of the LHS of (7.200), we have the Einstein tensor defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu} \equiv \operatorname{Ric} c_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{R} \tag{7.201}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the mass density is replaced by a more general object called the energy-momentum tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$. The Einstein equation takes a very similar form to (7.200):

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}=8 \pi G T_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.202}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant $8 \pi G$ is chosen so that (7.202) reproduces the Newtonian result in the weak-field limit. The tensor $T_{\mu \nu}$ is obtained from the matter action by the variational principle. From Noether's theorem, $T_{\mu \nu}$ must satisfy a conservation equation of the form $\nabla_{\mu} T^{\mu \nu}=0$. A similar conservation law holds for $G_{\mu \nu}$ (but not for Ric $c_{\mu \nu}$ ). We shall see in the next subsection that the LHS of (7.202) is also obtained from the variational principle.

Exercise 7.24. Consider a metric

$$
g_{00}=-1-\frac{2 \Phi}{c^{2}} \quad g_{0 i}=0 \quad g_{i j}=\delta_{i j} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq 3
$$

and $T_{\mu \nu}$ given by $T_{00}=\rho c^{2}, T_{0 i}=T_{i j}=0$ which corresponds to dust at rest. Show that (7.202) reduces to the Poisson equation in the weak-field limit $\left(\Phi / c^{2} \ll 1\right)$.

### 7.10.2 Einstein-Hilbert action

This and the next example are taken from Weinberg (1972). The general theory of relativity describes the dynamics of the geometry, that is, the dynamics of $g_{\mu \nu}$. What is the action principle for this theory? As usual, we require that the relevant action should be a scalar. Moreover, it should contain the derivatives of $g_{\mu \nu}: \int \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d}^{m} x$ cannot describe the dynamics of the metric. The simplest guess will be $S_{\text {EH }} \propto \int \mathcal{R} \sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d}^{m} x$. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is a scalar and $\sqrt{|g|} \mathrm{d} x^{1} \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \ldots \mathrm{~d} x^{m}$ is the invariant volume element, $S_{\mathrm{EH}}$ is a scalar. In the following, we show that $S_{\text {EH }}$ indeed yields the Einstein equation under the variation with respect to the metric. Our connection is restricted to the Levi-Civita connection. We first prove a technical proposition.

Proposition 7.2. Let ( $M, g$ ) be a (pseudo-)Riemannian manifold. Under the variation $g_{\mu \nu} \rightarrow g_{\mu \nu}+\delta g_{\mu \nu}, g^{\mu \nu}, g$ and $R i c_{\mu \nu}$ change as
(a) $\delta g^{\mu \nu}=-g^{\mu \kappa} g^{\lambda \nu} \delta g_{\kappa \lambda}$
(b) $\delta g=g g^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu}, \quad \delta \sqrt{|g|}=\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{|g|} g^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu}$
(c) $\delta$ Ric $c_{\mu \nu}=\nabla_{\kappa} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu}-\nabla_{\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu} \quad$ (Palatini identity).

Proof. (a) From $g_{\kappa \lambda} g^{\lambda \nu}=\delta_{\kappa}{ }^{\nu}$, it follows that

$$
0=\delta\left(g_{\kappa \lambda} g^{\lambda \nu}\right)=\delta g_{\kappa \lambda} g^{\lambda \nu}+g_{\kappa \lambda} \delta g^{\lambda \nu} .
$$

Multiplying by $g^{\mu \kappa}$ we find that $\delta g^{\mu \nu}=-g^{\mu \kappa} g^{\lambda \nu} \delta g_{\kappa \lambda}$.
(b) We first note the matrix identity $\ln \left(\operatorname{det} g_{\mu \nu}\right)=\operatorname{tr}\left(\ln g_{\mu \nu}\right)$. This can be proved by diagonalizing $g_{\mu \nu}$. Under the variation $\delta g_{\mu \nu}$, the LHS becomes $\delta g \cdot g^{-1}$ while the RHS yields $g^{\mu \nu} \cdot \delta g_{\mu \nu}$, hence $\delta g=g g^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu}$. The rest of (7.204) is easily derived from this.
(c) Let $\Gamma$ and $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ be two connections. The difference $\delta \Gamma \equiv \widetilde{\Gamma}-\Gamma$ is a tensor of type (1,2), see exercise 7.5 . In the present case, we take $\widetilde{\Gamma}$ to be a connection associated with $g+\delta g$ and $\Gamma$ with $g$. We will work in the normal coordinate system in which $\Gamma \equiv 0$ (of course $\partial \Gamma \neq 0$ in general); see section 7.4. We find

$$
\delta \operatorname{Ric}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\kappa} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu}-\partial_{\nu} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu}=\nabla_{\kappa} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu}-\nabla_{\nu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu} .
$$

[The reader should verify the second equality.] Since both sides are tensors, this is valid in any coordinate system.

We define the Einstein-Hilbert action by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{EH}} \equiv \frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int \mathcal{R} \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \tag{7.206}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant factor $1 / 16 \pi G$ is introduced to reproduce the Newtonian limit when matter is added; see (7.214). We prove that $\delta S_{\mathrm{EH}}=0$ leads to the vacuum Einstein equation. Under the variation $g \rightarrow g+\delta g$ such that $\delta g \rightarrow 0$ as $|x| \rightarrow 0$, the integrand changes as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta(\mathcal{R} \sqrt{-g})= & \delta\left(g^{\mu \nu} \operatorname{Ri}_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g}\right) \\
= & \delta g^{\mu \nu} \operatorname{Ric}_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g}+g^{\mu \nu} \delta \operatorname{Ri} c_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g}+\mathcal{R} \delta(\sqrt{-g}) \\
= & -g^{\mu \kappa} g^{\lambda \nu} \delta g_{\kappa \lambda} \operatorname{Ric} c_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g} \\
& +g^{\mu \nu}\left(\nabla_{\kappa} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu}-\nabla_{\nu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu}\right) \sqrt{-g}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} \sqrt{-g} g^{\mu \nu} \delta g_{\mu \nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We note that the second term is a total divergence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \nabla_{\kappa}\left(g^{\mu \nu} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \mu} \sqrt{-g}\right)-\nabla_{\nu}\left(g^{\mu \nu} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu} \sqrt{-g}\right) \\
& \quad=\partial_{\kappa}\left(g^{\mu \nu} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g}\right)-\partial_{\nu}\left(g^{\mu \nu} \delta \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu} \sqrt{-g}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence does not contribute to the variation. From the remaining terms we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S_{\mathrm{EH}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int\left(-R i c^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R} g^{\mu \nu}\right) \delta g_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \tag{7.207}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we require that $\delta S_{\mathrm{EH}}=0$ under any variation $\delta g$, we obtain the vacuum Einstein equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}=\operatorname{Ric}_{\mu \nu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{R}=0 \tag{7.208}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the symmetric tensor $G$ is called the Einstein tensor.
So far we have considered the gravitational field only. Suppose there exists matter described by an action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathrm{M}} \equiv \int \mathcal{L}(\phi) \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \tag{7.209}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{L}(\phi)$ is the Lagrangian density of the theory. Typical examples are the real scalar field and the Maxwell fields,

$$
\begin{align*}
S_{\mathrm{S}} & \equiv-\frac{1}{2} \int\left[g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi+m^{2} \phi^{2}\right] \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x  \tag{7.210a}\\
S_{\mathrm{ED}} & \equiv-\frac{1}{4} \int F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \tag{7.210b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}=\nabla_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\nabla_{\nu} A_{\mu}$. If the matter action changes by $\delta S_{\mathrm{M}}$ under $\delta g$, the energy-momentum tensor $T^{\mu \nu}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta S_{\mathrm{M}}=\frac{1}{2} \int T^{\mu v} \delta g_{\mu \nu} \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \tag{7.211}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\delta g_{\mu \nu}$ is symmetric, $T^{\mu \nu}$ is also taken to be so. For example, $T_{\mu \nu}$ of a real scalar field is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
T_{\mu \nu}(x) & =2 \frac{1}{\sqrt{-g}} \frac{\delta}{\delta g^{\mu \nu}(x)} S_{\mathrm{S}} \\
& =\partial_{\mu} \phi \partial_{\nu} \phi-\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}\left(g^{\kappa \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} \phi \partial_{\lambda} \phi+m^{2} \phi^{2}\right) \tag{7.212}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose we have a gravitational field coupled with a matter field whose action is $S_{\mathrm{M}}$. Now our action principle is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta\left(S_{\mathrm{EH}}+S_{\mathrm{M}}\right)=0 \tag{7.213}
\end{equation*}
$$

under $g \rightarrow g+\delta g$. From (7.207) and (7.211), we obtain the Einstein equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{\mu \nu}=8 \pi G T_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.214}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 7.25. We may add an extra scalar to the scalar curvature without spoiling the invariance of the action. For example, we can add a constant called the cosmological constant $\Lambda$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{S}_{\mathrm{EH}}=\frac{1}{16 \pi G} \int_{M}(\mathcal{R}+\Lambda) \sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \tag{7.215}
\end{equation*}
$$

Write down the vacuum Einstein equation. Other possible scalars may be such terms as $\mathcal{R}^{2}$, Ric $^{\mu \nu}$ Ric $c_{\mu \nu}$ or $R_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} R^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}$.

### 7.10.3 Spinors in curved spacetime

For concreteness, we consider a Dirac spinor $\psi$ in a four-dimensional Lorentz manifold $M$. The vierbein $e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \nu}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} e^{\beta}{ }_{\nu} \eta_{\alpha \beta} \tag{7.216}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines an orthonormal frame $\left\{\hat{\theta}^{\alpha}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$ at each point $p \in M$. As noted before, $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \ldots$ are the local orthonormal indices while $\mu, \nu, \lambda, \ldots$ are the coordinate indices. With respect to this frame, the Dirac matrices $\gamma^{\alpha}=e^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu} \gamma^{\mu}$ satisfy $\left\{\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}\right\}=2 \eta^{\alpha \beta}$. Under a local Lorentz transformation $\Lambda^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}(p)$, the Dirac spinor transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(p) \rightarrow \rho(\Lambda) \psi(p) \quad \bar{\psi}(p) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(p) \rho(\Lambda)^{-1} \tag{7.217}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{0}$ and $\rho(\Lambda)$ is the spinor representation of $\Lambda$. To construct an invariant action, we seek a covariant derivative $\nabla_{\alpha} \psi$ which is a local Lorentz vector and transforms as a spinor,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \psi \rightarrow \rho(\Lambda) \Lambda_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} \nabla_{\beta} \psi \tag{7.218}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we find such a $\nabla_{\alpha} \psi$, an invariant Lagrangian may be given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\bar{\psi}\left(\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\alpha} \nabla_{\alpha}+m\right) \psi \tag{7.219}
\end{equation*}
$$

$m$ being the mass of $\psi$. We note that $e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi$ transforms under $\Lambda(p)$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \psi \rightarrow \Lambda_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta} e_{\beta}{ }^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} \rho(\Lambda) \psi=\Lambda_{\alpha}{ }^{\eta} e_{\beta}{ }^{\mu}\left[\rho(\Lambda) \partial_{\mu} \psi+\partial_{\mu} \rho(\Lambda) \psi\right] \tag{7.220}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\nabla_{\alpha}$ is of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\alpha} \psi=e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}\left[\partial_{\mu}+\Omega_{\mu}\right] \psi \tag{7.221}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.218) and (7.220), we find that $\Omega_{\mu}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mu} \rightarrow \rho(\Lambda) \Omega_{\mu} \rho(\Lambda)^{-1}-\partial_{\mu} \rho(\Lambda) \rho(\Lambda)^{-1} \tag{7.222}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the explicit form of $\Omega_{\mu}$, we consider an infinitesimal local Lorentz transformation $\Lambda_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}(p)=\delta_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}+\varepsilon_{\alpha}{ }^{\beta}(p)$. The Dirac spinor transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow \exp \left[\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right] \psi \simeq\left[1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right] \psi \tag{7.223}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma_{\alpha \beta} \equiv \frac{1}{4} \mathrm{i}\left[\gamma_{\alpha}, \gamma_{\beta}\right]$ is the spinor representation of the generators of the Lorentz transformation. $\Sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ satisfies the $\mathfrak{o}(1,3)$ Lie algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i}\left[\Sigma_{\alpha \beta}, \Sigma_{\gamma \delta}\right]=\eta_{\gamma \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \delta}-\eta_{\gamma \alpha} \Sigma_{\beta \delta}+\eta_{\delta \beta} \Sigma_{\gamma \alpha}-\eta_{\delta \alpha} \Sigma_{\gamma \beta} \tag{7.224}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the same Lorentz transformation, $\Omega_{\mu}$ transforms as

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega_{\mu} & \rightarrow\left(1+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right) \Omega_{\mu}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\gamma \delta} \Sigma_{\gamma \delta}\right)-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\gamma \delta} \Sigma_{\gamma \delta}\right) \\
& =\Omega_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}\left[\Sigma_{\alpha \beta}, \Omega_{\mu}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta} . \tag{7.225}
\end{align*}
$$

We recall that the connection one-form $\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ transforms under an infinitesimal Lorentz transformation as (see (7.152))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \rightarrow \omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}+\varepsilon^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta}-\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \varepsilon^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta}-\mathrm{d} \varepsilon^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \tag{7.226a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or in components,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \beta} \rightarrow \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \beta}+\varepsilon^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\mu \beta}-\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \gamma} \varepsilon^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta}-\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} . \tag{7.226b}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (7.224), (7.225) and (7.226b), we find that the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} e^{\alpha}{ }_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} e^{\beta \nu} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta} \tag{7.227}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies the transformation property (7.222). In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta}+\varepsilon^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta}-\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \gamma} \varepsilon^{\gamma \beta}-\partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}\right) \Sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(\varepsilon^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}-\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \gamma} \varepsilon^{\gamma \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}{ }_{\mu}{ }_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta}\left[\Sigma_{\alpha \beta}, \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\gamma}{ }_{\mu}{ }^{\delta} \Sigma_{\gamma \delta}\right]-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \partial_{\mu} \varepsilon^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We finally obtain the Lagrangian which is a scalar both under coordinate changes and local Lorentz rotations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \equiv \bar{\psi}\left[\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\beta}{ }_{\mu}^{\gamma} \Sigma_{\beta \gamma}\right)+m\right] \psi \tag{7.228}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the scalar action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\psi} \equiv \int_{M} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi}\left[\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma_{\mu}^{\beta}{ }_{\mu}^{\gamma} \Sigma_{\beta \gamma}\right)+m\right] \psi \tag{7.229a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\psi$ is coupled to the gauge field $\mathcal{A}$, the action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\psi}=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d}^{4} x \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi}\left[\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma^{\beta}{ }_{\mu}^{\gamma} \Sigma_{\beta \gamma}\right)+m\right] \psi \tag{7.229b}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that the spin connection term vanishes if $\operatorname{dim} M=2$. To see this, we rewrite (7.229a) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\psi}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \sqrt{-g} \bar{\psi}\left[\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \overleftrightarrow{\partial_{\mu}}+\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \Gamma_{\mu}^{\beta}{ }_{\mu}^{\gamma}\left\{\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}, \Sigma_{\beta \gamma}\right\}+m\right] \psi \tag{7.229a'}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma^{\mu}=\gamma^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}$ and we have added total derivatives to the Lagrangian to make it Hermitian. The non-vanishing components of $\Sigma$ are $\Sigma_{01} \propto\left[\gamma_{0}, \gamma_{1}\right] \propto \gamma_{3}$, where $\gamma_{3}$ is the two-dimensional analogue of $\gamma_{5}$. Since $\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma_{3}\right\}=0$, the spin connection term drops out from $S_{\psi}$.

### 7.11 Bosonic string theory

Quantum field theory (QFT) is occasionally called particle physics since it deals with the dynamics of particles. As far as high-energy processes whose typical energy is much smaller than the Planck energy $\left(\sim 10^{19} \mathrm{GeV}\right)$ are concerned there is no objection to this viewpoint. However, once we try to quantize gravity in this framework, there exists an impenetrable barrier. We do not know how to renormalize the ultraviolet divergences that are ubiquitous in the QFT of gravity. In the early 1980s, physicists tried to construct a consistent theory of gravity by introducing supersymmetry. In spite of a partial improvement, the resulting supergravity could not tame the ultraviolet behaviour completely.

In the late 1960s and early 1970s, the dual resonance model was extensively studied as a candidate for a model of hadrons. In this, particles are replaced by one-dimensional objects called strings. Unfortunately, it turned out that the theory contained tachyons (imaginary mass particles) and spin-2 particles and, moreover, it is consistent only in 26 -dimensional spacetime! Due to these difficulties, the theory was abandoned and taken over by quantum chromodynamics (QCD). However, a small number of people noticed that the theory must contain the graviton and they thought it could be a candidate for the quantum theory of gravity.


Figure 7.9. The trajectories of an open string (a) and a closed string (b). Slices of the trajectories at fixed parameter $\tau_{0}$ are also shown.

Nowadays, supersymmetry has been built into string theory to form the superstring theory, which is free of tachyons and consistent in ten-dimensional spacetime. There are several candidates for consistent superstring theories. It is sometimes suggested that complete mathematical consistency will single out a unique theory of everything (TOE).

In this book, we study the elementary aspects of bosonic string theory in the final chapter. We also study some mathematical tools relevant for superstrings. The classical review is that of Scherk (1975). We give more references in chapter 14.

### 7.11.1 The string action

The trajectory of a particle in a $D$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime is given by the set of $D$ functions $X^{\mu}(\tau), 1 \leq \mu \leq D$, where $\tau$ parametrizes the trajectory. A string is a one-dimensional object and its configuration is parametrized by two numbers ( $\sigma, \tau$ ), $\sigma$ being spacelike and $\tau$ timelike. Its position in $D$-dimensional Minkowski spacetime is given by $X^{\mu}(\sigma, \tau)$, see figure 7.9. The parameter $\sigma$ can be normalized as $\sigma \in[0, \pi]$. A string may be open or closed. We now seek an action that governs the dynamics of strings.

We first note that the action of a relativistic particle is the length of the world line,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \equiv m \int_{s_{\mathrm{i}}}^{s_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{~d} s=m \int_{\tau_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\tau_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left(-\dot{X}^{\mu} \dot{X}_{\mu}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{7.230}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\dot{X}^{\mu} \equiv \mathrm{d} X^{\mu} / \mathrm{d} \tau$. For some purposes, it is convenient to take another expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d} \tau \sqrt{g}\left(g^{-1} \dot{X}^{\mu} \dot{X}_{\mu}-m^{2}\right) \tag{7.231}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the auxiliary variable $g \equiv g_{\tau \tau}$ is regarded as a metric.
Exercise 7.26. Write down the Euler-Lagrange equations derived from (7.231). Eliminate $g$ from (7.231) making use of the equation of motion to reproduce (7.230).

What is the advantage of (7.231) over (7.230)? We first note that (7.231) makes sense even when $m^{2}=0$, while (7.230) vanishes in this case. Second, (7.231) is quadratic in $X$ while the $X$-dependence of (7.230) is rather complicated.

Nambu (1970) proposed an action describing the strings, which is proportional to the area of the world sheet, the surface spanned by the trajectory of a string. Clearly this is a generalization of the length of the world line of a particle. He proposed the Nambu action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{2 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \mathrm{d} \sigma \int_{\tau_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\tau_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{~d} \tau\left[-\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \tag{7.232}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi^{0}=\tau, \xi^{1}=\sigma$ and $\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \equiv \partial X^{\mu} / \partial \xi^{\alpha}$. The parameter $\tau_{\mathrm{i}}\left(\tau_{\mathrm{f}}\right)$ is the initial (final) value of the parameter $\tau$ while $\alpha^{\prime}$ is a parameter corresponding to the inverse string tension (the Regge slope).

Exercise 7.27. The action $S$ is required to have no dimension. We take $\sigma$ and $\tau$ to be dimensionless. Show that the dimension of $\alpha^{\prime}$ is [length] ${ }^{2}$.

Although the action provides a nice geometrical picture, it is not quadratic in $X$ and it turned out that the quantization of the theory was rather difficult. Let us seek an equivalent action which is easier to quantize. We proceed analogously to the case of point particles. A quadratic action for strings is called the Polyakov action (Polyakov 1981) and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{4 \pi \alpha^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{\pi} \mathrm{d} \sigma \int_{\tau_{\mathrm{i}}}^{\tau_{\mathrm{f}}} \mathrm{~d} \tau \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu} \tag{7.233}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g=\operatorname{det} g_{\alpha \beta}$ and $g^{\alpha \beta}=\left(g^{-1}\right)^{\alpha \beta}$. If the string is open, the trajectory is a sheet while if it is closed, it is a tube, see figure 7.9. It is shown here that the action (7.233) agrees with (7.232) upon eliminating $g$. It should be noted though that this is true only for the Lagrangian. There is no guarantee that this remains true at the quantum level. It has been shown that the quantum theory based on the respective Lagrangians agrees only for $D=26$. The action (7.233) is invariant under
(i) local reparametrization of the world sheet

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) \quad \sigma \rightarrow \sigma^{\prime}(\tau, \sigma) \tag{7.234a}
\end{equation*}
$$

(ii) Weyl rescaling

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow g_{\alpha \beta}^{\prime} \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\phi(\sigma, \tau)} g_{\alpha \beta} \tag{7.234b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(iii) global Poincaré invariance

$$
X^{\mu} \rightarrow X^{\mu \prime} \equiv \Lambda_{\nu}^{\mu} X^{\nu}+a^{\mu} \quad \Lambda \in \operatorname{SO}(D-1,1) \quad a \in \mathbb{R}^{D} .(7.234 \mathrm{c})
$$

These symmetries will be worked out later.
Exercise 7.28. Taking advantage of symmetries (i) and (iii), it is always possible to choose $g_{\alpha \beta}$ in the form $g_{\alpha \beta}=\eta_{\alpha \beta}$. Write down the equation of motion for $X^{\mu}$ to show that it obeys the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} \partial_{\beta} X^{\mu}=0 \tag{7.235}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 7.11.2 Symmetries of the Polyakov strings

The bosonic string theory is defined on a two-dimensional Lorentz manifold $(M, g)$. The embedding $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{D}$ is defined by $\xi^{\alpha} \mapsto X^{\mu}$ where $\left\{\xi^{\alpha}\right\}=(\tau, \sigma)$ are the local coordinates of $M$. We assume the physical spacetime is Minkowskian $\left(\mathbb{R}^{D}, \eta\right)$ for simplicity. The Polyakov action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu} \eta_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.236}
\end{equation*}
$$

is left invariant under the coordinate reparametrization $\operatorname{Diff}(M)$ since the volume element $\sqrt{-g} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi$ is invariant and $g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}$ is a scalar.

Now we are ready to derive the equation of motion. Our variational parameters are the embedding $X^{\mu}$ and the geometry $g_{\alpha \beta}$. Under the variation $\delta X^{\mu}$, we have the Euler-Lagrange equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\alpha}\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}\right)=0 \tag{7.237a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the variation $\delta g_{\alpha \beta}$, the integrand of $S$ changes as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta\left(\sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}\right)= & \delta \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}+\sqrt{-g} \delta g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu} \\
= & -\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{-g} g_{\gamma \delta} \delta g^{\gamma \delta} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu} \\
& +\sqrt{-g} \delta g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

where proposition 7.2 has been used. Since this should vanish for any variation $\delta g_{\alpha \beta}$, we should have

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{\alpha \beta}=\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta}\left(g^{\gamma \delta} \partial_{\gamma} X^{\mu} \partial_{\delta} X_{\mu}\right)=0 \tag{7.237b}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is solved for $g_{\alpha \beta}$ to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta}=\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X^{\nu} \eta_{\mu \nu} \tag{7.238}
\end{equation*}
$$

showing that the induced metric (the RHS) agrees with $g_{\alpha \beta}$. Substituting (7.238) into (7.236) to eliminate $g_{\alpha \beta}$, we recover the Nambu action,

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-\operatorname{det}\left(\partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}\right)} \tag{7.239}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, the action $S$ is invariant under local reparametrization of $M,\left\{\xi^{\alpha}\right\} \rightarrow\left\{\xi^{\prime \alpha}(\xi)\right\}$. In addition to this, the action has extra invariances. Under the global Poincaré transformation in $D$-dimensional spacetime,

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\mu} \rightarrow X^{\prime \mu} \equiv \Lambda_{\nu}^{\mu} X^{\nu}+a^{\mu} \tag{7.240}
\end{equation*}
$$

the action $S$ transforms as

$$
\begin{aligned}
S & \rightarrow-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha}\left(\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa} X^{\kappa}+a^{\mu}\right) \partial_{\beta}\left(\Lambda_{\lambda}^{\nu} X^{\lambda}+a^{\nu}\right) \eta_{\mu \nu} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{-g} g^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\kappa} \partial_{\beta} X^{\lambda}\left(\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa} \Lambda^{\nu}{ }_{\lambda} \eta_{\mu \nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

From $\Lambda^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa} \Lambda^{\nu}{ }_{\lambda} \eta_{\mu \nu}=\eta_{\kappa \lambda}$, we find that $S$ is invariant under global Poincaré transformations. The action $S$ is also invariant under the Weyl rescaling, $g_{\alpha \beta}(\tau, \sigma) \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(\tau, \sigma)} g_{\alpha, \beta}(\tau, \sigma)$ keeping $(\tau, \sigma)$ fixed. In fact, $S$ transforms as
and hence is left invariant. Note that the Weyl rescaling invariance exists only when $M$ is two dimensional, making strings prominent among other extended objccts such as membranes.

Since $\operatorname{dim} M=2$, we can always parametrize the world sheet by the isothermal coordinate (example 7.9) so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\alpha \beta}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(\tau, \sigma)} \eta_{\alpha \beta} . \tag{7.241}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the Weyl rescaling invariance allows us to choose the standard metric $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$ on the world sheet. The metric $g_{\alpha \beta}$ has three independent components while the reparametrization has two degrees of freedom and the Weyl scaling invariance has one. Thus, so long as we are dealing with strings, we can choose the standard metric $\eta_{\alpha \beta}$.

We end our analysis of Polyakov strings here. Polyakov strings will be quantized in the most elegant manner in chapter 14.
Exercise 7.29. Let $(M, g)$ and $(N, h)$ be Riemannian manifolds. Take a chart $U$ of $M$ in which the metric $g$ takes the form

$$
g=g_{\mu \nu}(x) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

Take a chart $V$ of $N$ on which $h$ takes the form

$$
h=G_{\alpha \beta}(\phi) \mathrm{d} \phi^{\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{d} \phi^{\beta} .
$$

A map $\phi: M \rightarrow N$ defined by $x \mapsto \phi(x)$ is called a harmonic map if it satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{\sqrt{g}} \partial_{\mu}\left[\sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{\alpha}\right]+\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta \gamma} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{\beta} g^{\mu \nu}=0 . \tag{7.242}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that this equation is obtained by the variation of the action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{m} x \sqrt{g} g^{\mu \nu} \partial_{\mu} \phi^{\alpha} \partial_{\nu} \phi^{\beta} h_{\alpha \beta}(\phi) \tag{7.243}
\end{equation*}
$$

with respect to $\phi$. Applications of harmonic maps to physics are found in Misner (1978) and Sánchez (1988). Mathematical aspects have been reviewed in Eells and Lemaire (1968).

## Problems

7.1 Let $\nabla$ be a general connection for which the torsion tensor does not vanish. Show that the first Bianchi identity becomes

$$
\mathfrak{S}\{R(X, Y) Z\}=\mathfrak{S}\{T(X,[Y, Z])\}+\mathfrak{S}\left\{\nabla_{X}[T(Y, Z)]\right\}
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}$ is the symmetrizer defined in theorem 7.2. Show also that the second Bianchi identity is given by

$$
\mathfrak{S}\left\{\left(\nabla_{X} R\right)(Y, Z)\right\} V=\mathfrak{S}\{R(X, T(Y, Z))\} V
$$

where $\mathfrak{S}$ symmetrizes $X, Y$ and $Z$ only.
7.2 Let $(M, g)$ be a conformally flat three-dimensional manifold. Show that the Weyl-Schouten tensor defined by

$$
C_{\lambda \mu \nu} \equiv \nabla_{\nu} \operatorname{Ric}_{\lambda \mu}-\nabla_{\mu} \operatorname{Ric}_{\lambda \nu}-\frac{1}{4}\left(g_{\lambda \mu} \partial_{\nu} \mathcal{R}-g_{\lambda \nu} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{R}\right)
$$

vanishes. It is known that $C_{\lambda \mu \nu}=0$ is the necessary and sufficient condition for conformal flatness if $\operatorname{dim} M=3$.
7.3 Consider a metric

$$
g=-\mathrm{d} t \otimes \mathrm{~d} t+\mathrm{d} r \otimes \mathrm{~d} r+\left(1-4 \mu^{2}\right) r^{2} \mathrm{~d} \phi \otimes \mathrm{~d} \phi+\mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} z
$$

where $0<\mu<1 / 2$ and $\mu \neq 1 / 4$. Introduce a new variable

$$
\widetilde{\phi} \equiv(1-4 \mu) \phi
$$

and show that the metric $g$ reduces to the Minkowski metric. Does this mean that $g$ describes Minkowski spacetime? Compute the Riemann curvature tensor and show that there is a stringlike singularity at $r=0$. This singularity is conical (the spacetime is flat except along the line). This metric models the spacetime of a cosmic string.

## 8

## COMPLEX MANIFOLDS

A differentiable manifold is a topological space which admits differentiable structures. Here we introduce another structure which has relevance in physics. In elementary complex analysis, the partial derivatives are required to satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann relations. We talk not only of the differentiability but also of the analyticity of a function in this case. A complex manifold admits a complex structure in which each coordinate neighbourhood is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and the transition from one coordinate system to the other is analytic.

The reader may consult Chern (1979), Goldberg (1962) or Greene (1987) for further details. Griffiths and Harris (1978), chapter 0 is a concise survey of the present topics. For applications to physics, see Horowitz (1986) and Candelas (1988).

### 8.1 Complex manifolds

To begin with, we define a holomorphic (or analytic) map on $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. A complexvalued function $f: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic if $f=f_{1}+\mathrm{i} f_{2}$ satisfies the Cauchy-Riemann relations for each $z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+$ i $y^{\mu}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial x^{\mu}}=\frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial y^{\mu}} \quad \frac{\partial f_{2}}{\partial x^{\mu}}=-\frac{\partial f_{1}}{\partial y^{\mu}} . \tag{8.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

A map $\left(f^{1}, \ldots, f^{n}\right): \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is called holomorphic if each function $f^{\lambda}$ ( $1 \leq \lambda \leq n$ ) is holomorphic.

### 8.1.1 Definitions

Definition 8.1. $M$ is a complex manifold if the following axioms hold,
(i) $M$ is a topological space.
(ii) $M$ is provided with a family of pairs $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)\right\}$.
(iii) $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ is a family of open sets which covers $M$. The map $\varphi_{i}$ is a homeomorphism from $U_{i}$ to an open subset $U$ of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. [Hence, $M$ is even dimensional.]
(iv) Given $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$, the map $\psi_{j i}=\varphi_{j} \circ \varphi_{i}^{-1}$ from $\varphi_{i}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ to $\varphi_{j}\left(U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)$ is holomorphic.

The number $m$ is called the complex dimension of $M$ and is denoted as $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. The real dimension $2 m$ is denoted either by $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M$ or simply by $\operatorname{dim} M$. Let $z^{\mu}=\varphi_{i}(p)$ and $w^{\nu}=\varphi_{j}(p)$ be the (complex) coordinates of a point $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ in the charts $\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ and $\left(U_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right)$, respectively. Axiom (iv) asserts that the function $w^{\nu}=u^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} v^{\nu}(1 \leq \nu \leq m)$ is holomorphic in $z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}$, namely

$$
\frac{\partial u^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}=\frac{\partial v^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\nu}} \quad \frac{\partial u^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\nu}}=-\frac{\partial v^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \quad 1 \leq \mu, v \leq m
$$

These axioms ensure that calculus on complex manifolds can be carried out independently of the special coordinates chosen. For example, $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is the simplest complex manifold. A single chart covers the whole space and $\varphi$ is the identity map.

Let $\left\{\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)\right\}$ and $\left\{\left(V_{j}, \psi_{j}\right)\right\}$ be atlases of $M$. If the union of two atlases is again an atlas which satisfies the axioms of definition 8.1, they are said to define the same complex structure. A complex manifold may carry a number of complex structures (see example 8.2).

### 8.1.2 Examples

Example 8.1. In exercise 5.1, it was shown that the stereographic coordinates of a point $P(x, y, z) \in S^{2}-\{$ North Pole $\}$ projected from the North Pole are

$$
(X, Y)=\left(\frac{x}{1-z}, \frac{y}{1-z}\right)
$$

while those of a point $P(x, y, z) \in S^{2}-\{$ South Pole $\}$ projected from the South Pole are

$$
(U, V)=\left(\frac{x}{1+z}, \frac{-y}{1+z}\right)
$$

[Note the orientation of $(U, V)$ in figure 5.5.] Let us define complex coordinates

$$
Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y, \quad \bar{Z}=X-\mathrm{i} Y, \quad W=U+\mathrm{i} V, \quad \bar{W}=U-\mathrm{i} V
$$

$W$ is a holomorphic function of $Z$,

$$
W=\frac{x-\mathrm{i} y}{1+z}=\frac{1-z}{1+z}(X-\mathrm{i} Y)=\frac{X-\mathrm{i} Y}{X^{2}+Y^{2}}=\frac{1}{Z}
$$

Thus, $S^{2}$ is a complex manifold which is identified with the Riemann sphere $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$.

Example 8.2. Take a complex plane $\mathbb{C}$ and define a lattice $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \equiv\left\{\omega_{1} m+\right.$ $\left.\omega_{2} n \mid m, n \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}$ where $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are two non-vanishing complex numbers such


Figure 8.1. Two complex numbers $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ define a lattice $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ in the complex plane. $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the torus (the shaded area).
that $\omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \notin \mathbb{R}$; see figure 8.1. Without loss of generality, we may take $\operatorname{Im}\left(\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}\right)>0$. The manifold $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is obtained by identifying the points $z_{1}, z_{2} \in \mathbb{C}$ such that $z_{1}-z_{2}=\omega_{1} m+\omega_{2} n$ for some $m, n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since the opposite sides of the shaded area of figure 8.1 are identified, $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ is homeomorphic to the torus $T^{2}$. The complex structure of $\mathbb{C}$ naturally induces that of $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$. We say that the pair $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ defines a complex structure on $T^{2}$. There are many pairs $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ which give the same complex structure on $T^{2}$.

When do pairs $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)\left(\operatorname{Im}\left(\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}\right)>0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\omega_{2}^{\prime} / \omega_{1}^{\prime}\right)>0\right)$ define the same complex structure? We first note that two lattices $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $L\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$ coincide if and only if there exists a matrix ${ }^{1}$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \equiv \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}
$$

such that

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b  \tag{8.2}\\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}} .
$$

This statement is proved as follows.
Suppose

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}} \quad \text { where }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

${ }^{1}$ The group $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ has been defined in (2.4). Two matrices $A$ and $-A$ are identified in $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.

Since $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime} \in L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$, we find $L\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right) \subset L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$. From

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
d & -b \\
-c & a
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}
$$

we also find $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \subset L\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Thus, $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=L\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$. Conversely, if $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)=L\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right), \omega_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{2}^{\prime}$ are lattice points of $L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and can be written as $\omega_{1}^{\prime}=d \omega_{1}+c \omega_{2}$ and $\omega_{2}^{\prime}=b \omega_{1}+a \omega_{2}$ where $a, b, c, d \in \mathbb{Z}$. Also $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ may be expressed as $\omega_{1}=d^{\prime} \omega_{1}^{\prime}+c^{\prime} \omega_{2}^{\prime}$ and $\omega_{2}=b^{\prime} \omega_{1}^{\prime}+a^{\prime} \omega_{2}^{\prime}$ where $a^{\prime}, b^{\prime}, c^{\prime}, d^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then we have

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}}
$$

from which we find

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a^{\prime} & b^{\prime} \\
c^{\prime} & d^{\prime}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Equating the determinants of both sides, we have $\left(a^{\prime} d^{\prime}-b^{\prime} c^{\prime}\right)(a d-b c)=1$. All the entries being integers, this is possible only when $a d-b c= \pm 1$. Since

$$
\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}\right)=\operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{b \omega_{1}+a \omega_{2}}{d \omega_{1}+c \omega_{2}}\right)=\frac{a d-b c}{\left|c\left(\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}\right)+d\right|^{2}} \operatorname{Im}\left(\frac{\omega_{2}^{\prime}}{\omega_{1}^{\prime}}\right)>0
$$

we must have $a d-b c>0$, that is,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

In fact, it is clear that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

defines the same lattice as

$$
-\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right)
$$

and we have to identify those matrices of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ which differ only by their overall signature. Thus, two lattices agree if they are related by $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) \equiv$ $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z}) / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$.

Assume that there exists a one-to-one holomorphic map $h$ of $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ onto $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$ where $\operatorname{Im}\left(\omega_{2} / \omega_{1}\right)>0, \operatorname{Im}\left(\tilde{\omega}_{2} / \tilde{\omega}_{1}\right)>0$. Let $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow$ $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\tilde{p}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$ be the natural projections. For example, $p$ maps a point in $\mathbb{C}$ to an equivalent point in $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$. Choose the origin 0 and define $h_{*}(0)$ to be a point such that $\tilde{p} \circ h_{*}(0)=h \circ p(0)$ (figure 8.2),



Figure 8.2. A holomorphic bijection $h: \mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$ and the natural projections $p: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right), \tilde{p}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} / L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$ define a holomorphic bijection $h_{*}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$.

Then by analytic continuation from the origin, we obtain a one-to-one holomorphic map $h_{*}$ of $\mathbb{C}$ onto itself satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{p} \circ h_{*}(z)=h \circ p(z) \quad \text { for all } z \in \mathbb{C} \tag{8.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that the diagram (8.3) commutes. It is known that a one-to-one holomorphic map of $\mathbb{C}$ onto itself must be of the form $z \rightarrow h_{*}(z)=a z+b$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{C}$ and $a \neq 0$. We then have $h_{*}\left(\omega_{1}\right)-h_{*}(0)=a \omega_{1}$ and $h_{*}\left(\omega_{2}\right)-h_{*}(0)=a \omega_{2}$. For $h$ to be well defined as a map of $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ onto $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$, we must have $a \omega_{1}, a \omega_{2} \in L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$, see figure 8.2. By changing the roles of $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $\left(\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}\right)$, we have $\tilde{a} \tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{a} \tilde{\omega}_{1} \in L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ where $\tilde{a} \neq 0$ is a complex number. Hence, we conclude that if $\mathbb{C} / L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right), \mathbb{C} / L\left(\tilde{\omega}_{1}, \tilde{\omega}_{2}\right)$ have the same complex structure, there must be a matrix $M \in \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ and a complex number $\lambda\left(=\tilde{a}^{-1}\right)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{\tilde{\omega}_{1}}{\tilde{\omega}_{2}}=\lambda M\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}} . \tag{8.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, we verify that $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and ( $\omega_{1}^{\prime}, \omega_{2}^{\prime}$ ) related by (8.5) define the same complex structure. In fact,

$$
\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}} \quad \text { and } \quad M\binom{\omega_{1}}{\omega_{2}}
$$



Figure 8.3. The quotient space $H / \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$.
define the same lattice (modulo translation) and we may take $h_{*}: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ to be $z \mapsto z+b . L\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ and $L\left(\lambda \omega_{1}, \lambda \omega_{2}\right)$ also define the same complex structure. We take, in this case, $h_{*}: z \mapsto \lambda z+b$.

We have shown that the complex structure on $T^{2}$ is defined by a pair of complex numbers $\left(\omega_{1}, \omega_{2}\right)$ modulo a constant factor and $\operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$. To get rid of the constant factor, we introduce the modular parameter $\tau \equiv \omega_{2} / \omega_{1} \in \mathrm{H} \equiv\{z \in$ $\mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z>0\}$, to specify the complex structure of $T^{2}$. Without loss of generality, we take 1 and $\tau$ to be the generators of a lattice. Note, however, that not all of $\tau \in \mathrm{H}$ are independent modular parameters. As was shown previously, $\tau$ and $\tau^{\prime}=(a \tau+b) /(c \tau+d)$ define the same complex structure if

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
a & b \\
c & d
\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

The quotient space $\mathrm{H} / \operatorname{PSL}(2, \mathbb{Z})$ is shown in figure 8.3, the derivation of which can be found in Koblitz (1984) p 100, and Gunning (1962) p 4.

The change $\tau \rightarrow \tau^{\prime}$ is called the modular transformation and is generated by $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$ and $\tau \rightarrow-1 / \tau$. The transformation $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$ generates a Dehn twist along the meridian $m$ as follows (figure 8.4(a)). (i) First, cut a torus along $m$. (ii) Then take one of the lips of the cut and rotate it by $2 \pi$ with the other lip kept fixed. (iii) Then glue the lips together again. The other transformation $\tau \rightarrow-1 / \tau$ corresponds to changing the roles of the longitude $l$ and the meridian $m$ (figure 8.4(b)).

Example 8.3. The complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ is defined similarly to $\mathbb{R} P^{n}$; see example 5.4. The $n$ tuple $z=\left(z^{0}, \ldots, z^{n}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ determines a complex line through the origin provided that $z \neq 0$. Define an equivalence relation


Figure 8.4. (a) Dehn twists generate modular transformations. (b) $\tau \rightarrow-1 / \tau$ changes the roles of $l$ and $m$.
by $z \sim w$ if there exists a complex number $a \neq 0$ such that $w=a z$. Then $\mathbb{C} P^{n} \equiv\left(\mathbb{C}^{n+1}-\{0\}\right) / \sim$. The $(n+1)$ numbers $z^{0}, z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}$ are called the homogeneous coordinates, which is denoted by $\left[z^{0}, z^{1}, \ldots, z^{n}\right]$ where $\left(z^{0}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ is identified with $\left(\lambda z^{0}, \ldots, \lambda z^{n}\right)(\lambda \neq 0)$. A chart $U_{\mu}$ is a subset of $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}-\{0\}$ such that $z^{\mu} \neq 0$. In a chart $U_{\mu}$, the inhomogeneous coordinates are defined by $\xi_{(\mu)}^{\nu}=z^{\nu} / z^{\mu}(\nu \neq \mu)$. In $U_{\mu} \cap U_{\nu} \neq \emptyset$, the coordinate transformation $\psi_{\mu \nu}: \mathbb{C}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(\nu)}^{\lambda} \mapsto \xi_{(\mu)}^{\lambda}=\frac{z^{\nu}}{z^{\mu}} \xi_{(\nu)}^{\lambda} . \tag{8.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, $\psi_{\mu \nu}$ is a multiplication by $z^{\nu} / z^{\mu}$, which is, of course, holomorphic.
Example 8.4. The complex Grassmann manifolds $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ are defined similarly to the real Grassmann manifolds; see example 5.5. $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of complex $k$-dimensional subspaces of $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Note that $\mathbb{C} P^{n}=G_{1, n+1}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $M_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ be the set of $k \times n$ matrices of rank $k(k \leq n)$. Take $A, B \in M_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ and define an equivalence relation by $A \sim B$ if there exists $g \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ such that $B=g A$. We identify $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ with $M_{k, n}(\mathbb{C}) / \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$. Let $\left\{A_{1}, \ldots, A_{l}\right\}$ be the collection of all the $k \times k$ minors of $A \in M_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$. We define the chart $U_{\alpha}$ to be a subset of $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $\operatorname{det} A_{\alpha} \neq 0$. The $k(n-k)$ coordinates on $U_{\alpha}$ are given by the non-trivial entries of the matrix $A_{\alpha}^{-1} A$. See example 5.5 for details.

Example 8.5. The common zeros of a set of homogeneous polynomials are a compact submanifold of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ called an algebraic variety. For example, let $P\left(z^{0}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)$ be a homogeneous polynomial of degree $d$. If $a \neq 0$ is a complex number, $P$ satisfies

$$
P\left(a z^{0}, \ldots, a z^{n}\right)=a^{d} P\left(z^{0}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)
$$

This shows that the zeros of $P$ are defined on $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$; if $P\left(z^{0}, \ldots, z^{n}\right)=0$ then $P\left(\left[z^{0}, \ldots, z^{n}\right]\right)=0$. For definiteness, consider

$$
P\left(z^{0}, z^{1}, z^{2}\right)=\left(z^{0}\right)^{2}+\left(z^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(z^{2}\right)^{2}
$$

and define $N$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\left\{\left[z^{0}, z^{1}, z^{2}\right] \in \mathbb{C} P^{2} \mid P\left(z^{0}, z^{1}, z^{2}\right)=0\right\} \tag{8.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define $U_{\mu}$ as in example 8.3. In $N \cap U_{0}$, we have

$$
\left[\xi_{(0)}^{1}\right]^{2}+\left[\xi_{(0)}^{2}\right]^{2}+1=0
$$

where $\xi_{(0)}^{\mu}=z^{\mu} / z^{0}$ (note that $z^{0} \neq 0$ ). Consider a holomorphic change of coordinates $\left(\xi_{(0)}^{1}, \xi_{(0)}^{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\eta^{1}=\xi_{(0)}^{1}, \eta^{2}=\left[\xi_{(0)}^{1}\right]^{2}+\left[\xi_{(0)}^{2}\right]^{2}+1\right)$. Note that $\partial\left(\eta^{1}, \eta^{2}\right) / \partial\left(\xi_{(0)}^{1}, \xi_{(0)}^{2}\right) \neq 0$ unless $\xi_{(0)}^{2}=z^{2}=0$. Then $N \cap U_{0} \cap U_{2}=\left\{\left(\eta^{1}, \eta^{2}\right) \in\right.$ $\left.\mathbb{C}^{2} \mid \eta^{2}=0\right\}$ is clearly a one-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. If $\xi_{(0)}^{2}=z^{2}=0$, we have $\left(\xi_{(0)}^{1}, \xi_{(0)}^{2}\right) \mapsto\left(\zeta^{1}=\left[\xi_{(0)}^{1}\right]^{2}+\left[\xi_{(0)}^{2}\right]^{2}+1, \zeta^{2}=\xi_{(0)}^{2}\right)$ for which the Jacobian does not vanish unless $\xi_{(0)}^{1}=z^{1}=0$. Then $N \cap U_{0} \cap U_{1}=\left\{\left(\zeta^{1}, \zeta^{2}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2} \mid \zeta^{1}=\right.$ $0\}$ is a one-dimensional submanifold of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$. On $N \cap U_{0} \cap U_{1} \cap U_{2}$, the coordinate change $\eta^{1} \mapsto \zeta^{2}$ is a multiplication by $z^{2} / z^{1}$ and is, hence, holomorphic. In this way, we may define a one-dimensional compact submanifold $N$ of $\mathbb{C} P^{2}$.

A complex manifold is a differentiable manifold. For example, $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is regarded as $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ by the identification $z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}, x^{\mu}, y^{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}$. Similarly, any chart $U$ of a complex manifold has coordinates $\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{m}\right)$ which may be understood as real coordinates $\left(x^{1}, y^{1}, \ldots, x^{m}, y^{m}\right)$. The analytic property of the coordinate transformation functions ensures that they are differentiable when the manifold is regarded as a $2 m$-dimensional differentiable manifold.

### 8.2 Calculus on complex manifolds

### 8.2.1 Holomorphic maps

Let $f: M \rightarrow N, M$ and $N$ being complex manifolds with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} N=n$. Take a point $p$ in a chart $(U, \varphi)$ of $M$. Let $(V, \psi)$ be a chart of $N$ such that $f(p) \in V$. If we write $\left\{z^{\mu}\right\}=\varphi(p)$ and $\left\{w^{\nu}\right\}=\psi(f(p))$, we have a map $\psi \circ f \circ \varphi^{-1}: \mathbb{C}^{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{n}$. If each function $w^{\nu}(1 \leq v \leq n)$ is a holomorphic
function of $z^{\mu}, f$ is called a holomorphic map. This definition is independent of the special coordinates chosen. In fact, let $\left(U^{\prime}, \varphi^{\prime}\right)$ be another chart such that $U \cap U^{\prime} \neq \emptyset$ and $z^{\prime \mu}=x^{\prime \lambda}+\mathrm{i} y^{\prime \lambda}$ be the coordinates. Take a point $p \in U \cap U^{\prime}$. If $w^{\nu}=u^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} v^{\nu}$ is a holomorphic function with respect to $z$, then

$$
\frac{\partial u^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}}=\frac{\partial u^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\prime \lambda}}+\frac{\partial u^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\prime \lambda}}=\frac{\partial v^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\mu}} \frac{\partial y^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\prime \lambda}}+\frac{\partial v^{\nu}}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial x^{\mu}}{\partial y^{\prime \lambda}}=\frac{\partial v^{\nu}}{\partial y^{\prime \lambda}} .
$$

We also find $\partial u^{\nu} / \partial y^{\prime \lambda}=-\partial v^{\nu} / \partial x^{\prime \lambda}$. Thus, $w^{\nu}$ is holomorphic with respect to $z^{\prime}$ too. It can be shown that the holomorphic property is also independent of the choice of chart in $N$.

Let $M$ and $N$ be complex manifolds. We say $M$ is biholomorphic to $N$ if there exists a diffeomorphism $f: M \rightarrow N$ which is also holomorphic (then $f^{-1}: N \rightarrow M$ is automatically holomorphic). The map $f$ is called a biholomorphism.

A holomorphic function is a holomorphic map $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. There is a striking theorem; any holomorphic function on a compact complex manifold is constant. This is a generalization of the maximum principle of elementary complex analysis, see Wells (1980). The set of holomorphic functions on $M$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}(M)$. Similarly, $\mathcal{O}(U)$ is the set of holomorphic functions on $U \subset M$.

### 8.2.2 Complexifications

Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M=m$. If $f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is decomposed as $f=g+\mathrm{i} h$ where $g, h \in \mathcal{F}(M)$, then $f$ is a complex-valued smooth function. The set of complex-valued smooth functions on $M$ is called the complexification of $\mathcal{F}(M)$, denoted by $\mathcal{F}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. A complexified function does not satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann relation in general. For $f=g+\mathrm{i} h \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$, the complex conjugate of $f$ is $\bar{f} \equiv g-\mathrm{i} h . f$ is real if and only if $f=\bar{f}$.

Before we consider the complexification of $T_{p} M$, we define the complexification $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ of a general vector space $V$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} V=m$. An element of $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ takes the form $X+\mathrm{i} Y$ where $X, Y \in V$. The vector space $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ becomes a complex vector space of complex dimension $m$ if the addition and the scalar multiplication by a complex number $a+\mathrm{i} b$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(X_{1}+\mathrm{i} Y_{1}\right)+\left(X_{2}+\mathrm{i} Y_{2}\right)=\left(X_{1}+X_{2}\right)+\mathrm{i}\left(Y_{1}+Y_{2}\right) \\
(a+\mathrm{i} b)(X+\mathrm{i} Y)=(a X-b Y)+\mathrm{i}(b X+a Y)
\end{gathered}
$$

$V$ is a vector subspace of $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ since $X \in V$ and $X+\mathrm{i} 0 \in V^{\mathbb{C}}$ may be identified. Vectors in $V$ are said to be real. The complex conjugate of $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y$ is $\bar{Z}=X-\mathrm{i} Y$. A vector $Z$ is real if $Z=\bar{Z}$.

A linear operator $A$ on $V$ is extended to act on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
A(X+\mathrm{i} Y)=A(X)+\mathrm{i} A(Y) \tag{8.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a linear function $\left(A \in V^{*}\right)$, its extension is a complex-valued linear function on $V^{\mathbb{C}}, A: V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. In general, any tensor defined on $V$ and $V^{*}$ is extended so that it is defined on $V^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\left(V^{*}\right)^{\mathbb{C}}$. An extended tensor is complexified as $t=t_{1}+\mathrm{i} t_{2}$, where $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$ are tensors of the same type. The conjugate of $t$ is $\bar{t} \equiv t_{1}-\mathrm{i} t_{2}$. If $t=\bar{t}$, the tensor is said to be real. For example $A: V^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is real if $\overline{A(X+\mathrm{i} Y)}=A(X-\mathrm{i} Y)$.

Let $\left\{e_{k}\right\}$ be a basis of $V$. If the basis vectors are regarded as complex vectors, the same basis $\left\{e_{k}\right\}$ becomes a basis of $V^{\mathbb{C}}$. To see this, let $X=X^{k} e_{k}$, $Y=Y^{k} e_{k} \in V$. Then $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y$ is uniquely expressed as $\left(X^{k}+\mathrm{i} Y^{k}\right) e_{k}$. We find $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} V=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} V^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Now we are ready to complexify the tangent space $T_{p} M$. If $V$ is replaced by $T_{p} M$, we have the complexification $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ of $T_{p} M$, whose element is expressed as $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y\left(X, Y \in T_{p} M\right)$. The vector $Z$ acts on a function $f=f_{1}+\mathrm{i} f_{2} \in$ $\mathcal{F}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z[f] & =X\left[f_{1}+\mathrm{i} f_{2}\right]+\mathrm{i} Y\left[f_{1}+\mathrm{i} f_{2}\right] \\
& =X\left[f_{1}\right]-Y\left[f_{2}\right]+\mathrm{i}\left\{X\left[f_{2}\right]+Y\left[f_{1}\right]\right\} . \tag{8.9}
\end{align*}
$$

The dual vector space $T_{p}^{*} M$ is complexified if $\omega, \eta \in T_{p}^{*} M$ are combined as $\zeta=\omega+\mathrm{i} \eta$. The set of complexified dual vectors is denoted by $\left(T_{p}^{*} M\right)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Any tensor $t$ is extended so that it is defined on $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\left(T_{p}^{*} M\right)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and then complexified.
Exercise 8.1. Show that $\left(T_{p}^{*} M\right)^{\mathbb{C}}=\left(T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)^{*}$. From now on, we denote the complexified dual vector space simply by $T_{p}^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}$.

Given smooth vector fields $X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$, we define a complex vector field $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y$. Clearly $\left.Z\right|_{p} \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$. The set of complex vector fields is the complexification of $X(M)$ and is denoted by $X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. The conjugate vector field of $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y$ is $\bar{Z}=X-\mathrm{i} Y . Z=\bar{Z}$ if $Z \in X(M)$, hence $X(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \supset X(M)$. The Lie bracket of $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y, W=U+\mathrm{i} V \in X(M){ }^{\mathbb{C}}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[X+\mathrm{i} Y, U+\mathrm{i} V]=\{[X, U]-[Y, V]\}+\mathrm{i}\{[X, V]+[Y, U]\} \tag{8.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The complexification of a tensor field of type $(p, q)$ is defined in an obvious manner. If $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^{1}(M), \xi \equiv \omega+\mathrm{i} \eta \in \Omega^{1}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is a complexified one-form.

### 8.2.3 Almost complex structure

Since a complex manifold is also a differentiable manifold, we may use the framework developed in chapter 5. We then put appropriate constraints on the results. Let us look at the tangent space of a complex manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. The tangent space $T_{p} M$ is spanned by $2 m$ vectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{m}} ; \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{1}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{m}}\right\} \tag{8.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}$ are the coordinates of $p$ in a chart $(U, \varphi)$. With the same coordinates, $T_{p}^{*} M$ is spanned by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} x^{m} ; \mathrm{d} y^{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} y^{m}\right\} \tag{8.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define $2 m$ vectors

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}} & \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right\}  \tag{8.13a}\\
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}} & \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left\{\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right\} \tag{8.13b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $1 \leq \mu \leq m$. Clearly they form a basis of the $2 m$-dimensional (complex) vector space $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$. Note that $\overline{\partial / \partial z^{\mu}}=\partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}$. Correspondingly, $2 m$ one-forms

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \equiv \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}+\mathrm{id} y^{\mu} \quad \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu} \equiv \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}-\mathrm{id} y^{\mu} \tag{8.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

form the basis of $T_{p}^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}$. They are dual to (8.13),

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle\mathrm{d} z^{\mu}, \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu}, \partial / \partial z^{\nu}\right\rangle=0  \tag{8.15a}\\
& \left\langle\mathrm{~d} z^{\mu}, \partial / \partial z^{\nu}\right\rangle=\left\langle\mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu}, \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{v}\right\rangle=\delta^{\mu}{ }_{\nu} . \tag{8.15b}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $M$ be a complex manifold and define a linear map $J_{p}: T_{p} M \rightarrow T_{p} M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right)=\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}} \quad J_{p}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right)=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tag{8.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

$J_{p}$ is a real tensor of type $(1,1)$. Note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}^{2}=-\mathrm{id}_{T_{p} M} \tag{8.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Roughly speaking, $J_{p}$ corresponds to the multiplication by $\pm \mathrm{i}$. The action of $J_{p}$ is independent of the chart. In fact, let $(U, \varphi)$ and $(V, \psi)$ be overlapping charts with $\varphi(p)=z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}$ and $\psi(p)=w^{\mu}=u^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} v^{\mu}$. On $U \cap V$, the functions $z^{\mu}=z^{\mu}(w)$ satisfy the Cauchy-Riemann relations. Then we find

$$
J_{p}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial u^{\mu}}\right)=J_{p}\left(\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial u^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}+\frac{\partial y^{\nu}}{\partial u^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)=\frac{\partial y^{\nu}}{\partial v^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\nu}}+\frac{\partial x^{\nu}}{\partial v^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}=\frac{\partial}{\partial v^{\mu}} .
$$

We also find that $J_{p} \partial / \partial v^{\mu}=-\partial / \partial u^{\mu}$. Accordingly, $J_{p}$ takes the form

$$
J_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I_{m}  \tag{8.18}\\
I_{m} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

with respect to the basis (8.11), where $I_{m}$ is the $m \times m$ unit matrix. Since all the components of $J_{p}$ are constant at any point, we may define a smooth tensor field $J$ whose components at $p$ are (8.18). The tensor field $J$ is called the almost
complex structure of a complex manifold $M$. Note that any $2 m$-dimensional manifold locally admits a tensor field $J$ which squares to $-I_{2 m}$. However, $J$ may be patched across charts and defined globally only on a complex manifold. The tensor $J$ completely specifies the complex structure.

The almost complex structure $J_{p}$ is extended so that it may be defined on $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}(X+\mathrm{i} Y) \equiv J_{p} X+\mathrm{i} J_{p} Y \tag{8.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (8.16) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p} \partial / \partial z^{\mu}=\mathrm{i} \partial / \partial z^{\mu} \quad J_{p} \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}=-\mathrm{i} \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu} . \tag{8.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we have an expression for $J_{p}$ in (anti-)holomorphic bases,

$$
\begin{equation*}
J_{p}=\mathrm{id} z^{\mu} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}-\mathrm{id} \bar{z}^{\mu} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}} \tag{8.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose components are given by

$$
J_{p}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathrm{i} I_{m} & 0  \tag{8.22}\\
0 & -\mathrm{i} I_{m}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Let $Z \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ be a vector of the form $Z=Z^{\mu} \partial / \partial z^{\mu}$. Then $Z$ is an eigenvector of $J_{p} ; J_{p} Z=\mathrm{i} Z$. Similarly, $Z=Z^{\mu} \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}$ satisfies $J_{p} Z=-\mathrm{i} Z$. In this way $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ of a complex manifold is separated into two disjoint vector spaces,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}=T_{p} M^{+} \oplus T_{p} M^{-} \tag{8.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} M^{ \pm}=\left\{Z \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}} \mid J_{p} Z= \pm i Z\right\} \tag{8.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the projection operators $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm}: T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow T_{p} M^{ \pm}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(I_{2 m} \mp \mathrm{i} J_{p}\right) \tag{8.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact, $J_{p} \mathcal{P}^{ \pm} Z=\frac{1}{2}\left(J_{p} \mp \mathrm{i} J_{p}^{2}\right) Z= \pm \mathrm{i} \mathcal{P}^{ \pm} Z$ for any $Z \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z^{ \pm} \equiv \mathcal{P}^{ \pm} Z \in T_{p} M^{ \pm} \tag{8.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now $Z \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ is uniquely decomposed as $Z=Z^{+}+Z^{-}\left(Z^{ \pm} \in T_{p} M^{ \pm}\right)$. $T_{p} M^{+}$is spanned by $\left\{\partial / \partial z^{\mu}\right\}$ and $T_{p} M^{-}$by $\left\{\partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}\right\} . Z \in T_{p} M^{+}$is called a holomorphic vector while $Z \in T_{p} M^{-}$is called an anti-holomorphic vector. We readily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} M^{-}=\overline{T_{p} M^{+}}=\left\{\bar{Z} \mid Z \in T_{p} M^{+}\right\} \tag{8.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T_{p} M^{+}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T_{p} M^{-}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M
$$

Exercise 8.2. Let $(U, \varphi)$ and $(V, \psi)$ be overlapping charts on a complex manifold $M$ and let $z^{\mu}=\varphi(p)$ and $w^{\mu}=\psi(p)$. Verify that $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial z^{\mu}$, expressed in the coordinates $w^{\mu}$, contains a holomorphic basis $\left\{\partial / \partial w^{\mu}\right\}$ only. Thus, the separation of $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ into $T_{p} M^{ \pm}$is independent of charts (note that $J$ is defined independently of charts).

Given a complexified vector field $Z \in X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain a new vector field $J Z \in X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ defined at each point of $M$ by $\left.J Z\right|_{p}=\left.J_{p} \cdot Z\right|_{p}$. The vector field $Z$ is naturally separated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=Z^{+}+Z^{-} \quad Z^{ \pm}=\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} Z \tag{8.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Z^{ \pm}=\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} Z$. The vector field $Z^{+}\left(Z^{-}\right)$is called a holomorphic (antiholomorphic) vector field. Accordingly, once $J$ is given, $\mathcal{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is decomposed uniquely as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=X(M)^{+} \oplus X(M)^{-} . \tag{8.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

$Z=Z^{+}+Z^{-} \in X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is real if and only if $Z^{+}=\overline{Z^{-}}$.
Exercise 8.3. Let $X, Y \in X(M)^{+}$. Show that $[X, Y] \in X(M)^{+}$. [If $X, Y \in$ $X(M)^{-}$, then $\left.[X, Y] \in X(M)^{-}.\right]$

### 8.3 Complex differential forms

On a complex manifold, we define complex differential forms by which we will discuss such topological properties as cohomology groups.

### 8.3.1 Complexification of real differential forms

Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} M=m$. Take two $q$-forms $\omega, \eta \in \Omega_{p}^{q}(M)$ at $p$ and define a complex $\boldsymbol{q}$-form $\zeta=\omega+\mathrm{i} \eta$. We denote the vector space of complex $q$-forms at $p$ by $\Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Clearly $\Omega_{p}^{q}(M) \subset \Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. The conjugate of $\zeta$ is $\bar{\zeta}=\omega-\mathrm{i} \eta$. A complex $q$-form $\zeta$ is real if $\zeta=\bar{\zeta}$.

Exercise 8.4. Let $\omega \in \Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\omega}\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{q}\right)=\overline{\omega\left(\bar{V}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{V}_{q}\right)} \quad V_{i} \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}} \tag{8.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show also that $\overline{\omega+\eta}=\bar{\omega}+\bar{\eta}, \overline{\lambda \omega}=\bar{\lambda} \bar{\omega}$ and $\overline{\bar{\omega}}=\omega$, where $\omega, \eta \in \Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$.

A complex $q$-form $\alpha$ defined on a differentiable manifold $M$ is a smooth assignment of an element of $\Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. The set of complex $q$-forms is denoted by $\Omega^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. A complex $q$-form $\zeta$ is uniquely decomposed as $\zeta=\omega+\mathrm{i} \eta$, where $\omega, \eta \in \Omega^{q}(M)$.

The exterior product of $\zeta=\omega+\mathrm{i} \eta$ and $\xi=\varphi+\mathrm{i} \psi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta \wedge \xi & =(\omega+\mathrm{i} \eta) \wedge(\varphi+\mathrm{i} \psi) \\
& =(\omega \wedge \varphi-\eta \wedge \psi)+\mathrm{i}(\omega \wedge \psi+\eta \wedge \varphi) \tag{8.31}
\end{align*}
$$

The exterior derivative d acts on $\zeta=\omega+\mathrm{i} \eta$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \zeta=\mathrm{d} \omega+\mathrm{id} \eta \tag{8.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

d is a real operator: $\overline{\mathrm{d} \zeta}=\mathrm{d} \omega-\mathrm{i} \mathrm{d} \eta=\mathrm{d} \bar{\zeta}$.
Exercise 8.5. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\xi \in \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega \wedge \xi & =(-1)^{q r} \xi \wedge \omega  \tag{8.33}\\
\mathrm{~d}(\omega \wedge \xi) & =\mathrm{d} \omega \wedge \xi+(-1)^{q} \omega \wedge \mathrm{~d} \xi \tag{8.34}
\end{align*}
$$

### 8.3.2 Differential forms on complex manifolds

Now we restrict ourselves to complex manifolds in which we have the decompositions $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}=T_{p} M^{+} \oplus T_{p} M^{-}$and $\mathcal{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\mathcal{X}(M)^{+} \oplus \mathcal{X}(M)^{-}$.

Definition 8.2. Let $M$ be a complex manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. Let $\omega \in$ $\Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}(q \leq 2 m)$ and $r, s$ be positive integers such that $r+s=q$. Let $V_{i} \in$ $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}(1 \leq i \leq q)$ be vectors in either $T_{p} M^{+}$or $T_{p} M^{-}$. If $\omega\left(V_{1}, \ldots, V_{q}\right)=0$ unless $r$ of the $V_{i}$ are in $T_{p} M^{+}$and $s$ of the $V_{i}$ are in $T_{p} M^{-}, \omega$ is said to be of bidegree $(r, s)$ or simply an $(r, s)$-form. The set of $(r, s)$-forms at $p$ is denoted by $\Omega_{p}^{r, s}(M)$. If an $(r, s)$-form is assigned smoothly at each point of $M$, we have an $(r, s)$-form defined over $M$. The set of $(r, s)$-forms over $M$ is denoted by $\Omega^{r, s}(M)$.

Take a chart $(U, \varphi)$ with the complex coordinates $\varphi(p)=z^{\mu}$. We take the bases (8.13) for the tangent spaces $T_{p} M^{ \pm}$. The dual bases are given by (8.14). Note that $\mathrm{d} z^{\mu}$ is of bidegree $(1,0)$ since $\left\langle\mathrm{d} z^{\mu}, \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\nu}\right\rangle=0$ and $\mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu}$ is of bidegree $(0,1)$. With these bases, a form $\omega$ of bidegree $(r, s)$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{r!s!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r} v_{1} \ldots v_{s}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{s}} \tag{8.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The set $\left\{\mathrm{d} z^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{s}}\right\}$ is the basis of $\Omega_{p}^{r, s}(M)$. The components are totally anti-symmetric in the $\mu$ and $v$ separately. Let $z^{\mu}$ and $w^{\mu}$ be two overlapping coordinates. The reader should verify that an $(r, s)$-form in the $z^{\mu}$ coordinate system is also an $(r, s)$-form in the $w^{\nu}$ system.

Proposition 8.1. Let $M$ be a complex manifold of $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$ and $\omega$ and $\xi$ be complex differential forms on $M$.
(a) If $\omega \in \Omega^{q, r}(M)$ then $\bar{\omega} \in \Omega^{r, q}(M)$.
(b) If $\omega \in \Omega^{q, r}(M)$ and $\xi \in \Omega^{q^{\prime}, r^{\prime}}(M)$, then $\omega \wedge \xi \in \Omega^{q+q^{\prime}, r+r^{\prime}}(M)$.
(c) A complex $q$-form $\omega$ is uniquely written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\sum_{r+s=q} \omega^{(r, s)} \tag{8.36a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega^{(r, s)} \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)$. Thus, we have the decomposition

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\bigoplus_{r+s=q} \Omega^{r, s}(M) . \tag{8.36b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof is easy and is left to the reader. Now any $q$-form $\omega$ is decomposed as

$$
\begin{align*}
\omega & =\sum_{r+s=q} \omega^{(r, s)} \\
& =\sum_{r+s=q} \frac{1}{r!s!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r} \bar{\nu}_{1} \ldots \bar{\nu}_{s}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{s}} \tag{8.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r} \bar{v}_{1} \ldots \bar{v}_{s}}=\omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu_{1}}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu_{r}}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\bar{v}_{1}}}, \ldots, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu_{s}}}\right) . \tag{8.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 8.6. Let $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. Verify that

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega_{p}^{r, s}(M)= \begin{cases}\binom{m}{r}\binom{m}{s} & \text { if } 0 \leq r, s \leq m \\ 0 & \text { otherwise }\end{cases}
$$

Show also that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega_{p}^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\sum_{r+s=q} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \Omega_{p}^{r, s}(M)=\binom{2 m}{q}$.

### 8.3.3 Dolbeault operators

Let us compute the exterior derivative of an $(r, s)$-form $\omega$. From (8.35), we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \omega= & \frac{1}{r!s!}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r} \bar{v}_{1} \ldots \bar{v}_{s}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\lambda}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r} \bar{\nu}_{1} \ldots \bar{v}_{s}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\lambda}\right) \\
& \times \mathrm{d} z^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{s}} . \tag{8.39}
\end{align*}
$$

$\mathrm{d} \omega$ is a mixture of an $(r+1, s)$-form and an $(r, s+1)$-form. We separate the action of $d$ according to its destinations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}=\partial+\bar{\partial} \tag{8.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1, s}(M)$ and $\bar{\partial}: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r, s+1}(M)$. For example, if $\omega=\omega_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}$, its exterior derivatives are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \partial \omega=\frac{\partial \omega_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \mathrm{d} z^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \\
& \bar{\partial} \omega=\frac{\partial \omega_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}=-\frac{\partial \omega_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}
\end{aligned}
$$

The operators $\partial$ and $\bar{\partial}$ are called the Dolbeault operators.
If $\omega$ is a general $q$-form given by (8.37), the actions of $\partial$ and $\bar{\partial}$ on $\omega$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \omega=\sum_{r+s=q} \partial \omega^{(r, s)} \quad \bar{\partial} \omega=\sum_{r+s=q} \bar{\partial} \omega^{(r, s)} \tag{8.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.1. Let $M$ be a complex manifold and let $\omega \in \Omega^{q}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\xi \in$ $\Omega^{p}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \partial \partial \omega=(\partial \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \partial) \omega=\overline{\partial \partial} \omega=0  \tag{8.42a}\\
& \partial \bar{\omega}=\overline{\bar{\partial}} \omega, \bar{\partial} \bar{\omega}=\overline{\partial \omega}  \tag{8.42b}\\
& \partial(\omega \wedge \xi)=\partial \omega \wedge \xi+(-1)^{q} \omega \wedge \partial \xi  \tag{8.42c}\\
& \bar{\partial}(\omega \wedge \xi)=\bar{\partial} \omega \wedge \xi+(-1)^{q} \omega \wedge \bar{\partial} \xi \tag{8.42d}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. It is sufficient to prove them when $\omega$ is of bidegree $(r, s)$.
(a) Since $d=\partial+\bar{\partial}$, we have

$$
0=\mathrm{d}^{2} \omega=(\partial+\bar{\partial})(\partial+\bar{\partial}) \omega=\partial \partial \omega+(\partial \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \partial) \omega+\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \omega .
$$

The three terms of the RHS are of bidegrees $(r+2, s),(r+1, s+1)$ and $(r, s+2)$ respectively. From proposition 8.1(c), each term must vanish separately.
(b) Since $\mathrm{d} \bar{\omega}=\overline{\mathrm{d} \omega}$, we have

$$
\partial \bar{\omega}+\bar{\partial} \bar{\omega}=\mathrm{d} \bar{\omega}=\overline{(\partial+\bar{\partial}) \omega}=\overline{\partial \omega}+\overline{\bar{\partial} \omega}
$$

Noting that $\partial \omega$ and $\overline{\bar{\partial}} \omega$ are of bidegree $(s+1, r)$ and $\bar{\partial} \bar{\omega}$ and $\overline{\partial \omega}$ are of $(s, r+1)$, we conclude that $\partial \bar{\omega}=\overline{\bar{\partial}} \omega$ and $\bar{\partial} \bar{\omega}=\overline{\partial \omega}$.
(c) We assume $\omega$ is of bidegree ( $r, s$ ) and $\xi$ of $\left(r^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right)$. Equation (8.42c) is proved by separating $\mathrm{d}(\omega \wedge \xi)=\mathrm{d} \omega \wedge \xi+(-1)^{q} \omega \wedge \mathrm{~d} \xi$, into forms of bidegrees $\left(r+r^{\prime}+1, s+s^{\prime}\right)$ and $\left(r+r^{\prime}, s+s^{\prime}+1\right)$.

Definition 8.3. Let $M$ be a complex manifold. If $\omega \in \Omega^{r, 0}(M)$ satisifies $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$, the $r$-form $\omega$ is called a holomorphic $\boldsymbol{r}$-form.

Let us look at a holomorphic 0-form $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)^{\mathbb{C}}$ on a chart $(U, \varphi)$. The condition $\bar{\partial} f=0$ becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}}=0 \quad 1 \leq \lambda \leq m=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M \tag{8.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

A holomorphic 0-form is just a holomorphic function, $f \in \mathcal{F}(U)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $\omega \in$ $\Omega^{r, 0}(M)$, where $1 \leq r \leq m=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$. On a chart $(U, \varphi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\frac{1}{r!} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{r}} \tag{8.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$ if and only if

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}=0
$$

namely if $\omega_{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}}$ are holomorphic functions on $U$.
Let $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. The sequence of $\mathbb{C}$-linear maps

$$
\begin{align*}
\Omega^{r, 0}(M) & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{r, 1}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \cdots \\
\ldots & \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{r, m-1}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{r, m}(M) \tag{8.45}
\end{align*}
$$

is called the Dolbeault complex. Note that $\bar{\partial}^{2}=0$. The set of $\bar{\partial}$-closed $(r, s)$ forms (those $\omega \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)$ such that $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$ ) is called the ( $\left.r, s\right)$-cocycle and is denoted by $Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$. The set of $\bar{\partial}$-exact ( $r, s$ )-forms (those $\omega \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)$ such that $\omega=\bar{\partial} \eta$ for some $\eta \in \Omega^{r, s-1}(M)$ ) is called the $(r, s)$-coboundary and is denoted by $B \frac{r, s}{r},(M)$. The complex vector space

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \equiv Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) / B \frac{r_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}}{r, s} \tag{8.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the $(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s})$ th $\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}$-cohomology group, see section 8.6.

### 8.4 Hermitian manifolds and Hermitian differential geometry

Let $M$ be a complex manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$ and let $g$ be a Riemannian metric of $M$ as a differentiable manifold. Take $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y, W=U+\mathrm{i} V \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ and extend $g$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}(Z, W)=g_{p}(X, U)-g_{p}(Y, V)+\mathrm{i}\left[g_{p}(X, V)+g_{p}(Y, U)\right] \tag{8.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $g$ with respect to the bases (8.13) are

$$
\begin{align*}
& g_{\mu \nu}(p)=g_{p}\left(\partial / \partial z^{\mu}, \partial / \partial z^{\nu}\right)  \tag{8.48a}\\
& g_{\mu \bar{v}}(p)=g_{p}\left(\partial / \partial z^{\mu}, \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\nu}\right)  \tag{8.48b}\\
& g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}(p)=g_{p}\left(\partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}, \partial / \partial z^{\nu}\right)  \tag{8.48c}\\
& g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}(p)=g_{p}\left(\partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}, \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\nu}\right) . \tag{8.48d}
\end{align*}
$$

We easily verify that
$g_{\mu \nu}=g_{\nu \mu}, \quad g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}=g_{\overline{\nu \mu}}, \quad g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}=g_{\nu \bar{\mu}}, \quad \overline{g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}}=g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}, \quad \overline{g_{\mu \nu}}=g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}$.

### 8.4.1 The Hermitian metric

If a Riemannian metric $g$ of a complex manifold $M$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}\left(J_{p} X, J_{p} Y\right)=g_{p}(X, Y) \tag{8.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

at each point $p \in M$ and for any $X, Y \in T_{p} M, g$ is said to be a Hermitian metric. The pair $(M, g)$ is called a Hermitian manifold. The vector $J_{p} X$ is orthogonal to $X$ with respect to a Hermitian metric,

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}\left(J_{p} X, X\right)=g_{p}\left(J_{p}^{2} X, J_{p} X\right)=-g_{p}\left(J_{p} X, X\right)=0 \tag{8.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.2. A complex manifold always admits a Hermitian metric.

Proof. Let $g$ be any Riemannian metric of a complex manifold $M$. Define a new metric $\hat{g}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{g}_{p}(X, Y) \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left[g_{p}(X, Y)+g_{p}\left(J_{p} X, J_{p} Y\right)\right] \tag{8.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $\hat{g}_{p}\left(J_{p} X, J_{p} Y\right)=\hat{g}_{p}(X, Y)$. Moreover, $\hat{g}$ is positive definite provided that $g$ is. Hence, $\hat{g}$ is a Hermitian metric on $M$.

Let $g$ be a Hermitian metric on a complex manifold $M$. From (8.50), we find that

$$
g_{\mu \nu}=g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}\right)=g\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}\right)=-g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}\right)=-g_{\mu \nu}
$$

hence $g_{\mu \nu}=0$. We also find that $g_{\overline{\mu \nu}}=0$. Thus, the Hermitian metric $g$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=g_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}+g_{\bar{\mu} \nu} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} z^{\nu} \tag{8.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remark: Take $X, Y \in T_{p} M^{+}$. Define an inner product $h_{p}$ in $T_{p} M^{+}$by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{p}(X, Y) \equiv g_{p}(X, \bar{Y}) \tag{8.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $h_{p}$ is a positive-definite Hermitian form in $T_{p} M^{+}$. In fact,

$$
\overline{h(X, Y)}=\overline{g(X, \bar{Y})}=g(\bar{X}, Y)=h(Y, X)
$$

and $h(X, X)=g(X, \bar{X})=g\left(X_{1}, X_{1}\right)+g\left(X_{2}, X_{2}\right) \geq 0$ for $X=X_{1}+\mathrm{i} X_{2}$. This is why a metric $g$ satisfying (8.50) is called Hermitian.]

### 8.4.2 Kähler form

Let $(M, g)$ be a Hermitian manifold. Define a tensor field $\Omega$ whose action on $X, Y \in T_{p} M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{p}(X, Y)=g_{p}\left(J_{p} X, Y\right) \quad X, Y \in T_{p} M \tag{8.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\Omega$ is anti-symmetric, $\Omega(X, Y)=g(J X, Y)=g\left(J^{2} X, J Y\right)=$ $-g(J Y, X)=-\Omega(Y, X)$. Hence, $\Omega$ defines a two-form called the Kähler form of a Hermitian metric $g$. Observe that $\Omega$ is invariant under the action of $J$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(J X, J Y)=g\left(J^{2} X, J Y\right)=g\left(J^{3} X, J^{2} Y\right)=\Omega(X, Y) \tag{8.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

If the domain is extended from $T_{p} M$ to $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}, \Omega$ is a two-form of bidegree $(1,1)$. Indeed, for the metric (8.53), it is found that

$$
\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}\right)=g\left(J \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{v}}\right)=\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \nu}=0 .
$$

We also have

$$
\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\right)=0, \quad \Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{v}}\right)=\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \bar{v}}=-\Omega\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}\right)
$$

Thus, the components of $\Omega$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{\mu \nu}=\Omega_{\overline{\mu \nu}}=0 \quad \Omega_{\mu \bar{v}}=-\Omega_{\bar{v} \mu}=\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \bar{v}} \tag{8.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We may write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}-\mathrm{i} g_{\bar{v} \mu} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \otimes \mathrm{d} z^{\mu}=\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \tag{8.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Omega$ is also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=-J_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \tag{8.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=g_{\mu \bar{\lambda}} J^{\bar{\lambda}} \bar{\nu}=-\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}$. $\Omega$ is a real form;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{\Omega}=-\mathrm{i} \overline{g_{\mu \bar{v}}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\nu}=\mathrm{i} g_{\nu \bar{\mu}} \mathrm{d} z^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu}=\Omega \tag{8.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Making use of the Kähler form, we show that any Hermitian manifold, and hence any complex manifold, is orientable. We first note that we may choose an orthonormal basis $\left\{\hat{e}_{1}, J \hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{m}, J \hat{e}_{m}\right\}$. In fact, if $g\left(\hat{e}_{1}, \hat{e}_{1}\right)=1$, it follows that $g\left(J \hat{e}_{1}, J \hat{e}_{1}\right)=g\left(\hat{e}_{1}, \hat{e}_{1}\right)=1$ and $g\left(\hat{e}_{1}, J \hat{e}_{1}\right)=-g\left(J \hat{e}_{1}, \hat{e}_{1}\right)=0$. Thus $\hat{e}_{1}$ and $J \hat{e}_{1}$ form an orthonormal basis of a two-dimensional subspace. Now take $\hat{e}_{2}$ which is orthonormal to $\hat{e}_{1}$ and $J \hat{e}_{1}$ and form the subspace $\left\{\hat{e}_{2}, J \hat{e}_{2}\right\}$. Repeating this procedure we obtain an orthonormal basis $\left\{\hat{e}_{1}, J \hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{m}, J \hat{e}_{m}\right\}$.
Lemma 8.1. Let $\Omega$ be the Kähler form of a Hermitian manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=$ $m$. Then

$$
\underbrace{\Omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega}_{m}
$$

is a nowhere vanishing $2 m$-form.

Proof. For the previous orthonormal basis, we have

$$
\Omega\left(\hat{e}_{i}, J \hat{e}_{j}\right)=g\left(J \hat{e}_{i}, J \hat{e}_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \quad \Omega\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right)=\Omega\left(J \hat{e}_{i}, J \hat{e}_{j}\right)=0 .
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega}_{m}\left(\hat{e}_{1}, J \hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{m}, J \hat{e}_{m}\right) \\
& \quad=\sum_{P} \Omega\left(\hat{e}_{P(1)}, J \hat{e}_{P(1)}\right) \ldots \Omega\left(\hat{e}_{P(m)}, J \hat{e}_{P(m)}\right) \\
& \quad=m!\Omega\left(\hat{e}_{1}, J \hat{e}_{1}\right) \ldots \Omega\left(\hat{e}_{m}, J \hat{e}_{m}\right)=m!
\end{aligned}
$$

where $P$ is an element of the permutation group of $m$ objects. This shows that $\Omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega$ cannot vanish at any point.

Since the real $2 m$-form $\Omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega$ vanishes nowhere, it serves as a volume element. Thus, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 8.3. A complex manifold is orientable.

### 8.4.3 Covariant derivatives

Let $(M, g)$ be a Hermitian manifold. We define a connection which is compatible with the complex structure. It is natural to assume that a holomorphic vector $V \in T_{p} M^{+}$parallel transported to another point $q$ is, again, a holomorphic vector $\tilde{V}(q) \in T_{q} M^{+}$. We show later that the almost complex structure is covariantly conserved under this requirement. Let $\left\{z^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{z^{\mu}+\Delta z^{\mu}\right\}$ be the coordinates of $p$ and $q$, respectively, and let $V=V^{\mu} \partial /\left.\partial z^{\mu}\right|_{p}$ and $\tilde{V}(q)=\tilde{V}^{\mu}(z+\Delta z) \partial /\left.\partial z^{\mu}\right|_{q}$. We assume that (cf (7.9))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}^{\mu}(z+\Delta z)=V^{\mu}(z)-V^{\lambda}(z) \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\nu \lambda}(z) \Delta z^{\nu} . \tag{8.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the basis vectors satisfy (cf (7.14))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}=\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}(z) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\lambda}} . \tag{8.62a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}$ is a conjugate vector field of $\partial / \partial z^{\mu}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\bar{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}=\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \bar{\mu} \bar{v} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \tag{8.62b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \bar{\mu} \bar{\nu}=\overline{\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}} . \Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}$ and $\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \bar{\mu} \bar{\nu}$ are the only non-vanishing components of the connection coefficients. Note that $\nabla_{\mu} \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\nu}=\nabla \bar{\mu} \partial / \partial z^{\nu}=0$. For the dual basis, non-vanishing covariant derivatives are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} \mathrm{d} z^{v}=-\Gamma^{v}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \mathrm{d} z^{\lambda} \quad \nabla_{\bar{\mu}} \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{v}=-\Gamma^{\bar{v}} \bar{\mu} \bar{z}^{\lambda} . \tag{8.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivative of $X^{+}=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial z^{\mu} \in X(M)^{+}$is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} X^{+}=\left(\partial_{\mu} X^{\lambda}+X^{\nu} \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \tag{8.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{\mu} \equiv \partial / \partial z^{\mu}$. For $X^{-}=X^{\bar{\mu}} \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu} \in X(M)^{-}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} X^{-}=\partial_{\mu} X^{\bar{\lambda}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \tag{8.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}}{ }_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=0$. As far as anti-holomorphic vectors are concerned, $\nabla_{\mu}$ works as the ordinary derivative $\partial_{\mu}$. Similarly, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{\bar{\mu}} X^{+}=\partial_{\bar{\mu}} X^{\lambda} \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\lambda}}  \tag{8.66}\\
& \nabla_{\bar{\mu}} X^{-}=\left(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} X^{\bar{\lambda}}+X^{\bar{v}} \Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\bar{\nu}}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} \tag{8.67}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easy to generalize this to an arbitrary tensor field. For example, if $t=$ $t_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}} \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \otimes \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\nabla_{\kappa} t\right)_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}}=\partial_{\kappa} t_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}}-t_{\xi \nu}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}} \Gamma^{\xi}{ }_{\kappa \mu}-t_{\mu \xi}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}} \Gamma^{\xi}{ }_{\kappa \nu} \\
& \left(\nabla_{\bar{\kappa}} t\right)_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}}=\partial_{\bar{\kappa}} t_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\bar{\lambda}}+t_{\mu \nu} \bar{\xi}^{\bar{\xi}} \Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \bar{\xi} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We require the metric compatibility as in section 7.2. We demand that $\nabla_{\kappa} g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=\nabla_{\bar{\kappa}} g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=0$. In components, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\kappa} g_{\mu \bar{v}}-g_{\lambda \bar{\nu}} \Gamma_{\kappa \mu}^{\lambda}=0 \quad \partial_{\bar{\kappa}} g_{\mu \bar{v}}-g_{\mu \bar{\lambda}} \Gamma_{\bar{\kappa} \bar{\mu}}^{\bar{\lambda}}=0 \tag{8.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

The connection coefficients are easily read off:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\kappa \mu}^{\lambda}=g^{\bar{\nu} \lambda} \partial_{\kappa} g_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \quad \Gamma_{\bar{\kappa} \bar{v}}^{\bar{\lambda}}=g^{\bar{\lambda} \mu} \partial_{\bar{\kappa}} g_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \tag{8.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{g^{\bar{\nu} \lambda}\right\}$ is the inverse matrix of $g_{\mu \bar{\nu}} ; g_{\mu \bar{\lambda}}{ }^{\bar{\lambda} v}=\delta_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}, g^{\bar{\nu} \lambda} g_{\lambda \bar{\mu}}=\delta^{\bar{\nu}} \bar{\mu}$. A metriccompatible connection for which $\Gamma$ (mixed indices) $=0$ is called the Hermitian connection. By construction, this is unique and given by (8.69).

Theorem 8.4. The almost complex structure $J$ is covariantly constant with respect to the Hermitian connection,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{\kappa} J\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}=\left(\nabla_{\bar{\kappa}} J\right)_{v}{ }^{\mu}=\left(\nabla_{\kappa} J\right)_{\bar{v}}{ }^{\bar{\mu}}=\left(\nabla_{\bar{\kappa}} J\right)_{\bar{v}}{ }^{\bar{\mu}}=0 . \tag{8.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We prove the first equality. From (8.22), we find

$$
\left(\nabla_{\kappa} J\right)_{\nu}^{\mu}=\partial_{\kappa} \mathrm{i} \delta_{\nu}^{\mu}-\mathrm{i} \delta_{\xi}^{\mu} \Gamma^{\xi}{ }_{\kappa \nu}+\mathrm{i} \delta_{\nu}^{\xi} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\kappa \xi}=0
$$

Other equalities follow from similar calculations.

### 8.4.4 Torsion and curvature

The torsion tensor $T$ and the Riemann curvature tensor $R$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
T(X, Y) & =\nabla_{X} Y-\nabla_{Y} X-[X, Y]  \tag{8.71}\\
R(X, Y) Z & =\nabla_{X} \nabla_{Y} Z-\nabla_{Y} \nabla_{X} Z-\nabla_{[X, Y]} Z \tag{8.72}
\end{align*}
$$

We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{v}}\right)=\left(\Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}-\Gamma_{\nu \mu}^{\lambda}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\lambda}} \\
& T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\right)=T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\nu}}\right)=0 \\
& T\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}}\right)=\left(\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\nu \mu}\right) \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The non-vanishing components are

$$
\begin{gather*}
T_{\mu \nu}^{\lambda}=\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu}=g^{\bar{\xi} \lambda}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \bar{\xi}}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \bar{\xi}}\right)  \tag{8.73a}\\
T^{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\mu \nu}=\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\bar{\lambda}} \overline{\nu \mu}=g^{\bar{\lambda} \xi}\left(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} g_{\bar{\nu} \xi}-\partial_{\bar{\nu}} g_{\bar{\mu} \xi}\right) . \tag{8.73b}
\end{gather*}
$$

As for the Riemann tensor, we find, for example, that

$$
R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda}-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \lambda}+\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\nu \lambda} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \eta}-\Gamma^{\eta}{ }_{\mu \lambda} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \eta} .
$$

If (8.69) is substituted, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{\lambda \mu \nu}^{\kappa}= & \partial_{\mu} g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}}+g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}}-\partial_{\nu} g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}}-g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}} \\
& +g^{\bar{\xi} \eta} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}} g^{\bar{\zeta} \kappa} \partial_{\mu} g_{\eta \bar{\xi}}-g^{\bar{\xi} \eta} \partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}} g^{\bar{\zeta} \kappa} \partial_{\nu} g_{\eta \bar{\zeta}}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the identity $g^{\bar{\zeta} \kappa} \partial_{\mu} g_{\eta \bar{\zeta}}=-g_{\eta \bar{\zeta}} \partial_{\mu} g^{\bar{\zeta} \kappa}$ etc. In general, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{\lambda} A B}^{\kappa}=R_{\lambda A B}^{\bar{\kappa}}=R_{B \kappa \lambda}^{A}=R_{B \overline{\kappa \bar{\lambda}}}^{A}=0 \tag{8.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are any (holomorphic or anti-holomorphic) indices. As a result, we are left only with the components $R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \bar{\mu} \nu}, R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu \bar{\nu}}, R^{\bar{K}}{ }_{\bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} \nu}$ and $R^{\bar{\kappa}}{ }_{\bar{\lambda} \mu \bar{\nu}}$. Note that we have a trivial symmetry $R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \bar{\mu} \nu}=-R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \nu \bar{\mu}}$. So the independent components are reduced to $R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \bar{\mu} \nu}$ and $R^{\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\lambda} \mu \bar{\nu}=\overline{R^{\kappa} \lambda \overline{\mu \nu}}$. We find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \bar{\mu} \nu}=\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\nu \lambda}=\partial_{\bar{\mu}}\left(g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}}\right)  \tag{8.75a}\\
& R^{\bar{\kappa}}{ }_{\lambda \mu \bar{\nu}}=\partial_{\mu} \Gamma^{\bar{\kappa}}{ }_{\bar{\nu} \bar{\lambda}}=\partial_{\mu}\left(g^{\overline{\kappa \xi}} \partial_{\bar{\nu}} g_{\xi \bar{\lambda}}\right) . \tag{8.75b}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 8.7. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& R_{\bar{\kappa} \lambda \bar{\mu} \nu} \equiv g_{\bar{\kappa} \xi} R^{\xi} \lambda \bar{\mu} \nu=\partial_{\bar{\mu}} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\kappa}}-g^{\bar{\eta} \xi} \partial_{\bar{\mu}} g_{\bar{\kappa} \xi} \partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \bar{\eta}}  \tag{8.76a}\\
& R_{\kappa \bar{\lambda} \mu \bar{\nu}} \equiv g_{\kappa \bar{\xi}} R^{\xi} \bar{\lambda} \mu \bar{\nu}=\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\bar{\nu}} g_{\bar{\lambda} \kappa}-g^{\eta \bar{\xi}} \partial_{\mu} g_{\kappa \bar{\xi}} \partial_{\bar{\nu}} g_{\bar{\lambda} \eta}  \tag{8.76b}\\
& R_{\bar{\kappa} \lambda \mu \bar{\nu}} \equiv g_{\bar{\kappa} \xi} R^{\xi} \lambda \mu \bar{\nu}=-R_{\bar{\kappa} \lambda \bar{\nu} \mu}  \tag{8.76c}\\
& R_{\kappa \bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} \nu} \equiv g_{\kappa \bar{\xi}} R^{\xi} \bar{\lambda} \bar{\mu} \nu=-R_{\kappa \bar{\lambda} \nu \bar{\mu}} . \tag{8.76d}
\end{align*}
$$

Verify the symmetries

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\bar{\kappa} \lambda \bar{\mu} \nu}=-R_{\lambda \bar{\kappa} \mu \nu} \quad R_{\kappa \bar{\lambda} \mu \bar{\nu}}=-R_{\bar{\lambda} \kappa \mu \bar{\nu}} \tag{8.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us contract the indices of the Riemann tensor as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Re_{\mu \bar{v}} \equiv R^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu \bar{v}}=-\partial_{\bar{v}}\left(g^{\kappa \bar{\xi}} \partial_{\mu} g_{\kappa \bar{\xi}}\right)=-\partial_{\bar{v}} \partial_{\mu} \log G \tag{8.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(g_{\mu \bar{v}}\right)=\sqrt{g}$. To obtain the last equality, we used an identity $\delta G=G g^{\mu \bar{\nu}} \delta g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}$; see (7.204). We define the Ricci form by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{R} \equiv \mathrm{i} \Re_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log G \tag{8.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{\Re}$ is a real form; $\bar{\Re}=-\mathrm{i} \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial} \log G=-\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log G=\Re$. From the identity $\partial \bar{\partial}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}(\partial-\bar{\partial})$, we find $\Re$ is closed; $\mathrm{d} \Re \propto \mathrm{d}^{2}(\partial-\bar{\partial}) \log G=0$. However, this does not imply that $\Re$ is exact. In fact, $G$ is not a scalar and $(\partial-\bar{\partial}) \log G$ is not defined globally. $\mathfrak{R}$ defines a non-trivial element $c_{1}(M) \equiv[\mathfrak{R} / 2 \pi] \in H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ called the first Chern class. We discuss this further in section 11.2.

Proposition 8.2. The first Chern class $c_{1}(M)$ is invariant under a smooth change of the metric $g \rightarrow g+\delta g$.

Proof. It follows from (7.204) that $\delta \log G=g^{\mu \bar{\nu}} \delta g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}$. Then

$$
\delta \Re=\delta \mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log G=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} g^{\mu \bar{v}} \delta g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}(\partial-\bar{\partial}) \mathrm{i} g^{\mu \bar{v}} \delta g_{\mu \bar{\nu}} .
$$

Since $g^{\mu \bar{v}} \delta g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}$ is a scalar, $\omega \equiv-\frac{1}{2}(\partial-\bar{\partial}) g^{\mu \bar{v}} \delta g_{\mu \bar{\nu}}$ is a well-defined one-form on $M$. Thus, $\delta \mathfrak{R}=\mathrm{d} \omega$ is an exact two-form and $[\mathfrak{R}]=[\mathfrak{R}+\delta \mathfrak{R}]$, namely $c_{1}(M)$ is left invariant under $g \rightarrow g+\delta g$.

### 8.5 Kähler manifolds and Kähler differential geometry

### 8.5.1 Definitions

Definition 8.4. A Kähler manifold is a Hermitian manifold ( $M, g$ ) whose Kähler form $\Omega$ is closed: $\mathrm{d} \Omega=0$. The metric $g$ is called the Kähler metric of $M$. [Warning: Not all complex manifolds admit Kähler metrics.]

Theorem 8.5. A Hermitian manifold $(M, g)$ is a Kähler manifold if and only if the almost complex structure $J$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\mu} J=0 \tag{8.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\nabla_{\mu}$ is the Levi-Civita connection associated with $g$.

Proof. We first note that for any $r$-form $\omega, \mathrm{d} \omega$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \omega=\nabla \omega \equiv \frac{1}{r!} \nabla_{\mu} \omega_{\nu_{1} \ldots \nu_{r}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{r}} . \tag{8.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

[For example,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \Omega & =\frac{1}{2} \nabla_{\lambda} \Omega_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\lambda} \Omega_{\mu \nu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \mu} \Omega_{\kappa \nu}-\Gamma^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \nu} \Omega_{\mu \kappa}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \\
& =\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\lambda} \Omega_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}=\mathrm{d} \Omega
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\Gamma$ is symmetric.] Now we prove that $\nabla_{\mu} J=0$ if and only if $\nabla_{\mu} \Omega=0$. We verify the following equalities:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\nabla_{Z} \Omega\right)(X, Y) & =\nabla_{Z}[\Omega(X, Y)]-\Omega\left(\nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-\Omega\left(X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right) \\
& =\nabla_{Z}[g(J X, Y)]-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)-g\left(J X, \nabla_{Z} Y\right) \\
& =\left(\nabla_{Z} g\right)(J X, Y)+g\left(\nabla_{Z} J X, Y\right)-g\left(J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right) \\
& =g\left(\nabla_{Z} J X-J \nabla_{Z} X, Y\right)=g\left(\left(\nabla_{Z} J\right) X, Y\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\nabla_{Z} g=0$ has been used. Since this is true for any $X, Y, Z$, it follows that $\nabla_{Z} \Omega=0$ if and only if $\nabla_{Z} J=0$.

Theorems 8.4 and 8.5 show that the Riemann structure is compatible with the Hermitian structure in the Kähler manifold.

Let $g$ be a Kähler metric. Since $\mathrm{d} \Omega=0$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\partial+ & \bar{\partial}) \mathrm{i} g_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \\
\quad= & \mathrm{i} \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} z^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} \partial_{\bar{\lambda}} g_{\mu \bar{v}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \\
= & \frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \bar{v}}-\partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \bar{v}}\right) \mathrm{d} z^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}\left(\partial_{\bar{\lambda}} g_{\mu \bar{v}}-\partial_{\bar{v}} g_{\mu \bar{\lambda}}\right) \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{v}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial g_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial z^{\lambda}}=\frac{\partial g_{\lambda \bar{v}}}{\partial z^{\mu}} \quad \frac{\partial g_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}}=\frac{\partial g_{\mu \bar{\lambda}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{v}} \tag{8.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that a Hermitian metric $g$ is given on a chart $U_{i}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mu \bar{v}}=\partial_{\mu} \partial_{\bar{v}} \mathcal{K}_{i} \tag{8.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{K}_{i} \in \mathcal{F}\left(U_{i}\right)$. Clearly this metric satisfies the condition (8.82), hence it is Kähler. Conversely, it can be shown that any Kähler metric is locally expressed as (8.83). The function $\mathcal{K}_{i}$ is called the Kähler potential of a Kähler metric. It follows that $\Omega=\mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \mathcal{K}_{i}$ on $U_{i}$.

Let $\left(U_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ and $\left(U_{j}, \varphi_{j}\right)$ be overlapping charts. On $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, we have

$$
\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}} \mathcal{K}_{i} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \otimes \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu}=\frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}^{\beta}} \mathcal{K}_{j} \mathrm{~d} w^{\alpha} \otimes \mathrm{d} \bar{w}^{\beta}
$$

where $z=\varphi_{i}(p)$ and $w=\varphi_{j}(p)$. It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial w^{\alpha}}{\partial z^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \bar{w}^{\beta}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial w^{\alpha}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{w}^{\beta}} \mathcal{K}_{j}=\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}} \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\nu}} \mathcal{K}_{i} \tag{8.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is satisfied if and only if $\mathcal{K}_{j}(w, \bar{w})=\mathcal{K}_{i}(z, \bar{z})+\phi_{i j}(z)+\psi_{i j}(\bar{z})$ where $\phi_{i j}$ $\left(\psi_{i j}\right)$ is holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) in $z$.

Exercise 8.8. Let $M$ be a compact Kähler manifold without a boundary. Show that

$$
\Omega^{m} \equiv \underbrace{\Omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega}_{m}
$$

is closed but not exact where $m=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M$ [Hint: Use Stokes' theorem.] Thus, the $2 m$ th Betti number cannot vanish, $b^{2 m} \geq 1$. We will see later that $b^{2 p} \geq 1$ for $1 \leq p \leq m$.

Example 8.6. Let $M=\mathbb{C}^{m}=\left\{\left(z^{1}, \ldots, z^{m}\right)\right\}$. $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ by the identification $z^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}$. Let $\delta$ be the Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)=\delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)=\delta_{\mu \nu} \\
& \delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\nu}}\right)=0 \tag{8.85a}
\end{align*}
$$

Noting that $J \partial / \partial x^{\mu}=\partial / \partial y^{\mu}$ and $J \partial / \partial y^{\mu}=-\partial / \partial x^{\mu}$, we find that $\delta$ is a Hermitian metric. In complex coordinates, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{v}}\right)=\delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{v}}\right)=0 \\
& \delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial z^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{v}}\right)=\delta\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \bar{z}^{\mu}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial z^{v}}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \delta_{\mu \nu} \tag{8.85b}
\end{align*}
$$

The Kähler form is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \sum_{\mu=1}^{m} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} y^{\mu} \tag{8.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $\mathrm{d} \Omega=0$ and we find that the Euclidean metric $\delta$ of $\mathbb{R}^{2 m}$ is a Kähler metric of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$. The Kähler potential is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}=\frac{1}{2} \sum z^{\mu} \bar{z}^{\mu} \tag{8.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Kähler manifold $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ is called the complex Euclid space.
Example 8.7. Any orientable complex manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=1$ is Kähler. Take a Hermitian metric $g$ whose Kähler form is $\Omega$. Since $\Omega$ is a real two-form, a three-form $\mathrm{d} \Omega$ has to vanish on $M$. One-dimensional compact orientable complex manifolds are known as Riemann surfaces.

Example 8.8. A complex projective space $\mathbb{C} P^{m}$ is a Kähler manifold. Let $\left(U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}\right)$ be a chart whose inhomogeneous coordinates are $\varphi_{\alpha}(p)=\xi_{(\alpha)}^{\nu}, \nu \neq \alpha$ (see example 8.3). It is convenient to introduce a tidier notation $\left\{\zeta^{v}{ }_{(\alpha)} \mid 1 \leq \nu \leq\right.$ $m\}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi_{(\alpha)}^{\nu}=\zeta_{(\alpha)}^{\nu} \quad(\nu \leq \alpha-1) \quad \xi^{\nu+1}{ }_{(\alpha)}=\zeta_{(\alpha)}^{\nu} \quad(\nu \geq \alpha) . \tag{8.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{\zeta^{\nu}{ }_{(\alpha)}\right\}$ is just a renaming of $\left\{\xi^{\nu}{ }_{(\alpha)}\right\}$. Define a positive-definite function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(p) \equiv \sum_{\nu=1}^{m}\left|\zeta^{\nu}{ }_{(\alpha)}(p)\right|^{2}+1=\sum_{\nu=1}^{m+1}\left|\frac{z^{\nu}}{z^{\alpha}}\right|^{2} \tag{8.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

At a point $p \in U_{\alpha} \cap U_{\beta}, \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(p)$ and $\mathcal{K}_{\beta}(p)$ are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{\alpha}(p)=\left|\frac{z^{\beta}}{z^{\alpha}}\right|^{2} \mathcal{K}_{\beta}(p) \tag{8.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}=\log \mathcal{K}_{\beta}+\log \frac{z^{\beta}}{z^{\alpha}}+\overline{\log \frac{z^{\beta}}{z^{\alpha}}} \tag{8.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $z^{\beta} / z^{\alpha}$ is a holomorphic function, we have $\bar{\partial} \log z^{\beta} / z^{\alpha}=0$. Also

$$
\overline{\partial \log z^{\beta} / z^{\alpha}}=\overline{\bar{\partial} \log z^{\beta} / z^{\alpha}}=0
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial \bar{\partial} \log \mathcal{K}_{\alpha}=\partial \bar{\partial} \log \mathcal{K}_{\beta} \tag{8.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

A closed two-form $\Omega$ is locally defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \mathrm{i} \partial \bar{\partial} \log \mathcal{K}_{\alpha} \tag{8.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

There exists a Hermitian metric whose Kähler form is $\Omega$. Take $X, Y \in$ $T_{p} \mathbb{C} P^{n}$ and define $g: T_{p} \mathbb{C} P^{n} \otimes T_{p} \mathbb{C} P^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $g(X, Y)=\Omega(X, J Y)$. To prove that $g$ is a Hermitian metric, we have to show that $g$ satisfies (8.50) and is positive definite. The Hermiticity is obvious since $g(J X, J Y)=-\Omega(J X, Y)=$ $\Omega(Y, J X)=g(X, Y)$. Next, we show that $g$ is positive definite. On a chart ( $U_{\alpha}, \varphi_{\alpha}$ ), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial^{2} \log \mathcal{K}}{\partial \zeta^{\mu} \partial \bar{\zeta}^{\nu}} \mathrm{d} \zeta^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{\zeta}^{\nu} \tag{8.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have dropped the subscript ( $\alpha$ ) to simplify the notation. If we substitute the expression (8.89) for $\mathcal{K}$ on $U_{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\mathrm{i} \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{\delta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2}+1\right)-\zeta^{\mu} \bar{\zeta}^{\nu}}{\left(\sum\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2}+1\right)^{2}} \mathrm{~d} \zeta^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{\zeta}^{\nu} \tag{8.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $X$ be a real vector, $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial \zeta^{\mu}+\bar{X}^{\mu} \partial / \partial \bar{\zeta}^{\mu}$ and $J X=\mathrm{i} X^{\mu} \partial / \partial \zeta^{\mu}-$ $\mathrm{i} \bar{X}^{\mu} \partial / \partial \bar{\zeta}^{\mu}$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
g(X, X) & =\Omega(X, J X)=2 \sum_{\mu, \nu} \frac{\delta_{\mu \nu}\left(\sum\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2}+1\right)-\zeta^{\mu} \bar{\zeta}^{\nu}}{\left(\sum\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2}+1\right)^{2}} X^{\mu} \bar{X}^{\nu} \\
& =2\left[\sum_{\mu}\left|X^{\mu}\right|^{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda}\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2}+1\right)-\left|\sum_{\mu} X^{\mu} \zeta^{\mu}\right|^{2}\right]\left(\sum_{\lambda}\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2}+1\right)^{-2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

From the Schwarz inequality $\sum_{\mu}\left|X^{\mu}\right|^{2} \cdot \sum_{\lambda}\left|\zeta^{\lambda}\right|^{2} \geq \sum_{\mu}\left|X^{\mu} \zeta^{\mu}\right|^{2}$, we find the metric $g$ is positive definite. This metric is called the Fubini-Study metric of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$.

A few useful facts are:
(a) $S^{2}$ is the only sphere which admits a complex structure. Since $S^{2} \simeq \mathbb{C} P^{1}$, it is a Kähler manifold.
(b) A product of two odd-dimensional spheres $S^{2 m+1} \times S^{2 n+1}$ always admits a complex structure. This complex structure does not admit a Kähler metric.
(c) Any complex submanifold of a Kähler manifold is Kähler.

### 8.5.2 Kähler geometry

A Kähler metric $g$ is characterized by (8.82):

$$
\frac{\partial g_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial z^{\lambda}}=\frac{\partial g_{\lambda \bar{v}}}{\partial z^{\mu}} \quad \frac{\partial g_{\mu \bar{v}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{\lambda}}=\frac{\partial g_{\mu \bar{\lambda}}}{\partial \bar{z}^{v}}
$$

This ensures that the Kähler metric is torsion free:

$$
\begin{align*}
& T^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}=g^{\bar{\xi} \lambda}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \bar{\xi}}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \bar{\xi}}\right)=0  \tag{8.96a}\\
& T^{\bar{\lambda}}{ }_{\overline{\mu \nu}}=g^{\overline{\lambda \xi}}\left(\partial_{\bar{\mu}} g_{\bar{\nu} \xi}-\partial_{\bar{\nu}} g_{\bar{\mu} \xi}\right)=0 \tag{8.96b}
\end{align*}
$$

In this sense, the Kähler metric defines a connection which is very similar to the Levi-Civita connection. Now the Riemann tensor has an extra symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{\lambda \mu \bar{v}}^{\kappa}=-\partial_{\bar{v}}\left(g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \bar{\xi}}\right)=-\partial_{\bar{v}}\left(g^{\bar{\xi} \kappa} \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \bar{\xi}}\right)=R_{\mu \lambda \bar{v}}^{\kappa} \tag{8.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

as well as those obtained from (8.97) by known symmetry operations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{\bar{\kappa}} \overline{\mu \bar{\mu} \nu}=R^{\bar{\kappa}}{ }_{\bar{\mu} \bar{\lambda} \nu}, \quad R^{\kappa}{ }_{\lambda \bar{\mu} \nu}=R_{\nu \overline{\mu \lambda}}^{\kappa}, \quad R^{\bar{\kappa}} \bar{\lambda} \mu \bar{v}=R^{\bar{\kappa}}{ }_{\bar{\nu} \mu \bar{\lambda}} . \tag{8.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Ricci form $\mathfrak{R}$ is defined as before,

$$
\Re=-\mathrm{i} \partial_{\bar{v}} \partial_{\mu} \log G \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\nu} .
$$

Because of (8.97), the components of the Ricci form agree with Ric $c_{\mu \bar{\nu}} ; \Re_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \equiv$ $R^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu \bar{v}}=R^{\kappa}{ }_{\mu \kappa \bar{v}}=$ Ric $_{\mu \bar{v}}$. If Ric $=\mathfrak{R}=0$, the Kähler metric is said to be Ricci flat.

Theorem 8.6. Let $(M, g)$ be a Kähler manifold. If $M$ admits a Ricci flat metric $h$, then its first Chern class must vanish.

Proof. By assumption, $\mathfrak{R}=0$ for the metric $h$. As was shown in the previous section, $\mathfrak{R}(g)-\mathfrak{R}(h)=\mathfrak{R}(g)=\mathrm{d} \omega$. Hence, $c_{1}(M)$ computed from $g$ agrees with that computed from $h$ and hence vanishes.

A compact Kähler manifold with vanishing first Chern class is called a Calabi-Yau manifold. Calabi (1957) conjectured that if $c_{1}(M)=0$, the Kähler manifold $M$ admits a Ricci-flat metric. This is proved by Yau (1977). Calabi-Yau manifolds with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=3$ have been proposed as candidates for superstring compactification (see Horowitz (1986) and Candelas (1988)).

### 8.5.3 The holonomy group of Kähler manifolds

Before we close this section, we briefly look at the holonomy groups of Kähler manifolds. Let $(M, g)$ be a Hermitian manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. Take a vector $X \in T_{p} M^{+}$and parallel transport it along a loop $c$ at $p$. Then we end up with a vector $X^{\prime} \in T_{p} M^{+}$where $X^{\prime \mu}=X^{\mu} h_{\nu}{ }^{\mu}$. Note that $\nabla$ does not mix the holomorphic indices with anti-holomorphic indices, hence $X^{\prime}$ has no components in $T_{p} M^{-}$. Moreover, $\nabla$ preserves the length of a vector. These facts tell us that $\left(h_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}(c)\right)$ is contained in $\mathrm{U}(m) \subset \mathrm{O}(2 m)$.

Theorem 8.7. If $g$ is the Ricci-flat metric of an $m$-dimensional Calabi-Yau maifold $M$, the holonomy group is contained in $\mathrm{SU}(m)$.


Figure 8.5. $X \in T_{p} M^{+}$is parallel transported along pqrs and comes back as a vector $X^{\prime} \in T_{p} M^{+}$.

Proof. Our proof is sketchy. If $X=X^{\mu} \partial / \partial z^{\mu} \in T_{p} M^{+}$is parallel transported along the small parallelogram in figure 8.5 back to $p$, we have $X^{\prime} \in T_{p} M^{+}$whose components are (cf (7.44))

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\prime \mu}=X^{\mu}+X^{\nu} R_{\nu K \bar{\lambda}}^{\mu} \varepsilon^{\kappa} \bar{\delta}^{\lambda} \tag{8.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
h_{\mu}^{v}=\delta_{\mu}^{\nu}+R_{\mu \kappa \bar{\lambda}}^{v} \varepsilon^{\kappa} \bar{\delta}^{\lambda} \tag{8.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{U}(m)$ is decomposed as $\mathrm{U}(m)=\mathrm{SU}(m) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ in the vicinity of the unit element. In particular, the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{u}(m)=T_{e}(\mathrm{U}(m))$ is separated into

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{u}(m)=\mathfrak{s u}(m) \oplus \mathfrak{u}(1) \tag{8.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{s u}(m)$ is the traceless part of $\mathfrak{u}(m)$ while $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ contains the trace. Since the present metric is Ricci flat, the $\mathfrak{u}(1)$ part vanishes,

$$
R^{\kappa}{ }_{\kappa \mu \bar{\nu}} \varepsilon^{\mu} \bar{\delta}^{\nu}=\Re_{\mu \bar{\nu}} \varepsilon^{\mu} \bar{\delta}^{\nu}=0 .
$$

This shows that the holonomy group is contained in $\mathrm{SU}(m)$. [Remark: Strictly speaking, we have only shown that the restricted holonomy group is contained in $\mathrm{SU}(m)$. This statement remains true even when $M$ is multiply connected.]

### 8.6 Harmonic forms and $\bar{\partial}$-cohomology groups

The $(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{s})$ th $\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}$-cohomology group is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \equiv Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) / B \frac{r_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}}{r,}(M) \tag{8.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

An element $[\omega] \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ is an equivalence class of $\bar{\partial}$-closed forms of bidegree $(r, s)$ which differ from $\omega$ by a $\bar{\partial}$-exact form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
[\omega]=\left\{\eta \in \Omega^{r, s}(M) \mid \bar{\partial} \eta=0, \omega-\eta=\bar{\partial} \psi, \psi \in \Omega^{r, s-1}(M)\right\} \tag{8.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ is a complex vector space. Similarly to the de Rham cohomology groups, the $\bar{\partial}$-cohomology groups of $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ are trivial, that is, all the closed ( $r, s$ )-forms are exact. The $\bar{\partial}$-cohomology groups measure the topological non-triviality of a complex manifold $M$.

### 8.6.1 The adjoint operators $\boldsymbol{\partial}^{\dagger}$ and $\overline{\boldsymbol{\partial}}^{\dagger}$

Let $M$ be a Hermitian manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. Define the inner product between $\alpha, \beta \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)(0 \leq r, s \leq m)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha, \beta) \equiv \int_{M} \alpha \wedge \not \approx \beta \tag{8.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mp: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{m-r, m-s}(M)$ is the Hodge $*$ defined by
where $* \beta$ is computed according to (7.173) extended to $\Omega^{r+s}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. [Remark: * maps an $(r, s)$-form to an ( $m-s, m-r$ )-form since it acts on a basis of $\Omega^{r, s}(M)$, up to an irrelevant factor, as

$$
\begin{aligned}
* \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{1}} & \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{r}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{v_{s}} \sim \varepsilon^{\mu_{1} \ldots \mu_{r}} \bar{\mu}_{r+1} \ldots \bar{\mu}_{m} \varepsilon^{\bar{v}_{1} \ldots \bar{\nu}_{s}}{ }_{v_{s+1} \ldots v_{m}} \\
& \times \mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu_{r+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\mu_{m}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{v_{s+1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{v_{m}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the above $\varepsilon$-symbols are the only non-vanishing components in a Hermitian manifold. Now it follows that $\bar{*}: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{m-r, m-s}(M)$.]

We define the adjoint operators $\partial^{\dagger}$ and $\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}$ of $\partial$ and $\bar{\partial}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha, \partial \beta)=\left(\partial^{\dagger} \alpha, \beta\right) \quad(\alpha, \bar{\partial} \beta)=\left(\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \alpha, \beta\right) \tag{8.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operators $\partial^{\dagger}$ and $\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}$ change the bidegrees as $\partial^{\dagger}: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r-1, s}(M)$ and $\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r, s-1}(M)$. Clearly $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}=\partial^{\dagger}+\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}$. Noting that a complex manifold $M$ is even dimensional as a differentiable manifold, we have (see (7.184a))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}=-* \mathrm{~d} * \tag{8.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 8.3.

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial^{\dagger}=-* \bar{\partial} *, \quad \bar{\partial}^{\dagger}=-* \partial * \tag{8.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $\omega \in \Omega^{r-1, s}(M)$ and $\psi \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)$. If we note that $\omega \wedge \not \approx \psi \in$ $\Omega^{m-1, m}(M)$ and hence $\bar{\partial}(\omega \wedge \bar{*} \psi)=0$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}(\omega \wedge \bar{*} \psi) & =\partial(\omega \wedge \bar{*} \psi)=\partial \omega \wedge \bar{*} \psi+(-1)^{r+s-1} \omega \wedge \partial(\bar{\not} \psi) \\
& =\partial \omega \wedge \bar{*} \psi+(-1)^{r+s-1} \omega \wedge(-1)^{r+s+1} \bar{*} \bar{*} \partial(\bar{*} \psi) \\
& =\partial \omega \wedge \bar{*} \psi+\omega \wedge \bar{*} \partial \bar{*} \psi \tag{8.109}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the facts $\partial \nexists \psi \in \Omega^{2 m-r-s-1}(M), \overline{* *} \beta=* * \beta$ and (7.176a). If (8.109) is integrated over a compact complex manifold $M$ with no boundary, we have

$$
0=(\partial \omega, \psi)+(\omega, \nexists \partial \nexists \psi)
$$

The second term is

$$
(\omega, \bar{*} \partial \bar{*} \psi)=(\omega, * \overline{\partial * \bar{\psi}})=(\omega, * \bar{\partial} * \psi)
$$

We finally find $0=(\partial \omega, \psi)+(\omega, * \bar{\partial} * \psi)$, namely $\partial^{\dagger}=-* \bar{\partial} *$. The other formula $\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}=-* \partial *$ follows similarly.

As a corollary of proposition 8.3, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=\left(\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=0 \tag{8.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 8.6.2 Laplacians and the Hodge theorem

Besides the usual Laplacian $\Delta=\left(\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}\right)$, we define other Laplacians $\Delta_{\partial}$ and $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$ on a Hermitian manifold,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{\partial} \equiv\left(\partial+\partial^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=\partial \partial^{\dagger}+\partial^{\dagger} \partial  \tag{8.111a}\\
& \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \equiv\left(\bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=\overline{\partial \partial}^{\dagger}+\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \bar{\partial} \tag{8.111b}
\end{align*}
$$

An $(r, s)$-form $\omega$ which satisfies $\Delta_{\partial} \omega=0\left(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \omega=0\right)$ is said to be $\partial$-harmonic ( $\bar{\partial}$-harmonic). If $\Delta_{\partial} \omega=0\left(\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \omega=0\right), \omega$ satisfies $\partial \omega=\partial^{\dagger} \omega=0\left(\bar{\partial} \omega=\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \omega=\right.$ 0 ).

We have the complex version of the Hodge decomposition. Let $\operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{r}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ be the set of $\bar{\partial}$-harmonic $(r, s)$-forms,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{\bar{\partial}}{}}^{r_{\bar{\prime}} s}(M) \equiv\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{r, s}(M) \mid \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \omega=0\right\} \tag{8.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 8.8. (Hodge's theorem) $\Omega^{r, s}(M)$ has a unique orthogonal decomposition:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{r, s}(M)=\bar{\partial} \Omega^{r, s-1}(M) \oplus \bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \Omega^{r, s+1}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{\gamma}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \tag{8.113a}
\end{equation*}
$$

namely an $(r, s)$-form $\omega$ is uniquely expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\bar{\partial} \alpha+\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \beta+\gamma \tag{8.113b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha \in \Omega^{r, s-1}(M), \beta \in \Omega^{r, s+1}(M)$ and $\gamma \in \operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{r}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$.
The proof is found in lecture 22, Schwartz (1986), for example. If $\omega$ is $\bar{\partial}$ closed, we have $\bar{\partial} \omega=\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \beta=0$. Then $0=\left\langle\beta, \bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \beta\right\rangle=\left\langle\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \beta, \bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \beta\right\rangle \geq 0$ implies $\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \beta=0$. Thus, any closed $(r, s)$-form $\omega$ is written as $\omega=\gamma+\bar{\partial} \alpha$, $\alpha \in \Omega^{r, s-1}(M)$. This shows that $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \subset \operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{\gamma}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$. Note also that $\operatorname{Harm} \frac{r_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}}{}(M) \subset Z_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ since $\bar{\partial} \gamma=0$ for $\gamma \in \operatorname{Harm}_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$. Moreover, $\operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{r}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \cap B \frac{r^{\prime}}{r, s}(M)=\emptyset$ since $B_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)=\bar{\partial} \Omega^{r, s-1}(M)$ is orthogonal to $\operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{r}{\bar{r}}}^{\frac{r}{r}}(M)$. Then it follows that $\operatorname{Harm}_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \cong H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$. If $P: \Omega^{r, s}(M) \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Harm} \frac{r_{\bar{\prime}}^{\gamma}, s}{}(M)$ denotes the projection operator to a harmonic $(r, s)$-form, $[\omega] \in$ $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ has a unique harmonic representative $P \omega \in \operatorname{Harm}_{\frac{\gamma}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$.

### 8.6.3 Laplacians on a Kähler manifold

In a general Hermitian manifold, there exist no particular relationships among the Laplacians $\Delta, \Delta_{\partial}$ and $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$. However, if $M$ is a Kähler manifold, they are essentially the same. [Note that the Levi-Civita connection is compatible with the Hermitian connection in a Kähler manifold.]

Theorem 8.9. Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=2 \Delta_{\partial}=2 \Delta_{\bar{\partial}} . \tag{8.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof requires some technicalities and we simply refer to Schwartz (1986) and Goldberg (1962). This theorem puts constraints on the cohomology groups of a Kähler manifold M. A form $\omega$ which satisfies $\bar{\partial} \omega=\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \omega=0$ also satisfies $\partial \omega=\partial^{\dagger} \omega=0$. Let $\omega$ be a holomorphic $p$-form; $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$. Since $\omega$ contains no d $\bar{z}^{\mu}$ in its expansion, we have $\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \omega=0$, hence $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \omega=$ $\left(\bar{\partial} \bar{\partial}^{\dagger}+\bar{\partial}^{\dagger} \bar{\partial}\right) \omega=0$. According to theorem 8.9, we then have $\Delta \omega=0$, that is any holomorphic form is automatically harmonic with respect to the Kähler metric. Conversely $\Delta \omega=0$ implies $\bar{\partial} \omega=0$, hence every harmonic form of bidegree $(p, 0)$ is holomorphic.

### 8.6.4 The Hodge numbers of Kähler manifolds

The complex dimension of $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ is called the Hodge number $b^{r, s}$. The cohomology groups of a complex manifold are summarized by the Hodge
diamond,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\right) \tag{8.115}
\end{equation*}
$$

These $(m+1)^{2}$ Hodge numbers are far from independent as we shall see later.
Theorem 8.10. Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. Then the Hodge numbers satisfy
(a) $b^{r, s}=b^{s, r}$
(b) $\quad b^{r, s}=b^{m-r, m-s}$.

Proof. (a) If $\omega \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)$ is harmonic, it satisfies $\Delta_{\bar{\gamma}} \omega=\Delta_{\partial} \omega=0$. Then the ( $s, r$ )-form $\bar{\omega}$ is also harmonic, $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\omega}=0$ since $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \bar{\omega}=\overline{\Delta_{\partial} \omega}=\overline{\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \omega}=0$ (note that $\left.\Delta_{\partial}=\Delta_{\bar{\jmath}}\right)$. Thus, for any harmonic form of bidegree $(r, s)$, there exists a harmonic form of bidegree $(s, r)$ and vice versa. Thus, it follows that $b^{r, s}=b^{s, r}$. (b) Let $\omega \in \Omega^{r, s}(M)$ and $\psi \in H_{\bar{\partial}}^{m-r, m-s}(M)$. Then $\omega \wedge \psi$ is a volume element and it can be shown (Schwartz 1986) that $\int_{M} \omega \wedge \psi$ defines a non-singular $\operatorname{map} H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M) \times H_{\bar{\partial}}^{m-r, m-s}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, hence the duality between $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ and $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{m-r, m-s}(M)$. This shows that $H_{\frac{\partial}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ is isomorphic to $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{m-r, m-s}(M)$ as a vector space and it follows that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\frac{r}{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H_{\frac{\bar{\partial}}{}}^{m-r, m-s}(M)$ hence $b^{r, s}=b^{m-r, m-s}$.

Accordingly, the Hodge diamond of a Kähler manifold is symmetric about the vertical and horizontal lines. These symmetries reduce the number of independent Hodge numbers to $\left(\frac{1}{2} m+1\right)^{2}$ if $m$ is even and $\frac{1}{4}(m+1)(m+3)$ if $m$ is odd.

In a general Hermitian manifold, there are no direct relations between the Betti numbers and the Hodge numbers. If $M$ is a Kähler manifold, however, theorem 8.11 establishes close relationships between them.

Theorem 8.11. Let $M$ be a Kähler manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$ and $\partial M=\emptyset$. Then the Betti numbers $b^{p}(1 \leq p \leq 2 m)$ satisfy the following conditions;
(a) $b^{p}=\sum_{r+s=p} b^{r, s}$
(b) $\quad b^{2 p-1}$ is even $\quad(1 \leq p \leq m)$
(c) $\quad b^{2 p} \geq 1 \quad(1 \leq p \leq m)$

Proof. (a) $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)$ is a complex vector space spanned by $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}}$-harmonic $(r, s)$ forms, $H_{\bar{\partial}}^{r, s}(M)=\left\{[\omega] \mid \omega \in \Omega^{r, s}(M), \Delta \bar{\partial}^{\prime} \omega=0\right\}$. Note also that, $H^{p}(M)$ is a real vector space spanned by $\Delta$-harmonic $p$-forms, $H^{p}(M)=\{[\omega] \mid \omega \in$ $\left.\Omega^{p}(M), \Delta \omega=0\right\}$. Then the complexification of $H^{p}(M)$ is $H^{p}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\{[\omega] \mid \omega \in$ $\left.\Omega^{p}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}, \Delta \omega=0\right\}$. Since $M$ is Kähler, any form $\omega$ which satisfies $\Delta_{\bar{\partial}} \omega=0$ also satsifies $\Delta \omega=0$ and vice versa. Since

$$
\Omega^{p}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\oplus_{r+s=p} \Omega^{r, s}(M)
$$

we find that

$$
H^{p}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\oplus_{r+s=p} H^{r, s}(M)
$$

Noting that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} H^{p}(M)=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{p}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$, we obtain $b^{p}=\sum_{r+s=p} b^{r, s}$.
(b) From (a) and (8.116), it follows that

$$
b^{2 p-1}=\sum_{r+s=2 p-1} b^{r, s}=2 \sum_{\substack{r+s=2 p-1 \\ r>s}} b^{r, s} .
$$

Thus, $b^{2 p-1}$ must be even.
(c) The crucial observation is that the Kähler form $\Omega$ is a closed real twoform, $\mathrm{d} \Omega=0$, and the real $2 p$-form

$$
\Omega^{p}=\underbrace{\Omega \wedge \ldots \wedge \Omega}_{p}
$$

is also closed, $\mathrm{d} \Omega^{p}=0$. We show that $\Omega^{p}$ is not exact. Suppose $\Omega^{p}=\mathrm{d} \eta$ for some $\eta \in \Omega^{2 p-1}(M)$. Then $\Omega^{m}=\Omega^{m-p} \wedge \Omega^{p}=\mathrm{d}\left(\Omega^{m-p} \wedge \eta\right)$. It follows from Stokes' theorem that

$$
\int_{M} \Omega^{m}=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d}\left(\Omega^{m-p} \wedge \eta\right)=\int_{\partial M} \Omega^{m-p} \wedge \eta=0
$$

Since the LHS is the volume of $M$, this is in contradiction. Thus, there is at least one non-trivial element of $H^{2 p}(M)$ and we have proved that $b^{2 p} \geq 1$.

If a Kähler manifold is Ricci flat, there exists an extra relationship among the Hodge numbers, which further reduces the independent Hodge numbers, see Horowitz (1986) and Candelas (1988).

### 8.7 Almost complex manifolds

This and the next sections deal with spaces which are closely related to complex manifolds. These are somewhat specialized topics and may be omitted on a first reading.

### 8.7.1 Definitions

There are some differentiable manifolds which carry a similar structure to complex manifolds. To study these manifolds, we somewhat relax the condition (8.16) and require a weaker condition here.

Definition 8.5. Let $M$ be a differentiable manifold. The pair ( $M, J$ ), or simply $M$, is called an almost complex manifold if there exists a tensor field $J$ of type $(1,1)$ such that at each point $p$ of $M, J_{p}^{2}=-\mathrm{id}_{T_{p} M}$. The tensor field $J$ is also called the almost complex structure.

Since $J_{p}^{2}=-\mathrm{id}_{T_{p} M}, J_{p}$ has eigenvalues $\pm \mathrm{i}$. If there are $m+\mathrm{i}$, then there must be an equal number of -i , hence $J_{p}$ is a $2 m \times 2 m$ matrix and $J_{p}^{2}=-I_{2 m}$. Thus, $M$ is an even-dimensional manifold. Note that not all even-dimensional manifolds are almost complex manifolds. For example, $S^{4}$ is not an almost complex manifold (Steenrod 1951). Note also that we now require a weaker condition $J_{p}^{2}=-I_{2 m}$. Of course, the tensor $J_{p}$ defined by (8.16) satisfies $J_{p}^{2}=-I_{2 m}$, hence a complex manifold is an almost complex manifold. There are almost complex manifolds which are not complex manifolds. For example, it is known that $S^{6}$ admits an almost complex structure, although it is not a complex manifold (Fröhlicher 1955).

Let us complexify a tangent space of an almost complex manifold $(M, J)$. Given a linear transformation $J_{p}$ at $T_{p} M$ such that $J_{p}^{2}=-I_{2 m}$, we extend $J_{p}$ to a $\mathbb{C}$-linear map defined on $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}} . J_{p}$ defined on $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ also satisfies $J_{p}^{2}=-I_{2 m}$,

$$
J_{p}^{2}(X+\mathrm{i} Y)=J_{p}^{2} X+\mathrm{i} J_{p}^{2} Y=-X+\mathrm{i}(-Y)=-(X+\mathrm{i} Y)
$$

where $X, Y \in T_{p} M$. Let us divide $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ into two disjoint vector subspaces, according to the eigenvalue of $J_{p}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}=T_{p} M^{+} \oplus T_{p} M^{-} \tag{8.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{p} M^{ \pm}=\left\{Z \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}} \mid J_{p} Z= \pm \mathrm{i} Z\right\} \tag{8.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Any vector $V \in T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ is written as $V=W_{1}+\bar{W}_{2}$, where $W_{1}, W_{2} \in T_{p} M^{+}$. Note that $J_{p} V=\mathrm{i} W_{1}-\mathrm{i} \bar{W}_{2}$. At this stage the reader might have noticed that we can follow the classification scheme of vectors and vector fields developed for the complex manifolds in section 8.2. In fact, the only difference is that on a complex manifold the almost complex structure is explicitly given by (8.18), while on an almost complex manifold, it is required to satisfy the less strict condition $J_{p}^{2}=-I_{2 m}$. To classify the complexified tangent spaces and complexified vector spaces, we only need the latter condition. Accordingly, we separate $T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ into $T_{p} M^{ \pm}$and $\mathcal{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ into $\mathcal{X}(M)^{ \pm}$, although there does not necessarily exist a basis
of $T_{p} M^{+}$of the form $\left\{\partial / \partial z^{\mu}\right\}$. For example, we may still define the projection operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(\mathrm{id}_{T_{p} M} \mp \mathrm{i} J_{p}\right): T_{p} M^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow T_{p} M^{ \pm} \tag{8.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

We call a vector in $T_{p} M^{+}\left(T_{p} M^{-}\right)$a holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) vector and a vector field in $\mathcal{X}(M)^{+}\left(\mathcal{X}(M)^{-}\right)$a holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) vector field.

Definition 8.6. Let $(M, J)$ be an almost complex manifold. If the Lie bracket of any holomorphic vector fields $X, Y \in X^{+}(M)$ is again a holomorphic vector field, $[X, Y] \in X^{+}(M)$, the almost complex structure $J$ is said to be integrable.

Let $(M, J)$ be an almost complex manifold. Define the Nijenhuis tensor field $N: X(M) \times X(M) \rightarrow X(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
N(X, Y) \equiv[X, Y]+J[J X, Y]+J[X, J Y]-[J X, J Y] \tag{8.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Given a basis $\left\{e^{\mu}=\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ and the dual basis $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right\}$, the almost complex structure is expressed as $J=J_{\mu}{ }^{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \otimes \partial / \partial x^{\nu}$. The component expression of $N$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
N(X, Y)= & \left(X^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} Y^{\mu}-Y^{\nu} \partial_{\nu} X^{\mu}\right) e_{\mu} \\
& +J_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu}\left\{J_{\kappa}{ }^{\nu} X^{\kappa} \partial_{\nu} Y^{\lambda}-Y^{\nu} \partial_{\nu}\left(J_{\kappa}{ }^{\lambda} X^{\kappa}\right)\right\} e_{\mu} \\
& +J_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu}\left\{X^{\nu} \partial_{\nu}\left(J_{\kappa}{ }^{\lambda} Y^{\kappa}\right)-J_{\kappa}{ }^{\nu} Y^{\kappa} \partial_{\nu} X^{\lambda}\right\} e_{\mu} \\
& -\left\{J_{\kappa}{ }^{\nu} X^{\kappa} \partial_{\nu}\left(J_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu} Y^{\lambda}\right)-J_{\kappa}{ }^{\nu} Y^{\kappa} \partial_{\nu}\left(J_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu} X^{\lambda}\right)\right\} e_{\mu} \\
= & X^{\kappa} Y^{\nu}\left[-J_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\nu} J_{\kappa}{ }^{\lambda}\right)+J_{\lambda}{ }^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\kappa} J_{\nu}{ }^{\lambda}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-J_{\kappa}{ }^{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\lambda} J_{\nu}{ }^{\mu}\right)+J_{\nu}{ }^{\lambda}\left(\partial_{\lambda} J_{\kappa}{ }^{\mu}\right)\right] e_{\mu} . \tag{8.125}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $N$ is indeed linear in $X$ and $Y$ and hence a tensor. If $J$ is a complex structure, $J$ is given by (8.18) and the Nijenhuis tensor field trivially vanishes.

Theorem 8.12. An almost complex structure $J$ on a manifold $M$ is integrable if and only if $N(A, B)=0$ for any $A, B \in X(M)$.

Proof. Let $Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y, W=U+\mathrm{i} V \in \mathcal{X}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. We extend the Nijenhuis tensor field so that its action on vector fields in $X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
N(Z, W) & =[Z, W]+J[J Z, W]+J[Z, J W]-[J Z, J W] \\
& =\{N(X, U)-N(Y, V)\}+\mathrm{i}\{N(X, V)+N(Y, U)\} . \tag{8.126}
\end{align*}
$$

Suppose that $N(A, B)=0$ for any $A, B \in X(M)$. From (8.126), it turns out that $N(Z, W)=0$ for $Z, W \in X^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. Let $Z, W \in X^{+}(M) \subset X(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $J Z=\mathrm{i} Z$ and $J W=\mathrm{i} W$, we have $N(Z, W)=2\{[Z, W]+\mathrm{i} J[Z, W]\}$. By assumption, $N(Z, W)=0$ and we find $[Z, W]=-\mathrm{i} J[Z, W]$ or $J[Z, W]=$
$\mathrm{i}[Z, W]$, that is, $[Z, W] \in X^{+}(M)$. Thus, the almost complex structure is integrable.

Conversely, suppose that $J$ is integrable. Since $X^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ is a direct sum of $X^{+}(M)$ and $X^{-}(M)$, we can separate $Z, W \in X^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$ as $Z=Z^{+}+Z^{-}$and $W=W^{+}+W^{-}$. Then

$$
N(Z, W)=N\left(Z^{+}, W^{+}\right)+N\left(Z^{+}, W^{-}\right)+N\left(Z^{-}, W^{+}\right)+N\left(Z^{-}, W^{-}\right)
$$

Since $J Z^{ \pm}= \pm \mathrm{i} Z^{ \pm}$and $J W^{ \pm}= \pm \mathrm{i} W^{ \pm}$, it is easy to see that $N\left(Z^{+}, W^{-}\right)=$ $N\left(Z^{-}, W^{+}\right)=0$. We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
N\left(Z^{+}, W^{+}\right) & =\left[Z^{+}, W^{+}\right]+J\left[\mathrm{i} Z^{+}, W^{+}\right]+J\left[Z^{+}, \mathrm{i} W^{+}\right]-\left[\mathrm{i} Z^{+}, \mathrm{i} W^{+}\right] \\
& =2\left[Z^{+}, W^{+}\right]-2\left[Z^{+}, W^{+}\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

since $J\left[Z^{+}, W^{+}\right]=\mathrm{i}\left[Z^{+}, W^{+}\right]$. Similarly, $N\left(Z^{-}, W^{-}\right)$vanishes and we have shown that $N(Z, W)=0$ for any $Z, W \in X^{\mathbb{C}}(M)$. In particular, it should vanish for $Z, W \in X(M)$.

If $M$ is a complex manifold, the complex structure $J$ is a constant tensor field and the Nijenhuis tensor field vanishes. What about the converse? We now state an important (and difficult to prove) theorem.

Theorem 8.13. (Newlander and Nirenberg 1957) Let $(M, J)$ be a $2 m$-dimensional almost complex manifold. If $J$ is integrable, the manifold $M$ is a complex manifold with the almost complex structure $J$.

In summary we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Integrable almost } \\
& \text { complex structure }
\end{aligned}=\begin{gathered}
\text { Vanishing Nijenhuis } \\
\text { tensor field }
\end{gathered}=\text { Complex manifold. }
$$

### 8.8 Orbifolds

Let $M$ be a manifold and let $G$ be a discrete group which acts on $M$. Then the quotient space $\Gamma \equiv M / G$ is called an orbifold. As we will see later there are fixed points in $M$, which do not transform under the action of $G$. These points are singular and the orbifold is not a manifold in general. Thus, even though we start with a simple manifold $M$, the orbifold $M / G$ may have quite a complicated topology.

### 8.8.1 One-dimensional examples

To obtain a concrete idea, let us consider a simple example. Take $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ which is to be identified with the complex plane $\mathbb{C}$. Let us take $G=\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ and identify the points $z, \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / 3} z$ and $\mathrm{e}^{4 \pi \mathrm{i} / 3} z$. The orbifold $M / G$ consists of a third of the


Figure 8.6. The orbifold $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is a third of the complex plane. The edges of the orbifold are identified as shown in the figure. $V$ becomes a vector $\tilde{V}$ after parallel transportation along $C$. The angle between $V$ and $\tilde{V}$ is $2 \pi / 3$.
complex plane and after the identification of the edges we end up with a cone, see figure 8.6. It is interesting to see what the holonomy group of this orbifold is. We use the flat connection induced by the Euclidean metric of $\mathbb{C}$. Then, after the parallel transport of a vector $V$ along the loop $C$ (this is indeed a loop!), we obtain a vector $\tilde{V}$ which is different from $V$ after the identification. Observe that the angle between $V$ and $\tilde{V}$ is $2 \pi / 3$. It is easy to verify that the holomony group is $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$. Since the holonomy is trivial for the loop $C_{0}$ which does not encircle the origin, we find that the curvature is singular at the origin (recall that the curvature measures the non-triviality of the holonomy, see section 7.3). In general the fixed points (the origin in the present case) are singular points of the curvature. Note, however, that $\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ is a manifold since it has an open covering homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{2}$.

A less trivial example is obtained by taking the torus as the manifold. We identify the points $z$ and $z+m+n \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi / 3}(m, n \in \mathbb{Z})$ in the complex plane; see figure $8.7(a)$. If we identify the edges of the parallelogram $O P Q R$, we have the torus $T^{2}$. Let $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ act on $T^{2}$ as $\alpha: z \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / 3} z$. We find that there are three inequivalent fixed points $z=(n / \sqrt{3}) \mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} / 6}$ where $n=0,1$ and 2 . This orbifold $\Gamma=\mathbb{C} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$ consists of two triangles surrounding a hollow; see figure 8.7(b). If the flat connection induced by the flat metric of the torus is employed to define the parallel transport of vectors, we find that the holonomy around each fixed point is $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$.


Figure 8.7. Under the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$, points of the torus $T^{2}$ are identified. The shaded area is the orbifold $\Gamma=T^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{3}$. If the edges of the orbifold are identified, we end up with the object in figure 8.7(b), which is homeomorphic to the sphere $S^{2}$.


Figure 8.8. The conical singularity. The origin does not look like $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ or $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

### 8.8.2 Three-dimensional examples

Orbifolds with three complex dimensions have been proposed as candidates for superstring compactification. The detailed treatment of this subject is outside the scope of this book and the reader should consult Dixson et al $(1985,1986)$ and Green et al (1987).

Let $T=\mathbb{C}^{3} / L$ be a three-dimensional complex torus, where $L$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. For definiteness, let $\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$ be the coordinates of $\mathbb{C}^{3}$ and identify $z_{i}$ and $z_{i}+m+n \mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} / 3}$. Under this identification, $T$ is identified with a product of three tori, $T=T_{1} \times T_{2} \times T_{3}$. $T$ admits, as before, the action of $\mathbb{Z}_{3}$ defined
by $\alpha: z_{i} \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi \mathrm{i} / 3} z_{i}$. If each $z_{i}$ takes one of the values $0,(1 / \sqrt{3}) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi / 6}$, $(2 / \sqrt{3}) \mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} / 6}$, the action of $\alpha$ leaves the point $\left(z_{i}\right)$ invariant. Thus, there are $3^{3}=27$ fixed points in the orbifold. In the present case, the fixed point is a conical singularity (figure 8.8) and the orbifold cannot be a manifold. [Remarks: The appearance of the conical singularity can be understood more easily from a simpler example. Let $(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}$ and let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ act on $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ as $(x, y) \mapsto \pm(x, y)$. Then the orbifold $\Gamma=\mathbb{C}^{2} / \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ has a conical singularity at the origin. In fact, let $[(x, y)] \rightarrow\left(x^{2}, x y, y^{2}\right) \equiv(X, Y, Z)$ be an embedding of $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{C}^{3}$. Note that $X, Y$ and $Z$ satisfy a relation $Y^{2}=X Z$. If $X, Y$ and $Z$ are thought of as real variables, this is simply the equation of a cone.]

## 9

## FIBRE BUNDLES

A manifold is a topological space which looks locally like $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, but not necessarily so globally. By introducing a chart, we give a local Euclidean structure to a manifold, which enables us to use the conventional calculus of several variables. A fibre bundle is, so to speak, a topological space which looks locally like a direct product of two topological spaces. Many theories in physics, such as general relativity and gauge theories, are described naturally in terms of fibre bundles.

Relevant references are Choquet-Bruhat et al (1982), Eguchi et al (1980) and Nash and Sen (1983). A complete analysis is found in Kobayashi and Nomizu (1963, 1969) and Steenrod (1951).

### 9.1 Tangent bundles

For clarification, we begin our exposition with a motivating example. A tangent bundle $T M$ over an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ is a collection of all the tangent spaces of $M$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
T M \equiv \bigcup_{p \in M} T_{p} M \tag{9.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The manifold $M$ over which $T M$ is defined is called the base space. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M$. If $x^{\mu}=\varphi_{i}(p)$ is the coordinate on $U_{i}$, an element of

$$
T U_{i} \equiv \bigcup_{p \in U_{i}} T_{p} M
$$

is specified by a point $p \in M$ and a vector $V=\left.V^{\mu}(p)\left(\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right)\right|_{p} \in T_{p} M$. Noting that $U_{i}$ is homeomorphic to an open subset $\varphi\left(U_{i}\right)$ of $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ and each $T_{p} M$ is homeomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, we find that $T U_{i}$ is identified with a direct product $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$ (figure 9.1). If $(p, V) \in T U_{i}$, the identification is given by $(p, V) \mapsto\left(x^{\mu}(p), V^{\mu}(p)\right) . T U_{i}$ is a $2 m$-dimensional differentiable manifold. What is more, $T U_{i}$ is decomposed into a direct product $U_{i} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$. If we pick up a point $u$ of $T U_{i}$, we can systematically decompose the information $u$ contains into a point $p \in M$ and a vector $V \in T_{p} M$. Thus, we are naturally led to the concept of projection $\pi: T U_{i} \rightarrow U_{i}$ (figure 9.1). For any point $u \in T U_{i}, \pi(u)$ is a point $p \in U_{i}$ at which the vector is defined. The information about the vector


Figure 9.1. A local piece $T U_{i} \simeq \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$ of a tangent bundle $T M$. The projection $\pi$ projects a vector $V \in T_{p} M$ to $p$.
is completely lost under the projection. Observe that $\pi^{-1}(p)=T_{p} M$. In the context of the theory of fibre bundles, $T_{p} M$ is called the fibre at $p$.

It is obvious by construction that if $M=\mathbb{R}^{m}$, the tangent bundle itself is expressed as a direct product $\mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$. However, this is not always the case and the non-trivial structure of the tangent bundle measures the topological nontriviality of $M$. To see this, we have to look not only at a single chart $U_{i}$ but also at other charts. Let $U_{j}$ be a chart such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$ and let $y^{\mu}=\psi(p)$ be the coordinates on $U_{j}$. Take a vector $V \in T_{p} M$ where $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. $V$ has two coordinate presentations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=\left.V^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}\right|_{p}=\left.\tilde{V}^{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial y^{\mu}}\right|_{p} . \tag{9.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that they are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}^{v}=\frac{\partial y^{v}}{\partial x^{\mu}}(p) V^{\mu} \tag{9.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{y^{\nu}\right\}$ to be good coordinate systems, the matrix $\left(G_{\mu}^{\nu}\right) \equiv\left(\partial y^{\nu} / \partial x^{\mu}\right)$ must be non-singular: $\left(G_{\mu}^{\nu}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. Thus, fibre coordinates are rotated by an element of $\operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ whenever we change the coordinates. The group $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ is called the structure group of $T M$. In this way fibres are interwoven together to form a tangent bundle, which consequently may have quite a complicated topological structure.

We note en passant that the projection $\pi$ can be defined globally on $M$. It is obvious that $\pi(u)=p$ does not depend on a special coordinate chosen. Thus, $\pi: T M \rightarrow M$ is defined globally with no reference to local charts.

Let $X \in X(M)$ be a vector field on $M . X$ assigns a vector $\left.X\right|_{p} \in T_{p} M$ at each point $p \in M$. From our viewpoint, $X$ is looked upon as a smooth map $M \rightarrow T M$. This map is not utterly arbitrary since a point $p$ must be mapped to a point $u \in T M$ such that $\pi(u)=p$. We define a section (or a cross section) of $T M$ as a smooth map $s: M \rightarrow T M$ such that $\pi \circ s=\mathrm{id}_{M}$. If a section $s_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow T U_{i}$ is defined only on a chart $U_{i}$, it is called a local section.

### 9.2 Fibre bundles

The tangent bundle in the previous section is an example of a more general framework called a fibre bundle. Definitions are now in order.

### 9.2.1 Definitions

Definition 9.1. A (differentiable) fibre bundle ( $E, \pi, M, F, G$ ) consists of the following elements:
(i) A differentiable manifold $E$ called the total space.
(ii) A differentiable manifold $M$ called the base space.
(iii) A differentiable manifold $F$ called the fibre (or typical fibre).
(iv) A surjection $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ called the projection. The inverse image $\pi^{-1}(p)=F_{p} \cong F$ is called the fibre at $p$.
(v) A Lie group $G$ called the structure group, which acts on $F$ on the left. (vi) A set of open covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ of $M$ with a diffeomorphism $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times F \rightarrow$ $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ such that $\pi \circ \phi_{i}(p, f)=p$. The map $\phi_{i}$ is called the local trivialization since $\phi_{i}^{-1}$ maps $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ onto the direct product $U_{i} \times F$.
(vii) If we write $\phi_{i}(p, f)=\phi_{i, p}(f)$, the map $\phi_{i, p}: F \rightarrow F_{p}$ is a diffeomorphism. On $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$, we require that $t_{i j}(p) \equiv \phi_{i, p}^{-1} \circ \phi_{j, p}$ : $F \rightarrow F$ be an element of $G$. Then $\phi_{i}$ and $\phi_{j}$ are related by a smooth map $t_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G$ as (figure 9.2)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{j}(p, f)=\phi_{i}\left(p, t_{i j}(p) f\right) \tag{9.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The maps $t_{i j}$ are called the transition functions.
[Remarks: We often use a shorthand notation $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ or simply $E$ to denote a fibre bundle ( $E, \pi, M, F, G$ ).

Strictly speaking, the definition of a fibre bundle should be independent of the special covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ of $M$. In the mathematical literature, this definition is employed to define a coordinate bundle ( $\left.E, \pi, M, F, G,\left\{U_{i}\right\},\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}\right)$. Two coordinate bundles ( $E, \pi, M, F, G,\left\{U_{i}\right\},\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ ) and ( $\left.E, \pi, M, F, G,\left\{V_{i}\right\},\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}\right)$ are said to be equivalent if $\left(E, \pi, M, F, G,\left\{U_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{V_{j}\right\},\left\{\phi_{i}\right\} \cup\left\{\psi_{j}\right\}\right)$ is again a coordinate bundle. A fibre bundle is defined as an equivalence class of coordinate bundles. In practical applications in physics, however, we always employ a certain


Figure 9.2. On the overlap $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, two elements $f_{i}, f_{j} \in F$ are assigned to $u \in \pi^{-1}(p)$, $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. They are related by $t_{i j}(p)$ as $f_{i}=t_{i j}(p) f_{j}$.
definite covering and make no distinction between a coordinate bundle and a fibre bundle.]

We need to clarify several points. Let us take a chart $U_{i}$ of the base space $M$. $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is a direct product diffeomorphic to $U_{i} \times F, \phi_{i}^{-1}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \rightarrow U_{i} \times F$ being the diffeomorphism. If $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$, we have two maps $\phi_{i}$ and $\phi_{j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. Let us take a point $u$ such that $\pi(u)=p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. We then assign two elements of $F$, one by $\phi_{i}^{-1}$ and the other by $\phi_{j}^{-1}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, f_{i}\right), \quad \phi_{j}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, f_{j}\right) \tag{9.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

see figure 9.2. There exists a map $t_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G$ which relates $f_{i}$ and $f_{j}$ as $f_{i}=t_{i j}(p) f_{j}$. This is also written as (9.4).

We require that the transition functions satisfy the following consistency conditions:

$$
\begin{align*}
t_{i i}(p) & =\text { identity map } \quad\left(p \in U_{i}\right)  \tag{9.6a}\\
t_{i j}(p) & =t_{j i}(p)^{-1} \quad\left(p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right)  \tag{9.6b}\\
t_{i j}(p) \cdot t_{j k}(p) & =t_{i k}(p) \quad\left(p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap U_{k}\right) . \tag{9.6c}
\end{align*}
$$

Unless these conditions are satisfied, local pieces of a fibre bundle cannot be glued together consistently. If all the transition functions can be taken to be identity maps, the fibre bundle is called a trivial bundle. A trivial bundle is a direct product $M \times F$.

Given a fibre bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, the possible set of transition functions is obviously far from unique. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be a covering of $M$ and $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{\phi}_{i}\right\}$ be two sets of local trivializations giving rise to the same fibre bundle. The transition functions of respective local trivializations are

$$
\begin{align*}
& t_{i j}(p)=\phi_{i, p}^{-1} \circ \phi_{j, p}  \tag{9.7a}\\
& \tilde{t}_{i j}(p)=\tilde{\phi}_{i, p}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{j, p} \tag{9.7b}
\end{align*}
$$

Define a map $g_{i}(p): F \rightarrow F$ at each point $p \in M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{i}(p) \equiv \phi_{i, p}^{-1} \circ \tilde{\phi}_{i, p} \tag{9.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require that $g_{i}(p)$ be a homeomorphism which belongs to $G$. This requirement must certainly be fulfilled if $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{\phi}_{i}\right\}$ describe the same fibre bundle. It is easily seen from (9.7) and (9.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}_{i j}(p)=g_{i}(p)^{-1} \circ t_{i j}(p) \circ g_{j}(p) \tag{9.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the practical situations which we shall encounter later, $t_{i j}$ are the gauge transformations required for pasting local charts together, while $g_{i}$ corresponds to the gauge degrees of freedom within a chart $U_{i}$. If the bundle is trivial, we may put all the transition functions to be identity maps. Then the most general form of the transition functions is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}(p)=g_{i}(p)^{-1} g_{j}(p) \tag{9.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a fibre bundle. A section (or a cross section) $s: M \rightarrow E$ is a smooth map which satisfies $\pi \circ s=\operatorname{id}_{M}$. Clearly, $s(p)=\left.s\right|_{p}$ is an element of $F_{p}=\pi^{-1}(p)$. The set of sections on $M$ is denoted by $\Gamma(M, F)$. If $U \subset M$, we may talk of a local section which is defined only on $U . \Gamma(U, F)$ denotes the set of local sections on $U$. For example, $\Gamma(M, T M)$ is identified with the set of vector fields $\mathcal{X}(M)$. It should be noted that not all fibre bundles admit global sections.

Example 9.1. Let $E$ be a fibre bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{1}$ with a typical fibre $F=[-1,1]$. Let $U_{1}=(0,2 \pi)$ and $U_{2}=(-\pi, \pi)$ be an open covering of $S^{1}$ and let $A=(0, \pi)$ and $B=(\pi, 2 \pi)$ be the intersection $U_{1} \cap U_{2}$, see figure 9.3. The local trivializations $\phi_{1}$ and $\phi_{2}$ are given by

$$
\phi_{1}^{-1}(u)=(\theta, t), \quad \phi_{2}^{-1}(u)=(\theta, t)
$$

for $\theta \in A$ and $t \in F$. The transition function $t_{12}(\theta), \theta \in A$, is the identity map $t_{12}(\theta): t \mapsto t$. We have two choices on $B$;
(I) $\phi_{1}^{-1}(u)=(\theta, t), \phi_{2}^{-1}(u)=(\theta, t)$
(II) $\phi_{1}^{-1}(u)=(\theta, t), \phi_{2}^{-1}(u)=(\theta,-t)$


Figure 9.3. The base space $S^{1}$ and two charts $U_{1}$ and $U_{2}$ over which the fibre bundle is trivial.


Figure 9.4. Two fibre bundles over $S^{1}:(a)$ is the cylinder which is a trivial bundle $S^{1} \times I$; $(b)$ is the Möbius strip.

For case (I), we find that $t_{12}(\theta)$ is the identity map and two pieces of the local bundles are glued together to form a cylinder (figure 9.4(a)). For case (II), we have $t_{12}(\theta): t \mapsto-t, \theta \in B$, and obtain the Möbius strip (figure 9.4(b)). Thus, a cylinder has the trivial structure group $G=\{e\}$ where $e$ is the identity map of $F$ onto $F$ while the Möbius strip has $G=\{e, g\}$ where $g: t \mapsto-t$. Since $g^{2}=e$, we find $G \cong \mathbb{Z}_{2}$. A cylinder is a trivial bundle $S^{1} \times F$, while the Möbius strip is not. [Remark: The group $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ is not a Lie group. This is the only occasion we use a discrete group for the structure group.]

### 9.2.2 Reconstruction of fibre bundles

What is the minimal information required to construct a fibre bundle? We now show that for given $M,\left\{U_{i}\right\}, t_{i j}(p), F$ and $G$, we can reconstruct the fibre bundle $(E, \pi, M, F, G)$. This amounts to finding a unique $\pi, E$ and $\phi_{i}$ from given data. Let us define

$$
\begin{equation*}
X \equiv \bigcup_{i} U_{i} \times F \tag{9.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Introduce an equivalence relation $\sim$ between $(p, f) \in U_{i} \times F$ and $\left(q, f^{\prime}\right) \in$ $U_{j} \times F$ by $(p, f) \sim\left(q, f^{\prime}\right)$ if and only if $p=q$ and $f^{\prime}=t_{i j}(p) f$. A fibre


Figure 9.5. A bundle map $\bar{f}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ induces a map $f: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$.
bundle $E$ is then defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=X / \sim \tag{9.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote an element of $E$ by $[(p, f)]$. The projection is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi:[(p, f)] \mapsto p \tag{9.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

The local trivialization $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times F \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}:(p, f) \mapsto[(p, f)] \tag{9.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that $E, \pi$ and $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ thus defined satisfy all the axioms of fibre bundles. Thus, the given data reconstruct a fibre bundle $E$ uniquely.

This procedure may be employed to construct a new fibre bundle from an old one. Let $(E, \pi, M, F, G)$ be a fibre bundle. Associated with this bundle is a new bundle whose base space is $M$, transition function $t_{i j}(p)$, structure group $G$ and fibre $F^{\prime}$ on which $G$ acts. Examples of associated bundles will be given later.

### 9.2.3 Bundle maps

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ and $E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ be fibre bundles. A smooth map $\bar{f}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ is called a bundle map if it maps each fibre $F_{p}^{\prime}$ of $E^{\prime}$ onto $F_{q}$ of $E$. Then $\bar{f}$ naturally induces a smooth map $f: M^{\prime} \rightarrow M$ such that $f(p)=q$ (figure 9.5). Observe that the diagram

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & E  \tag{9.15}\\
\pi^{\prime} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
M^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{f} & M
\end{array} \quad\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
u & \xrightarrow{\bar{f}} & \bar{f}(u) \\
\pi^{\prime} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
p & \xrightarrow{f} & q
\end{array}\right)
$$

commutes. [Caution: A smooth map $\bar{f}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ is not necessarily a bundle map. It may map $u, v \in F_{p}^{\prime}$ of $E^{\prime}$ to $\bar{f}(u)$ and $\bar{f}(v)$ on different fibres of $E$ so that $\pi(\bar{f}(u)) \neq \pi(\bar{f}(v))$.


Figure 9.6. Given a fibre bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$, a map $f: N \rightarrow M$ defines a pullback bundle $f^{*} E$ over $N$.

### 9.2.4 Equivalent bundles

Two bundles $E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M$ and $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ are equivalent if there exists a bundle map $\bar{f}: E^{\prime} \rightarrow E$ such that $f: M \rightarrow M$ is the identity map and $\bar{f}$ is a diffeomorphism:


This definition of equivalent bundles is in harmony with that given in the remarks following definition 9.1.

### 9.2.5 Pullback bundles

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a fibre bundle with typical fibre $F$. If a map $f: N \rightarrow M$ is given, the pair $(E, f)$ defines a new fibre bundle over $N$ with the same fibre $F$ (figure 9.6). Let $f^{*} E$ be a subspace of $N \times E$, which consists of points ( $p, u$ ) such that $f(p)=\pi(u) . f^{*} E \equiv\{(p, u) \in N \times E \mid f(p)=\pi(u)\}$ is called the pullback of $E$ by $f$. The fibre $F_{p}$ of $f^{*} E$ is just a copy of the fibre $F_{f(p)}$ of $E$. If we define $f^{*} E \xrightarrow{\pi_{1}} N$ by $\pi_{1}:(p, u) \mapsto p$ and $f^{*} E \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} E$ by $(p, u) \mapsto u$, the pullback $f^{*} E$ may be endowed with the structure of a fibre bundle and we obtain the following bundle map,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
f^{*} E & \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} & E  \tag{9.17}\\
\pi_{1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
N & \xrightarrow{f} & M
\end{array}\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
(p, u) & \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} & u \\
\pi_{1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \\
p & \xrightarrow{f} & f(p)
\end{array}\right) .
$$



Figure 9.7. The transition function $t_{i j}^{*}$ of the pullback bundle $f^{*} E$ is a pullback of the transition function $t_{i j}$ of $E$.

The commutativity of the diagram follows since $\pi\left(\pi_{2}(p, u)\right)=\pi(u)=f(p)=$ $f\left(\pi_{1}(p, u)\right)$ for $(p, u) \in f^{*} E$. In particular, if $N=M$ and $f=\mathrm{id}_{M}$, then two fibre bundles $f^{*} E$ and $E$ are equivalent.

Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be a covering of $M$ and $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ be local trivializations. $\left\{f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)\right\}$ defines a covering of $N$ such that $f^{*} E$ is locally trivial. Take $u \in E$ such that $\pi(u)=f(p) \in U_{i}$ for some $p \in N$. If $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=\left(f(p), f_{i}\right)$ we find $\psi_{i}^{-1}(p, u)=\left(p, f_{i}\right)$ where $\psi_{i}$ is the local trivialization of $f^{*} E$. The transition function $t_{i j}$ at $f(p) \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$ maps $f_{j}$ to $f_{i}=t_{i j}(f(p)) f_{j}$. The corresponding transition function $t_{i j}^{*}$ of $f^{*} E$ at $p \in f^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \cap f^{-1}\left(U_{j}\right)$ also maps $f_{j}$ to $f_{i}$; see figure 9.7. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}^{*}(p)=t_{i j}(f(p)) \tag{9.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 9.2. Let $M$ and $N$ be differentiable manifolds with $\operatorname{dim} M=\operatorname{dim} N=$ $m$. Let $f: N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. The map $f$ induces a map $\pi_{2}: T N \rightarrow T M$ such that the following diagram commutes:


Let $W=W^{\nu} \partial / \partial y^{\nu}$ be a vector of $T_{p} N$ and $V=V^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ be the corresponding vector of $T_{f(p)} M$. If $T N$ is a pullback bundle $f^{*}(T M), \pi_{2}$ maps $T_{p} N$ to $T_{f(p)} M$ diffeomorphically. This is possible if and only if $\pi_{2}$ has the maximal rank $m$ at
each point of $T N$. Let $\varphi(f(p))=\left(f^{1}(y), \ldots, f^{m}(y)\right)$ be the coordinates of $f(p)$ in a chart $(U, \varphi)$ of $M$, where $y=\varphi(p)$ are the coordinates of $p$ in a chart $(V, \psi)$ of $N$. The maximal rank condition is given by $\operatorname{det}\left(\partial f^{\mu}(y) / \partial y^{\nu}\right) \neq 0$ for any $p \in N$.

### 9.2.6 Homotopy axiom

Let $f$ and $g$ be maps from $M^{\prime}$ to $M$. They are said to be homotopic if there exists a smooth map $F: M^{\prime} \times[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $F(p, 0)=f(p)$ and $F(p, 1)=g(p)$ for any $p \in M^{\prime}$, see section 4.2.

Theorem 9.1. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a fibre bundle with fibre $F$ and let $f$ and $g$ be homotopic maps from $N$ to $M$. Then $f^{*} E$ and $g^{*} E$ are equivalent bundles over $N$.

The proof is found in Steenrod (1951). Let $M$ be a manifold which is contractible to a point. Then there exists a homotopy $F: M \times I \rightarrow M$ such that

$$
F(p, 0)=p \quad F(p, 1)=p_{0}
$$

where $p_{0} \in M$ is a fixed point. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a fibre bundle over $M$ and consider pullback bundles $h_{0}^{*} E$ and $h_{1}^{*} E$, where $h_{t}(p) \equiv F(p, t)$. The fibre bundle $h_{1}^{*} E$ is a pullback of a fibre bundle $\left\{p_{0}\right\} \times F$ and hence is a trivial bundle: $h_{1}^{*} E \simeq M \times F$. However, $h_{0}^{*} E=E$ since $h_{0}$ is the identity map. According to theorem $9.1, h_{0}^{*} E=E$ is equivalent to $h_{1}^{*} E=M \times F$, hence $E$ is a trivial bundle. For example, the tangent bundle $T \mathbb{R}^{m}$ is trivial. We have obtained the following corollary.

Corollary 9.1. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a fibre bundle. $E$ is trivial if $M$ is contractible to a point.

### 9.3 Vector bundles

### 9.3.1 Definitions and examples

A vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is a fibre bundle whose fibre is a vector space. Let $F$ be $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ and $M$ be an $m$-dimensional manifold. It is common to call $k$ the fibre dimension and denote it by $\operatorname{dim} E$, although the total space $E$ is $m+k$ dimensional. The transition functions belong to $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$, since it maps a vector space onto another vector space of the same dimension isomorphically. If $F$ is a complex vector space $\mathbb{C}^{k}$, the structure group is $\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$.

Example 9.3. A tangent bundle $T M$ over an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ is a vector bundle whose typical fibre is $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, see section 9.1. Let $u$ be a point in $T M$ such that $\pi(u)=p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, where $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ covers $M$. Let $x^{\mu}=\varphi_{i}(p)$
$\left(y^{\mu}=\varphi_{j}(p)\right)$ be the coordinate system of $U_{i}\left(U_{j}\right)$. The vector $V$ corresponding to $u$ is expressed as $V=V^{\mu} \partial /\left.\partial x^{\mu}\right|_{p}=\tilde{V}^{\mu} \partial /\left.\partial y^{\mu}\right|_{p}$. The local trivializations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=\left(p,\left\{V^{\mu}\right\}\right) \quad \phi_{j}^{-1}(u)=\left(p,\left\{\tilde{V}^{\mu}\right\}\right) \tag{9.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fibre coordinates $\left\{V^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{\tilde{V}^{\mu}\right\}$ are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{\mu}=G^{\mu}{ }_{v}(p) \tilde{V}^{v} \tag{9.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{G^{\mu}{ }_{\nu}(p)\right\}=\left\{\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\nu}\right)_{p}\right\} \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. Hence, a tangent bundle is $\left(T M, \pi, M, \mathbb{R}^{m}, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})\right)$. Sections of $T M$ are the vector fields on $M$; $X(M)=\Gamma(M, T M)$.

For concreteness let us work out $T S^{2}$. Let the pair $U_{\mathrm{N}} \equiv S^{2}-\{$ South Pole $\}$ and $U_{\mathrm{S}} \equiv S^{2}-\{$ North Pole $\}$ be an open covering of $S^{2}$. Let $(X, Y)$ and $(U, V)$ be the respective stereographic coordinates (example 8.1). They are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
U=X /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right) \quad V=-Y /\left(X^{2}+Y^{2}\right) \tag{9.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take $u \in T S^{2}$ such that $\pi(u)=p \in U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$. Let $\phi_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}$ be the respective local trivializations such that $\phi_{\mathrm{N}}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, V_{\mathrm{N}}^{\mu}\right)$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, V_{\mathrm{S}}^{\mu}\right)$. The transition function is

$$
t_{\mathrm{SN}}(p)=\frac{\partial(U, V)}{\partial(X, Y)}=\frac{1}{r^{2}}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\cos 2 \theta & -\sin 2 \theta  \tag{9.23}\\
\sin 2 \theta & -\cos 2 \theta
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we have put $X=r \cos \theta$ and $Y=r \sin \theta$. The transition of the components of the tangent vectors consists of a rotation of $\left\{V_{i}^{\mu}\right\}$ by an angle $2 \theta$ followed by a rescaling. The reader should verify that $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)=t_{\mathrm{SN}}(p)^{-1}$.

Example 9.4. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional manifold embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$. Let $N_{p} M$ be the vector space which is normal to $T_{p} M$ in $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$, that is, $U \cdot V=0$ with respect to the Euclidean metric in $\mathbb{R}^{m+k}$ for any $U \in N_{p} M$ and $V \in T_{p} M$. The vector space $N_{p} M$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. The normal bundle

$$
N M \equiv \bigcup_{p \in M} N_{p} M
$$

is a vector bundle with the typical fibre $\mathbb{R}^{k}$.
Consider the sphere $S^{2}$ embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The normal bundle $N S^{2}$ is imagined as $S^{2}$ whose surface is pierced perpendicularly by straight lines. $N S^{2}$ is a trivial bundle $S^{2} \times \mathbb{R}$.

A vector bundle whose fibre is one-dimensional ( $F=\mathbb{R}$ or $\mathbb{C}$ ) is called a line bundle. A cylinder $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ is a trivial $\mathbb{R}$-line bundle. A Möbius strip is also a real line bundle. The structure group $\operatorname{GL}(1, \mathbb{R})=\mathbb{R}-\{0\}$ or $\operatorname{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})=\mathbb{C}-\{0\}$ is Abelian.

In the following, we often consider the canonical line bundle $L$. Recall that an element $p$ of $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$ is a complex line in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ through the origin (example 8.3). The fibre $\pi^{-1}(p)$ of $L$ is defined to be the line in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ which belongs to $p$. More formally, let $I^{n+1} \equiv \mathbb{C} P^{n} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ be a trivial bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. If we write an element of $I^{n+1}$ as $(p, v), p \in \mathbb{C} P^{n}, v \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}, L$ is defined by

$$
L \equiv\left\{(p, v) \in I^{n+1} \mid v=a p, a \in \mathbb{C}\right\}
$$

The projection is $(p, v) \xrightarrow{\pi} p$.
Example 9.5. The (trivial) complex line bundle $L=\mathbb{R}^{3} \times \mathbb{C}$ is associated with the non-relativistic quantum mechanics defined on $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. The wavefunction $\psi(x)$ is simply a section of $L$.

Let us consider a wavefunction $\psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ in the field of a magnetic monopole studied in section 1.9. When a monopole is at the origin, $\psi(\boldsymbol{x})$ is defined on $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$ and we have a complex line bundle over $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{\boldsymbol{0}\}$. If we are interested only in the wavefunction on $S^{2}$ surrounding the monopole, we have a complex line bundle over $S^{2}$. Note that $S^{2}$ is a deformation retract of $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$.

### 9.3.2 Frames

On a tangent bundle $T M$, each fibre has a natural basis $\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ given by the coordinate system $x^{\mu}$ on a chart $U_{i}$. We may also employ the orthonormal basis $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ if $M$ is endowed with a metric. $\partial / \partial x^{\mu}$ or $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a vector field on $U_{i}$ and the set $\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ or $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ forms linearly independent vector fields over $U_{i}$. It is always possible to choose $m$ linearly independent tangent vectors over $U_{i}$ but it is not necessarily the case throughout $M$. By definition, the components of the basis vectors are

$$
\partial / \partial x^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0, & \ldots, & 0, & 1, & 0, & \ldots, & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

or

$$
\hat{e}_{\alpha}=\left(\begin{array}{lllllll}
0, & \ldots, & 0, & 1, & 0, & \ldots, & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

These vectors define a (local) frame over $U_{i}$, see later.
Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle whose fibre is $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ (or $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ ). On a chart $U_{i}$, the piece $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is trivial, $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right) \cong U_{i} \times \mathbb{R}^{k}$, and we may choose $k$ linearly independent sections $\left\{e_{1}(p), \ldots, e_{k}(p)\right\}$ over $U_{i}$. These sections are said to define a frame over $U_{i}$. Given a frame over $U_{i}$, we have a natural map $F_{p} \rightarrow F$ $\left(=\mathbb{R}^{k}\right.$ or $\left.\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}(p) \longmapsto\left\{V^{\alpha}\right\} \in F \tag{9.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

The local trivialization is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{-1}(V)=\left(p,\left\{V^{\alpha}(p)\right\}\right) \tag{9.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

By definition, we have

$$
\phi_{i}\left(p,\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
0, & \ldots, & 0, & 1, & 0, & \ldots, & 0
\end{array}\right\}\right)=e_{\alpha}(p)
$$

Let $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$ and consider the change of frames. We have a frame $\left\{e_{1}(p), \ldots, e_{k}(p)\right\}$ on $U_{i}$ and $\left\{\tilde{e}_{1}(p), \ldots, \tilde{e}_{k}(p)\right\}$ on $U_{j}$, where $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. A vector $\tilde{e}_{\beta}(p)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{e}_{\beta}(p)=e_{\alpha}(p) G(p)^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \tag{9.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G(p)^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ or $\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$. Any vector $V \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V=V^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}(p)=\tilde{V}^{\alpha} \tilde{e}_{\alpha}(p) \tag{9.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (9.27) and (9.28) we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{V}^{\beta}=G^{-1}(p)_{\alpha}^{\beta} V^{\alpha} \tag{9.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G^{-1}(p)^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} G(p)^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}=G(p)^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} G^{-1}(p)^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}=\delta^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma}$. Thus, we find that the transition function $t_{j i}(p)$ is given by a matrix $G^{-1}(p)$.

### 9.3.3 Cotangent bundles and dual bundles

The cotangent bundle $T^{*} M \equiv \bigcup_{p \in M} T_{p}^{*} M$ is defined similarly to the tangent bundle. On a chart $U_{i}$ whose coordinates are $x^{\mu}$, the basis of $T_{p}^{*} M$ is taken to be $\left\{\mathrm{d} x^{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} x^{m}\right\}$, which is dual to $\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$. Let $y^{\mu}$ be the coordinates of $U_{j}$ such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$. For $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, we have the transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} y^{\mu}=\mathrm{d} x^{\nu}\left(\frac{\partial y^{\mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\right)_{p} \tag{9.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

A one-form $\omega$ is expressed, in both coordinate systems, as

$$
\omega=\omega_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}=\tilde{\omega}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} y^{\mu}
$$

from which we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\omega}_{\mu}=G_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}(p) \omega_{\nu} \tag{9.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $G_{\mu}{ }^{\nu}(p) \equiv\left(\partial x^{\nu} / \partial y^{\mu}\right)_{p}$ corresponds to the transition function $t_{j i}(p)$. Note that $\Gamma\left(M, T^{*} M\right)=\Omega^{1}(M)$.

This cotangent bundle is easily extended to more general cases. Given a vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ with the fibre $F$, we may define its dual bundle $E^{*} \xrightarrow{\pi} M$. The fibre $F^{*}$ of $E^{*}$ is the set of linear maps of $F$ to $\mathbb{R}$ (or $\mathbb{C}$ ). Given a general basis $\left\{e_{\alpha}(p)\right\}$ of $F_{p}$, we define the dual basis $\left\{\theta^{\alpha}(p)\right\}$ of $F_{p}^{*}$ by $\left\langle\theta^{\alpha}(p), e_{\beta}(p)\right\rangle=\delta^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$.

### 9.3.4 Sections of vector bundles

Let $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ be sections of a vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$. The vector addition and the scalar multiplication are pointwisely defined as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(s+s^{\prime}\right)(p)=s(p)+s^{\prime}(p)  \tag{9.32a}\\
& (f s)(p)=f(p) s(p) \tag{9.32b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p \in M$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. The null vector 0 of each fibre is left invariant under $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ (or $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ ) and plays a distinguished role. Any vector bundle $E$ admits a global section called the null section $s_{0} \in \Gamma(M, E)$ such that $\phi_{i}^{-1}\left(s_{0}(p)\right)=(p, 0)$ in any local trivialization.

For example, let us consider sections of the canonical line bundle $L$ over $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. Let $\xi^{\nu}{ }_{(\mu)}$ be the inhomogeneous coordinates and $\left\{z^{\nu}\right\}$ be the homogeneous coordinates on $U_{\mu}$. The local section $s_{\mu}$ over $U_{\mu}$ is of the form

$$
s_{\mu}=\left\{\xi^{0}{ }_{(\mu)}, \ldots, 1, \ldots, \xi_{(\mu)}^{n}\right\} \in \mathbb{C}^{n+1}
$$

The transition from one coordinate system to the other is carried out by a scalar multiplication: $s_{v}=\left(z^{\mu} / z^{\nu}\right) s_{\mu}$. Let $L^{*}$ be the dual bundle of $L$. Corresponding to $s_{\mu}$, we may choose a dual section $s_{\mu}^{*}$ such that $s_{\mu}^{*}\left(s_{\mu}\right)=1$. From this, we find that the transition function of $s_{\mu}^{*}$ is a multiplication by $z^{\nu} / z^{\mu}, s_{\nu}^{*}=\left(z^{\nu} / z^{\mu}\right) s_{\mu}^{*}$.

A fibre metric $h_{\mu \nu}(p)$ is also defined pointwisely. Let $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ be sections over $U_{i}$. The inner product between $s$ and $s^{\prime}$ at $p$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)_{p}=h_{\mu \nu}(p) s^{\mu}(p) s^{\prime \nu}(p) \tag{9.33a}
\end{equation*}
$$

if the fibre is $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. If the fibre is $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)_{p}=h_{\mu \nu}(p) \overline{s^{\mu}(p)} s^{\prime v}(p) \tag{9.33b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have more about this subject in section 10.4.

### 9.3.5 The product bundle and Whitney sum bundle

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ and $E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M^{\prime}$ be vector bundles with fibres $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ respectively. The product bundle

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \times E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi \times \pi^{\prime}} M \times M^{\prime} \tag{9.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a fibre bundle whose typical fibre is $F \oplus F^{\prime}$. [A vector in $F \oplus F^{\prime}$ is written as

$$
\binom{V}{W} \quad \text { where } V \in F \text { and } W \in F^{\prime}
$$

Vector addition and scalar multiplication are defined by

$$
\binom{V}{W}+\binom{V^{\prime}}{W^{\prime}}=\binom{V+V^{\prime}}{W+W^{\prime}}
$$

and

$$
\lambda\binom{V}{W}=\binom{\lambda V}{\lambda W} .
$$

Let $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{\beta}\right\}$ be bases of $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ respectively. Then $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\} \cup\left\{f_{\beta}\right\}$ is a basis of $F \oplus F^{\prime}$ and we find that $\operatorname{dim}\left(F \oplus F^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim} F+\operatorname{dim} F^{\prime}$.] If $\pi(u)=p$ and $\pi^{\prime}\left(u^{\prime}\right)=p^{\prime}$ the projection $\pi \times \pi^{\prime}$ acts on $\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \in E \times E^{\prime}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \times \pi^{\prime}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=\left(p, p^{\prime}\right) \tag{9.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fibre at $\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)$ is $F_{p} \oplus F_{p^{\prime}}^{\prime}$. For example, if $M=M_{1} \times M_{2}$, we have $T M=T M_{1} \times T M_{2}$.

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ and $E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M$ be vector bundles with fibres $F$ and $F^{\prime}$ respectively. The Whitney sum bundle $E \oplus E^{\prime}$ is a pullback bundle of $E \times E^{\prime}$ by $f: M \rightarrow M \times M$ defined by $f(p)=(p, p)$,

$$
\begin{array}{ccc}
E \oplus E^{\prime} & \xrightarrow{\pi_{2}} & E \times E^{\prime}  \tag{9.36}\\
\pi_{1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \pi \times \pi^{\prime} \\
M & & \xrightarrow{\downarrow} \\
M \times M .
\end{array}
$$

Thus, $E \oplus E^{\prime}=\left\{\left(u, u^{\prime}\right) \in E \times E^{\prime} \mid \pi \times \pi^{\prime}\left(u, u^{\prime}\right)=(p, p)\right\}$. The fibre of a Whitney sum bundle is $F \oplus F^{\prime} .\left(\pi \times \pi^{\prime}\right)^{-1}(p)$ is isomorphic to $\pi^{-1}(p) \oplus \pi^{\prime-1}(p)=$ $F_{p} \oplus F_{p}^{\prime}$. In short, $E \oplus E^{\prime}$ is a bundle over $M$ whose fibre at $p$ is $F_{p} \oplus F_{p}^{\prime}$. Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M$ and $\left\{t_{i j}^{E}\right\}$ and $\left\{t_{i j}^{E^{\prime}}\right\}$ be the transition functions of $E$ and $E^{\prime}$ respectively. Then the transition function $T_{i j}$ of $E \oplus E^{\prime}$ is a $\left(\operatorname{dim} F+\operatorname{dim} F^{\prime}\right) \times\left(\operatorname{dim} F+\operatorname{dim} F^{\prime}\right)$ matrix

$$
T_{i j}(p)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
t_{i j}^{E}(p) & 0  \tag{9.37}\\
0 & t_{i j}^{E^{\prime}}(p)
\end{array}\right)
$$

which acts on $F \oplus F^{\prime}$ on the left.
Example 9.6. Let $E=T S^{2}$ and $E^{\prime}=N S^{2}$ defined in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Take $u \in T S^{2}$ and $v \in N S^{2}$ whose local trivializations are $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=(p, V)$ and $\psi_{i}^{-1}(v)=(q, W)$, respectively, where $p, q \in S^{2}, V \in \mathbb{R}^{2}$ and $W \in \mathbb{R}$. If $(u, v)$ is a point of the product bundle $E \times E^{\prime}$, we have a trivialization $\Phi_{i, j}=\phi_{i} \times \psi_{j}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i, j}^{-1}(u, v)=(p, q ; V, W) \tag{9.38a}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, however, $(u, v) \in E \oplus E^{\prime}, u$ and $v$ satisfy the stronger condition $\pi(u)=\pi^{\prime}(v)$ ( $=p$, say). Thus, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{i}^{-1}(u, v)=(p ; V, W) \tag{9.38b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Whitney sum $T S^{2} \oplus N S^{2}, S^{2}$ being embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$, is a trivial bundle over $S^{2}$, whose fibre is isomorphic to $\mathbb{R}^{3}$.

### 9.3.6 Tensor product bundles

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ and $E^{\prime} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M$ be vector bundles over $M$. The tensor product bundle $E \otimes E^{\prime}$ is obtained by assigning the tensor product of fibres $F_{p} \otimes F_{p}^{\prime}$ to each point $p \in M$. If $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{f_{\beta}\right\}$ are bases of $F$ and $F^{\prime}, F \otimes F^{\prime}$ is spanned by $\left\{e_{\alpha} \otimes f_{\beta}\right\}$ and, hence, $\operatorname{dim}\left(E \otimes E^{\prime}\right)=\operatorname{dim} E \times \operatorname{dim} E^{\prime}$.

Let $\otimes^{r} E \equiv E \otimes \cdots \otimes E$ be the tensor product bundle of $r E$. If $\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ is the basis of the fibre $F$ of $E$, the fibre of $\bigotimes^{r} E$ is spanned by $\left\{e_{\alpha_{1}} \otimes \cdots \otimes e_{\alpha_{r}}\right\}$. If we define $\wedge$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\alpha} \wedge e_{\beta} \equiv e_{\alpha} \otimes e_{\beta}-e_{\beta} \otimes e_{\alpha} \tag{9.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

we have a bundle $\wedge^{r}(E)$ of totally anti-symmetric tensors spanned by $\left\{e_{\alpha_{1}} \wedge\right.$ $\left.\ldots \wedge e_{\alpha_{r}}\right\}$. In particular, $\Omega^{r}(M)$, the space of $r$-forms on $M$, is identified with $\Gamma\left(M, \Lambda^{r}\left(T^{*} M\right)\right)$.

Exercise 9.1. Let $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$ be vector bundles over $M$. Show that $\otimes$ is distributive:

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{1} \otimes\left(E_{2} \oplus E_{3}\right)=\left(E_{1} \otimes E_{2}\right) \oplus\left(E_{1} \otimes E_{3}\right) \tag{9.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Express the transition functions of $E_{1} \otimes\left(E_{2} \oplus F_{3}\right)$ in terms of those of $E_{1}, E_{2}$ and $E_{3}$.

### 9.4 Principal bundles

### 9.4.1 Definitions

A principal bundle has a fibre $F$ which is identical to the structure group $G$. A principal bundle $P \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is also denoted by $P(M, G)$ and is often called a $\boldsymbol{G}$ bundle over $M$.

The transition function acts on the fibre on the left as before. In addition, we may also define the action of $G$ on $F$ on the right. Let $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times G \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ be the local trivialization given by $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, g_{i}\right)$, where $u \in \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ and $p=\pi(u)$. The right action of $G$ on $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is defined by $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u a)=\left(p, g_{i} a\right)$, that is (figure 9.8),

$$
\begin{equation*}
u a=\phi_{i}\left(p, g_{i} a\right) \tag{9.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any $a \in G$ and $u \in \pi^{-1}(p)$. Since the right action commutes with the left action, this definition is independent of the local trivializations. In fact, if $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$,

$$
u a=\phi_{j}\left(p, g_{j} a\right)=\phi_{j}\left(p, t_{j i}(p) g_{i} a\right)=\phi_{i}\left(p, g_{i} a\right) .
$$

Thus, the right multiplication is defined without reference to the local trivializations. This is denoted by $P \times G \rightarrow P$ or $(u, a) \mapsto u a$. Note that $\pi(u a)=\pi(u)$. The right action of $G$ on $\pi^{-1}(p)$ is transitive since $G$ acts on $G$ transitively on the right and $F_{p}=\pi^{-1}(p)$ is diffeomorphic to $G$. Thus, for any


Figure 9.8. The right action of $G$ on $P$.
$u_{1}, u_{2} \in \pi^{-1}(p)$ there exists an element $a$ of $G$ such that $u_{1}=u_{2} a$. Then, if $\pi(u)=p$, we can construct the whole fibre as $\pi^{-1}(p)=\{u a \mid a \in G\}$. The action is also free; if $u a=u$ for some $u \in P, a$ must be the unit element $e$ of $G$. In fact, if $u=\phi_{i}\left(p, g_{i}\right)$, we have $\phi_{i}\left(p, g_{i} a\right)=\phi_{i}\left(p, g_{i}\right) a=u a=u=\phi_{i}\left(p, g_{i}\right)$. Since $\phi_{i}$ is bijective, we must have $g_{i} a=g_{i}$, that is, $a=e$.

Given a section $s_{1}(p)$ over $U_{i}$, we define a preferred local trivialization $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times G \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ as follows. For $u \in \pi^{-1}(p), p \in U_{i}$, there is a unique element $g_{u} \in G$ such that $u=s_{i}(p) g_{u}$. Then we define $\phi_{i}$ by $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, g_{u}\right)$. In this local trivialization, the section $s_{i}(p)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}(p)=\phi_{i}(p, e) \tag{9.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

This local trivialization is called the canonical local trivialization. By definition $\phi_{i}(p, g)=\phi_{i}(p, e) g=s_{i}(p) g$. If $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, two sections $s_{i}(p)$ and $s_{j}(p)$ are related by the transition function $t_{i j}(p)$ as follows

$$
\begin{align*}
s_{i}(p) & =\phi_{i}(p, e)=\phi_{j}\left(p, t_{j i}(p) e\right)=\phi_{j}\left(p, t_{j i}(p)\right) \\
& =\phi_{j}(p, e) t_{j i}(p)=s_{j}(p) t_{j i}(p) \tag{9.43}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 9.7. Let $P$ be a principal bundle with fibre $\mathrm{U}(1)=S^{1}$ and the base space $S^{2}$. This principal bundle represents the topological setting of the magnetic monopole (section 1.9). Let $\left\{U_{\mathrm{N}}, U_{\mathrm{S}}\right\}$ be an open covering of $S^{2}, U_{\mathrm{N}}\left(U_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ being the northern (southern) hemisphere. If we parametrize $S^{2}$ by the usual polar angles, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathrm{N}} & =\{(\theta, \phi) \mid 0 \leq \theta \leq \pi / 2+\varepsilon, 0 \leq \phi<2 \pi\} \\
U_{\mathrm{S}} & =\{(\theta, \phi) \mid \pi / 2-\varepsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi, 0 \leq \phi<2 \pi\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The intersection $U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$ is a strip which is essentially the equator. Let $\phi_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}$ be the local trivializations such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{N}}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha_{\mathrm{N}}}\right) \quad \phi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha_{\mathrm{S}}}\right) \tag{9.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=\pi(u)$. Take a transition function $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)$ of the form $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \phi}$ where $n$ must be an integer so that $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)$ may be uniquely defined on the equator. Since $t_{\mathrm{NS}}$ maps the equator $S^{1}$ to $\mathrm{U}(1)$, this integer characterizes the homotopy group $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}$. The fibre coordinates $\alpha_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}$ are related on the equator as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha_{\mathrm{N}}}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \phi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha_{S}} \tag{9.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $n=0$, the transition function is the unit element of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ and we have a trivial bundle $P_{0}=S^{2} \times S^{1}$. If $n \neq 0$, the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-bundle $P_{n}$ is twisted. It is remarkable that the topological structure of a fibre bundle is characterized by an integer. The integer characterizes how two local sections are pasted together at the equator. Accordingly, the integer corresponds to the element of the homotopy group $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}$.

Since $U(1)$ is Abelian, the right action and the left action are equivalent. Under the right action $g=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \Lambda}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \phi_{\mathrm{N}}^{-1}(u g)=\left(p, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{N}}+\Lambda\right)}\right)  \tag{9.46a}\\
& \phi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}(u g)=\left(p, \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i}\left(\alpha_{\mathrm{S}}+\Lambda\right)}\right) \tag{9.46b}
\end{align*}
$$

The right action corresponds to the $\mathrm{U}(1)$-gauge transformation.
Example 9.8. If we identify all the infinite points of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, the one-point compactification $S^{m}=\mathbb{R}^{m} \cup\{\infty\}$ is obtained. If a trivial $G$ bundle is defined over $\mathbb{R}^{m}$ we shall have a new $G$ bundle over $S^{m}$ after compactification, which is not necessarily trivial. Let $P$ be an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle over $S^{4}$ obtained from $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by one-point compactification. This principal bundle represents an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ instanton (section 1.10). Introduce an open covering $\left\{U_{\mathrm{N}}, U_{\mathrm{S}}\right\}$ of $S^{4}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
U_{\mathrm{N}} & =\left\{(x, y, z, t) \mid x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2} \leq R^{2}+\varepsilon\right\} \\
U_{\mathrm{S}} & =\left\{(x, y, z, t) \mid R^{2}-\varepsilon \leq x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is a positive constant and $\varepsilon$ is an infinitesimal positive number. The thin intersection $U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$ is essentially $S^{3}$. Let $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)$ be the transition function defined at $p \in U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$. Since $t_{\mathrm{NS}}$ maps $S^{3}$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2)$, it is classified by $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))=\mathbb{Z}$. The integer characterizing the bundle is called the instanton number. If $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)$ is taken to be the unit element $e \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, we have a trivial bundle $P_{0}=S^{3} \times \mathrm{SU}(2)$, which corresponds to the homotopy class 0 . Non-trivial bundles are obtained as follows. We first note that $\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong S^{3}$ (example 4.12). An element $A \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is written as

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{rr}
u & v \\
-\bar{v} & \bar{u}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $|u|^{2}+|v|^{2}=1$. Separating $u$ and $v$ as $u=t+\mathrm{i} z$ and $v=y+\mathrm{i} x$, we find $t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}=1$. Thus $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ is regarded as the unit sphere $S^{3}$ and $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ classifies maps from $S^{3}$ to $\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong S^{3}$. The identity map $f: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3} \cong \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
f(x, y, z, t) & \mapsto\left(\begin{array}{rr}
t+\mathrm{i} z & y+\mathrm{i} x \\
-y+\mathrm{i} x & t-\mathrm{i} z
\end{array}\right) \\
& =t I_{2}+\mathrm{i}\left(x \sigma_{x}+y \sigma_{y}+z \sigma_{z}\right) \tag{9.47}
\end{align*}
$$

where $I_{2}$ is the $2 \times 2$ unit matrix and the $\sigma_{\mu}$ are the Pauli matrices. Let us take a point $p=(x, y, z, t) \in U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$. If $R=\left(x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}+t^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}$ denotes the radial distance of $p$, the vector $(x / R, y / R, z / R, t / R)$ has unit length. We assign an element of $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ to the point $p$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)=\frac{1}{R}\left(t I_{2}+\mathrm{i} \sum_{i} x^{i} \sigma_{i}\right) \tag{9.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\phi_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}$ be the local trivializations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{N}}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, g_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \quad \phi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, g_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \tag{9.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p=\pi(u)$ and $g_{\mathrm{N}}, g_{\mathrm{S}} \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$. On $U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{1}{R}\left(t I_{2}+\mathrm{i} \sum_{i} x^{i} \sigma_{i}\right) g_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{9.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

While $(t, \boldsymbol{x})$ scans $S^{3}$ once, $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)$ sweeps $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ once, hence this bundle corresponds to the homotopy class 1 of $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$. It is not difficult to see that the transition function corresponding to the homotopy class $n$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{NS}}(p)=\frac{1}{R^{n}}\left(t \mathbf{1}+\mathrm{i} \sum_{i} x^{i} \sigma_{i}\right)^{n} \tag{9.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

To continue our study of monopoles and instantons, we have to introduce connections (the gauge potentials) on the fibre bundle. We will come back to these topics in the next chapter.

Example 9.9. Hopf has shown that $S^{3}$ is a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle over $S^{2}$. The unit threesphere embedded in $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is expressed as

$$
\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}=1
$$

If we introduce $z^{0}=x^{1}+\mathrm{i} x^{2}$ and $z^{1}=x^{3}+\mathrm{i} x^{4}$, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z^{0}\right|^{2}+\left|z^{1}\right|^{2}=1 \tag{9.52}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 9.9. Stereographic coordinates of the sphere $S^{2} .(X, Y)$ is defined with respect to the projection from the North Pole while $(U, V)$ with respect to the projection from the South Pole.

Let us parametrize $S^{2}$ as

$$
\left(\xi^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\xi^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\xi^{3}\right)^{2}=1
$$

The Hopf map $\pi: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi^{1}=2\left(x^{1} x^{3}+x^{2} x^{4}\right)  \tag{9.53a}\\
& \xi^{2}=2\left(x^{2} x^{3}-x^{1} x^{4}\right)  \tag{9.53b}\\
& \xi^{3}=\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}-\left(x^{4}\right)^{2} \tag{9.53c}
\end{align*}
$$

It is easily verified that $\pi$ maps $S^{3}$ to $S^{2}$ since

$$
\left(\xi^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(\xi^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\xi^{3}\right)^{2}=\left[\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{2}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{3}\right)^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2}\right]^{2}=1
$$

Let $(X, Y)$ be the stereographic projection coordinates of a point in the southern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{S}}$ of $S^{2}$ from the North Pole. If we take a complex plane which contains the equator of $S^{2}, Z=X+\mathrm{i} Y$ is within the circle of unit radius. We found in example 8.1 that (figure 9.9)

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\frac{\xi^{1}+\mathrm{i} \xi^{2}}{1-\xi^{3}}=\frac{x^{1}+\mathrm{i} x^{2}}{x^{3}+\mathrm{i} x^{4}}=\frac{z^{0}}{z^{1}} \quad\left(\xi \in U_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \tag{9.54a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $Z$ is invariant under

$$
\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(\lambda z^{0}, \lambda z^{1}\right)
$$

where $\lambda \in \mathrm{U}(1)$. Since $|\lambda|=1$, the point $\left(\lambda z^{0}, \lambda z^{1}\right)$ is also in $S^{3}$. The stereographic coordinates $(U, V)$ of the northern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ projected from the South Pole are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
W=U+\mathrm{i} V=\frac{\xi^{1}-\mathrm{i} \xi^{2}}{1+\xi^{3}}=\frac{x^{3}+\mathrm{i} x^{4}}{x^{1}+\mathrm{i} x^{2}}=\frac{z^{1}}{z^{0}} \quad\left(\xi \in U_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \tag{9.54b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $Z=1 / W$ on the equator $U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$.
The fibre bundle structure is given as follows. We first define the local trivializations, $\phi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \rightarrow U_{\mathrm{S}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(z^{0} / z^{1}, z^{1} /\left|z^{1}\right|\right) \tag{9.55a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\phi_{\mathrm{N}}^{-1}: \pi^{-1}\left(U_{\mathrm{N}}\right) \rightarrow U_{\mathrm{N}} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(z^{1} / z^{0}, z^{0} /\left|z^{0}\right|\right) \tag{9.55b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that these local trivializations are well defined on each chart. For example, $z^{0} \neq 0$ on $U_{\mathrm{N}}$, hence both $z^{1} / z^{0}=U+\mathrm{i} V$ and $z^{0} /\left|z^{0}\right|$ are nonsingular. On the equator, $\xi^{3}=0$, we have $\left|z^{0}\right|=\left|z^{1}\right|=1 / \sqrt{2}$. Accordingly, the local trivializations on the equator are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{S}}^{-1}:\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(z^{0} / z^{1}, \sqrt{2} z^{1}\right) \tag{9.56a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{\mathrm{N}}^{-1}:\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mapsto\left(z^{1} / z^{0}, \sqrt{2} z^{0}\right) \tag{9.56b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The transition function on the equator is

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{NS}}(\xi)=\frac{\sqrt{2} z^{0}}{\sqrt{2} z^{1}}=\xi^{1}+\mathrm{i} \xi^{2} \in \mathrm{U}(1) \tag{9.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we circumnavigate the equator, $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(\xi)$ traverses the unit circle in the complex plane once, hence the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle $S^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{2}$ is characterized by the homotopy class 1 of $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}$. Trautman (1977), Minami (1979) and Ryder (1980) have pointed out that a magnetic monopole of unit strength is described by the Hopf map $S^{3} \xrightarrow{\pi} S^{2}$.

The Hopf map can be understood from a slightly different point of view. We regard $S^{3}$ as a complex one-sphere

$$
S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1}=\left\{\left.\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}| | z^{0}\right|^{2}+\left|z^{1}\right|^{2}=1\right\}
$$

Define a map $\pi: S_{\mathbb{C}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mapsto\left[\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right)\right]=\left\{\lambda\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right) \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{C}-\{0\}\right\} \tag{9.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under this map, points of $S^{3}$ of the form $\lambda\left(z^{0}, z^{1}\right),|\lambda|=1$ are mapped to a single point of $\mathbb{C} P^{1}=S^{2}$. This is the Hopf map $\pi: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ obtained earlier. This is easily generalized to the case of the quaternion $\mathbb{H}$. The quaternion algebra is defined by the product table,

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\boldsymbol{i}^{2}=\boldsymbol{j}^{2}=\boldsymbol{k}^{2}=-1 & \boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{j}=-\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{i}=\boldsymbol{k} \\
\boldsymbol{j} \boldsymbol{k}=-\boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{j}=\boldsymbol{i} & \boldsymbol{k} \boldsymbol{i}=-\boldsymbol{i} \boldsymbol{k}=\boldsymbol{j}
\end{array}
$$

An arbitrary element of $\mathbb{H}$ is written as

$$
q=t+\boldsymbol{i} x+\boldsymbol{j} y+\boldsymbol{k} z .
$$

Clearly the unit quaternion $|q|=\left(t^{2}+x^{2}+y^{2}+z^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}=1$ represents $S^{3} \cong$ $\mathrm{SU}(2)$. The quaternion one-sphere is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{\mathbb{H}}^{1}=\left\{\left.\left(q^{0}, q^{1}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2}| | q^{0}\right|^{2}+\left|q^{1}\right|^{2}=1\right\} \tag{9.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

which represents $S^{7}$. The Hopf map, in this case, takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: S_{\mathbb{H}}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{H} P^{1} \tag{9.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{H} P^{1}$ is the quaternion projective space whose element is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\left(q^{0}, q^{1}\right)\right]=\left\{\eta\left(q^{0}, q^{1}\right) \in \mathbb{H}^{2} \mid \eta \in \mathbb{H}-\{0\}\right\} \tag{9.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Points of $S^{7}$ with $|\eta|=1$ are mapped under this map to a single point of $\mathbb{H} P^{1}=S^{4}$ and we have the Hopf map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi: S^{7} \rightarrow S^{4} \tag{9.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fibre is the unit quaternion $S^{3}=S U(2)$. The transition function defined by the Hopf map belongs to the class 1 of $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. An instanton of unit strength is described in terms of this Hopf map.

Octonions define a Hopf map $\pi: S^{15} \rightarrow S^{8}$. This differs from other Hopf maps in that the fibre $S^{7}$ is not really a group. So far we have not found an application of this map in physics. ${ }^{1}$
Example 9.10. Let $H$ be a closed Lie subgroup of a Lie group $G$. We show that $G$ is a principal bundle with fibre $H$ and base space $M=G / H$. Define the right action of $H$ on $G$ by $g \mapsto g a, g \in G, a \in H$. The right action is differentiable since $G$ is a Lie group. Define the projection $\pi: G \rightarrow M=G / H$ by the map $\pi: g \mapsto[g]=\{g h \mid h \in H\}$. Clearly, $g, g a \in G$ are mapped to the same point $[g]$ hence $\pi(g)=\pi(g a)(=[g])$. To define local trivializations, we need to define a map $f_{i}: G \rightarrow H$ on each chart $U_{i}$. Let $s$ be a local section over $U_{i}$ and $g \in \pi^{-1}([g])$. Define $f_{i}$ by $f_{i}(g)=s([g])^{-1} g$. Since $s([g])$ is a section at $[g]$, it is expressed as $g a$ for some $a \in H$ and accordingly, $s([g])^{-1} g=a^{-1} g^{-1} g=a^{-1} \in H$. Then we define the local trivialization $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times H \rightarrow G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i}^{-1}(g)=\left([g], f_{i}(g)\right) \tag{9.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $f_{i}(g a)=f_{i}(g) a(a \in H)$ hence $\phi_{i}^{-1}(g a)=\left(p, f_{i}(g) a\right)$ is satisfied. Useful examples are (see example 5.18)

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{O}(n) / \mathrm{O}(n-1)=\mathrm{SO}(n) / \mathrm{SO}(n-1)=S^{n-1}  \tag{9.64}\\
& \mathrm{U}(n) / \mathrm{U}(n-1)=\mathrm{SU}(n) / \mathrm{SU}(n-1)=S^{2 n-1} \tag{9.65}
\end{align*}
$$

[^6]
### 9.4.2 Associated bundles

Given a principal fibre bundle $P(M, G)$, we may construct an associated fibre bundle as follows. Let $G$ act on a manifold $F$ on the left. Define an action of $g \in G$ on $P \times F$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, f) \rightarrow\left(u g, g^{-1} f\right) \tag{9.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u \in P$ and $f \in F$. Then the associated fibre bundle $(E, \pi, M, G, F, P)$ is an equivalence class $P \times F / G$ in which two points $(u, f)$ and $\left(u g, g^{-1} f\right)$ are identified.

Let us consider the case in which $F$ is a $k$-dimensional vector space $V$. Let $\rho$ be the $k$-dimensional representation of $G$. The associated vector bundle $P \times{ }_{\rho} V$ is defined by identifying the points $(u, v)$ and $\left(u g, \rho(g)^{-1} v\right)$ of $P \times V$, where $u \in P, g \in G$ and $v \in V$. For example, associated with $P(M, \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{R}))$ is a vector bundle over $M$ with fibre $\mathbb{R}^{k}$. The fibre bundle structure of an associated vector bundle $E=P \times{ }_{\rho} V$ is given as follows. The projection $\pi_{E}: E \rightarrow M$ is defined by $\pi_{E}(u, v)=\pi(u)$. This projection is well defined since $\pi(u)=\pi(u g)$ implies $\pi_{E}\left(u g, \rho(g)^{-1} v\right)=\pi(u g)=\pi_{E}(u, v)$. The local trivialization is given by $\psi_{i}: U_{i} \times V \rightarrow \pi_{E}^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$. The transition function of $E$ is given by $\rho\left(t_{i j}(p)\right)$ where $t_{i j}(p)$ is that of $P$.

Conversely a vector bundle naturally induces a principal bundle associated with it. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle with $\operatorname{dim} E=k$ (i.e. the fibre is $\mathbb{R}^{k}$ or $\left.\mathbb{C}^{k}\right)$. Then $E$ induces a principal bundle $P(E) \equiv P(M, G)$ over $M$ by employing the same transition functions. The structure group $G$ is either $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ or $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$. Explicit construction of $P(E)$ is carried out following the reconstruction process described in section 9.1.

Example 9.11. Associated with a tangent bundle $T M$ over an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ is a principal bundle called the frame bundle $L M \equiv \bigcup_{p \in M} L_{p} M$ where $L_{p} M$ is the set of frames at $p$. We introduce coordinates $x^{\mu}$ on a chart $U_{i}$. The bundle $T_{p} M$ has a natural basis $\left\{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right\}$ on $U_{i}$. A frame $u=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ at $p$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}^{\mu} \partial /\left.\partial x^{\mu}\right|_{p} \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq m \tag{9.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(X^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}\right)$ is an element $\operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ so that $\left\{X_{\alpha}\right\}$ are linearly independent. We define the local trivialization $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ by $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=$ ( $p,\left(X^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}\right)$ ). The bundle structure of $L M$ is defined as follows.
(i) If $u=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ is a frame at $p$, we define $\pi_{L}: L M \rightarrow M$ by $\pi_{L}(u)=p$.
(ii) The action of $a=\left(a^{i}{ }_{j}\right) \in \operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ on the frame $u=\left\{X_{1}, \ldots, X_{m}\right\}$ is given by $(u, a) \mapsto u a$, where $u a$ is a new frame at $p$, defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Y_{\beta}=X_{\alpha} a^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} . \tag{9.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, given any frames $\left\{X_{\alpha}\right\}$ and $\left\{Y_{\beta}\right\}$ there exists an element of $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ such that (9.68) is satisfied. Thus, $\mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$ acts on $L M$ transitively.
(iii) Let $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ be overlapping charts with the coordinates $x^{\mu}$ and $y^{\mu}$, respectively. For $p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\alpha}=X_{\alpha}^{\mu} \partial /\left.\partial x^{\mu}\right|_{p}=\tilde{X}_{\alpha}^{\mu} \partial /\left.\partial y^{\mu}\right|_{p} \tag{9.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left(X^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}\right),\left(\tilde{X}_{\alpha}^{\mu}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. Since $X^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}=\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\nu}\right)_{p} \tilde{X}^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}$, we find the transition function $t_{i j}^{L}(p)$ to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}^{L}(p)=\left(\left(\partial x^{\mu} / \partial y^{\nu}\right)_{p}\right) \in \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R}) \tag{9.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

Accordingly, given $T M$, we have constructed a frame bundle $L M$ with the same transition functions.

In general relativity, the right action corresponds to the local Lorentz transformation while the left action corresponds to the general coordinate transformation. It turns out that the frame bundle is the most natural framework in which to incorporate these transformations. If $\left\{X_{\alpha}\right\}$ is normalized by introducing a metric, the matrix $\left(X^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}\right)$ becomes the vierbein and the structure group reduces to $\mathrm{O}(m)$; see section 7.8.

Example 9.12. A spinor field on $M$ is a section of a spin bundle which we now define. Since $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$ has no spinor representation, we need to introduce an orthonormal frame bundle whose structure group is $\mathrm{SO}(k)$. As we mentioned in example 4.12, $\operatorname{SPIN}(k)$ is the universal covering group of $\operatorname{SO}(k)$. [To define a spin bundle, we have to check whether the $\operatorname{SO}(k)$ bundle lifts to a $\operatorname{SPIN}(k)$ bundle over $M$. The obstruction to this lifting is discussed in section 11.6.]

To be specific, let us consider a spin bundle associated with the fourdimensional Lorentz frame bundle $L M$, where $M$ is a four-dimensional Lorentz manifold. We are interested in a frame with a definite spacetime orientation as well as a time orientation. The structure group is then reduced to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{O}_{\uparrow}^{+}(3,1) \equiv\left\{\Lambda \in \mathrm{O}(3,1) \mid \operatorname{det} \Lambda=+1, \Lambda_{0}^{0}>0\right\} \tag{9.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

The universal covering group of $\mathrm{O}_{\uparrow}^{+}(3,1)$ is $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$, see example 5.16(c). The $\operatorname{homomorphism} \varphi: \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathrm{O}_{\uparrow}^{+}(3,1)$ is a $2: 1$ map with $\operatorname{ker} \varphi=\left\{I_{2},-I_{2}\right\}$. The Weyl spinor is a section of the fibre bundle $\left(W, \pi, M, \mathbb{C}^{2}, \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})\right)$. The Dirac spinor is a section of

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(D, \pi, M, \mathbb{C}^{4}, \mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \oplus \overline{\mathrm{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}\right) \tag{9.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

A section of $W$ is a $(1 / 2,0)$ representation of $\mathrm{O}_{\uparrow}^{+}(3,1)$ and a section of $\left(\bar{W}, \pi, M, \mathbb{C}^{2}, \overline{\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})}\right)$ is a $(0,1 / 2)$ representation, see Ramond (1989) for example. A Dirac spinor belongs to $(1 / 2,0) \oplus(0,1 / 2)$.

The general structure of the spin bundle will be worked out in section 11.6.

### 9.4.3 Triviality of bundles

A fibre bundle is trivial if it is expressed as a direct product of the base space and the fibre. The following theorem gives the condition under which a fibre bundle is trivial.

Theorem 9.2. A principal bundle is trivial if and only if it admits a global section.
Proof. Let $(P, \pi, M, G)$ be a principal bundle over $M$ and let $s \in \Gamma(M, P)$ be a global section. This section may be used to show that there exists a homeomorphism between $P$ and $M \times G$. If $a$ is an element of $G$, the product $s(p) a$ belongs to the fibre at $p$. Since the right action is transitive and free, any element $u \in P$ is uniquely written as $s(p) a$ for some $p \in M$ and $a \in G$. Define a map $\Phi: P \rightarrow M \times G$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi: s(p) a \mapsto(p, a) \tag{9.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easily verified that $\Phi$ is indeed a homeomorphism and we have shown that $P$ is a trivial bundle $M \times G$.

Conversely, suppose $P \cong M \times G$. Let $\phi: M \times G \rightarrow P$ be a trivialization. Take a fixed element $g \in G$. Then $s_{g}: M \rightarrow P$ defined by $s_{g}(p)=\phi(p, g)$ is a global section.

Is there a corresponding theorem for vector bundles? We know that any vector bundle admits a global null section. Thus, we cannot simply replace $P$ by $E$ in theorem 9.2. Let us consider the associated principal bundle $P(E)$ of $E$. By definition, $E$ and $P(E)$ share the same set of transition functions. Since the twisting of a bundle is described purely by the transition functions, we obtain the following corollary.

Corollary 9.2. A vector bundle $E$ is trivial if and only if its associated principal bundle $P(E)$ admits a global section.

## Problems

9.1 Let $L$ be the real line bundle over $S^{1}$ (i.e. $L$ is either the cylinder $S^{1} \times \mathbb{R}$ or the Möbius strip). Show that the Whitney sum $L \oplus L$ is a trivial bundle. Sketch $L \oplus L$ to confirm the result.
9.2 Let $\Omega_{n}$ be the volume element of $S^{n}$ normalized as $\int_{S^{n}} \Omega_{n}=1$. Let $f: S^{2 n-1} \rightarrow S^{n}$ be a smooth map and consider the pullback $f^{*} \Omega_{n}$.
(a) Show that $f^{*} \Omega_{n}$ is closed and written as $\mathrm{d} \omega_{n-1}$, where $\omega_{n-1}$ is an $(n-1)$ form on $S^{2 n-1}$.
(b) Show that the Hopf invariant

$$
H(f) \equiv \int_{S^{2 n-1}} \omega_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \omega_{n-1}
$$

is independent of the choice of $\omega_{n-1}$.
(c) Show that if $f$ is homotopic to $g$, then $H(f)=H(g)$.
(d) Show that $H(f)=0$ if $n$ is odd. [Hint: Use $\omega_{n-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \omega_{n-1}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{~d}\left(\omega_{n-1} \wedge\right.$ $\left.\omega_{n-1}\right)$.]
(e) Compute the Hopf invariant of the map $\pi: S^{3} \rightarrow S^{2}$ defined in example 9.9.

## CONNECTIONS ON FIBRE BUNDLES

In chapter 7 we introduced connections in Riemannian manifolds which enable us to compare vectors in different tangent spaces. In the present chapter connections on fibre bundles are defined in an abstract though geometrical way.

We first define a connection on a principal bundle. Our abstract definition is realized concretely by introducing the connection one-form whose local form is well known to physicists as a gauge potential. The Yang-Mills field strength is defined as the curvature associated with the connection. A connection on a principal bundle naturally defines a covariant derivative in the associated vector bundle. We reproduce the results obtained in chapter 7, applying our approach to tangent bundles. We conclude this chapter with a few applications of connections to physics: to gauge field theories and Berry's phase. We follow the line of Choquet-Bruhat et al (1982), Kobayashi (1984) and Nomizu (1981). Details will be found in the classic books by Kobayashi and Nomizu (1963, 1969). See also Daniel and Viallet (1980) for a quick review.

### 10.1 Connections on principal bundles

There are several equivalent definitions of a connection on a principal bundle. Our approach is based on the separation of tangent space $T_{u} P$ into 'vertical' and 'horizontal' subspaces. Although this approach seems to be abstract, it is advantageous compared with other approaches in that it clarifies the geometrical pictures involved and is defined independently of special local trivializations. Connections are also defined as $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-forms which satisfy certain axioms. These definitions are shown to be equivalent.

We briefly summarize the basic facts on Lie groups and Lie algebras, since we shall make extensive use of these (see section 5.6 for details). Let $G$ be a Lie group. The left action $L_{g}$ and the right action $R_{g}$ are defined by $L_{g} h=g h$ and $R_{g} h=h g$ for $g, h \in G$. $L_{g}$ induces a map $L_{g *}: T_{h}(G) \rightarrow T_{g h}(G)$. A left-invariant vector field $X$ satisfies $\left.L_{g *} X\right|_{h}=\left.X\right|_{g h}$. Left-invariant vector fields form a Lie algebra of $G$, denoted by $\mathfrak{g}$. Since $X \in \mathfrak{g}$ is specified by its value at the unit element $e$, and vice versa, there exists a vector space isomorphism $\mathfrak{g} \cong T_{e} G$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is closed under the Lie bracket, $\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right]=f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} T_{\gamma}$ where $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ is the set of generators of $\mathfrak{g} . f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma}$ are called the structure constants. The adjoint action ad : $G \rightarrow G$ is defined by $\operatorname{ad}_{g} h \equiv g h g^{-1}$. The tangent map of $\operatorname{ad}_{g}$ is
called the adjoint map and is denoted by $\operatorname{Ad}_{g}: T_{h}(G) \rightarrow T_{g h g^{-1}}(G)$. If restricted to $T_{e}(G) \simeq \mathfrak{g}, \operatorname{Ad}_{g}$ maps $\mathfrak{g}$ onto itself; $\operatorname{Ad}_{g}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ as $A \mapsto g A g^{-1}, A \in \mathfrak{g}$.

### 10.1.1 Definitions

Let $u$ be an element of a principal bundle $P(M, G)$ and let $G_{p}$ be the fibre at $p=\pi(u)$. The vertical subspace $V_{u} P$ is a subspace of $T_{u} P$ which is tangent to $G_{p}$ at $u$. [Warning: $T_{u} P$ is the tangent space of $P$ and should not be confused with the tangent space $T_{p} M$ of $M$.] Let us see how $V_{u} P$ is constructed. Take an element $A$ of $\mathfrak{g}$. By the right action

$$
R_{\exp (t A)} u=u \exp (t A)
$$

a curve through $u$ is defined in $P$. Since $\pi(u)=\pi(u \exp (t A))=p$, this curve lies within $G_{p}$. Define a vector $A^{\#} \in T_{u} P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
A^{\#} f(u)=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} f(u \exp (t A))\right|_{t=0} \tag{10.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f: P \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is an arbitrary smooth function. The vector $A^{\#}$ is tangent to $P$ at $u$, hence $A^{\#} \in V_{u} P$. In this way we define a vector $A^{\#}$ at each point of $P$ and construct a vector field $A^{\#}$, called the fundamental vector field generated by $A$. There is a vector space isomorphism $\sharp: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow V_{u} P$ given by $A \mapsto A^{\#}$. The horizontal subspace $H_{u} P$ is a complement of $V_{u} P$ in $T_{u} P$ and is uniquely specified if a connection is defined in $P$.

Exercise 10.1.
(a) Show that $\pi_{*} X=0$ for $X \in V_{u} P$.
(b) Show that $\#$ preserves the Lie algebra structure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[A^{\#}, B^{\#}\right]=[A, B]^{\#} . \tag{10.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Definition 10.1. Let $P(M, G)$ be a principal bundle. A connection on $P$ is a unique separation of the tangent space $T_{u} P$ into the vertical subspace $V_{u} P$ and the horizontal subspace $H_{u} P$ such that
(i) $T_{u} P=H_{u} P \oplus V_{u} P$.
(ii) A smooth vector field $X$ on $P$ is separated into smooth vector fields $X^{H} \in H_{u} P$ and $X^{V} \in V_{u} P$ as $X=X^{H}+X^{V}$.
(iii) $H_{u g} P=R_{g *} H_{u} P$ for arbitrary $u \in P$ and $g \in G$; see figure 10.1.

The condition (iii) states that horizontal subspaces $H_{u} P$ and $H_{u g} P$ on the same fibre are related by a linear map $R_{g *}$ induced by the right action. Accordingly, a subspace $H_{u} P$ at $u$ generates all the horizontal subspaces on the same fibre. This condition ensures that if a point $u$ is parallel transported, so is its constant multiple $u g, g \in G$; see later. At this point, the reader might feel rather


Figure 10.1. The horizontal subspace $H_{u g} P$ is obtained from $H_{u} P$ by the right action.
uneasy about our definition of a connection. At first sight, this definition seems to have nothing to do with the gauge potential or the field strength. We clarify these points after we introduce the connection one-form on $P$. We again stress that our definition, which is based on the separation $T_{u} P=V_{u} P \oplus H_{u} P$, is purely geometrical and is defined independently of any extra information. Although the connection becomes more tractable in the following, the geometrical picture and its intrinsic nature are generally obscured.

### 10.1.2 The connection one-form

In practical computations, we need to separate $T_{u} P$ into $V_{u} P$ and $H_{u} P$ in a systematic way. This can be achieved by introducing a Lie-algebra-valued oneform $\omega \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{*} P$ called the connection one-form.

Definition 10.2. A connection one-form $\omega \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes T^{*} P$ is a projection of $T_{u} P$ onto the vertical component $V_{u} P \simeq \mathfrak{g}$. The projection property is summarized by the following requirements,

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) } & \omega\left(A^{\#}\right)=A \quad A \in \mathfrak{g} \\
\text { (ii) } & R_{g}^{*} \omega=\operatorname{Ad}_{g^{-1}} \omega \tag{10.3b}
\end{array}
$$

that is, for $X \in T_{u} P$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g}^{*} \omega_{u g}(X)=\omega_{u g}\left(R_{g *} X\right)=g^{-1} \omega_{u}(X) g \tag{10.3b'}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the horizontal subspace $H_{u} P$ by the kernel of $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{u} P \equiv\left\{X \in T_{u} P \mid \omega(X)=0\right\} \tag{10.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

To show that this definition is consistent with definition 10.1, we prove the following proposition.

Proposition 10.1. The horizontal subspaces (10.4) satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g *} H_{u} P=H_{u g} P . \tag{10.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Fix a point $u \in P$ and define $H_{u} P$ by (10.4). Take $X \in H_{u} P$ and construct $R_{g *} X \in T_{u g} P$. We find

$$
\omega\left(R_{g^{*}} X\right)=R_{g}^{*} \omega(X)=g^{-1} \omega(X) g=0
$$

since $\omega(X)=0$. Accordingly, $R_{g *} X \in H_{u g} P$. We note that $R_{g *}$ is an invertible linear map. Hence, any vector $Y \in H_{u g} P$ is expressed as $Y=R_{g *} X$ for some $X \in H_{u} P$. This proves (10.5).

We have shown that the definition of the connection one-form $\omega$ is equivalent to that of the connection, since $\omega$ separates $T_{u} P$ into $H_{u} P \oplus V_{u} P$ in harmony with the axioms of definition 10.1. The connection one-form $\omega$ defined here is known as the Ehresmann connection in the literature.

### 10.1.3 The local connection form and gauge potential

Let $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ be an open covering of $M$ and let $\sigma_{i}$ be a local section defined on each $U_{i}$. It is convenient to introduce a Lie-algebra-valued one-form $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ on $U_{i}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{i} \equiv \sigma_{i}^{*} \omega \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega^{1}\left(U_{i}\right) \tag{10.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Conversely, given a Lie-algebra-valued one-form $\mathcal{A}_{i}$, on $U_{i}$, we can reconstruct a connection one-form $\omega$ whose pullback by $\sigma_{i}^{*}$ is $\mathcal{A}_{i}$.

Theorem 10.1. Given a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ on $U_{i}$ and a local section $\sigma_{i}: U_{i} \rightarrow$ $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$, there exists a connection one-form $\omega$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega$.

Proof. Let us define a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\omega$ on $P$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{i} \equiv g_{i}^{-1} \pi^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i} g_{i}+g_{i}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} g_{i} \tag{10.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d}_{P}$ is the exterior derivative on $P$ and $g_{i}$ is the canonical local trivialization defined by $\phi_{i}^{-1}(u)=\left(p, g_{i}\right)$ for $u=\sigma_{i}(p) g_{i}$. We first show that $\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i}$. For $X \in T_{p} M$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega_{i}(X) & =\omega_{i}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)=\pi^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)+\mathrm{d}_{P} g_{i}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right) \\
& =\mathcal{A}_{i}\left(\pi_{*} \sigma_{i *} X\right)+\mathrm{d}_{P} g_{i}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)
\end{aligned}
$$



Figure 10.2. The canonical local trivialization defined by the local section $\sigma_{i}$ over $U_{i}$.
where we have noted that $\sigma_{i *} X \in T_{\sigma_{i}} P$ and $g_{i}=e$ at $\sigma_{i}$, see figure 10.2. We further note that $\pi_{*} \sigma_{i *}=\operatorname{id}_{T_{p}(M)}$ and $\mathrm{d}_{P} g_{i}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)=0$ since $g \equiv e$ along $\sigma_{i *} X$. Thus, we have obtained $\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega_{i}(X)=\mathcal{A}_{i}(X)$.

Next we show that $\omega_{i}$ satisfies the axioms of a connection one-form given in definition 10.2.
(i) Let $X=A^{\#} \in V_{u} P, A \in \mathfrak{g}$. It follows from exercise 10.1(a) that $\pi_{*} X=0$. Now we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\omega_{i}\left(A^{\#}\right) & =g_{i}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} g_{i}\left(A^{\#}\right)=\left.g_{i}(u)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} g(u \exp (t A))}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.g_{i}(u)^{-1} g_{i}(u) \frac{\mathrm{d} \exp (t A)}{\mathrm{d} t}\right|_{t=0}=A .
\end{aligned}
$$

(ii) Take $X \in T_{u} P$ and $h \in G$. We have

$$
R_{h}^{*} \omega_{i}(X)=\omega_{i}\left(R_{h *} X\right)=g_{i u h}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i}\left(\pi_{*} R_{h *} X\right) g_{i u h}+g_{i u h}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} g_{i u h}\left(R_{h *} X\right) .
$$

Since $g_{i u h}=g_{i u} h$ and $\pi_{*} R_{h *} X=\pi_{*} X$ (note that $\pi R_{h}=\pi$ ), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{h}^{*} \omega_{i}(X) & =h^{-1} g_{i u}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i}\left(\pi_{*} X\right) g_{i u} h+h^{-1} g_{i u}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} g_{i u}(X) h \\
& =h^{-1} \omega_{i}(X) h
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have noted that

$$
\begin{aligned}
g_{i u h}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} g_{i u h}\left(R_{h *} X\right) & =\left.g_{i u h}^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} g_{i \gamma(t) h}\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.h^{-1} g_{i u}^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} g_{i \gamma(t)}\right|_{t=0} h=h^{-1} g_{i u}^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} g_{i u}(X) h .
\end{aligned}
$$

Here $\gamma(t)$ is a curve through $u=\gamma(0)$, whose tangent vector at $u$ is $X$.
Hence, the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\omega_{i}$ defined by (10.7) indeed satisfies $\mathcal{A}_{i}=$ $\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega_{i}$ and the axioms of a connection one-form.

For $\omega$ to be defined uniquely on $P$, i.e. for the separation $T_{u} P=H_{u} P \oplus V_{u} P$ to be unique, we must have $\omega_{i}=\omega_{j}$ on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$. A unique one-form $\omega$ is then defined throughout $P$ by $\left.\omega\right|_{U_{i}}=\omega_{i}$. To fulfil this condition, the local forms $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ have to satisfy a peculiar transformation property similar to that of the Christoffel symbols. We first prove a technical lemma.

Lemma 10.1. Let $P(M, G)$ be a principal bundle and $\sigma_{i}\left(\sigma_{j}\right)$ be a local section over $U_{i}\left(U_{j}\right)$ such that $U_{i} \cap U_{j} \neq \emptyset$. For $X \in T_{p} M\left(p \in U_{i} \cap U_{j}\right), \sigma_{i *} X$ and $\sigma_{j *} X$ satisfy

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{j *} X=R_{t_{i j} *}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)+\left(t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(X)\right)^{\#} \tag{10.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G$ is the transition function.

Proof. Take a curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\gamma(0)=p$ and $\dot{\gamma}(0)=X$. Since $\sigma_{i}(p)$ and $\sigma_{j}(p)$ are related by the transition function as $\sigma_{j}(p)=\sigma_{i}(p) t_{i j}(p)$ (see (9.43)), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{j *} X & =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sigma_{j}(\gamma(t))\right|_{t=0}=\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{\sigma_{i}(t) t_{i j}(t)\right\}\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \sigma_{i}(t) \cdot t_{i j}(p)+\left.\sigma_{i}(p) \cdot \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} t_{i j}(t)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =R_{t_{i j} *}\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)+\left.\sigma_{j}(p) t_{i j}(p)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} t_{i j}(t)\right|_{t=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}(t)$ stands for $\sigma_{i}(\gamma(t))$ and we have assumed that $G$ is a matrix group for which $R_{g *} X=X g$. We note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{i j}(p)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(X) & =\left.t_{i j}(p)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} t_{i j}(t)\right|_{t=0} \\
& =\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[t_{i j}(p)^{-1} t_{i j}(t)\right]\right|_{t=0} \in T_{e}(G) \cong \mathfrak{g}
\end{aligned}
$$

[Note that $t_{i j}(p)^{-1} t_{i j}(\gamma(t))=e$ at $t=0$.] This shows that the second term of $\sigma_{j *} X$ represents the vector field $\left(t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(X)\right)^{\#}$ at $\sigma_{j}(p)$.

The compatibility condition is easily obtained by applying the connection one-form $\omega$ on (10.8). We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma_{j}^{*} \omega(X) & =R_{t_{i j}}^{*} \omega\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(X) \\
& =t_{i j}^{-1} \omega\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right) t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(X)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the axioms of definition 10.2 have been used. Since this is true for any $X \in T_{p} M$, this equation reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{j}=t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j} \tag{10.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the compatibility condition we have been seeking.
Conversely, given an open covering $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$, the local sections $\left\{\sigma_{i}\right\}$ and the local forms $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}$ which satisfy (10.9), we may construct the $\mathfrak{g}$-valued one-form $\omega$ over $P$. Since a non-trivial principal bundle does not admit a global section, the pullback $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega$ exists locally but not necessarily globally. In gauge theories, $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ is identified with the gauge potential (Yang-Mills potential). As we have seen in the monopole case, the monopole field $\boldsymbol{B}=g \boldsymbol{r} / r^{3}$ does not admit a single gauge potential and we require at least two $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ to describe this $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle over $S^{2}$.

Exercise 10.2. Let $P(M, G)$ be a principal bundle over $M$ and let $U$ be a chart of $M$. Take local sections $\sigma_{1}$ and $\sigma_{2}$ over $U$ such that $\sigma_{2}(p)=\sigma_{1}(p) g(p)$. Show that the corresponding local forms $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$ are related as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2}=g^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{1} g+g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g \tag{10.10a}
\end{equation*}
$$

In components, this becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{2 \mu}=g^{-1}(p) \mathcal{A}_{1 \mu}(p) g(p)+g^{-1}(p) \partial_{\mu} g(p) \tag{10.10b}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is simply the gauge transformation defined in section 1.8.
Example 10.1. Let $P$ be a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle over $M$. Take overlapping charts $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j}\right)$ be a local connection form on $U_{i}\left(U_{j}\right)$. The transition function $t_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{i j}(p)=\exp [\mathrm{i} \Lambda(p)] \quad \Lambda(p) \in \mathbb{R} \tag{10.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{A}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ are related as

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{j}(p) & =t_{i j}(p)^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i}(p) t_{i j}(p)+t_{i j}(p)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(p) \\
& =\mathcal{A}_{i}(p)+\operatorname{id} \Lambda(p) \tag{10.12a}
\end{align*}
$$

In components, we have the familiar expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{j \mu}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}+\mathrm{i} \partial_{\mu} \Lambda . \tag{10.12b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our connection $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ differs from the standard vector potential $A_{\mu}$ by the Lie algebra factor: $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}=\mathrm{i} A_{\mu}$.

Here we note again that $\omega$ is defined globally over the bundle $P(M, G)$. Although there are many connection one-forms on $P(M, G)$, they share the same global information about the bundle. In contrast, an individual local piece (gauge potential) $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ is associated with the trivial bundle $\pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ and cannot have any global information on $P$. It is $\omega$ or, equivalently, the total of $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}$ satisfying the compatibility condition (10.9), which carries the global information about the bundle.

### 10.1.4 Horizontal lift and parallel transport

Parallel transport of a vector has been defined in chapter 7 as transport without change. Parallel transport of an element of a principal bundle along a curve in $M$ is provided by the 'horizontal lift' of the curve.

Definition 10.3. Let $P(M, G)$ be a $G$ bundle and let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve in $M$. A curve $\tilde{\gamma}:[0,1] \rightarrow P$ is said to be a horizontal lift of $\gamma$ if $\pi \circ \tilde{\gamma}=\gamma$ and the tangent vector to $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ always belongs to $H_{\tilde{\gamma}(t)} P$.

Let $\tilde{X}$ be a tangent vector to $\tilde{\gamma}$. Then it satisfies $\omega(\tilde{X})=0$ by definition. This condition is an ordinary differential equation (ODE) and the fundamental theorem of ODEs guarantees the local existence and uniqueness of the horizontal lift.

Theorem 10.2. Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve in $M$ and let $u_{0} \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$. Then there exists a unique horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ in $P$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=u_{0}$.

Let us construct such a curve $\tilde{\gamma}$. Let $U_{i}$ be a chart which contains $\gamma$ and take a section $\sigma_{i}$ over $U_{i}$. If there exists a horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$, it may be expressed as $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=\sigma_{i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(t)$, where $g_{i}(t)$ stands for $g_{i}(\gamma(t)) \in G$. Without loss of generality, we may take a section such that $\sigma_{i}(\gamma(0))=\tilde{\gamma}(0)$, that is $g_{i}(0)=e$. Let $X$ be a tangent vector to $\gamma(t)$ at $\gamma_{\tilde{x}}(0)$. Then $\tilde{X}=\tilde{\gamma}_{*} X$ is tangent to $\tilde{\gamma}$ at $u_{0}=\tilde{\gamma}(0)$. Since the tangent vector $\tilde{X}$ is horizontal, it satisfies $\omega(\tilde{X})=0$. A slight modification of lemma 10.1 yields

$$
\tilde{X}=g_{i}(t)^{-1} \sigma_{i *} X g_{i}(t)+\left[g_{i}(t)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{i}(X)\right]^{\#} .
$$

By applying $\omega$ on this equation, we find

$$
0=\omega(\tilde{X})=g_{i}(t)^{-1} \omega\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right) g_{i}(t)+g_{i}(t)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} g_{i}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}
$$

Multiplying on the left by $g_{i}(t)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} g_{i}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\omega\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right) g_{i}(t) \tag{10.13a}
\end{equation*}
$$

The fundamental theorem of ODEs guarantees the existence and uniqueness of the solution of (10.13a).

Since $\omega\left(\sigma_{i *} X\right)=\sigma_{i}^{*} \omega(X)=\mathcal{A}_{i}(X),(10.13 a)$ is expressed in a local form as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} g_{i}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\mathcal{A}_{i}(X) g_{i}(t) \tag{10.13b}
\end{equation*}
$$

whose formal solution with $g_{i}(0)=e$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
g_{i}(\gamma(t)) & =\mathcal{P} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{t} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} t\right) \\
& =\mathcal{P} \exp \left(-\int_{\gamma(0)}^{\gamma(t)} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}(\gamma(t)) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}\right) \tag{10.14}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{P}$ is a path-ordering operator along $\gamma(t) .{ }^{1}$ The horizontal lift is expressed as $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=\sigma_{i}(\gamma(t)) g_{i}(\gamma(t))$.

Corollary 10.1. Let $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ be another horizontal lift of $\gamma$, such that $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(0)=\gamma(0) g$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)=\tilde{\gamma}(t) g$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.

Proof. We first note that the horizontal subspace is right invariant, $R_{g *} H_{u} P=$ $H_{u g} P$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a horizontal lift of $\gamma$. Then $\tilde{\gamma}_{g}: t \mapsto \tilde{\gamma}(t) g$ is also a horizontal lift of $\gamma(t)$ since its tangent vector belongs to $H_{\tilde{\gamma} g} P$. From theorem 10.2 we find $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}$ is the unique horizontal lift which starts at $\tilde{\gamma}(0) g$.

Example 10.2. Let us consider the bundle $P(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong M \times \mathbb{R}$ where $M=$ $\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{0\}$. Let $\phi:((x, y), f) \mapsto u \in P$ be a local trivialization, where $(x, y)$ are the coordinates of $M$ while $f$ is that of the additive group $\mathbb{R}$. Let

$$
\omega=\frac{y \mathrm{~d} x-x \mathrm{~d} y}{x^{2}+y^{2}}+\mathrm{d} f
$$

be a connection one-form. It is easily verified that $\omega$ satisfies the axioms of the connection one-form. In fact, for $A^{\#}=A \partial / \partial f, A \in \mathbb{R}$ being an element of the Lie algebra of additive group, we have $\omega\left(A^{\#}\right)=A$. Furthermore, $R_{g *} \omega=\omega=g^{-1} \omega g$, since $\mathbb{R}$ is Abelian. Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve $t \mapsto(\cos 2 \pi t, \sin 2 \pi t)$. Let us work out a horizontal lift which starts at $((1,0), 0)$. Let

$$
X=\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \equiv \frac{\mathrm{~d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}+\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}+\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{\partial}{\partial f}
$$

be tangent to $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$. For $X$ to be horizontal, it must satisfy

$$
0=\omega(X)=\frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{y}{r^{2}}-\frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\mathrm{~d} t} \frac{x}{r^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t}=-2 \pi+\frac{\mathrm{d} f}{\mathrm{~d} t}
$$

The solution is easily found to be $f=2 \pi t+$ constant. We finally find the horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ passing through $((1,0), 0)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}(t)=((\cos 2 \pi t, \sin 2 \pi t), 2 \pi t) \tag{10.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is a helix over the unit circle.
Under the group action (right or left does not matter), $f$ translates to $f+g, g \in \mathbb{R}$. The shifted horizontal lift is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}_{g}(t)=((\cos 2 \pi t, \sin 2 \pi t), 2 \pi t+g) . \tag{10.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{1} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}(\gamma(t))$ and $\mathcal{A}_{i v}(\gamma(s))$ do not commute in general and the exponential in (10.14) is not well defined as it is. Let $A(t)$ and $B(t)$ be $t$-dependent matrices. Then the action of $\mathcal{P}$ is

$$
\mathcal{P}[A(t) B(s)]= \begin{cases}A(t) B(s) & (t>s) \\ B(s) A(t) & (s>t)\end{cases}
$$

Generalization to products of more matrices should be obvious.


Figure 10.3. A curve $\gamma(t)$ in $M$ and its horizontal lifts $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(t) g$.

Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve. Take a point $u_{0} \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$. There is a unique horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ of $\gamma(t)$ through $u_{0}$, and hence a unique point $u_{1}=$ $\tilde{\gamma}(1) \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(1))$, see figure 10.3. The point $u_{1}$ is called the parallel transport of $u_{0}$ along the curve $\gamma$. This defines a map $\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma}): \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\gamma(1))$ such that $u_{0} \mapsto u_{1}$. If the local form (10.14) is employed, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{1}=\sigma_{i}(1) \mathcal{P} \exp \left(-\int_{0}^{1} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}(\gamma(t))}{\mathrm{d} t} \mathrm{~d} t\right) \tag{10.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Corollary 10.1 ensures that $\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})$ commutes with the right action $R_{g}$. First note that $R_{g} \Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})\left(u_{0}\right)=u_{1} g$ and $\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma}) R_{g}\left(u_{0}\right)=\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})\left(u_{0} g\right)$. Observe that $\tilde{\gamma}(t) g$ is a horizontal lift through $u_{0} g$ and $u_{1} g$. From the uniqueness of the horizontal lift through $u_{0} g$, we have $u_{1} g=\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})\left(u_{0} g\right)$, that is $R_{g} \Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})\left(u_{0}\right)=\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma}) R_{g}\left(u_{0}\right)$. Since this is true for any $u_{0} \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{g} \Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})=\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma}) R_{g} \tag{10.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 10.3. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a horizontal lift of $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$. Consider a map $\Gamma\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\right): \pi^{-1}(\gamma(1)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$ where $\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}(t)=\tilde{\gamma}(1-t)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{-1}\right)=\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma})^{-1} \tag{10.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider two curves $\alpha:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ and $\beta:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ such that $\alpha(1)=\beta(0)$. Define the product $\alpha * \beta$ by

$$
\alpha * \beta= \begin{cases}\alpha(2 t) & 0 \leq t \leq \frac{1}{2} \\ \beta(2 t-1) & \frac{1}{2} \leq t \leq 1\end{cases}
$$

Let $\Gamma(\tilde{\alpha}): \pi^{-1}(\alpha(0)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\alpha(1))$ and $\Gamma(\tilde{\beta}): \pi^{-1}(\beta(0)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\beta(1))$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(\widetilde{\alpha * \beta})=\Gamma(\tilde{\beta}) \circ \Gamma(\tilde{\alpha}) . \tag{10.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 10.4. Let us write $u \sim v$, if $u, v \in P$ are on the same horizontal lift. Show that $\sim$ is an equivalence relation.

### 10.2 Holonomy

### 10.2.1 Definitions

Let $P(M, G)$ be a principal bundle and let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve whose horizontal lift through $u_{0} \in \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0))$ is $\tilde{\gamma}$. In the last section, we defined a map $\Gamma(\tilde{\gamma}): \pi^{-1}(\gamma(0)) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\gamma(1))$ which maps a point $u_{0}=\tilde{\gamma}(0)$ to $u_{1}=\tilde{\gamma}(1)$. Let us consider two curves $\alpha, \beta:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ with $\alpha(0)=\beta(0)=p_{0}$ and $\alpha(1)=\beta(1)=p_{1}$. Take horizontal lifts $\tilde{\alpha}$ and $\tilde{\beta}$ of $\alpha$ and $\beta$ such that $\tilde{\alpha}(0)=\tilde{\beta}(0)=u_{0}$. Then $\tilde{\alpha}(1)$ is not necessarily equal to $\tilde{\beta}(1)$. This shows that if we consider a loop $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ at $p=\gamma(0)=\gamma(1)$, we have $\tilde{\gamma}(0) \neq \tilde{\gamma}(1)$ in general. A loop $\gamma$ defines a transformation $\tau_{\gamma}: \pi^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(p)$ on the fibre. This transformation is compatible with the right action of the group,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\gamma}(u g)=\tau_{\gamma}(u) g \tag{10.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which follows immediately from (10.18). We note that $\tau_{\gamma}$ depends not only on the loop $\gamma$ but also on the connection.

Example 10.3. Consider an $\mathbb{R}$-bundle over $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{0\}$. The connection one-form $\omega$ and the loop $\gamma$ in example 10.2 define a map $\tau_{\gamma}: \pi^{-1}((1,0)) \rightarrow$ $\pi^{-1}((1,0))$ given by $g \mapsto g+2 \pi, g \in \mathbb{R}$.

Take a point $u \in P$ with $\pi(u)=p$ and consider the set of loops $C_{p}(M)$ at $p ; C_{p}(M) \equiv\{\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M \mid \gamma(0)=\gamma(1)=p\}$. The set of elements

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{u} \equiv\left\{g \in G \mid \tau_{\gamma}(u)=u g, \gamma \in C_{p}(M)\right\} \tag{10.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is a subgroup of the structure group $G$ and is called the holonomy group at $u$. The group property of $\Phi_{u}$ is easily derived from exercise 10.3. If $\alpha, \beta$ and $\gamma=\alpha * \beta$ are loops at $p$, we have $\tau_{\gamma}=\tau_{\beta} \circ \tau_{\alpha}$, hence

$$
\tau_{\gamma}(u)=\tau_{\beta} \circ \tau_{\alpha}(u)=\tau_{\beta}\left(u g_{\alpha}\right)=\tau_{\beta}(u) g_{\alpha}=u g_{\beta} g_{\alpha}
$$

where $\tau_{\alpha}(u)=u g_{\alpha}$ etc. This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\gamma}=g_{\beta} g_{\alpha} \tag{10.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

The constant loop $c:[0,1] \mapsto p$ defines the identity transformation $\tau_{c}: u \mapsto u$. The inverse loop $\gamma^{-1}$ of $\gamma$ induces the inverse transformation $\tau_{\gamma^{-1}}=\tau_{\gamma}^{-1}$, hence $g_{\gamma^{-1}}=g_{\gamma}^{-1}$.

Exercise 10.5. (a) Let $\tau_{\alpha}(u)=u g_{\alpha}$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{\alpha}(u g)=u g\left(\operatorname{ad}_{g} g_{\alpha}\right)=u g\left(g^{-1} g_{\alpha} g\right) \tag{10.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{u a} \cong a^{-1} \Phi_{u} a \tag{10.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $u, u^{\prime} \in P$ be points on the same horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$. Show that $\Phi_{u} \cong \Phi_{u^{\prime}}$.
(c) Suppose that $M$ is connected. Show that all $\Phi_{u}$ are isomorphic to each other.

Exercise 10.6. Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}$ be a gauge potential over $U_{i}$ and $\gamma$ a loop in $U_{i}$. Let $\tau_{\gamma}(u)=u g_{\gamma}, u \in P, g_{\gamma} \in G$. Use (10.14) to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{\gamma}=\mathcal{P} \exp \left(-\oint_{\gamma} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}\right) \tag{10.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $C_{p}^{0}(M)$ denote the set of loops at $p$, which are homotopic to the constant loop at $p$. The group

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Phi_{u}^{0} \equiv\left\{g \in G \mid \tau_{\gamma}(u)=u g, \gamma \in C_{p}^{0}(M)\right\} \tag{10.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the restricted holonomy group.

### 10.3 Curvature

### 10.3.1 Covariant derivatives in principal bundles

We defined the exterior derivative $\mathrm{d}: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M)$ in chapter 5. An $r$-form $\eta$ is a real-valued form acting on vectors,

$$
\eta: T M \wedge \ldots \wedge T M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}
$$

We will generalize this operation so that we can differentiate a vector-valued $r$ form $\phi \in \Omega^{r}(P) \otimes V$,

$$
\phi: T P \wedge \ldots \wedge T P \rightarrow V
$$

where $V$ is a vector space of dimension $k$. The most general form of $\phi$ is $\phi=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{k} \phi^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha},\left\{e_{\alpha}\right\}$ being a basis of $V$ and $\phi^{\alpha} \in \Omega^{r}(P)$.

A connection $\omega$ on a principal bundle $P(M, G)$ separates $T_{u} P$ into $H_{u} P \oplus$ $V_{u} P$. Accordingly, a vector $X \in T_{u} P$ is decomposed as $X=X^{H}+X^{V}$ where $X^{H} \in H_{u} P$ and $X^{V} \in V_{u} P$.

Definition 10.4. Let $\phi \in \Omega^{r}(P) \otimes V$ and $X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r+1} \in T_{u} P$. The covariant derivative of $\phi$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \phi\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r+1}\right) \equiv \mathrm{d}_{P} \phi\left(X_{1}^{H}, \ldots, X_{r+1}^{H}\right) \tag{10.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d}_{P} \phi \equiv \mathrm{~d}_{P} \phi^{\alpha} \otimes e_{\alpha}$.

### 10.3.2 Curvature

Definition 10.5. The curvature two-form $\Omega$ is the covariant derivative of the connection one-form $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega \equiv \mathrm{D} \omega \in \Omega^{2}(P) \otimes \mathfrak{g} \tag{10.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 10.2. The curvature two-form satisfies (cf (10.3b))

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{a}^{*} \Omega=a^{-1} \Omega a \quad a \in G \tag{10.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We first note that $\left(R_{a *} X\right)^{H}=R_{a *}\left(X^{H}\right)\left(R_{a *}\right.$ preserves the horizontal subspaces) and $\mathrm{d}_{P} R_{a}^{*}=R_{a}^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{P}$, see (5.75). By definition we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{a}^{*} \Omega(X, Y) & =\Omega\left(R_{a *} X, R_{a *} Y\right)=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega\left(\left(R_{a *} X\right)^{H},\left(R_{a *} Y\right)^{H}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega\left(R_{a *} X^{H}, R_{a *} Y^{H}\right)=R_{a}^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{P} \omega\left(X^{H}, Y^{H}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}_{P} R_{a}^{*} \omega\left(X^{H}, Y^{H}\right) \\
& =\mathrm{d}_{P}\left(a^{-1} \omega a\right)\left(X^{H}, Y^{H}\right)=a^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{P} \omega\left(X^{H}, Y^{H}\right) a \\
& =a^{-1} \Omega(X, Y) a
\end{aligned}
$$

where we noted that $a$ is a constant element and hence $\mathrm{d}_{P} a=0$.
Take a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $p$-form $\zeta=\zeta^{\alpha} \otimes T_{\alpha}$ and a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $q$-form $\eta=\eta^{\alpha} \otimes T_{\alpha}$ where $\zeta^{\alpha} \in \Omega^{p}(P), \eta^{\alpha} \in \Omega^{q}(P)$, and $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ is a basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define the commutator of $\zeta$ and $\eta$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
{[\zeta, \eta] } & \equiv \zeta \wedge \eta-(-1)^{p q} \eta \wedge \zeta \\
& =T_{\alpha} T_{\beta} \zeta^{\alpha} \wedge \eta^{\beta}-(-1)^{p q} T_{\beta} T_{\alpha} \eta^{\beta} \wedge \zeta^{\alpha} \\
& =\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right] \otimes \zeta^{\alpha} \wedge \eta^{\beta}=f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} T_{\gamma} \otimes \zeta^{\alpha} \wedge \eta^{\beta} . \tag{10.31}
\end{align*}
$$

If we put $\zeta=\eta$ in (10.31), when $p$ and $q$ are odd, we have

$$
[\zeta, \zeta]=2 \zeta \wedge \zeta=f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} T_{\gamma} \otimes \zeta^{\alpha} \wedge \zeta^{\beta}
$$

Lemma 10.2. Let $X \in H_{u} P$ and $Y \in V_{u} P$. Then $[X, Y] \in H_{u} P$.

Proof. Let $Y$ be a vector field generated by $g(t)$, then

$$
\mathcal{L}_{Y} X=[Y, X]=\lim _{t \rightarrow 0} t^{-1}\left(R_{g(t) *} X-X\right)
$$

Since a connection satisfies $R_{g *} H_{u} P=H_{u g} P$, the vector $R_{g(t) *} X$ is horizontal and so is $[Y, X]$.
Theorem 10.3. Let $X, Y \in T_{u} P$. Then $\Omega$ and $\omega$ satisfy Cartan's structure equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(X, Y)=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)+[\omega(X), \omega(Y)] \tag{10.32a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is also written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega+\omega \wedge \omega . \tag{10.32b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We consider the following three cases separately:
(i) Let $X, Y \in H_{u} P$. Then $\omega(X)=\omega(Y)=0$ by definition. From definition 10.5, we have $\Omega(X, Y)=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega\left(X^{H}, Y^{H}\right)=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)$, since $X=X^{H}$ and $=Y^{H}$.
(ii) Let $X \in H_{u} P$ and $Y \in V_{u} P$. Since $Y^{H}=0$, we have $\Omega(X, Y)=0$. We also have $\omega(X)=0$. Thus, we need to prove $\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)=0$. From (5.70), we obtain

$$
\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)=X \omega(Y)-Y \omega(X)-\omega([X, Y])=X \omega(Y)-\omega([X, Y])
$$

Since $Y \in V_{u} P$, there is an element $V \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that $Y=V^{\#}$. Then $\omega(Y)=V$ is constant, hence $X \omega(Y)=X \cdot V=0$. From lemma 10.2, we have $[X, Y] \in H_{u} P$ so that $\omega([X, Y])=0$ and we find $\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)=0$.
(iii) For $X, Y \in V_{u} P$, we have $\Omega(X, Y)=0$. We find that, in this case,

$$
\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)=X \omega(Y)-Y \omega(X)-\omega([X, Y])=-\omega([X, Y])
$$

We note that $X$ and $Y$ are closed under the Lie bracket, $[X, Y] \in V_{u} P$, see exercise 10.1(b). Then there exists $A \in \mathfrak{g}$ such that

$$
\omega([X, Y])=A
$$

where $A^{\#}=[X, Y]$. Let $B^{\#}=X$ and $C^{\#}=Y$. Then $[\omega(X), \omega(Y)]=[B, C]=$ $A$ since $[B, C]^{\#}=\left[B^{\#}, C^{\#}\right]$. Thus, we have shown that

$$
0=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)+\omega([X, Y])=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)+[\omega(X), \omega(Y)] .
$$

Since $\Omega$ is linear and skew symmetric, these three cases are sufficient to show that (10.32) is true for any vectors.

To derive (10.32b) from (10.32a), we note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
{[\omega, \omega](X, Y) } & =\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right] \omega^{\alpha} \wedge \omega^{\beta}(X, Y) \\
& =\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right]\left[\omega^{\alpha}(X) \omega^{\beta}(Y)-\omega^{\beta}(X) \omega^{\alpha}(Y)\right] \\
& =[\omega(X), \omega(Y)]-[\omega(Y), \omega(X)]=2[\omega(X), \omega(Y)]
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, $\Omega(X, Y)=\left(\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega+\frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega]\right)(X, Y)=\left(\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega+\omega \wedge \omega\right)(X, Y)$.

### 10.3.3 Geometrical meaning of the curvature and the Ambrose-Singer theorem

We have shown in chapter 7 that the Riemann curvature tensor expresses the noncommutativity of the parallel transport of vectors. There is a similar interpretation of curvature on principal bundles. We first show that $\Omega(X, Y)$ yields the vertical component of the Lie bracket $[X, Y]$ of horizontal vectors $X, Y \in H_{u} P$. It follows from $\omega(X)=\omega(Y)=0$ that

$$
\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)=X \omega(Y)-Y \omega(X)-\omega([X, Y])=-\omega([X, Y]) .
$$

Since $X^{H}=X, Y^{H}=Y$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(X, Y)=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega(X, Y)=-\omega([X, Y]) \tag{10.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider a coordinate system $\left\{x^{\mu}\right\}$ on a chart $U$. Let $V=\partial / \partial x^{1}$ and $W=\partial / \partial x^{2}$. Take an infinitesimal parallelogram $\gamma$ whose corners are $\mathrm{O}=$ $\{0,0, \ldots, 0\}, \mathrm{P}=\{\varepsilon, 0, \ldots, 0\}, \mathrm{Q}=\{\varepsilon, \delta, 0, \ldots, 0\}$ and $\mathrm{R}=\{0, \delta, 0, \ldots, 0\}$. Consider the horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$. Let $X, Y \in H_{u} P$ such that $\pi_{*} X=\varepsilon V$ and $\pi_{*} Y=\delta W$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{*}\left([X, Y]^{H}\right)=\epsilon \delta[V, W]=\epsilon \delta\left[\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{1}}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{2}}\right]=0 \tag{10.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is $[X, Y]$ is vertical. This consideration shows that the horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of a loop $\gamma$ fails to close. This failure is proportional to the vertical vector $[X, Y]$ connecting the initial point and the final point on the same fibre. The curvature measures this distance,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega(X, Y)=-\omega([X, Y])=A \tag{10.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $A$ is an element of $\mathfrak{g}$ such that $[X, Y]=A^{\#}$.
Since the discrepancy between the initial and final points of the horizontal lift of a closed curve is simply the holonomy, we expect that the holonomy group is expressed in terms of the curvature.

Theorem 10.4. (Ambrose-Singer theorem) Let $P(M, G)$ be a $G$ bundle over a connected manifold $M$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{h}$ of the holonomy group $\Phi_{u_{0}}$ of a point $u_{0} \in P$ agrees with the subalgebra of $\mathfrak{g}$ spanned by the elements of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega_{u}(X, Y) \quad X, Y \in H_{u} P \tag{10.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a \in P$ is a point on the same horizontal lift as $u_{0}$. [See Choquet-Bruhat $e t$ al (1982) for the proof.]

### 10.3.4 Local form of the curvature

The local form $\mathcal{F}$ of the curvature $\Omega$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \equiv \sigma^{*} \Omega \tag{10.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma$ is a local section defined on a chart $U$ of $M\left(\operatorname{cf} \mathcal{A}=\sigma^{*} \omega\right)$. F is expressed in terms of the gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \tag{10.38a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where d is the exterior derivative on $M$. The action of $\mathcal{F}$ on the vectors of $T M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}(X, Y)=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}(X, Y)+[\mathcal{A}(X), \mathcal{A}(Y)] . \tag{10.38b}
\end{equation*}
$$

To prove (10.38a) we note that $\mathcal{A}=\sigma^{*} \omega, \sigma^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{P} \omega=\mathrm{d} \sigma^{*} \omega$ and $\sigma^{*}(\zeta \wedge \eta)=$ $\sigma^{*} \zeta \wedge \sigma^{*} \eta$. From Cartan's structure equation, we find

$$
\mathcal{F}=\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{P} \omega+\omega \wedge \omega\right)=\mathrm{d} \sigma^{*} \omega+\sigma^{*} \omega \wedge \sigma^{*} \omega=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}
$$

Next, we find the component expression of $\mathcal{F}$ on a chart $U$ whose coordinates are $x^{\mu}=\varphi(p)$. Let $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ be the gauge potential. If we write $\mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$, a direct computation yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}+\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right] \tag{10.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{F}$ is also called the curvature two-form and is identified with the (Yang-Mills) field strength. To avoid confusion, we call $\Omega$ the curvature and $\mathcal{F}$ the (YangMills) field strength. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}$ are $\mathfrak{g}$-valued functions, they can be expanded in terms of the basis $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu}=A_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \tag{10.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

The basis vectors satisfy the usual commutation relations $\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right]=f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma} T_{\gamma}$. We then obtain the well-known expression

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}{ }^{\alpha}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}^{\alpha}+f_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} A_{\mu}{ }^{\beta} A_{\nu}{ }^{\gamma} . \tag{10.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 10.5. Let $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ be overlapping charts of $M$ and let $\mathcal{F}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{j}$ be field strengths on the respective charts. On $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, they satisfy the compatibility condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{j}=A \mathrm{~d}_{t_{i j}^{-1}} \mathcal{F}_{i}=t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{i} t_{i j} \tag{10.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $t_{i j}$ is the transition function on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$.

Proof. Introduce the corresponding gauge potentials $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{j}$,

$$
\mathcal{F}_{i}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_{i}+\mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i} \quad \mathcal{F}_{j}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_{j}+\mathcal{A}_{j} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{j}
$$

Substituting $\mathcal{A}_{j}=t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}$ into $\mathcal{F}_{j}$, we verify that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{F}_{j}= & \mathrm{d}\left(t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right) \\
& +\left(t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right) \wedge\left(t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right) \\
= & {\left[-t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j} \wedge t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}\right.} \\
& \left.-t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}-t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j} t_{i j}^{-1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right] \\
& +\left[t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right. \\
& \left.+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j} t_{i j}^{-1} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j} \wedge t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right] \\
= & t_{i j}^{-1}\left(\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}_{i}+\mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i}\right) t_{i j}=t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{i} t_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the identity $\mathrm{d} t^{-1}=-t^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t t^{-1}$.
Exercise 10.7. The gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ is called a pure gauge if $\mathcal{A}$ is written locally as $\mathcal{A}=g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g$. Show that the field strength $\mathcal{F}$ vanishes for a pure gauge $\mathcal{A}$. [It can be shown that the converse is also true. If $\mathcal{F}=0$ on a chart $U$, the gauge potential may be expressed locally as $\mathcal{A}=g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g$.]

### 10.3.5 The Bianchi identity

Since $\omega$ and $\Omega$ are $\mathfrak{g}$-valued, we expand them in terms of the basis $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ of $\mathfrak{g}$ as $\omega=\omega^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}, \Omega=\Omega^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$. Then (10.32b) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{\alpha}=\mathrm{d}_{P} \omega^{\alpha}+f_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \omega^{\beta} \wedge \omega^{\gamma} \tag{10.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exterior differentiation of (10.43) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}_{P} \Omega^{\alpha}=f_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \mathrm{d}_{P} \omega^{\beta} \wedge \omega^{\gamma}+f_{\beta \gamma}{ }^{\alpha} \omega^{\beta} \wedge \mathrm{d}_{P} \omega^{\gamma} \tag{10.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we note that $\omega(X)=0$ for a horizontal vector $X$, we find

$$
\mathrm{D} \Omega(X, Y, Z)=\mathrm{d}_{P} \Omega\left(X^{H}, Y^{H}, Z^{H}\right)=0
$$

where $X, Y, Z \in T_{u} P$. Thus, we have proved the Bianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{D} \Omega=0 \tag{10.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us find the local form of the Bianchi identity. Operating with $\sigma^{*}$ on (10.44), we find that $\sigma^{*} \mathrm{~d}_{P} \Omega=\mathrm{d} \cdot \sigma^{*} \Omega=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}$ for the LHS and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma^{*}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{P} \omega \wedge \omega-\omega \wedge \mathrm{d}_{P} \omega\right) & =\mathrm{d} \sigma^{*} \omega \wedge \sigma^{*} \omega-\sigma^{*} \omega \wedge \mathrm{~d} \sigma^{*} \omega \\
& =\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

for the RHS. Thus, we have obtained that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{F}-\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}+[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]=0 \tag{10.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the action of $\mathcal{D}$ on a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $p$-form $\eta$ on $M$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \eta \equiv \mathrm{d} \eta+[\mathcal{A}, \eta] . \tag{10.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{D F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}$ for $G=\mathrm{U}(1)$.

### 10.4 The covariant derivative on associated vector bundles

A connection one-form $\omega$ on a principal bundle $P(M, G)$ enables us to define the covariant derivative in associated bundles of $P$ in a natural way.

### 10.4.1 The covariant derivative on associated bundles

In physics, we often need to differentiate sections of a vector bundle which is associated with a certain principal bundle. For example, a charged scalar field in QED is regarded as a section of a complex line bundle associated with a $U(1)$ bundle $P(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$. Differentiating sections covariantly is very important in constructing gauge-invariant actions.

Let $P(M, G)$ be a $G$ bundle with the projection $\pi_{P}$. Let us take a chart $U_{i}$ of $M$ and a section $\sigma_{i}$ over $U_{i}$. We take the canonical trivialization $\phi_{i}(p, e)=\sigma_{i}(p)$. Let $\tilde{\gamma}$ be a horizontal lift of a curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow U_{i}$. We denote $\gamma(0)=p_{0}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}(0)=u_{0}$. Associated with $P$ is a vector bundle $E=P \times_{\rho} V$ with the projection $\pi_{E}$, see section 9.4. Let $X \in T_{p} M$ be a tangent vector to $\gamma(t)$ at $p_{0}$. Let $s \in \Gamma(M, E)$ be a section, or a vector field, on $M$. Write an element of $E$ as $[(u, v)]=\left\{\left(u g, \rho(g)^{-1} v \mid u \in P, v \in V, g \in G\right\}\right.$. Taking a representative of the equivalence class amounts to fixing the gauge. We choose the following form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s(p)=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), \xi(p)\right)\right] \tag{10.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

as a representative.
Now we define the parallel transport of a vector in $E$ along a curve $\gamma$ in $M$. Of course, a naive guess ' $\xi$ is parallel transported if $\xi(\gamma(t))$ is constant along $\gamma(t)$ ' does not make sense since this statement depends on the choice of the section $\sigma_{i}(p)$. We define a vector to be parallel transported if it is constant with respect to a horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ in $P$. In other words, a section $s(\gamma(t))=[(\tilde{\gamma}(t), \eta(\gamma(t)))]$ is parallel transported if $\eta$ is constant along $\gamma(t)$. This definition is intrinsic since if $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)$ is another horizontal lift of $\gamma$, then it can be written as $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)=\tilde{\gamma}(t) a$, $a \in G$ and we have (we omit $\rho$ to simplify the notation)

$$
[(\tilde{\gamma}(t), \eta(t))]=\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t) a^{-1}, \eta(t)\right)\right]=\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t), a^{-1} \eta(t)\right)\right]
$$

where $\eta(t)$ stands for $\eta(\gamma(t))$. Hence, if $\eta(t)$ is constant along $\gamma(t)$, so is its constant multiple $a^{-1} \eta(t)$.

Now the definition of covariant derivative is in order. Let $s(p)$ be a section of $E$. Along a curve $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ we have $s(t)=[(\tilde{\gamma}(t), \eta(t))]$, where $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ is an arbitrary horizontal lift of $\gamma(t)$. The covariant derivative of $s(t)$ along $\gamma(t)$ at $p_{0}=\gamma(0)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} s \equiv\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0),\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \eta(\gamma(t))\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \tag{10.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X$ is the tangent vector to $\gamma(t)$ at $p_{0}$. For the covariant derivative to be really intrinsic, it should not depend on the extra information, that is the special horizontal lift. Let $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)=\tilde{\gamma}(t) a(a \in G)$ be another horizontal lift of $\gamma$. If $\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t)$ is chosen to be the horizontal lift, we have a representative $\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(t), a^{-1} \eta(t)\right)\right]$. The covariant derivative is now given by

$$
\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(0),\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{a^{-1} \eta(t)\right\}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}^{\prime}(0) a^{-1},\left.\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \eta(t)\right|_{t=0}\right)\right]
$$

which agrees with (10.49). Hence, $\nabla_{X} s$ depends only on the tangent vector $X$ and the sections $s \in \Gamma(M, E)$ and not on the horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$. Our definition depends only on a curve $\gamma$ and a connection and not on local trivializations. The local form of the covariant derivative is useful in practical computations and will be given later.

So far we have defined the covariant derivative at a point $p_{0}=\gamma(0)$. It is clear that if $X$ is a vector field, $\nabla_{X}$ maps a section $s$ to a new section $\nabla_{X} s$, hence $\nabla_{X}$ is regarded as a map $\Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$. To be more precise, take $X \in X(M)$ whose value at $p$ is $X_{p} \in T_{p} M$. There is a curve $\gamma(t)$ such that $\gamma(0)=p$ and its tangent at $p$ is $X_{p}$. Then any horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$ of $\gamma$ enables us to compute the covariant derivative $\left.\nabla_{X} s\right|_{p} \equiv \nabla_{X_{p}} s$. We also define a map $\nabla: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E) \otimes \Omega^{1}(M)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla s(X) \equiv \nabla_{X} s \quad X \in X(M) \quad s \in \Gamma(M, E) \tag{10.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 10.8. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{X}\left(a_{1} s_{1}+a_{2} s_{2}\right)=a_{1} \nabla_{X} s_{1}+a_{2} \nabla_{X} s_{2}  \tag{10.51a}\\
& \nabla\left(a_{1} s_{1}+a_{2} s_{2}\right)=a_{1} \nabla s_{1}+a_{2} \nabla s_{2}  \tag{10.51b}\\
& \nabla_{\left(a_{1} X_{1}+a_{2} X_{2}\right)} s=a_{1} \nabla_{X_{1}} s+a_{2} \nabla_{X_{2}} s  \tag{10.51c}\\
& \nabla_{X}(f s)=X[f] s+f \nabla_{X} s  \tag{10.51d}\\
& \nabla(f s)=(\mathrm{d} f) s+f \nabla^{2} s  \tag{10.51e}\\
& \nabla_{f X} s=f \nabla_{X} s \tag{10.51f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, s, s^{\prime} \in \Gamma(M, E)$ and $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$.

### 10.4.2 A local expression for the covariant derivative

In practical computations it is convenient to have a local coordinate representation of the covariant derivative. Let $P(M, G)$ be a $G$ bundle and $E=P \times{ }_{\rho} G$ be an associate vector bundle. Take a local section $\sigma_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, P\right)$ and employ the canonical trivialization $\sigma_{i}(p)=\phi_{i}(p, e)$. Let $\gamma:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a curve in $U_{i}$ and $\tilde{\gamma}$ its horizontal lift, which is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}(t)=\sigma_{i}(t) g_{i}(t) \tag{10.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g_{i}(t) \equiv g_{i}(\gamma(t)) \in G$. Take a section $e_{\alpha}(p) \equiv\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right]$ of $E$, where $e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}$ is the $\alpha$ th basis vector of $V ;\left(e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)^{\beta}=\left(\delta_{\alpha}\right)^{\beta}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\alpha}(t)=\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(t) g_{i}(t)^{-1}, e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right]=\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(t), g_{i}(t)^{-1} e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right] . \tag{10.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $g_{i}(t)^{-1}$ acts on $e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}$ to compensate for the change of basis along $\gamma$. The covariant derivative of $e_{\alpha}$ is then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} e_{\alpha} & =\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0),\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{g_{i}(t)^{-1} e_{\alpha}^{0}\right\}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0),-\left.g_{i}(t)^{-1}\left\{\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} g_{i}(t)\right\} g_{i}(t)^{-1} e_{\alpha}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0) g_{i}(0)^{-1}, \mathcal{A}_{i}(X) e_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\right] \tag{10.54}
\end{align*}
$$

where (10.13b) has been used. From (10.54) we find the local expression,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} e_{\alpha}=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), \mathcal{A}_{i}(X) e_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\right] \tag{10.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ where $\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \equiv \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\gamma}\left(T_{\gamma}\right)^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$. The second entry of (10.55) is

$$
\mathcal{A}_{i}(X) e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} e_{\beta}{ }^{0} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} \delta_{\alpha}{ }^{\gamma}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} e_{\beta}{ }^{0} .
$$

Substituting this into (10.55), we finally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} e_{\alpha}=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), \frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} e_{\beta}{ }^{0}\right)\right]=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} e_{\beta} \tag{10.56a}
\end{equation*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla e_{\alpha}=\mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} e_{\beta} . \tag{10.56b}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, for a coordinate curve $x^{\mu}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}} e_{\alpha}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} e_{\beta} . \tag{10.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable that a connection $\mathcal{A}$ on a principal bundle $P$ completely specifies the covariant derivative on an associated bundle $E$ (modulo representations).

Exercise 10.9. Let $s(p)=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), \xi_{i}(p)\right)\right]=\xi_{i}{ }^{\alpha}(p) e_{\alpha}$ be a general section of $E$, where $\xi_{i}(p)=\xi_{i}{ }^{\alpha}(p) e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}$. Use the results of exercise 10.8 to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} s=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\left.\mathcal{A}_{i}(X) \xi_{i}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right]=\frac{\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}\left\{\frac{\partial \xi_{i}{ }^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \xi_{i}{ }^{\beta}\right\} e_{\alpha} \tag{10.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

By construction, the covariant derivative is independent of the local trivialization. This is also observed from the local form of $\nabla_{X} s$. Let $\sigma_{i}(p)$ and $\sigma_{j}(p)$ be local sections on overlapping charts $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$. On $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, we have $\sigma_{j}(p)=\sigma_{i}(p) t_{i j}(p)$. In the $i$-trivialization, the covariant derivative is

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} s & =\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi_{i}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\left.\mathcal{A}_{i}(X) \xi_{i}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\sigma_{j}(0) \cdot t_{i j}^{-1}, \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(t_{i j} \xi_{j}\right)+\left.\mathcal{A}_{i}(X) t_{i j} \xi_{j}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \\
& =\left[\left(\sigma_{j}(0), \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi_{j}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\left.\mathcal{A}_{j}(X) \xi_{j}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \tag{10.59}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the condition (10.9). The last line of (10.59) is $\nabla_{X} s$ expressed in the $j$-trivialization.

We have found that the covariant derivative defined by (10.49) is independent of the horizontal lift as well as the local section. The gauge potential $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ transforms under the change of local trivialization so that $\nabla_{X} s$ is a well-defined section of $E$. In this sense, $\nabla_{X}$ is the most natural derivative on an associated vector bundle, which is compatible with the connection on the principal bundle $P$.

Example 10.4. Let us recover the results obtained in section 7.2. Let $F M$ be a frame bundle over $M$ and let $T M$ be its associated bundle. We note $F M=$ $P(M, \mathrm{GL}(m, \mathbb{R}))$ and $T M=F M \times{ } \mathbb{R}^{m}$, where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$ and $\rho$ is the $m \times m$ matrix representation of $\operatorname{GL}(m, \mathbb{R})$. Elements of $\mathfrak{g l}(m, \mathbb{R})$ are $m \times m$ matrices. Let us rewrite the local connection form $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ as $\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \beta} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$. We then find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}} e_{\alpha}=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), \Gamma_{\mu} e_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\right]=\Gamma_{\mu \alpha}^{\beta} e_{\beta} \tag{10.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

which should be compared with (7.14). For a general section (vector field), $s(p)=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), X_{i}(p)\right)\right]=X_{i}{ }^{\alpha}(p) e_{\alpha}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\partial / \partial x^{\mu} s}=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}} X_{i}^{\alpha}+\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \beta} X^{\beta}\right) e_{\alpha} \tag{10.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reproduces the result of section 7.2. It is evident that the roles played by the indices $\alpha, \beta$ and $\mu$ in $\Gamma^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \beta}$ are very different in their characters; $\mu$ is the $\Omega^{1}(M)$ index while $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are the $\mathfrak{g l}(m, \mathbb{R})$ indices.

Example 10.5. Let us consider the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge field coupled to a complex scalar field $\phi$. The relevant fibre bundles are the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle $P(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$ and the associated bundle $E=P \times{ }_{\rho} \mathbb{C}$ where $\rho$ is the natural identification of an element of $\mathrm{U}(1)$ with a complex number. The local expression for $\omega$ is $\mathcal{A}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}$, where $\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}=\mathcal{A}_{i}\left(\partial / \partial x^{\mu}\right)$ is the vector potential of Maxwell's theory. Let $\gamma$ be a curve in $M$ with tangent vector $X$ at $\gamma(0)$. Take a local section $\sigma_{i}$ and express a horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}$ of $\gamma$ as $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=\sigma_{i}(t) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi(t)}$. If $1 \in \mathbb{C}$ is taken to be the basis vector, the basis section is

$$
e=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), 1\right)\right] .
$$

Let $\phi(p)=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), \Phi(p)\right)\right]=\Phi(p) e(\Phi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{C})$ be a section of $E$, which is identified with a complex scalar field. With respect to $\tilde{\gamma}(t)$, the section is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\Phi(t)\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(t), U(t)^{-1}\right)\right] \tag{10.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $U(t)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \varphi(t)}$. The covariant derivative of $\phi$ along $\gamma$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} \phi & =\frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0), U(0)^{-1}\right)\right]+\Phi(0)\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0), U(0)^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i}(X) \cdot 1\right)\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \Phi}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \Phi \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}\right) e=X^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi}{\partial x^{\mu}}+\mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \Phi\right) e \tag{10.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 10.6. Let us consider the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ Yang-Mills theory on $M$. The relevant bundles are the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle $P(M, \mathrm{SU}(2))$ and its associated bundle $E=$ $P \times{ }_{\rho} \mathbb{C}^{2}$, where we have taken the two-dimensional representation. The gauge potential on a chart $U_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{i \mu} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}=A_{i \mu}{ }^{\alpha}\left(\frac{\sigma_{\alpha}}{2 \mathrm{i}}\right) \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{10.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{\alpha} / 2 \mathrm{i}$ are generators of $\mathrm{SU}(2), \sigma_{\alpha}$ being the Pauli matrices. Let $e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}$ ( $\alpha=1,2$ ) be basis vectors of $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ and consider sections

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{\alpha}(p) \equiv\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), e_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\right] \tag{10.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{i}(p)$ defines a canonical trivialization of $P$ over $U_{i}$. Let $\phi(p)=$ $\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), \Phi^{\alpha}(p) e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right]$ be a section of $E$ over $M$. Along a horizontal lift $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=$ $\sigma_{i}(p) U(t), U(t) \in \mathrm{SU}(2)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(t)=\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(t), U(t)^{-1} \Phi^{\alpha}(t) e_{\alpha}^{0}\right)\right] \tag{10.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

The covariant derivative of $\phi$ along $X=\mathrm{d} / \mathrm{d} t$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{X} \phi= & {\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0), U(0)^{-1} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \Phi^{\alpha}(0)}{\mathrm{d} t} e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right] } \\
& +\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0), U(0)^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i}(X)^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \Phi^{\beta}(0) e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right] \\
= & X^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial \Phi^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+\mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \Phi^{\beta}\right) e_{\alpha} \tag{10.67}
\end{align*}
$$

where (10.13b) has been used to obtain the last equality.
Exercise 10.10. Let us consider an associated adjoint bundle $E_{\mathfrak{g}}=P \times_{\text {Ad }}$ where the action of $G$ on $\mathfrak{g}$ is the adjoint action $V \rightarrow \operatorname{Ad}_{g} V=g^{-1} V g, V \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $g \in G$. Take a local section $\sigma_{i} \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, P\right)$ such that $\tilde{\gamma}(t)=\sigma_{i}(t) g(t)$. Take a section $s(p)=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(p), V(p)\right)\right]$ on $E_{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $V(p)=V^{\alpha}(p) T_{\alpha},\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ being the basis of $\mathfrak{g}$. Define the covariant derivative $\mathcal{D}_{X} s$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{X} s \equiv\left[\left(\tilde{\gamma}(0),\left.\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left\{\operatorname{Ad}_{g(t)^{-1}} V(t)\right\}\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \tag{10.68a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{D}_{X} s & =\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), \frac{\mathrm{d} V(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}+\left.\left[\mathcal{A}_{i}(X), V(t)\right]\right|_{t=0}\right)\right] \\
& =X^{\mu}\left(\frac{\partial V^{\alpha}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+{f_{\beta \gamma}}^{\alpha} \mathcal{A}_{i \mu}{ }^{\beta} V^{\gamma}\right)\left[\left(\sigma_{i}(0), T_{\alpha}\right)\right] . \tag{10.68b}
\end{align*}
$$

### 10.4.3 Curvature rederived

The covariant derivative $\nabla_{X} s$ defines an operator $\nabla: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E \otimes$ $\Omega^{1}(M)$ ) by (10.50). More generally, the action of $\nabla$ on a vector-valued $p$-form $s \otimes \eta, \eta \in \Omega^{p}(M)$, is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla(s \otimes \eta) \equiv(\nabla s) \wedge \eta+s \otimes \mathrm{~d} \eta \tag{10.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $U_{i}$ be a chart of $M$ and $\sigma_{i}$ a section of $P$ over $U_{i}$. We take the canonical local trivialization over $U_{i}$. We now prove

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \nabla e_{\alpha}=e_{\beta} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} \tag{10.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $e_{\alpha}=\left[\left(\sigma_{i}, e_{\alpha}{ }^{0}\right)\right] \in \Gamma\left(U_{i}, E\right)$. In fact, by straightforward computation, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla \nabla e_{\alpha} & =\nabla\left(e_{\beta} \otimes \mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\right)=\nabla e_{\beta} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}+e_{\beta} \otimes \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} \\
& =e_{\beta} \otimes\left(\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}+\mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}\right)=e_{\beta} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i}{ }^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Exercise 10.11. Let $s(p)=\xi^{\alpha}(p) e_{\alpha}(p)$ be a section of $E$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \nabla s=e_{\alpha} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{i}{ }^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \xi^{\beta} . \tag{10.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.4.4 A connection which preserves the inner product

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle with a positive-definite symmetric inner product whose action is defined at each point $p \in M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{p}: \pi^{-1}(p) \otimes \pi^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \tag{10.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $g$ is said to define a Riemannian structure on $E$. A connection $\nabla$ is called a metric connection if it preserves the inner product,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}\left[g\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)\right]=g\left(\nabla s, s^{\prime}\right)+g\left(s, \nabla s^{\prime}\right) . \tag{10.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

In particular, if we take $s=e_{\alpha}, s^{\prime}=e_{\beta}$ and set $g\left(e_{\alpha}, e_{\beta}\right)=g_{\alpha \beta}$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} g_{\alpha \beta}=\mathcal{A}_{i}{ }^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} g_{\gamma \beta}+\mathcal{A}_{i}{ }_{\beta}{ }_{\beta} g_{\alpha \gamma} . \tag{10.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

This should be compared with (7.30b). If $E=T M$ and, moreover, the torsionfree condition is imposed, our connection reduces to the Levi-Civita connection of the Riemannian geometry.

Given an inner product, we may take an orthonormal frame $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ such that $g\left(\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)=\delta_{\alpha \beta}$. The structure group $G$ is taken to be $\mathrm{O}(k), k$ being the dimension of the fibre. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{o}(k)$ is a vector space of skew symmetric matrices and the connection one-form $\omega$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}=-\omega^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} . \tag{10.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 10.6. Let $E$ be a vector bundle with inner product $g$ and let $\nabla$ be the covariant derivative associated with the orthonormal frame. Then $\nabla$ is a metric connection.

Proof. Since $g$ is bilinear, it suffices to show that

$$
\mathrm{d}\left[g\left(s, s^{\prime}\right)\right]=g\left(\nabla s, s^{\prime}\right)+g\left(s, \nabla s^{\prime}\right)
$$

for $s=f \hat{e}_{\alpha}$ and $s^{\prime}=f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\beta}$ where $f, f^{\prime} \in \mathcal{F}(M)$. In fact, the LHS is $\mathrm{d}\left[g\left(f \hat{e}_{\alpha}, f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)\right]=\mathrm{d}\left[f f^{\prime} \delta_{\alpha \beta}\right]=\mathrm{d}\left(f f^{\prime}\right) \delta_{\alpha \beta}$ while the RHS is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g\left(\nabla f \hat{e}_{\alpha}, f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)+g\left(f \hat{e}_{\alpha}, \nabla f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\beta}\right) \\
& \quad=g\left(\mathrm{~d} f \hat{e}_{\alpha}+f \hat{e}_{\gamma} \omega_{\alpha}^{\gamma}, f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)+g\left(f \hat{e}_{\alpha}, \mathrm{d} f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\beta}+f^{\prime} \hat{e}_{\gamma} \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta}\right) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{d} f f^{\prime} \delta_{\alpha \beta}+f f^{\prime} \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} \delta_{\gamma \beta}+f \mathrm{~d} f^{\prime} \delta_{\alpha \beta}+f f^{\prime} \omega^{\gamma}{ }_{\beta} \delta_{\alpha \gamma} \\
& \quad=\mathrm{d}\left(f f^{\prime}\right) \delta_{\alpha \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where (10.75) has been used to obtain the final equality.

### 10.4.5 Holomorphic vector bundles and Hermitian inner products

Definition 10.6. Let $E$ and $M$ be complex manifolds and $\pi: E \rightarrow M$ a holomorphic surjection. The manifold $E$ is a holomorphic vector bundle if the following axioms are fulfilled.
(i) The typical fibre is $\mathbb{C}^{k}$ and the structure group is $\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$.
(ii) The local trivialization $\phi_{i}: U_{i} \times \mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \pi^{-1}\left(U_{i}\right)$ is a biholomorphism.
(iii) The transition function $t_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow G=\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ is a holomorphic map.
For example, let $M$ be a complex manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=m$. The holomorphic tangent bundle $T M^{+} \equiv \bigcup_{p \in M} T_{p} M^{+}$is a holomorphic vector bundle. The typical fibre is $\mathbb{C}^{m}$ and the local basis is $\left\{\partial / \partial z^{\mu}\right\}$.

Let $h$ be an inner product on a holomorphic vector bundle whose action at $p \in M$ is $h_{p}: \pi^{-1}(p) \times \pi^{-1}(p) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$. The most natural inner product is a Hermitian structure which satisfies:
(i) $h_{p}(u, a v+b w)=a h_{p}(u, v)+b h_{p}(u, w)$, for $u, v, w \in \pi^{-1}(p), a, b \in \mathbb{C}$;
(ii) $h_{p}(u, v)=\overline{h_{p}(v, u)}, u, v \in \pi^{-1}(p)$;
(iii) $h_{p}(u, u) \geq 0, h_{p}(u, u)=0$ if and only if $u=\phi_{i}(p, 0)$; and
(iv) $h\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right) \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ for $s_{1}, s_{2} \in \Gamma(M, E)$.

A set of sections $\left\{\hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{k}\right\}$ is a unitary frame if

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\hat{e}_{i}, \hat{e}_{j}\right)=\delta_{i j} \tag{10.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

The unitary frame bundle $L M$ is not a holomorphic vector bundle since the structure group $\mathrm{U}(m)$ is not a complex manifold.

Given a Hermitian structure $h$, we define a connection which is compatible with $h$. The Hermitian connection $\nabla$ is a linear map $\Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E \otimes$ $T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ ) which satisfies:
(i) $\quad \nabla(f s)=(\mathrm{d} f) s+f \nabla s, f \in \mathcal{F}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}, s \in \Gamma(M, E)$;
(ii) $\mathrm{d}\left[h\left(s_{1}, s_{2}\right)\right]=h\left(\nabla s_{1}, s_{2}\right)+h\left(s_{1}, \nabla s_{2}\right)$; and
(iii) according to the destination, we separate the action of $\nabla$ as $\nabla s=\mathrm{D} s+\overline{\mathrm{D}} s$, $\mathrm{D} s(\overline{\mathrm{D}} s)$ being a ( 1,0 )-form ( $(0,1)$-form) valued section. We demand that $\overline{\mathrm{D}}=\overline{\mathrm{a}}$.

It can be shown that given $E$ and a Hermitian metric $h$, there exists a unique Hermitian connection $\nabla$. The curvature is defined from the Hermitian connection. Let $\left\{\hat{e}_{1}, \ldots, \hat{e}_{k}\right\}$ be a unitary frame and define the local connection form $\mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \hat{e}_{\alpha}=\hat{e}_{\beta} \mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} . \tag{10.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field strength is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A} \tag{10.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

We verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \nabla \hat{e}_{\alpha}=\nabla\left(\hat{e}_{\beta} \mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\right)=\hat{e}_{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} . \tag{10.79}
\end{equation*}
$$

We prove that both $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ are skew Hermitian:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}+\mathcal{A}^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} & =h\left(\nabla \hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)+h\left(\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \nabla \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{d} h\left(\hat{e}_{\alpha}, \hat{e}_{\beta}\right)=\mathrm{d} \delta_{\alpha \beta}=0 \\
\mathcal{F}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}+\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} & =\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}+\mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{A}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}+\mathrm{d} \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}+\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} \\
& =\mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}-\mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}\right)+\mathcal{A}^{\beta}{ }_{\gamma} \wedge \mathcal{A}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha}+\mathcal{A}^{\gamma}{ }_{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{A}^{\alpha}{ }_{\gamma}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus, we have shown that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}=-\overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha} \quad \mathcal{F}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}=-\overline{\mathcal{F}}{ }_{\beta} . \tag{10.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next we show that $\mathcal{F}$ is a $(1,1)$-form. Let $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ be a unitary frame. $\mathcal{F}$ cannot have a component of bidegree- $(0,2)$ since

$$
\hat{e}_{\beta} \mathcal{F}_{\alpha}^{\beta}=\nabla \nabla \hat{e}_{\alpha}=(\mathrm{D}+\bar{\partial})(\mathrm{D}+\bar{\partial}) \hat{e}_{\alpha}=\mathrm{DD} \hat{e}_{\alpha}+(\mathrm{D} \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \mathrm{D}) \hat{e}_{\alpha} .
$$

It follows from $\mathcal{F}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}=-\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta}$ that $\overline{\mathcal{F}}$ has no component of bidegree-( 0,2 ), and, hence, $\mathcal{F}$ has no component of bidegree- $(2,0)$ either. Thus $\mathcal{F}^{\beta}{ }_{\alpha}$ is a two-form of bidegree-( 1,1 ).

### 10.5 Gauge theories

As we have remarked several times, a gauge potential can be regarded as a local expression for a connection in a principal bundle. The Yang-Mills field strength is then identified with the local form of the curvature associated with the connection. We summarize here the relevant aspects of gauge theories from the geometrical viewpoint.

### 10.5.1 $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge theory

Maxwell's theory of electromagnetism is described by the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge group. $\mathrm{U}(1)$ is Abelian and one dimensional, hence we omit all the group indices $\alpha, \beta, \ldots$ and put the structure constants $f_{\alpha \beta}{ }^{\gamma}=0$. Suppose the base space $M$ is a fourdimensional Minkowski spacetime. From corollary 9.1, we find that the $U(1)$ bundle $P$ is trivial, namely $P=\mathbb{R}^{4} \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ and a single local trivialization over $M$ is required. The gauge potential is simply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{10.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ differs from the usual vector potential $A$ by the Lie algebra factor $\mathrm{i}: \mathcal{A}_{\mu}=\mathrm{i} A_{\mu}$. The field strength is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A} \tag{10.82a}
\end{equation*}
$$

In components, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial \mathcal{A}_{\nu} / \partial x^{\mu}-\partial \mathcal{A}_{\mu} / \partial x^{\nu} \tag{10.82b}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathcal{F}$ satisfies the Bianchi identity,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{F}=0 \tag{10.83a}
\end{equation*}
$$

This should be expected from the outset since $\mathcal{F}$ is exact, $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}$; and hence closed, $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d}^{2} \mathcal{A}=0$. In components, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda \mu}+\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}_{\nu \lambda}=0 \tag{10.83b}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we identify the components $\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \equiv \mathrm{i} F_{\mu \nu}$ with the electric field $\boldsymbol{E}$ and the magnetic field $\boldsymbol{B}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{i}=F_{i 0}, B_{i}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{i j k} F_{j k} \quad(i, j, k=1,2,3) \tag{10.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

(10.83b) reduces to two of Maxwell's equations,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \times \boldsymbol{E}+\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{B}}{\partial t}=0 \quad \nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{B}=0 \tag{10.83c}
\end{equation*}
$$

These equations are geometrical rather than dynamical. To find the dynamics, we have to specify the action. The Maxwell action $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{M}}[\mathcal{A}]$ is a functional of $\mathcal{A}$ and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{M}}[\mathcal{A}] \equiv \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}^{4} x=-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d}^{4} x \tag{10.85a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 10.12.

$$
\text { (a) Let } * \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \equiv \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{\kappa \lambda} \varepsilon_{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \text { be the dual of } \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \text {. Show that }
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{M}}[\mathcal{A}]=-\frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{4}} \mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F} \tag{10.85b}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Use (10.84) to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\boldsymbol{E}^{2}-\boldsymbol{B}^{2}\right) \tag{10.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F_{\mu \nu} * F^{\mu \nu}=\boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{E} . \tag{10.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

By the variation of $\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{M}}[\mathcal{A}]$ with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$, we obtain the equation of motion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}=0 \tag{10.88a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find this equation is reduced to the second set of Maxwell's equations (in the vacuum):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla \cdot \boldsymbol{E}=0 \quad \nabla \times \boldsymbol{B}-\frac{\partial \boldsymbol{E}}{\partial t}=0 \tag{10.88b}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.5.2 The Dirac magnetic monopole

We have studied Maxwell's theory of electromagnetism defined on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The triviality of the base space makes the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle trivial. Poincaré's lemma ensures that the field strength $\mathcal{F}$ is globally exact: $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}$. It is interesting to extend our analysis to $U(1)$ bundles over a non-trivial base space. We assume everything is independent of time for simplicity.

The Dirac monopole is defined in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ with the origin O removed. $\mathbb{R}^{3}-\{0\}$ and $S^{2}$ are of the same homotopy type and the relevant bundle is a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle $P\left(S^{2}, \mathrm{U}(1)\right) . S^{2}$ is covered by two charts

$$
U_{\mathrm{N}} \equiv\left\{(\theta, \phi) \left\lvert\, 0 \leq \theta \leq \frac{1}{2} \pi+\epsilon\right.\right\} \quad U_{\mathrm{S}} \equiv\left\{(\theta, \phi) \left\lvert\, \frac{1}{2} \pi-\epsilon \leq \theta \leq \pi\right.\right\}
$$

where $\theta$ and $\phi$ are polar coordinates. Let $\omega$ be an Ehresmann connection on $P$. Take a local section $\sigma_{\mathrm{N}}\left(\sigma_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ on $U_{\mathrm{N}}\left(U_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$ and define the local gauge potentials

$$
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{N}}^{*} \omega \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=\sigma_{\mathrm{S}}^{*} \omega
$$

We take $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}$ to be of the Wu-Yang form (section 1.9),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{i} g(1-\cos \theta) \mathrm{d} \phi \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=-\mathrm{i} g(1+\cos \theta) \mathrm{d} \phi \tag{10.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g$ is the strength of the monopole.
Let $t_{\mathrm{NS}}$ be the transition function defined on the equator $U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}} . t_{\mathrm{NS}}$ defines a map from $S^{1}$ (equator) to $\mathrm{U}(1)$ (structure group), which is classified by $\pi_{1}(U(1))=\mathbb{Z}$, see example 9.7. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
t_{\mathrm{NS}}(\phi)=\exp [\mathrm{i} \varphi(\phi)] \quad\left(\varphi: S^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}\right) \tag{10.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge potentials $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are related on $U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=t_{\mathrm{NS}}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}} t_{\mathrm{NS}}+t_{\mathrm{NS}}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{\mathrm{NS}}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}+\operatorname{id} \varphi \tag{10.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the gauge potentials (10.89), we find

$$
\mathrm{d} \varphi=-\mathrm{i}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)=2 g \mathrm{~d} \phi
$$

While $\phi$ runs from 0 to $2 \pi$ around the equator, $\varphi(\phi)$ takes the range

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi \equiv \int \mathrm{d} \varphi=\int_{0}^{2 \pi} 2 g \mathrm{~d} \phi=4 \pi g \tag{10.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $t_{\mathrm{NS}}$ to be defined uniquely, $\Delta \varphi$ must be a multiple of $2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \varphi / 2 \pi=2 g \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{10.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the quantization condition of the magnetic monopole. The integer $2 g$ represents the homotopy class to which this bundle belongs. This number is also obtained by considering $F_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $F_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{S}}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mathrm{N}}=\mathrm{i} F_{\mathrm{N}}\right.$ etc). The total flux $\Phi$ is

$$
\begin{align*}
\Phi & =\int_{S^{2}} \mathbf{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \mathbf{S}=\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~d} A_{\mathrm{N}}+\int_{U_{\mathrm{S}}} \mathrm{~d} A_{\mathrm{S}} \\
& =\int_{S^{1}} A_{\mathrm{N}}-\int_{S^{1}} A_{\mathrm{S}}=2 g \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi=4 \pi g . \tag{10.94}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the curvature, that is the pair of the field strengths $\mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathrm{d} A_{\mathrm{S}}$, characterizes the twisting of the bundle. We discuss this further in chapter 11.


Figure 10.4. The Aharonov-Bohm experiment. $\boldsymbol{B}=0$ outside the solenoid.

### 10.5.3 The Aharonov-Bohm effect

In the elementary study of electromagnetism, the electric and magnetic fields (that is $F_{\mu \nu}$ ) are of central interest. The vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$ and the scalar potential $\phi=A_{0}$ are considered to be of secondary importance. In quantum mechanics, however, there are a variety of situations in which $F_{\mu \nu}$ are not sufficient to describe the phenomena and the use of $A_{\mu}=\left(\boldsymbol{A}, A_{0}\right)$ is essential. One of these examples is the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

The Aharonov-Bohm ( AB ) experiment is schematically described in figure 10.4. A beam of electrons with charge $e$ is incoming from the far left and forms an interference pattern on the screen C. A solenoid of infinite length is placed in the middle of the beam. A shield $S$ prevents electrons from penetrating into the solenoid. Accordingly, the electrons do not feel the magnetic field at all. What about the gauge field $A_{\mu}$ ?

For simplicity, we make the radius of the solenoid infinitesimally small, keeping the total flux $\Phi=\int_{S} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}$ fixed. It is easy to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}(\boldsymbol{r})=\left(-\frac{y \Phi}{2 \pi r^{2}}, \frac{x \Phi}{2 \pi r^{2}}, 0\right) \quad A_{0}=0 \tag{10.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

satisfies $\int(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{S}=\Phi$ and $\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}=\mathbf{0}$ for $r \neq 0$. The vector potential does not vanish outside the solenoid. Classically, the solenoid cannot have any influence on electrons since the Lorentz force $e(\boldsymbol{v} \times \boldsymbol{B})$ vanishes on the path of the beam.

In quantum mechanics, the Hamiltonian $H$ of this system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}=-\frac{1}{2 m}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\mu}}-\mathrm{i} e A_{\mu}\right)^{2}+V(\boldsymbol{r}) \tag{10.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(\boldsymbol{r})$ represents the effect of the experimental apparatus. Semiclassically, we can distinguish between the paths $\gamma_{I}$ and $\gamma_{\text {II }}$ in figure 10.4. We write the wavefunction corresponding to $\gamma_{I}\left(\gamma_{\text {II }}\right)$ as $\psi_{\text {I }}\left(\psi_{\text {II }}\right)$ when $\boldsymbol{A}=0$. If $\boldsymbol{A} \neq 0$, the wavefunction is given by the gauge-transformed form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{i}^{\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{r}) \equiv \exp \left(\mathrm{i} e \int_{P}^{\boldsymbol{r}} \boldsymbol{A}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{i}(\boldsymbol{r}) \quad(i=\mathrm{I}, \mathrm{II}) \tag{10.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $P$ is a reference point far from the apparatus. Let us consider a superposition $\psi_{\mathrm{I}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}+\psi_{\mathrm{II}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}$ of wavefunctions $\psi_{\mathrm{I}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}$ and $\psi_{\mathrm{II}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}$ such that $\psi_{\mathrm{I}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}(P)=$ $\psi_{\text {II }}^{A}(P)$. Its amplitude at a point $Q$ on the screen is

$$
\begin{align*}
\psi_{\mathrm{I}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}(Q)+\psi_{\mathrm{II}}^{\boldsymbol{A}}(Q)= & \exp \left(\mathrm{i} e \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{I}}} \boldsymbol{A}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{I}}(Q) \\
& +\exp \left(\mathrm{i} e \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{II}}} \boldsymbol{A}\left(\boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{II}}(Q) \\
= & \exp \left(\mathrm{i} e \int_{\gamma_{\mathrm{II}}} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right)\left[\exp \left(\mathrm{i} e \oint_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}\right) \psi_{\mathrm{I}}(Q)+\psi_{\mathrm{II}}(Q)\right] \tag{10.98}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\gamma \equiv \gamma_{\text {I }}-\gamma_{\text {II }}$. It is evident that even though $\boldsymbol{B}=0$ at the points in space through which the electrons travel, the wavefunction depends on the vector potential $\boldsymbol{A}$. From Stokes' theorem, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\oint_{\gamma} \boldsymbol{A} \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{r}^{\prime}=\int_{S}(\nabla \times \boldsymbol{A}) \cdot \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{S}=\int_{S} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{S}=\Phi \tag{10.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $S$ is a surface bounded by $\gamma$. From this and (10.98), we find the interference pattern should be the same for two values of the fluxes $\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}$ if

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(\Phi_{\mathrm{a}}-\Phi_{\mathrm{b}}\right)=2 \pi n \quad n \in \mathbb{Z} \tag{10.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

What is the geometry underlying the Aharonov-Bohm effect? Since the problem is essentially two dimensional, we consider a region $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}-\{\mathbf{0}\}$, where the solenoid is assumed to be at the origin. The relevant bundles are the principal bundle $P(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$ and its associated bundle $E=P \times{ }_{\rho} \mathbb{C}$, where $\mathrm{U}(1)$ acts on $\mathbb{C}$ in an obvious way. The bundle $E$ is a complex line bundle over $M$, whose section is a wavefunction $\psi$.

Let us define a Lie-algebra-valued one-form $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{i} A=\mathrm{i} A_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$. The covariant derivative associated with this local connection is $\mathcal{D}=\mathrm{d}+\mathcal{A}$, where
$\mathcal{A}$ is given by (10.95). Since $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{F}=0$, this connection is locally flat. Let us consider the unit circle $S^{1}$ which encloses the solenoid at the origin. We parametrize $S^{1}$ as $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}(0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi)$ and write the connection on $S^{1}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{i} \frac{\Phi}{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta . \tag{10.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is obtained from (10.95) by putting $r=1$. We require that the wavefunction $\psi$ be parallel transported along $S^{1}$ with respect to this local connection, namely

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \psi(\theta)=\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\Phi}{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta\right) \psi(\theta)=0 \tag{10.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

The solution of (10.102) is easily found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(\theta)=\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \Phi \theta / 2 \pi} \tag{10.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking this section $\psi$ amounts to neglecting the velocity of the electrons. The holonomy $\Gamma$ : $\pi^{-1}(\theta=0) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\theta=2 \pi)=\pi^{-1}(\theta=0)$ is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma: \psi(0) \longmapsto \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \Phi} \psi(0) \tag{10.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

In an experiment, a toroidal permalloy $(20 \% \mathrm{Fe}$ and $80 \% \mathrm{Ni})$ has been used to eliminate the edge effects (Tonomura et al 1983). The dimensions of the permalloy are several microns and it is coated with gold to prevent electrons from penetrating into the magnetic field.

### 10.5.4 Yang-Mills theory

Let us consider $\operatorname{SU}(2)$ gauge theory defined on $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The bundle which describes this gauge theory is $P\left(\mathbb{R}^{4}, \mathrm{SU}(2)\right)$. Since $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ is contractible, there is just a single gauge potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=A_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \tag{10.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{\alpha} \equiv \sigma_{\alpha} / 2 \mathrm{i}$ generate the algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(2)$,

$$
\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right]=\epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} T_{\gamma}
$$

The field strength is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F} \equiv \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \tag{10.106a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}+\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right]=F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}  \tag{10.106b}\\
F_{\mu \nu}{ }^{\alpha}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu \alpha}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu \alpha}+\epsilon_{\alpha \beta \gamma} A_{\mu \beta} A_{\nu \gamma} \tag{10.106c}
\end{gather*}
$$

The Bianchi identity is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}+[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]=0 . \tag{10.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Yang-Mills action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{YM}}[\mathcal{A}] \equiv-\frac{1}{4} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F}) \tag{10.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

The variation with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}=0 \quad \text { or } \quad \mathcal{D} * \mathcal{F}=0 \tag{10.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.5.5 Instantons

A path integral is well defined only on a space with a Euclidean metric. To evaluate this integral, it is important to find the local minima of the Euclidean action and compute the quantum fluctuations around them. Let us consider the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge theory on a four-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{4}$. The local minima of this theory are known as instantons (or pseudoparticles, Belavin et al (1975)), see section 1.10. It is easy to verify that the Euclidean action is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{YM}}^{\mathrm{E}}[\mathcal{A}]=\frac{1}{4} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F}) \tag{10.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the Hodge $*$ is taken with respect to the Euclidean metric. As has been shown in section 1.10 the field strength corresponding to instantons is self-dual (anti-self-dual),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}= \pm * \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} . \tag{10.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action of a self-dual (anti-self-dual) field configuration is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}_{\mathrm{YM}}^{\mathrm{E}}[\mathcal{A}]=-\frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge * \mathcal{F})=\mp \frac{1}{2} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}) \tag{10.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us consider the topological properties of an instanton. We require that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow g(x)^{-1} \partial_{\mu} g(x) \quad \text { as }|x| \rightarrow L \tag{10.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the action to be finite, where $L$ is an arbitrary positive number. Since $|x|=L$ is the sphere $S^{3}$, (10.113) defines a map $g: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ which is classified by $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. How is this reflected upon the transition function? We compactify $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ by adding the infinity. We suppose the South Pole of $S^{4}$ represents the points at infinity and the North Pole the origin. Under this compactification, we separate $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ into two pieces and identify them with the southern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{S}}$ and the northern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ of $S^{4}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{\mathrm{N}} & =\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}| | x \mid \leq L+\varepsilon\right\}  \tag{10.114a}\\
U_{\mathrm{S}} & =\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{4}| | x \mid \geq L-\varepsilon\right\} \tag{10.114b}
\end{align*}
$$

see figure 10.5 . We assume there is no 'twist' of the gauge potential on $U_{\mathrm{S}}$ and choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}(x) \equiv 0 \quad x \in U_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{10.115}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 10.5. One-point compactification of $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ to $S^{4}$.

Then all the topological information about the bundle is contained in $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}(x)$ or the transition function $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(x)$ on the 'equator' $S^{3}\left(=U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}\right)$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=0$, we have, for $x \in U_{\mathrm{N}} \cap U_{\mathrm{S}}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=t_{\mathrm{NS}}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}} t_{\mathrm{NS}}+t_{\mathrm{NS}}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{\mathrm{NS}}=t_{\mathrm{NS}}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{\mathrm{NS}} \tag{10.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, $g(x)$ in (10.113) is identified with the transition function $t_{\mathrm{NS}}(x)$ and classifying the maps $g: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ amounts to classifying the transition functions according to $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))=\mathbb{Z}$; see example 9.11.

We now compute the degree of a map $g: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ following Coleman (1979). First note that $\mathrm{SU}(2) \simeq S^{3}$ since

$$
t^{4} I_{2}+t^{i} \sigma_{i} \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \leftrightarrow t^{2}+\left(t^{4}\right)^{2}=1 .
$$

Thus, maps $g: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ are classified according to $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \pi_{3}\left(S^{3}\right) \cong$ $\mathbb{Z}$. We easily find the following.
(a) The constant map

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{0}: x \in S^{3} \mapsto e \in \mathrm{SU}(2) \tag{10.117a}
\end{equation*}
$$

belongs to the class 0 (i.e. no winding) of $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$.
(b) The identity map (this is, in fact, the identity map $S^{3} \rightarrow S^{3}$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{1}: x \mapsto \frac{1}{r}\left[x^{4} I_{2}+x^{i} \sigma_{i}\right], r^{2}=x^{2}+\left(x^{4}\right)^{2} \tag{10.117b}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the class 1 of $\pi_{3}(S U(2))$. The explicit form of the gauge potential corresponding to this homotopy class is given in section 1.10.
(c) The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
g_{n} \equiv\left(g_{1}\right)^{n}: x \mapsto r^{-n}\left[x^{4} I_{2}+x^{i} \sigma_{i}\right]^{n} \tag{10.117c}
\end{equation*}
$$

defines the class $n$ of $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))$.
We recall that the strength (charge) of a magnetic monopole is given by the integral of the field strength $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}$ over the sphere $S^{2}$. We expect that a similar
relation exists for the instanton number. Since instantons are defined over $S^{4}$, we have to find a four-form to be integrated over $S^{4}$. A natural four-form is $\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}$. In the following, we shall omit the exterior product symbol when this does not cause confusion $\left(\mathcal{F}^{2}\right.$ stands for $\left.\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F}\right)$. Observe that $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}$ is closed,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2} & =\operatorname{tr}[\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{F}+\mathcal{F} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\{-[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}] \mathcal{F}-\mathcal{F}[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]\}=0 \tag{10.118}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the Bianchi identity $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}+[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]=0$. [Remarks: In the present case, (10.118) seems to be trivial since any four-form on $S^{4}$ is closed. Note, however, that (10.118) remains true even on higher-dimensional manifolds.] By Poincaré's lemma, the closed form $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}$ is locally exact,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=\mathrm{d} K \tag{10.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $K$ is a local three-form. Thus, $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}$ is an element of the de Rham cohomology group $H^{4}\left(S^{4}\right)$. Later $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}$ is identified with the second Chern character and $K$ its Chern-Simons form, see chapter 11.
Lemma 10.3. The three-form $K$ in (10.119) is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathcal{A} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}^{3}\right] . \tag{10.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. A straightforward computation yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} K= & \operatorname{tr}\left[(\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A})^{2}+\frac{2}{3}\left(\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}^{2}-\mathcal{A} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{2} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}\right)\right] \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}\right)\left(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{2}{3}\left\{\left(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}\right) \mathcal{A}^{2}-\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}\right) \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}\right)\right\}\right] \\
= & \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathcal{F}^{2}-\mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}-\mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}^{2}+\mathcal{A}^{4}+\frac{2}{3}\left(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}^{2}-\mathcal{A F} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{4}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the identity $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}=\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}$. Now we note that

$$
\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{4}=0 \quad \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}=-\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}=-\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}^{2}
$$

For example, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A F} \mathcal{A} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda \mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\kappa} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \\
& =-\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\kappa} \mathcal{F}_{\lambda \mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\kappa} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}=-\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the cyclicity of the trace and the anti-commutativity of $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ have been used. Then $\mathrm{d} K$ becomes

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} K & =\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathcal{F}^{2}-\mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}-\mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}^{2}+\frac{2}{3}\left\{\mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}^{2}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{F} \mathcal{A}^{2}+\mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}\right)+\mathcal{A}^{2} \mathcal{F}\right\}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

as has been claimed.
Lemma 10.4. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be the gauge potential of an instanton. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=-\frac{1}{3} \int_{S_{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3} \tag{10.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. From Stokes' theorem, we find that

$$
\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}}} \mathrm{~d} K=\int_{S^{3}} K
$$

where $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ is defined by (10.114) and $S^{3}=\partial U_{\mathrm{N}}$. Since $\mathcal{F}=0$ on $S^{3}$, we obtain

$$
K=\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathcal{A} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}^{3}\right]=\operatorname{tr}\left[\mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}\right)+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}^{3}\right]=-\frac{1}{3} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}
$$

on $S^{3}$, from which we find that

$$
\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=\int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=-\frac{1}{3} \int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}
$$

where we have added $\int_{U_{\mathrm{S}}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=0$ since $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}} \equiv 0$.
Note that $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}$ is invariant under the gauge transformation,

$$
\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2} \rightarrow \operatorname{tr}\left[g^{-1} \mathcal{F}^{2} g\right]=\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}
$$

Thus, it is reasonable to assume that $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}$ indeed contains a certain amount of topological information about the bundle, which is independent of particular connections. Let us consider the gauge fields (10.117a-c) given before. We find:
(a) For $g_{0}(x) \equiv e$, we have $\mathcal{A}=0$ on $S^{3}$. Since the bundle is trivial we may take $\mathcal{A}=0$ throughout $S^{4}$. Then $\mathcal{F}=0$, hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=-\frac{1}{3} \int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}=0 \tag{10.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that this relation is true for any gauge potential which is obtained from $\mathcal{A}=0$ by smooth gauge transformations, that is for any gauge potential of the form $\mathcal{A}(x)=g(x)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g(x), x \in S^{4}$.
(b) Next consider a gauge potential whose value on $S^{3}$ is given by (10.117b) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\frac{1}{r}\left(x^{4}-\mathrm{i} x^{k} \sigma_{k}\right) \mathrm{d}\left(\frac{1}{r}\left(x^{4}+\mathrm{i} x^{l} \sigma_{l}\right)\right) . \tag{10.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

A considerable simplification is achieved if we note that the integrand $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}$ should not depend on the point on $S^{3}$ at which it is evaluated since $g_{1}$ maps $S^{3}$ onto $\mathrm{SU}(2) \cong S^{3}$ in a uniform way. So we may evaluate it at the North Pole ( $x^{4}=1, \boldsymbol{x}=\mathbf{0}$ ) of the unit sphere. We then find $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{i} \sigma_{k} \mathrm{~d} x^{k}$ and

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3} & =\mathrm{i}^{3} \operatorname{tr}\left[\sigma_{i} \sigma_{j} \sigma_{k}\right] \mathrm{d} x^{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{k} \\
& =2 \varepsilon_{i j k} \mathrm{~d} x^{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{j} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{k}=12 \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3} \tag{10.124}
\end{align*}
$$

Next we note that $\left(x^{1}, x^{2}, x^{3}\right)$ is a good coordinate system on each hemisphere of $S^{3}$ and $\omega \equiv \mathrm{d} x^{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{3}$ is a volume element at the North Pole. We find

$$
\int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}=12 \int_{S^{3}} \omega=12\left(2 \pi^{2}\right)=24 \pi^{2}
$$

where $2 \pi^{2}$ is the area of the unit sphere $S^{3}$. We finally obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}=1 \tag{10.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c) Next we consider the map $g_{n}: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ given by (10.117c). We show that $g_{2}=g_{1} g_{1}$ has a winding number 2. We divide $S^{3}$ into the northern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{N}}^{(3)}$ and the southern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{S}}^{(3)}$. Given a map $g_{1}: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$, it is always possible to transform $g_{1}$ smoothly to $g_{1 \mathrm{~N}}$ which has the winding number one and $g_{1 \mathrm{~N}}(x)=e$ for $x \in U_{\mathrm{S}}^{(3)}$. All the variation takes place on $U_{\mathrm{N}}^{(3)}$. Similarly, $g_{1}$ may be deformed to $g_{1 \mathrm{~S}}$ with the same winding number and $g_{1 \mathrm{~S}}(x)=e$ for $x \in U_{\mathrm{N}}^{(3)}$. Under this deformation, $g_{2}$ becomes

$$
g_{2}(x) \rightarrow g_{2}^{\prime}(x)= \begin{cases}g_{1 \mathrm{~N}}(x) & x \in U_{\mathrm{N}}^{(3)} \\ g_{1 \mathrm{~S}}(x) & x \in U_{\mathrm{S}}^{(3)}\end{cases}
$$

For $\mathcal{A}(x)=g_{2}^{\prime}(x)^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{2}^{\prime}(x)\left(x \in S^{3}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{1}{24 \pi^{3}} \int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3} & =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}}\left(\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}}^{(3)}} \operatorname{tr}\left(g_{1 \mathrm{~N}}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{1 \mathrm{~N}}\right)^{3}+\int_{U_{\mathrm{S}}^{(3)}} \operatorname{tr}\left(g_{1 \mathrm{~S}}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{1 \mathrm{~S}}\right)^{3}\right) \\
& =1+1=2 \tag{10.126}
\end{align*}
$$

Repeating the same procedure we find for $\mathcal{A}(x)=g_{n}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g_{n}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}=\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{3}=n \tag{10.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Collecting these results we establish the following theorem.
Theorem 10.7. The degree of mapping $g: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
n=\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{3}=\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} . \tag{10.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 10.6 Berry's phase

In quantum mechanics, we define a wavefunction up to the phase. In most cases, the phase is neglected as an irrelevant factor. Berry (1984) pointed out that if the system undergoes an adiabatic change, the phase may have observable consequences.

### 10.6.1 Derivation of Berry's phase

Let $H(\boldsymbol{R})$ be a Hamiltonian which depends on some parameters collectively written as $\boldsymbol{R}$. Suppose $\boldsymbol{R}$ changes adiabatically as a function of time, $\boldsymbol{R}=\boldsymbol{R}(t)$. The Schrödinger equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\boldsymbol{R}(t))|\psi(t)\rangle=\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\psi(t)\rangle . \tag{10.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

We assume the system at $t=0$ is in the $n$th eigenstate, $|\psi(0)\rangle=|n, \boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\boldsymbol{R}(0))|n, \boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle=E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(0))|n, \boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle \tag{10.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

What about the state $|\psi(t)\rangle$ at later time $t>0$ ? We assume the system is always in the $n$th state, i.e. no level crossing takes place (adiabatic assumption).

Exercise 10.13. A naive guess of $|\psi(t)\rangle$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi(t)\rangle=\exp \left[-\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(s))\right]|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle \tag{10.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the normalized state $|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\boldsymbol{R}(t))|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle=E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(t))|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle \tag{10.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show that (10.131) is not a solution of (10.129).
Since (10.131) does not satisfy the Schrödinger equation, we have to try other possibilities. Let us introduce an extra-phase $\eta_{n}(t)$ in the wavefunction:

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\psi(t)\rangle=\exp \left[\mathrm{i} \eta(t)-\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{t} E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right]|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle \tag{10.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

Inserting (10.133) into the Schrödinger equation (10.129), we find

$$
H(\boldsymbol{R}(t))|\psi(t)\rangle=E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(t))|\psi(t)\rangle
$$

for the LHS (see (10.132)) and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\psi(t)\rangle= & {\left[-\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta_{n}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}+E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(t))\right]|\psi(t)\rangle } \\
& +\exp \left[\mathrm{i} \eta_{n}(t)-\mathrm{i} \int E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}(s)) \mathrm{d} s\right] \mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

for the RHS. Equating these, it is found that $\eta_{n}(t)$ satisifes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} \eta_{n}(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=\mathrm{i}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|n, \boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle . \tag{10.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

By integrating (10.134), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{n}(t) & =\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{t}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}|n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\mathrm{i} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}(0)}^{\boldsymbol{R}(t)}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}|n, \boldsymbol{R}\rangle \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{R} \tag{10.135}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}$ stands for the gradient in $\boldsymbol{R}$-space. Note that $\eta_{n}(t)$ is real since

$$
\begin{aligned}
2 \operatorname{Re} & \langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}|n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle \\
& =\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}|n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle+\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)|\right)|n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(s) \mid n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Suppose the system executes a closed loop in $\boldsymbol{R}$-space; $\boldsymbol{R}(0)=\boldsymbol{R}(T)$ for some $T>0$. We then have

$$
\begin{align*}
\eta_{n}(T) & =\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{T}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}|n, \boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle \mathrm{d} s \\
& =\mathrm{i} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}(0)}^{\boldsymbol{R}(T)}\langle n, \boldsymbol{R}| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}|n, \boldsymbol{R}\rangle \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{R} \tag{10.136}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\boldsymbol{R}(T)=\boldsymbol{R}(0)$, the last expression seems to vanish. However, the integrand is not necessarily a total derivative and $\eta_{n}(T)$ may fail to vanish. The phase $\eta_{n}(T)$ is called Berry's phase (Berry 1984).

It was Simon (1983) who first recognized the deep geometrical meaning underlying Berry's phase. He observed that the origin of Berry's phase is attributed to the holonomy in the parameter space. We shall work out this point of view following Berry (1984), Simon (1983), Aitchison (1987) and Zumino (1987).

### 10.6.2 Berry's phase, Berry's connection and Berry's curvature

Let $M$ be a manifold describing the parameter space and let $\boldsymbol{R}=\left(R_{1}, \ldots, R_{k}\right)$ be the local coordinate. At each point $\boldsymbol{R}$ of $M$, we consider the normalized $n$th eigenstate of the Hamiltonian $H(\boldsymbol{R})$. Since a quantum state $|n ; \boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ cannot be distinguished from $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi}|n ; \boldsymbol{R}\rangle$, a physical state is expressed by an equivalence class

$$
\begin{equation*}
[|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle] \equiv\{g|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \mid g \in \mathrm{U}(1)\} \tag{10.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we omit the index $n$ since we are interested only in the $n$th eigenvector (figure 10.6). At each point $\boldsymbol{R}$ of $M$, we have a $\mathrm{U}(\mathrm{l})$ degree of freedom and we have a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle $P(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$ over the parameter space $M$. The projection is given by $\pi(g|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle)=\boldsymbol{R}$.


Figure 10.6. The fibre of a quantum mechanical system which depends on adiabatic parameters $\boldsymbol{R}$.

Fixing the phase of $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ at each point $\boldsymbol{R} \in M$ amounts to choosing a section. Let $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})=|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ be a local section over a chart $U$ of $M$. The canonical local trivialization is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{-1}(|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle)=(\boldsymbol{R}, e) \tag{10.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

The 'right' action yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi^{-1}(|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \cdot g)=(\boldsymbol{R}, e) g=(\boldsymbol{R}, g) \tag{10.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now that the bundle structure is defined, we provide it with a connection. Let us define Berry's connection by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} R^{\mu} \equiv\langle\boldsymbol{R}|(\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle)=-(\mathrm{d}\langle\boldsymbol{R}|)|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \tag{10.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{d}=\left(\partial / \partial R^{\mu}\right) \mathrm{d} R^{\mu}$ is the exterior derivative in $\boldsymbol{R}$-space. Note that $\mathcal{A}$ is anti-Hermitian since

$$
0=\mathrm{d}(\langle\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{R}\rangle)=(\mathrm{d}\langle\boldsymbol{R}|)|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle+\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle=\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle^{*}+\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle .
$$

To see (10.140) is indeed a local form of a connection, we have to check the compatibility condition. Let $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ be overlapping charts of $M$ and let $\sigma_{i}(\boldsymbol{R})=|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{i}$ and $\sigma_{j}(\boldsymbol{R})=|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{j}$ be the respective local sections. They are related by the transition function as $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{j}=|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{i} t_{i j}(\boldsymbol{R})$. We then find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{j}(\boldsymbol{R}) & ={ }_{j}\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{j}=t_{i j}(\boldsymbol{R})^{-1}{ }_{i}\langle\boldsymbol{R}|\left[\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{i} t_{i j}(\boldsymbol{R})+|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \mathrm{d} t_{i j}(\boldsymbol{R})\right] \\
& =\mathcal{A}_{i}(\boldsymbol{R})+t_{i j}(\boldsymbol{R})^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}(\boldsymbol{R}) \tag{10.141}
\end{align*}
$$

The set of one-forms $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}$ satisfying (10.141) defines an Ehresmann connection on $P(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$.

The field strength $\mathcal{F}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is called Berry's curvature and is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}=(\mathrm{d}\langle\boldsymbol{R}|) \wedge(\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle)=\left(\frac{\partial\langle\boldsymbol{R}|}{\partial R^{\mu}}\right)\left(\frac{\partial|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle}{\partial R^{\nu}}\right) \mathrm{d} R^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} R^{\nu} . \tag{10.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

After an example from atomic physics, we shall clarify how this geometrical structure is reflected in Berry's phase.

Example 10.7. Let us consider a quantum mechanical system which contains 'fast' degrees of freedom $\boldsymbol{r}$ and 'slow' degrees of freedom $\boldsymbol{R}$. For example, we may imagine an electron moving under the potential of slowly vibrating ions. Suppose the Hamiltonian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\frac{\boldsymbol{p}^{2}}{2 m}+\frac{\boldsymbol{P}^{2}}{2 M}+V(\boldsymbol{r} ; \boldsymbol{R}) \tag{10.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{p}(\boldsymbol{P})$ is the momentum canonical conjugate to $\boldsymbol{r}(\boldsymbol{R})$. As a first approximation, we may consider the slow degrees of freedom are 'frozen' at some value $\boldsymbol{R}$ and consider an instantaneous sub-Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\boldsymbol{R})=\frac{\boldsymbol{p}^{2}}{2 m}+V(\boldsymbol{r} ; \boldsymbol{R}) \tag{10.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the eigenvalue problem

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle=\epsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \tag{10.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ stands for the $n$th eigenvector $|n ; \boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ of the 'fast' degrees of freedom. We assume that the eigenvalue is isolated and non-degenerate. Berry's connection is $\mathcal{A}(\boldsymbol{R})=\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$, while the curvature is $\mathcal{F}=(\mathrm{d}\langle\boldsymbol{R}|) \wedge(\mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle)$.

It is interesting to see how the fast degrees of freedom affect the slow degrees of freedom. We assume the total wavefunction is written in the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Psi(\boldsymbol{r} ; \boldsymbol{R})=\Phi(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \tag{10.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

and find the 'effective' Schrödinger equation which $\Phi(\boldsymbol{R})$, the wavefunction of the 'slow' degrees of freedom, satisfies. The eigenvalue problem of the Hamiltonian (10.143) is

$$
\begin{aligned}
H \Psi(\boldsymbol{r} ; \boldsymbol{R})= & -\frac{1}{2 M}\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{2} \Phi(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle+2 \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle+\Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{2}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle\right] \\
& -\Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) \frac{1}{2 m} \nabla_{\boldsymbol{r}}^{2}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle+\Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) V(\boldsymbol{r} ; \boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \\
= & E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) \Phi(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle .
\end{aligned}
$$

If we multiply $\langle\boldsymbol{R}|$ on the left and use the Schrödinger equation (10.145), this equation becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
-\frac{1}{2 M} & {\left[\nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}^{2} \Phi(\boldsymbol{R})+2 \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}} \Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) \cdot\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle+\Phi(\boldsymbol{R})\left(\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle\right)^{2}\right] } \\
& +\epsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) \Phi(\boldsymbol{R})=E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) \Phi(\boldsymbol{R}) \tag{10.147}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have employed the Born-Oppenheimer approximation, in which all the matrix elements except the diagonal ones are neglected,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle n ; \boldsymbol{R}| \nabla_{\boldsymbol{R}}\left|n^{\prime} ; \boldsymbol{R}\right\rangle=0 \quad n^{\prime} \neq n . \tag{10.148}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the effective Hamiltonian for $|\Phi(\boldsymbol{R})\rangle$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H_{\mathrm{eff}}(n) \equiv-\frac{1}{2 M}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial R^{\mu}}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{R})\right)^{2}+\varepsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) \tag{10.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ is a component of Berry's connection,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(\boldsymbol{R})=\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \frac{\partial}{\partial R^{\mu}}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle . \tag{10.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is remarkable that the fast degrees of freedom have induced a vector potential coupled to the slow degrees of freedom. Note also that the eigenvalue $\varepsilon_{n}(\boldsymbol{R})$ behaves as a potential energy in $H_{\text {eff }}$. This 'spontaneous creation' of the gauge symmetry reflects the phase degree of freedom of the wavefunction $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$.

The Schrödinger equation describing the adiabatic change is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H(\boldsymbol{R}(t))|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle=\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle \tag{10.151a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we note that $|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle$ has an explicit $t$-dependence as well as an implicit one through $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$. Berry assumes that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle=\exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{t} E_{n}(t) \mathrm{d} t\right) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \eta(t)}|\boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle \tag{10.152a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ is an instantaneous normalized eigenstate of $H(\boldsymbol{R})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle=E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \quad\langle\boldsymbol{R} \mid \boldsymbol{R}\rangle=1 \tag{10.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first exponential is the ordinary dynamical phase while the second one is Berry's phase. It is convenient for our purpose to define an operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv H(\boldsymbol{R})-E_{n}(\boldsymbol{R}) \tag{10.154}
\end{equation*}
$$

to dispose of the dynamical phase. The state $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ is the zero-energy eigenstate of $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R}): \mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle=0$. The solution of the modified Schrödinger equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R})|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle=\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle \tag{10.151b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{R}(t), t\rangle=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \eta(t)}|\boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle \tag{10.152b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We found in (10.136) that $\eta$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t)=\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \frac{\mathrm{~d} R^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} s}\langle\boldsymbol{R}(s)| \frac{\partial}{\partial R^{\mu}}|\boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle=\mathrm{i} \int_{\boldsymbol{R}(0)}^{\boldsymbol{R}(t)}\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle . \tag{10.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that Berry's phase is a holonomy associated with the connection (10.140) on $P(M, \mathrm{U}(1))$. Take a section $\sigma(\boldsymbol{R})=|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ over a chart $U$ of $M$. Let $\boldsymbol{R}:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a loop in $U .{ }^{2}$ We write a horizontal lift of $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$ with respect to the connection (10.140) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}(t)=\sigma(\boldsymbol{R}(t)) g(\boldsymbol{R}(t)) \tag{10.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\boldsymbol{R}(0))$ is taken to be the unit element of $\mathrm{U}(1)$. The group element $g(t)$ satisfies (10.13b),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\mathrm{d} g(t)}{\mathrm{d} t} g(t)^{-1}=-\mathcal{A}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)=-\langle\boldsymbol{R}(t)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle \tag{10.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(t)$ stands for $g(\boldsymbol{R}(t))$. From $g(t)=\exp (\mathrm{i} \eta(t))$, we obtain

$$
\mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta(t)}{\mathrm{d} t}=-\langle\boldsymbol{R}(t)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}|\boldsymbol{R}(t)\rangle
$$

which is easily integrated to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(1)=\mathrm{i} \int_{0}^{1}\langle\boldsymbol{R}(s)| \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} s}|\boldsymbol{R}(s)\rangle \mathrm{d} s=\mathrm{i} \oint\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle . \tag{10.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us note that $\boldsymbol{R}(0)=\boldsymbol{R}(1)$, hence $|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle=|\boldsymbol{R}(1)\rangle$. Then $\exp [i \eta(1)]$ is regarded as a holonomy (figure 10.7)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}(1)=\exp (-\oint\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle) \cdot|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle \tag{10.159a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 10.14. Let $S$ be a surface in $M$, which is bounded by the loop $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}(1)=\exp \left(-\oint_{S} \mathcal{F}\right) \cdot|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle \tag{10.159b}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is given by (10.142).
Example 10.8. Let us consider a spin- $\frac{1}{2}$ particle in a magnetic field with the Hamiltonian

$$
H(\boldsymbol{R})=\boldsymbol{R} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
R_{3} & R_{1}-\mathrm{i} R_{2}  \tag{10.160}\\
R_{1}+\mathrm{i} R_{2} & -R_{3}
\end{array}\right) .
$$
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Figure 10.7. If the parameter changes adiabatically along a loop $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$, the state with initial condition $|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle$ becomes $|\tilde{\boldsymbol{R}}(1)\rangle$ which is different from $|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle$ in general. The difference is the holonomy and is identified with Berry's phase.

The parameter $\boldsymbol{R}$ corresponds to the applied magnetic field. This is a two-level system taking eigenvalues $\pm|\boldsymbol{R}|$. Let us consider the eigenvalue $R=+|\boldsymbol{R}|$. According to the prescription just described, we introduce a Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R}) \equiv$ $H(\boldsymbol{R})-|\boldsymbol{R}|$ and consider the zero-energy eigenstate of $\mathcal{H}(\boldsymbol{R})$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{N}}=\left[2 R\left(R+R_{3}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}\binom{R+R_{3}}{R_{1}+\mathrm{i} R_{2}} \tag{10.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge potential is obtained after a straightforward but tedious calculation as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}={ }_{\mathrm{N}}\langle\boldsymbol{R}| \mathrm{d}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{N}}=-\mathrm{i} \frac{R_{2} \mathrm{~d} R_{1}-R_{1} \mathrm{~d} R_{2}}{2 R\left(R+R_{3}\right)} \tag{10.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

The field strength is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \frac{R_{1} \mathrm{~d} R_{2} \wedge \mathrm{~d} R_{3}+R_{2} \mathrm{~d} R_{3} \wedge \mathrm{~d} R_{1}+R_{3} \mathrm{~d} R_{1} \wedge \mathrm{~d} R_{2}}{R^{3}} \tag{10.163}
\end{equation*}
$$

So far we have assumed that the state $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle$ is isolated. However, this assumption breaks down if $\boldsymbol{R}=0$, in which case two eigenstates are degenerate. Surprisingly, this singularity behaves like a magnetic monopole in $\boldsymbol{R}$-space. To see this, we introduce polar coordinates $\theta$ and $\phi$ in $\boldsymbol{R}$-space,

$$
R_{1}=R \sin \theta \cos \phi \quad R_{2}=R \sin \theta \sin \phi \quad R_{3}=R \cos \theta
$$

The state (10.161) is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{N}}=\binom{\cos (\theta / 2)}{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \sin (\theta / 2)} \tag{10.164}
\end{equation*}
$$

This state is singular at $\theta=\pi$, reflecting that $|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{N}}$ is not defined for $R_{3}=-R$. Consider another eigenvector

$$
\begin{align*}
|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{S}} & \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \phi}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle_{\mathrm{N}}=\binom{\mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \phi} \cos (\theta / 2)}{\sin (\theta / 2)} \\
& =\left[2 R\left(R-R_{3}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2}\binom{R_{1}-\mathrm{i} R_{2}}{R-R_{3}} \tag{10.165}
\end{align*}
$$

with the same eigenvalue. This eigenvector is singular at $\theta=0$, that is at $R_{3}=R$. Corresponding to these vectors, we have Berry's gauge potentials in polar coordinates,

$$
\begin{array}{lr}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}(1-\cos \theta) \mathrm{d} \phi & \theta \neq \pi \\
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=-\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i}(1+\cos \theta) \mathrm{d} \phi & \theta \neq 0 \tag{10.166b}
\end{array}
$$

They are related by the gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}-\mathrm{id} \phi=\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}+\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \phi} \mathrm{de}^{-\mathrm{i} \phi} \tag{10.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $g(\pi / 2, \phi)=\exp (-\mathrm{i} \phi)$ is identified with the transition function $t_{\mathrm{NS}}$. Equation (10.166) is simply the vector potential of the Wu-Yang monopole of strength $-\frac{1}{2}$, see sections 1.9 and 10.5 . The total flux of the monopole is $\Phi=4 \pi\left(-\frac{1}{2}\right)=-2 \pi$.

The analogy between the present problem and the magnetic monopole is evident by now. If we fix the amplitude $R$ of the magnetic field, the restricted parameter space is $S^{2}$. At each point $\boldsymbol{R}$ of $S^{2}$, the state has a phase degree of freedom. Thus, we are dealing with a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle $P\left(S^{2}, \mathrm{U}(1)\right)$, which also describes a magnetic monopole. For each choice of the parameters $\boldsymbol{R}$, we have a fibre corresponding to the $n$th eigenstate $|n ; \boldsymbol{R}\rangle$. The fibre at $\boldsymbol{R}$ consists of the equivalence class $[|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle]$ defined by (10.137). The projection $\pi$ maps a state to the parameter on which it is defined: $\pi: \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \alpha}|\boldsymbol{R}\rangle \rightarrow \boldsymbol{R} \in S^{2}$. As we have seen, this bundle is non-trivial since it cannot be described by a single connection. The non-triviality of the bundle implies the existence of a monopole at the origin. Note that $\boldsymbol{R}=0$ (that is, $\boldsymbol{B}=0$ ) is a singular point at which all the eigenvalues are degenerate.

Next we turn to the problem of holonomy. Take a standard point $\boldsymbol{R}(0)$ on $S^{2}$ and choose a vector $|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle$. We choose a loop $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$ on $S^{2}$ and execute a parallel transportation of $|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle$ along $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$, after which it comes back as a vector $\exp [\operatorname{ii} \eta(1)]|\boldsymbol{R}(0)\rangle$. The additional phase $\eta$ represents the holonomy
$\pi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{R}) \rightarrow \pi^{-1}(\boldsymbol{R})$ and corresponds to Berry's phase. From (10.158), $\eta(1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(1)=\mathrm{i} \oint_{\boldsymbol{R}} \mathcal{A}=\mathrm{i} \int_{S} \mathcal{F} \tag{10.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}$ is the field strength and $S$ is the surface bounded by the loop $\boldsymbol{R}(t)$. It follows from (10.168) that Berry's phase $\eta(1)$ represents the 'magnetic flux' through the area $S$.

Exercise 10.15. Use (10.165) to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \frac{R_{2} \mathrm{~d} R_{1}-R_{1} \mathrm{~d} R_{2}}{R\left(R-R_{3}\right)} \tag{10.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

Show also that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d} \phi=-\frac{R_{2} \mathrm{~d} R_{1}-R_{1} \mathrm{~d} R_{2}}{\left(R+R_{3}\right)\left(R-R_{3}\right)} \tag{10.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\mathrm{d} \phi$ is singular at $R_{3}= \pm R$.

## Problems

10.1 Consider a two-dimensional plane $M$ with coordinate $\boldsymbol{R}$ and a wavefunction $\psi$ which depends on $\boldsymbol{R}$ adiabatically as $\psi=\psi(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{R})$. Let $\boldsymbol{R}:[0,1] \rightarrow M$ be a loop in $M$ and suppose $\psi(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{R}(1))=-\psi(\boldsymbol{r}, \boldsymbol{R}(0))$, that is the phase of $\psi$ changes by $\pi$ after an adiabatic change along the loop. Show that there is a point within the loop at which the adiabatic assumption breaks down. See LonguetHiggins (1975).

## 11

## CHARACTERISTIC CLASSES

Given a fibre $F$, a structure group $G$ and a base space $M$, we may construct many fibre bundles over $M$, depending on the choice of the transition functions. Natural questions we may ask ourselves are how many bundles there are over $M$ with given $F$ and $G$, and how much they differ from a trivial bundle $M \times F$. For example, we observed in section 10.5 that an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle over $S^{4}$ is classified by the homotopy group $\pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2)) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. The number $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ tells us how the transition functions twist the local pieces of the bundle when glued together. We have also observed that this homotopy group is evaluated by integrating $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2} \in H^{4}\left(S^{4}\right)$ over $S^{4}$, see theorem 10.7.

Characteristic classes are subsets of the cohomology classes of the base space and measure the non-triviality or twisting of a bundle. In this sense, they are obstructions which prevent a bundle from being a trivial bundle. Most of the characteristic classes are given by the de Rham cohomology classes. Besides their importance in classifications of fibre bundles, characteristic classes play central roles in index theorems.

Here we follow Alvalez-Gaumé and Ginsparg (1984), Eguchi et al (1980), Gilkey (1995) and Wells (1980). See Bott and Tu (1982), Milnor and Stasheff (1974) for more mathematical expositions.

### 11.1 Invariant polynomials and the Chern-Weil homomorphism

We give here a brief summary of the de Rham cohomology group (see chapter 6 for details). Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional manifold. An $r$-form $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)$ is closed if $\mathrm{d} \omega=0$ and exact if $\omega=\mathrm{d} \eta$ for some $\eta \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)$. The set of closed $r$ forms is denoted by $Z^{r}(M)$ and the set of exact $r$-forms by $B^{r}(M)$. Since $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$, it follows that $Z^{r}(M) \supset B^{r}(M)$. We define the $r$ th de Rham cohomology group $H^{r}(M)$ by

$$
H^{r}(M) \equiv Z^{r}(M) / B^{r}(M)
$$

In $H^{r}(M)$, two closed $r$-forms $\omega_{1}$ and $\omega_{2}$ are identified if $\omega_{1}-\omega_{2}=\mathrm{d} \eta$ for some $\eta \in \Omega^{r-1}(M)$. Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional manifold. The formal sum

$$
H^{*}(M) \equiv H^{0}(M) \oplus H^{1}(M) \oplus \cdots \oplus H^{m}(M)
$$

is the cohomology ring with the product $\wedge: H^{*}(M) \times H^{*}(M) \rightarrow H^{*}(M)$ induced by $\wedge: H^{p}(M) \times H^{q}(M) \rightarrow H^{p+q}(M)$. Let $f: M \rightarrow N$ be a
smooth map. The pullback $f^{*}: \Omega^{r}(N) \rightarrow \Omega^{r}(M)$ naturally induces a linear map $f^{*}: H^{r}(N) \rightarrow H^{r}(M)$ since $f^{*}$ commutes with the exterior derivative: $f^{*} \mathrm{~d} \omega=\mathrm{d} f^{*} \omega$. The pullback $f^{*}$ preserves the algebraic structure of the cohomology ring since $f^{*}(\omega \wedge \eta)=f^{*} \omega \wedge f^{*} \eta$.

### 11.1.1 Invariant polynomials

Let $M(k, \mathbb{C})$ be the set of complex $k \times k$ matrices. Let $S^{r}(M(k, \mathbb{C}))$ denote the vector space of symmetric $r$-linear $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions on $M(k, \mathbb{C})$. In other words, a map

$$
\tilde{P}: \stackrel{r}{\otimes} M(k, \mathbb{C}) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

is an element of $S^{r}(M(k, \mathbb{C}))$ if it satisfies, in addition to linearity in each entry, the symmetry

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{P}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{i}, \ldots, a_{j}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \\
& \quad=\tilde{P}\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{j}, \ldots, a_{i}, \ldots, a_{r}\right) \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq r \tag{11.1}
\end{align*}
$$

where $a_{p} \in \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$. Let

$$
S^{*}(M(k, \mathbb{C})) \equiv \oplus_{r=0}^{\infty} S^{r}(M(k, \mathbb{C}))
$$

denote the formal sum of symmetric multilinear $\mathbb{C}$-valued functions. We define a product of $\tilde{P} \in S^{p}(M(k, \mathbb{C}))$ and $\tilde{Q} \in S^{q}(M(k, \mathbb{C}))$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{P} \tilde{Q}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{p+q}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{(p+q)!} \sum_{P} \tilde{P}\left(X_{P(1)}, \ldots, X_{P(p)}\right) \tilde{Q}\left(X_{P(p+1)}, \ldots, X_{P(p+q)}\right) \tag{11.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $P$ is the permutation of $(1, \ldots, p+q) . S^{*}(M(k, \mathbb{C}))$ is an algebra with this multiplication.

Let $G$ be a matrix group and $\mathfrak{g}$ its Lie algebra. In practice, we take $G=\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C}), \mathrm{U}(k)$ or $\mathrm{SU}(k)$. The Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is a subspace of $M(k, \mathbb{C})$ and we may consider the restrictions $S^{r}(\mathfrak{g})$ and $S^{*}(\mathfrak{g}) \equiv \bigoplus_{r \geq 0} S^{r}(\mathfrak{g}) . \tilde{P} \in S^{r}(\mathfrak{g})$ is said to be invariant if, for any $g \in G$ and $A_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}, \tilde{P}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} A_{1}, \ldots, \operatorname{Ad}_{g} A_{r}\right)=\tilde{P}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right) \tag{11.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Ad}_{g} A_{i}=g^{-1} A_{i} g$. For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{P}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r}\right) & =\operatorname{str}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, \ldots, A_{r}\right) \\
& \equiv \frac{1}{r!} \sum_{P} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{P(1)}, A_{P(2)}, \ldots, A_{P(r)}\right) \tag{11.4}
\end{align*}
$$

is symmetric, $r$-linear and invariant, where 'str' stands for the symmetrized trace and is defined by the last equality. The set of $G$-invariant members of $S^{r}(\mathfrak{g})$ is denoted by $I^{r}(G)$. Note that $\mathfrak{g}_{1}=\mathfrak{g}_{2}$ does not necessarily imply $I^{r}\left(G_{1}\right)=$ $I^{r}\left(G_{2}\right)$. The product defined by (11.2) naturally induces a multiplication

$$
\begin{equation*}
I^{p}(G) \otimes I^{q}(G) \rightarrow I^{p+q}(G) \tag{11.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The sum $I^{*}(G) \equiv \bigotimes_{r \geq 0} I^{r}(G)$ is an algebra with this product.
Take $\tilde{P} \in I^{r}(G)$. The shorthand notation for the diagonal combination is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A) \equiv \tilde{P}(\underbrace{A, A, \ldots, A}_{r}) \quad A \in \mathfrak{g} . \tag{11.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Clearly, $P$ is a polynomial of degree $r$ and called an invariant polynomial. $P$ is also Ad $G$-invariant,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P\left(\operatorname{Ad}_{g} A\right)=P\left(g^{-1} A g\right)=P(A) \quad A \in \mathfrak{g}, g \in G \tag{11.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{r}\right)$ is an invariant polynomial obtained from (11.4). In general, an invariant polynomial may be written in terms of a sum of products of $P_{r} \equiv$ $\operatorname{tr}\left(A^{r}\right)$.

Conversely, any invariant polynomial $P$ defines an invariant and symmetric $r$-linear form $\tilde{P}$ by expanding $P\left(t_{1} A_{1}+\cdots+t_{r} A_{r}\right)$ as a polynomial in $t_{i}$. Then $1 / r!$ times the coefficient of $t_{1} t_{2} \cdots t_{r}$ is invariant and symmetric by construction and is called the polarization of $P$. Take $P(A) \equiv \operatorname{tr}\left(A^{3}\right)$, for example. Following the previous prescription, we expand $\operatorname{tr}\left(t_{1} A_{1}+t_{2} A_{2}+t_{3} A_{3}\right)^{3}$ in powers of $t_{1}, t_{2}$ and $t_{3}$. The coefficient of $t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}+A_{1} A_{3} A_{2}+A_{2} A_{1} A_{3}+A_{2} A_{3} A_{1}+A_{3} A_{1} A_{2}+A_{3} A_{2} A_{1}\right) \\
& \quad=3 \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}+A_{2} A_{1} A_{3}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the cyclicity of the trace has been used. The polarization is

$$
\tilde{P}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(A_{1} A_{2} A_{3}+A_{2} A_{1} A_{3}\right)=\operatorname{str}\left(A_{1}, A_{2}, A_{3}\right)
$$

In the previous chapter, we introduced the local gauge potential $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ and the field strength $\mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$ on a principal bundle. We have shown that these geometrical objects describe the associated vector bundles as well. Since the set of connections $\left\{\mathcal{A}_{i}\right\}$ describes the twisting of a fibre bundle, the non-triviality of a principal bundle is equally shared by its associated bundle. In fact, if (10.57) is employed as a definition of the local connection in a vector bundle, it can be defined even without reference to the principal bundle with which it is originally associated. Later, we encounter situations in which use of vector bundles is essential (the Whitney sum bundle, the splitting principle and so on).

Let $P(M, \mathbb{C})$ be a principal bundle. We extend the domain of invariant polynomials from $\mathfrak{g}$ to $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $p$-forms on $M$. For $A_{i} \eta_{i}\left(A_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}, \eta \in\right.$ $\left.\Omega^{p_{i}}(M) ; 1 \leq i \leq r\right)$, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{P}\left(A_{1} \eta_{1}, \ldots, A_{r} \eta_{r}\right) \equiv \eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{r} \tilde{P}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right) \tag{11.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, corresponding to (11.4), we have

$$
\operatorname{str}\left(A_{1} \eta_{1}, \ldots, A_{r} \eta_{r}\right)=\eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{r} \operatorname{str}\left(A_{1}, \ldots, A_{r}\right)
$$

The diagonal combination is

$$
\begin{equation*}
P(A \eta) \equiv \underbrace{\eta \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta}_{r} P(A) . \tag{11.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

The action $\tilde{P}$ or $P$ on general elements is given by the $r$-linearity. In particular, we are interested in the invariant polynomial of the form $P(\mathcal{F})$ in the following. The importance of invariant polynomials resides in the following fundamental theorem.

Theorem 11.1. (Chern-Weil theorem) Let $P$ be an invariant polynomial. Then $P(\mathcal{F})$ satisfies
(a) $\mathrm{d} P(\mathcal{F})=0$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be curvature two-forms corresponding to different connections $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Then the difference $P\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-P(\mathcal{F})$ is exact.

Proof. (a) It is sufficient to prove that $\mathrm{d} P(\mathcal{F})=0$ for an invariant polynomial $P_{r}(\mathcal{F})$ which is homogeneous of degree $r$, since any invariant polynomial can be decomposed into homogeneous polynomials. First consider the identity,

$$
\tilde{P}_{r}\left(g_{t}^{-1} X_{1} g_{t}, \ldots, g_{t}^{-1} X_{r} g_{t}\right)=\tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right)
$$

where $g_{t} \equiv \exp (t X)$ and $X, X_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}$. By putting $t=0$ after differentiation with respect to $t$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots,\left[X_{i}, X\right], \ldots, X_{r}\right)=0 \tag{11.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, let $A$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $p$-form and $\Omega_{i}$ be a $\mathfrak{g}$-valued $p_{i}$-form $(1 \leq i \leq r)$. Without loss of generality, we may take $A=X \eta$ and $\Omega_{i}=X_{i} \eta_{i}$ where $X, X_{i} \in \mathfrak{g}$ and $\eta\left(\eta_{i}\right)$ is a $p$-form ( $p_{i}$-form). Define

$$
\begin{align*}
{\left[\Omega_{i}, A\right] } & \equiv \eta_{i} \wedge \eta\left[X_{i}, X\right] \\
& =X_{i} X\left(\eta_{i} \wedge \eta\right)-(-1)^{p p_{i}} X X_{i}\left(\eta \wedge \eta_{i}\right) \tag{11.11}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\Omega_{1}, \ldots,\left[\Omega_{i}, A\right], \ldots, \Omega_{r}\right) \\
&= \eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{i} \wedge \eta \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{r} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i} X, \ldots, X_{r}\right) \\
&-(-1)^{p \cdot p_{i}} \eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta \wedge \eta_{i} \wedge \ldots \\
& \ldots \wedge \eta_{r} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X X_{i}, \ldots, X_{r}\right) \\
&= \eta \wedge \eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{r}(-1)^{p\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{i}\right)} \\
& \times \tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots,\left[X_{i}, X\right], \ldots, X_{r}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

From this and (11.10), we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{p\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{i}\right)} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\Omega_{1}, \ldots,\left[\Omega_{i}, A\right], \ldots, \Omega_{r}\right)=0 \tag{11.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, consider the derivative,

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \Omega_{r}\right)= & \mathrm{d}\left(\eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{r}\right) \tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{r}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{i-1}\right)}\left(\eta_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \eta_{i} \wedge \ldots \wedge \eta_{r}\right) \\
& \times \tilde{P}_{r}\left(X_{1}, \ldots, X_{i}, \ldots, X_{r}\right) \\
= & \sum_{i=1}^{r}(-1)^{\left(p_{1}+\cdots+p_{i-1}\right)} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\Omega_{1}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \Omega_{i}, \ldots, \Omega_{r}\right) \tag{11.13}
\end{align*}
$$

Let $A=\mathcal{A}$ and $\Omega_{i}=\mathcal{F}$ in (11.12) and (11.13) for which $p=1$ and $p_{i}=2$. By adding 0 of the form (11.12) to (11.13) we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} \tilde{P}_{r}(\mathcal{F}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}) \\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{r}\left[\tilde{P}_{r}(\mathcal{F}, \ldots, \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{F}, \ldots, \mathcal{F})+\tilde{P}_{r}(\mathcal{F}, \ldots,[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}], \ldots, \mathcal{F})\right] \\
&=\sum_{i=1}^{r} \tilde{P}_{r}(\mathcal{F}, \ldots, \mathcal{D F}, \ldots, \mathcal{F})=0 \tag{11.14}
\end{align*}
$$

since $\mathcal{D \mathcal { F }}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}+[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]=0$ (the Bianchi identity). We have proved

$$
\mathrm{d} P_{r}(\mathcal{F})=\mathrm{d} \tilde{P}_{r}(\mathcal{F}, \ldots, \mathcal{F})=0
$$

(b) Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be two connections on $E$ and let $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{F}^{\prime}$ be the respective field strengths. Define an interpolating gauge potential $\mathcal{A}_{t}$, by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{t} \equiv \mathcal{A}+t \theta \quad \theta \equiv\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}-\mathcal{A}\right) \quad 0 \leq t \leq 1 \tag{11.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. The corresponding field strength is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{t} \equiv \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}_{t}+\mathcal{A}_{t} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{t}=\mathcal{F}+t \mathcal{D} \theta+t^{2} \theta^{2} \tag{11.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D} \theta=\mathrm{d} \theta+[\mathcal{A}, \theta]=\mathrm{d} \theta+\mathcal{A} \wedge \theta+\theta \wedge \mathcal{A}$. We first note that

$$
\begin{align*}
P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-P_{r}(\mathcal{F}) & =P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}_{1}\right)-P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \\
& =r \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \mathcal{F}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.17}
\end{align*}
$$

From (11.16), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) & =r \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathcal{D} \theta+2 t \theta^{2}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \\
& =r \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathcal{D} \theta, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)+2 r t \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta^{2}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) . \tag{11.18}
\end{align*}
$$

Note also that

$$
\mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}_{t}=\mathrm{d} \mathfrak{F}_{t}+\left[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=-\left[\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]+\left[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=t\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}, \theta\right]
$$

where use has been made of the Bianchi identity $\mathcal{D}_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}_{t}+\left[\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right]=0$. $[\mathcal{D}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to $\mathcal{A}$ while $\mathcal{D}_{t}$ is that with respect to $\mathcal{A}_{t}$.] It then follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{d}\left[\tilde{P}_{r}\right. & \left.\left(\theta, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right] \\
& =\tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathrm{~d} \theta, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-(r-1) \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta, \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \\
& =\tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathcal{D} \theta, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-(r-1) \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta, \mathcal{D} \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \\
& =\tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathcal{D} \theta, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)-(r-1) t \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta,\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}, \theta\right], \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.19}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have added a 0 of the form (11.12) to change d to $\mathcal{D}$. If we take $\Omega_{1}=A=\theta, \Omega_{2}=\cdots=\Omega_{m}=\mathcal{F}_{t}$ in (11.12), we have

$$
2 \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta^{2}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)+(r-1) \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta,\left[\mathcal{F}_{t}, \theta\right], \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=0 .
$$

From (11.18), (11.19) and the previous identity, we obtain

$$
\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=r \mathrm{~d}\left[\tilde{P}_{r}\left(\theta, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right] .
$$

We finally find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-P_{r}(\mathcal{F})=\mathrm{d}\left[r \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}-\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t\right] \tag{11.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that $P_{r}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ differs from $P_{r}(\mathcal{F})$ by an exact form.

We define the transgression $T P_{r}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{A}\right)$ of $P_{r}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
T P_{r}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{A}\right) \equiv r \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \tilde{P}_{r}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}-\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{P}_{r}$ is the polarization of $P_{r}$. Transgressions will play an important role when we discuss Chern-Simons forms in section 11.5. Let $\operatorname{dim} M=m$. Since $P_{m}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)$ differs from $P_{m}(\mathcal{F})$ by an exact form, their integrals over a manifold $M$ without a boundary should be the same:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} P_{m}\left(\mathcal{F}^{\prime}\right)-\int_{M} P_{m}(\mathcal{F})=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} T P_{m}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{A}\right)=\int_{\partial M} P_{m}\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{A}\right)=0 \tag{11.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

As has been proved, an invariant polynomial is closed and, in general, nontrivial. Accordingly, it defines a cohomology class of $M$. Theorem 11.1(b) ensures that this cohomology class is independent of the gauge potential chosen. The cohomology class thus defined is called the characteristic class. The characteristic class defined by an invariant polynomial $P$ is denoted by $\chi_{E}(P)$ where $E$ is a fibre bundle on which connections and curvatures are defined. [Remark: Since a principal bundle and its associated bundles share the same gauge potentials and field strengths, the Chern-Weil theorem applies equally to both bundles. Accordingly, $E$ can be either a principal bundle or a vector bundle.]

Theorem 11.2. Let $P$ be an invariant polynomial in $I^{*}(G)$ and $E$ be a fibre bundle over $M$ with structure group $G$.
(a) The map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{E}: I^{*}(G) \rightarrow H^{*}(M) \tag{11.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

defined by $P \rightarrow \chi_{E}(P)$ is a homomorphism (Weil homomorphism).
(b) Let $f: N \rightarrow M$ be a differentiable map. For the pullback bundle $f^{*} E$ of $E$, we have the so-called naturality

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi_{f^{*} E}=f^{*} \chi_{E} \tag{11.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. (a) Take $P_{r} \in I^{r}(G)$ and $P_{s} \in I^{s}(G)$. If we write $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{r} P_{s}\right)(\mathcal{F})= & \mathcal{F}^{\alpha_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\alpha_{r}} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\beta_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\beta_{s}} \\
& \times \frac{1}{(r+s)!} \tilde{P}_{r}\left(T_{\alpha_{1}}, \ldots, T_{\alpha_{r}}\right) \tilde{P}_{n}\left(T_{\beta_{1}}, \ldots, T_{\beta_{s}}\right) \\
= & P_{r}(\mathcal{F}) \wedge P_{s}(\mathcal{F}) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then (a) follows since $P_{r}(\mathcal{F}), P_{s}(\mathcal{F}) \in H^{*}(M)$.
(b) Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a gauge potential of $E$ and $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A} \wedge \mathcal{A}$. It is easy to verify that the pullback $f^{*} \mathcal{A}$ is a connection in $f^{*} E$. In fact, let $\mathcal{A}_{i}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ be local connections in overlapping charts $U_{i}$ and $U_{j}$ of $M$. If $t_{i j}$ is a transition function
on $U_{i} \cap U_{j}$, the transition function on $f^{*} E$ is given by $f^{*} t_{i j}=t_{i j} \circ f$. The pullback $f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i}$ and $f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{j}$ are related as

$$
\begin{aligned}
f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{j} & =f^{*}\left(t_{i j}^{-1} \mathcal{A}_{i} t_{i j}+t_{i j}^{-1} \mathrm{~d} t_{i j}\right) \\
& =\left(f^{*} t_{i j}^{-1}\right)\left(f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i}\right)\left(f^{*} t_{i j}\right)+\left(f^{*} t_{i j}^{-1}\right)\left(\mathrm{d} f^{*} t_{i j}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that $f^{*} \mathcal{A}$ is, indeed, a local connection on $f^{*} E$. The corresponding field strength on $f^{*} E$ is

$$
\mathrm{d}\left(f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i}\right)+f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge f^{*} \mathcal{A}_{i}=f^{*}\left[\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}_{i}+\mathcal{A}_{i} \wedge \mathcal{A}_{i}\right]=f^{*} \mathcal{F}_{i}
$$

Hence, $f^{*} P\left(\mathcal{F}_{i}\right)=P\left(f^{*} \mathcal{F}_{i}\right)$, that is $f^{*} \chi_{E}(P)=\chi_{f^{*} E}(P)$.
Corollary 11.1. Characteristic classes of a trivial bundle are trivial.
Proof. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a trivial bundle. Since $E$ is trivial, there exists a map $f: M \rightarrow\{p\}$ such that $E=f^{*} E_{0}$ where $E_{0} \longrightarrow\{p\}$ is a bundle over a point $p$. All the de Rham cohomology groups of a point are trivial and so are the characteristic classes. Theorem 11.2(b) ensures that the characteristic classes $\chi_{E}$ $\left(=f^{*} \chi_{E_{0}}\right)$ of $E$ are also trivial.

### 11.2 Chern classes

### 11.2.1 Definitions

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a complex vector bundle whose fibre is $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. The structure group $G$ is a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$, and the gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$ and the field strength $\mathcal{F}$ take their values in $\mathfrak{g}$. Define the total Chern class by

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right) \tag{11.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathcal{F}$ is a two-form, $c(\mathcal{F})$ is a direct sum of forms of even degrees,

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(\mathcal{F})=1+c_{1}(\mathcal{F})+c_{2}(\mathcal{F})+\cdots \tag{11.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{j}(\mathcal{F}) \in \Omega^{2 j}(M)$ is called the $j$ th Chern class. In an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$, the Chern class $c_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ with $2 j>m$ vanishes trivially. Irrespective of $\operatorname{dim} M$, the series terminates at $c_{k}(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F} / 2 \pi)$ and $c_{j}(\mathcal{F})=0$ for $j>k$. Since $c_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed, it defines an element $\left[c_{j}(\mathcal{F})\right]$ of $H^{2 j}(M)$.
Example 11.1. Let $F$ be a complex vector bundle with fibre $\mathbb{C}^{2}$ over $M$, where $G=\mathrm{SU}(2)$ and $\operatorname{dim} M=4$. If we write the field $\mathcal{F}=\mathcal{F}^{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{\alpha} / 2 \mathrm{i}\right), \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}=$ $\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}{ }_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$, we have

$$
c(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{\alpha} / 2 \mathrm{i}\right)\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1+(\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)\left(\mathcal{F}^{3} / 2 \mathrm{i}\right) & (\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)\left(\mathcal{F}^{1}-\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}^{2}\right) / 2 \mathrm{i} \\
(\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)\left(\mathcal{F}^{1}+\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}^{2}\right) / 2 \mathrm{i} & 1-(\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)\left(\mathcal{F}^{3} / 2 \mathrm{i}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =1+\frac{1}{4}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}\left(\mathcal{F}^{3} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{3}+\mathcal{F}^{1} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{1}+\mathcal{F}^{2} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{2}\right) \tag{11.27}
\end{align*}
$$

Individual Chern classes are

$$
\begin{gather*}
c_{0}(\mathcal{F})=1 \\
c_{1}(\mathcal{F})=0  \tag{11.28}\\
c_{2}(\mathcal{F})=\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \sum \frac{\mathcal{F}^{\alpha} \wedge \mathcal{F}^{\alpha}}{4}=\operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right) .
\end{gather*}
$$

Higher Chern classes vanish identically.
For general fibre bundles, it is rather cumbersome to compute the Chern classes by expanding the determinant and it is desirable to find a formula which yields them more easily. This is done by diagonalizing the curvature form. The matrix form $\mathcal{F}$ is diagonalized by an appropriate matrix $g \in \operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ as $g^{-1}(\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F} / 2 \pi) g=\operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right)$, where $x_{i}$ is a two-form. This diagonal matrix will be denoted by $A$. For example, if $G=\mathrm{SU}(k)$, the generators are chosen to be anti-Hermitian and a Hermitian matrix iF $/ 2 \pi$ can be diagonalized by $g \in \operatorname{SU}(k)$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}(I+A)= & \operatorname{det}\left[\operatorname{diag}\left(1+x_{1}, 1+x_{2}, \ldots, 1+x_{k}\right)\right] \\
= & \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+x_{j}\right) \\
= & 1+\left(x_{1}+\cdots+x_{k}\right)+\left(x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+x_{k-1} x_{k}\right) \\
& +\cdots+\left(x_{1} x_{2}+\cdots+x_{k}\right) \\
= & 1+\operatorname{tr} A+\frac{1}{2}\left\{(\operatorname{tr} A)^{2}-\operatorname{tr} A^{2}\right\}+\cdots+\operatorname{det} A \tag{11.29}
\end{align*}
$$

Observe that each term of (11.29) is an elementary symmetric function of $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{0}\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv 1 \\
& S_{1}\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{k} x_{j} \\
& S_{2}\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv \sum_{i<j} x_{i} x_{j}  \tag{11.30}\\
& \vdots \\
& S_{k}\left(x_{j}\right) \equiv x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{k}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{det}(I+A)$ is an invariant polynomial, we have $P(\mathcal{F})=P\left(\mathrm{gF}^{-1}\right)=$ $P(2 \pi A / \mathrm{i})$, see (11.7). Accordingly, we have, for general $\mathcal{F}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
c_{0}(\mathcal{F}) & =1 \\
c_{1}(\mathcal{F}) & =\operatorname{tr} A=\operatorname{tr}\left(g \frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi} g^{-1}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} \\
c_{2}(\mathcal{F}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left[(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A})^{2}-\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{2}\right]=\frac{1}{2}(\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)^{2}[\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} \wedge \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}-\operatorname{tr}(\mathcal{F} \wedge \mathcal{F})]  \tag{11.31}\\
& \vdots \\
c_{k}(\mathcal{F}) & =\operatorname{det} A=(\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)^{k} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{F} .
\end{align*}
$$

Example 11.1 is easily verified from (11.31). [Note that the Pauli matrices (in general, any element of the Lie algebra $\mathfrak{s u}(n)$ of $\mathrm{SU}(n))$ are traceless, $\operatorname{tr} \sigma_{\alpha}=0$.]

### 11.2.2 Properties of Chern classes

We will deal with several vector bundles in the following. We often denote the Chern class of a vector bundle $E$ by $c(E)$. If the specification of the curvature is required, we write $c\left(\mathcal{F}_{E}\right)$.
Theorem 11.3. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle with $G=\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$ and $F=\mathbb{C}^{k}$.
(a) (Naturality) Let $f: N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(f^{*} E\right)=f^{*} c(E) \tag{11.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $F \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M$ be another vector bundle with $F=\mathbb{C}^{l}$ and $G=\mathrm{GL}(l, \mathbb{C})$. The total Chern class of a Whitney sum bundle $E \oplus F$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(E \oplus F)=c(E) \wedge c(F) \tag{11.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof.
(a) The naturality follows directly from theorem 11.2(a). Since the curvature of $f^{*} E$ is $\mathcal{F}_{f^{*} E}=f^{*} \mathcal{F}_{E}$, the total Chern class of $f^{*} E$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
c\left(f^{*} E\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \mathcal{F}_{f^{*} E}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} f^{*} \mathcal{F}_{E}\right) \\
& =f^{*} \operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \mathcal{F}_{E}\right)=f^{*} c(E)
\end{aligned}
$$

(b) Let us consider the Chern polynomial of a matrix

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
B & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)
$$

[Note that the curvature of a Whitney sum bundle is block diagonal: $\mathcal{F}_{E \oplus F}=$ $\operatorname{diag}\left(\mathcal{F}_{E}, \mathcal{F}_{F}\right)$.] We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i} A}{2 \pi}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I+\frac{\mathrm{i} B}{2 \pi} & 0 \\
0 & I+\frac{\mathrm{i} C}{2 \pi}
\end{array}\right) \\
& \quad=\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i} B}{2 \pi}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathrm{i} C}{2 \pi}\right)=c(B) c(C)
\end{aligned}
$$

This relation remains true when $B$ and $C$ are replaced by $\mathcal{F}_{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{F}$, namely

$$
c\left(\mathcal{F}_{E \oplus F}\right)=c\left(\mathcal{F}_{E}\right) \wedge c\left(\mathcal{F}_{F}\right)
$$

which proves (11.33).
Exercise 11.1. (a) Let $E$ be a trivial bundle. Use corollary 11.1 to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(E)=1 \tag{11.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $E$ be a vector bundle such that $E=E_{1} \oplus E_{2}$ where $E_{1}$ is a vector bundle of dimension $k_{1}$ and $E_{2}$ is a trivial vector bundle of dimension $k_{2}$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{i}(E)=0 \quad k_{1}+1 \leq i \leq k_{1}+k_{2} . \tag{11.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.2.3 Splitting principle

Let $E$ be a Whitney sum of $n$ complex line bundles,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E=L_{1} \oplus L_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{n} \tag{11.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (11.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(E)=c\left(L_{1}\right) c\left(L_{2}\right) \ldots c\left(L_{n}\right) \tag{11.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the product is the exterior product of differential forms. Since $c_{r}(L)=0$ for $r \geq 2$, we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
c\left(L_{i}\right)=1+c_{1}\left(L_{i}\right) \equiv 1+x_{i} . \tag{11.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then (11.37) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
c(E)=\prod_{i=1}^{n}\left(1+x_{i}\right) \tag{11.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Comparing this with (11.29), we find that the Chern class of an $n$-dimensional vector bundle $E$ is identical with that of the Whitney sum of $n$ complex line bundles. Although $E$ is not a Whitney sum of complex line bundles in general, as far as the Chern classes are concerned, we may pretend that this is the case. This is called the splitting principle and we accept this fact without proof. The general proof is found in Shanahan (1978) and Hirzebruch (1966), for example.

Intuitively speaking, if the curvature $\mathcal{F}$ is diagonalized, the complex vector space on which $g$ acts splits into $k$ independent pieces: $\mathbb{C}^{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{C} \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathbb{C}$. An eigenvalue $x_{i}$ is a curvature in each complex line bundle. Since diagonalizable matrices are dense in $M(n, \mathbb{C})$, any matrix may be approximated by a diagonal one as closely as we wish. Hence, the splitting principle applies to any matrix. As an exercise, the reader may prove (11.33) using the splitting principle.

### 11.2.4 Universal bundles and classifying spaces

By now the reader must have some acquaintance with characteristic classes. Before we close this section, we examine these from a slightly different point of view emphasizing their role in the classification of fibre bundles. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle with fibre $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. It is known that we can always find a bundle $\bar{E} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \oplus \bar{E} \cong M \times \mathbb{C}^{n} \tag{11.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $n \geq k$. The fibre $F_{p}$ of $E$ at $p \in M$ is a $k$-plane lying in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ be the Grassmann manifold defined in example 8.4. The manifold $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ is the set of $k$-planes in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Similarly to the canonical line bundle, we define the canonical $k$-plane bundle $L_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ over $G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ with the fibre $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Consider a map $f: M \rightarrow G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ which maps a point $p$ to the $k$-plane $F_{p}$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$.

Theorem 11.4. Let $M$ be a manifold with $\operatorname{dim} M=m$ and let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a complex vector bundle with the fibre $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Then there exists a natural number $N$ such that for $n>N$,
(a) there exists a map $f: M \rightarrow G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
E \cong f^{*} L_{k, n}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{11.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) $f^{*} L_{k, n}(\mathbb{C}) \cong g^{*} L_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ if and only if $f, g: M \rightarrow G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$ are homotopic.

The proof is found in Chern (1979). For example, if $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ is a complex line bundle, then there exists a bundle $\bar{E} \xrightarrow{\pi^{\prime}} M$ such that $E \oplus \bar{E} \cong M \times \mathbb{C}^{n}$ and a map $f: M \rightarrow G_{1, n}(\mathbb{C}) \cong \mathbb{C} P^{n-1}$ such that $E=f^{*} L, L$ being the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{n-1}$. Moreover, if $f \sim g$, then $f^{*} L$ is equivalent to $g^{*} L$. Theorem 11.4 shows that the classification of vector bundles reduces to that of the homotopy classes of the maps $M \rightarrow G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C})$.

It is convenient to define the classifying space $G_{k}(\mathbb{C})$. Regarding a $k$-plane in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ as that in $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$, we have natural inclusions.

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k, k}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow G_{k, k+1}(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow \cdots \hookrightarrow G_{k}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{11.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
G_{k}(\mathbb{C}) \equiv \bigcup_{n=k}^{\infty} G_{k, n}(\mathbb{C}) \tag{11.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly, we have the universal bundle $L_{k} \rightarrow G_{k}(\mathbb{C})$ whose fibre is $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. For any complex vector bundle $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ with fibre $\mathbb{C}^{k}$, there exists a map $f: M \rightarrow G_{k}(\mathbb{C})$ such that $E=f^{*} L_{k}(\mathbb{C})$.

Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle. A characteristic class $\chi$ is defined as a map $\chi: E \rightarrow \chi(E) \in H^{*}(M)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
\chi\left(f^{*} E\right) & =f^{*} \chi(E) \quad \text { (naturality) }  \tag{11.44a}\\
\chi(E) & =\chi\left(E^{\prime}\right) \quad \text { if } E \text { is equivalent to } E^{\prime} . \tag{11.44b}
\end{align*}
$$

The map $f^{*}$ on the LHS of (11.44a) is a pullback of the bundle while $f^{*}$ on the RHS is that of the cohomology class. Since the homotopy class $[f]$ of $f: M \rightarrow G_{k}(\mathbb{C})$ uniquely defines the pullback

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{*}: H^{*}\left(G_{k}\right) \rightarrow H^{*}(M) \tag{11.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

an element $\chi(E)=f^{*} \chi\left(G_{k}\right)$ proves to be useful in classifying complex vector bundles over $M$ with $\operatorname{dim} E=k$. For each choice of $\chi\left(G_{k}\right)$, there exists a characteristic class in $E$.

The Chern class $c(E)$ is also defined axiomatically by

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { (i) } & c\left(f^{*} E\right)=f^{*} c(E) \quad \text { (naturality) } \\
\text { (ii) } & c(E)=c_{0}(E) \oplus c_{1}(E) \oplus \cdots \oplus c_{k}(E) \\
& c_{i}(E) \in H^{2 i}(M) ; c_{i}(E)=0 \quad i>k \\
\text { (iii) } & c(E \oplus F)=c(E) c(E) \quad \text { (Whitney sum) } \\
\text { (iv) } & c(L)=1+x \quad \text { (normalization) } \tag{11.46d}
\end{array}
$$

$L$ being the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{n}$. It can be shown that these axioms uniquely define the Chern class as (11.25).

### 11.3 Chern characters

### 11.3.1 Definitions

Among the characteristic classes, the Chern characters are of special importance due to their appearance in the Atiyah-Singer index theorem. The total Chern character is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \operatorname{tr} \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)=\sum_{j=1} \frac{1}{j!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j} \tag{11.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $j$ th Chern character $\operatorname{ch}_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{j}(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \frac{1}{j!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j} \tag{11.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

lf $2 j>m=\operatorname{dim} M, \operatorname{ch}_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ vanishes, hence $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})$ is a polynomial of finite order. Let us diagonalize $\mathcal{F}$ as

$$
\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi} \rightarrow g^{-1}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right) g=A \equiv \operatorname{diag}\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}\right) \quad g \in \mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})
$$

The total Chern character is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}[\exp (A)]=\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(x_{j}\right) \tag{11.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

In terms of the elementary symmetric functions $S_{r}\left(x_{j}\right)$, the total Chern character becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\sum_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(x_{j}\right) & =\sum_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+x_{j}+\frac{1}{2!} x_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{3!} x_{j}^{3}+\cdots\right) \\
& =k+S_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)+\frac{1}{2!}\left[S_{1}\left(x_{j}\right)^{2}-2 S_{2}\left(x_{j}\right)\right]+\cdots \tag{11.50}
\end{align*}
$$

Accordingly, each Chern character is expressed in terms of the Chern classes as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{ch}_{0}(\mathcal{F})=k  \tag{11.51a}\\
& \operatorname{ch}_{1}(\mathcal{F})=c_{1}(\mathcal{F})  \tag{11.51b}\\
& \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{2}\left[c_{1}(\mathcal{F})^{2}-2 c_{2}(\mathcal{F})\right] \tag{11.51c}
\end{align*}
$$

where $k$ is the fibre dimension of the bundle.
Example 11.2. Let $P$ be a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle over $S^{2}$. If $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}$ are the local connections on $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $U_{\mathrm{S}}$ defined in section 10.5, the field strength is given by $\mathcal{F}_{i}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_{i}(i=\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{S})$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})=1+\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi} \tag{11.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have noted that $\mathcal{F}^{n}=0(n \geq 2)$ on $S^{2}$. This bundle describes the magnetic monopole. The magnetic charge $2 g$ given by (10.94) is an integer expressed in terms of the Chern character as

$$
\begin{equation*}
N=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} \mathcal{F}=\int_{S^{2}} \operatorname{ch}_{1}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{11.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $P$ be an $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ bundle over $S^{4}$. The total Chern class of $P$ is given by (11.27). The total Chern character is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})=2+\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)+\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} . \tag{11.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{Ch}(\mathcal{F})$ terminates at $\operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F})$ since $\mathcal{F}^{n}=0$ for $n \geq 3$. Moreover, $\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}=0$ for $G=\mathrm{SU}(2), n \geq 2$. As we found in section 10.5 , the instanton number is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{2} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2}=\int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{11.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

In both cases, $\mathrm{ch}_{j}$ measures how the bundle is twisted when local pieces are patched together.

Example 11.3. Let $P$ be a $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle over a $2 m$-dimensional manifold $M$. The $m$ th Chern character is

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} & =\frac{1}{m!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{m}\left[\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}\right]^{m} \\
& =\frac{1}{m!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4 \pi}\right)^{m} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{1} \nu_{1}} \ldots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{m} \nu_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{m}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\nu_{m}} \\
& =\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{4 \pi}\right)^{m} \epsilon^{\mu_{1} \nu_{1} \ldots \mu_{m} v_{m}} \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{1} \nu_{1}} \ldots \mathcal{F}_{\mu_{m} \nu_{m}} \mathrm{~d} x^{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{2 m}
\end{aligned}
$$

which describes the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ anomaly in $2 m$-dimensional space, see chapter 13 .
Example 11.4. Let $L$ be a complex line bundle. It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}(L)=\operatorname{tr} \exp \left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{x}=1+x \quad x \equiv \frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi} \tag{11.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, let $L \xrightarrow{\pi} \mathbb{C} P^{1}$ be the canonical line bundle over $\mathbb{C} P^{1}=S^{2}$. The Fubini-Study metric yields the curvature

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=-\partial \bar{\partial} \ln \left(1+|z|^{2}\right)=-\frac{\mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}}{(1+z \bar{z})^{2}} \tag{11.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

see example 8.8. In real coordinates $z=x+\mathrm{i} y=r \exp (\mathrm{i} \theta)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}=2 \mathrm{i} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y}{\left(1+x^{2}+y^{2}\right)^{2}}=2 \mathrm{i} \frac{r \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}} . \tag{11.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $\operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})=1+\operatorname{tr}(\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F} / 2 \pi)$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{1}(\mathcal{F})=-\frac{1}{\pi} \frac{r \mathrm{~d} r \wedge \mathrm{~d} \theta}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}} \tag{11.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(L)$, the integral of $\mathrm{ch}_{1}(\mathcal{F})$ over $S^{2}$ is an integer,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{Ch}_{1}(L)=-\frac{1}{\pi} \int \frac{r \mathrm{~d} r \mathrm{~d} \theta}{\left(1+r^{2}\right)^{2}}=-\int_{1}^{\infty} t^{-2} \mathrm{~d} t=-1 \tag{11.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.3.2 Properties of the Chern characters

Theorem 11.5. (a) (Naturality) Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle with $F=$ $\mathbb{C}^{k}$. Let $f: N \rightarrow M$ be a smooth map. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}\left(f^{*} E\right)=f^{*} \operatorname{ch}(E) \tag{11.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) Let $E$ and $F$ be vector bundles over a manifold $M$. The Chern characters of $E \otimes F$ and $E \oplus F$ are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{ch}(E \otimes F)=\operatorname{ch}(E) \wedge \operatorname{ch}(F)  \tag{11.62a}\\
& \operatorname{ch}(E \oplus F)=\operatorname{ch}(E) \oplus \operatorname{ch}(F) \tag{11.62b}
\end{align*}
$$

Proof. (a) follows from theorem 11.2(a).
(b) These results are immediate from the definition of the ch-polynomial. Let

$$
\operatorname{ch}(A)=\sum \frac{1}{j!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} A}{2 \pi}\right)^{j}
$$

be a polynomial of a matrix $A$. Suppose $A$ is a tensor product of $B$ and $C$, $A=B \otimes C=B \otimes I+I \otimes C$ (note that $\mathcal{F}_{E \otimes F}=\mathcal{F}_{E} \otimes I+I \otimes \mathcal{F}_{F}$ ). Then we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}(B \otimes C) & =\sum_{j} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j} \operatorname{tr}(B \otimes I+I \otimes C)^{j} \\
& =\sum_{j} \frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j} \sum_{m=1}^{j}\binom{j}{m} \operatorname{tr}\left(B^{m}\right) \operatorname{tr}\left(C^{j-m}\right) \\
& =\sum_{m} \frac{1}{m!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} B}{2 \pi}\right)^{m} \sum_{n} \frac{1}{n!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} C}{2 \pi}\right)^{n}=\operatorname{ch}(B) \operatorname{ch}(C) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (11.62a) is proved if $B$ is replaced by $\mathcal{F}_{E}$ and $C$ by $\mathcal{F}_{F}$.
If $A$ is block diagonal,

$$
A=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
B & 0 \\
0 & C
\end{array}\right)=B \oplus C
$$

we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ch}(B \oplus C) & =\sum \frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j} \operatorname{tr}(B \oplus C)^{j} \\
& =\sum \frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{j}\left[\operatorname{tr}\left(B^{j}\right)+\operatorname{tr}\left(C^{j}\right)\right]=\operatorname{ch}(B)+\operatorname{ch}(C)
\end{aligned}
$$

This relation remains true when $A, B$ and $C$ are replaced by $\mathcal{F}_{E \oplus F}, \mathcal{F}_{E}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{F}$ respectively.

Let us see how the splitting principle works in this case. Let $L_{j}(1 \leq j \leq k)$ be complex line bundles. From (11.62b) we have, for $E=L_{1} \oplus L_{2} \oplus \cdots \oplus L_{k}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}(E)=\operatorname{ch}\left(L_{1}\right) \oplus \operatorname{ch}\left(L_{2}\right) \oplus \cdots \oplus \operatorname{ch}\left(L_{k}\right) \tag{11.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\operatorname{ch}\left(L_{i}\right)=\exp \left(x_{i}\right)$, we find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}(E)=\prod_{j=1}^{k} \exp \left(x_{j}\right) \tag{11.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is simply (11.50). Hence, the Chern character of a general vector bundle $E$ is given by that of a Whitney sum of $k$ complex line bundles. The characteristic classes themselves cannot differentiate between two vector bundles of the same base space and the same fibre dimension. What is important is their integral over the base space.

### 11.3.3 Todd classes

Another useful characteristic class associated with a complex vector bundle is the Todd class defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Td}(\mathcal{F})=\prod_{j} \frac{x_{j}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-x_{j}}} \tag{11.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the splitting principle is understood. If expanded in powers of $x_{j}, \operatorname{Td}(\mathcal{F})$ becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Td}(\mathcal{F}) & =\prod_{j}\left(1+\frac{1}{2} x_{j}+\sum_{k \geq 1}(-1)^{k-1} \frac{B_{k}}{(2 k)!} x_{j}^{2 k}\right) \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j} x_{j}+\frac{1}{12} \sum_{j} x_{j}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sum_{j<k} x_{j} x_{k}+\cdots \\
& =1+\frac{1}{2} c_{1}(\mathcal{F})+\frac{1}{12}\left[c_{1}(\mathcal{F})^{2}+c_{2}(\mathcal{F})\right]+\cdots \tag{11.66}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $B_{k}$ are the Bernoulli numbers

$$
B_{1}=\frac{1}{6} \quad B_{2}=\frac{1}{30} \quad B_{3}=\frac{1}{42} \quad B_{4}=\frac{1}{30} \quad B_{5}=\frac{5}{66} \quad \ldots
$$

The first few terms of (11.66) are:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \operatorname{Td}_{0}(\mathcal{F})=1  \tag{11.67a}\\
& \operatorname{Td}_{1}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{2} c_{1}  \tag{11.67b}\\
& \mathrm{Td}_{2}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{12}\left(c_{1}^{2}+c_{2}\right)  \tag{11.67c}\\
& \mathrm{Td}_{3}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{24} c_{1} c_{2}  \tag{11.67d}\\
& \mathrm{Td}_{4}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{720}\left(-c_{1}^{4}+4 c_{1}^{2} c_{2}+3 c_{2}^{2}+c_{1} c_{3}-c_{4}\right)  \tag{11.67e}\\
& \mathrm{Td}_{5}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{1440}\left(-c_{1}^{3} c_{2}+3 c_{1} c_{2}^{2}+c_{1}^{2} c_{3}-c_{1} c_{4}\right) \tag{11.67f}
\end{align*}
$$

where $c_{i}$ stands for $c_{i}(\mathcal{F})$.
Exercise 11.2. Let $E$ and $F$ be complex vector bundles over $M$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Td}(E \oplus F)=\operatorname{Td}(E) \wedge \operatorname{Td}(F) \tag{11.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.4 Pontrjagin and Euler classes

In the present section we will be concerned with the characteristic classes associated with a real vector bundle.

### 11.4.1 Pontrjagin classes

Let $E$ be a real vector bundle over an $m$-dimensional manifold $M$ with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} E=$ $k$. If $E$ is endowed with the fibre metric, we may introduce orthonormal frames at each fibre. The structure group may be reduced to $\mathrm{O}(k)$ from $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$. Since the generators of $\mathfrak{o}(k)$ are skew symmetric, the field strength $\mathcal{F}$ of $E$ is also skew symmetric. A skew-symmetric matrix $A$ is not diagonalizable by an element of a subgroup of $\operatorname{GL}(k, \mathbb{R})$. It is, however, reducible to block diagonal form as

$$
\begin{align*}
A & \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & \lambda_{1} & & & 0 \\
-\lambda_{1} & 0 & & & \\
& & 0 & \lambda_{2} & \\
& & -\lambda_{2} & 0 & \\
0 & & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \\
& \rightarrow\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
\mathrm{i} \lambda_{1} & & & & \\
& -i \lambda_{1} & & 0 & \\
& & \mathrm{i} \lambda_{2} & & \\
& & & -\mathrm{i} \lambda_{2} & \\
& 0 & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \tag{11.69}
\end{align*}
$$

where the second diagonalization is achieved only by an element of $\mathrm{GL}(k, \mathbb{C})$. If $k$ is odd, the last diagonal element is set to zero. For example, the generator of $\mathfrak{o}(3)=\mathfrak{s o}(3)$ generating rotations around the $z$-axis is

$$
T_{z}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 1 & 0 \\
-1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The total Pontrjagin class is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\mathcal{F}) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right) . \tag{11.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the skew symmetry $\mathcal{F}^{\mathrm{t}}=-\mathcal{F}$, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathcal{F}^{t}}{2 \pi}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(I-\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right) .
$$

Therefore, $p(\mathcal{F})$ is an even function in $\mathcal{F}$. The expansion of $p(\mathcal{F})$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\mathcal{F})=1+p_{1}(\mathcal{F})+p_{2}(\mathcal{F})+\cdots \tag{11.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ is a polynomial of order $2 j$ and is an element of $H^{4 j}(M ; \mathbb{R})$. We note that $p_{j}(\mathcal{F})=0$ for either $2 j>k=\operatorname{dim} E$ or $4 j>\operatorname{dim} M .{ }^{1}$

Let us diagonalize $\mathcal{F} / 2 \pi$ as

$$
\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2 \pi} \rightarrow A \equiv\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
-\mathrm{i} x_{1} & & & &  \tag{11.72}\\
& \mathrm{i} x_{1} & & 0 & \\
& 0 & -\mathrm{i} x_{2} & & \\
& & & \mathrm{i} x_{2} & \\
& & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $x_{k} \equiv-\lambda_{k} / 2 \pi, \lambda_{k}$ being the eigenvalues of $\mathcal{F}$. The sign has been chosen to simplify the Euler class defined here. The generating function of $p(\mathcal{F})$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(\mathcal{F})=\operatorname{det}(I+A)=\prod_{i=1}^{[k / 2]}\left(1+x_{i}^{2}\right) \tag{11.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
[k / 2]=\rightarrow \begin{cases}k / 2 & \text { if } k \text { is even } \\ (k-1) / 2 & \text { if } k \text { is odd }\end{cases}
$$

In (11.73) only even powers appear, reflecting the skew symmetry. Each Pontrjagin class is computed from (11.73) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}(\mathcal{F})=\sum_{i_{1}<i_{2}<\ldots<i_{j}}^{[k / 2]} x_{i_{1}}^{2} x_{i_{2}}^{2} \ldots x_{i_{j}}^{2} \tag{11.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

To write $p_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ in terms of the curvature two-form $\mathcal{F} / 2 \pi$, we first note that

$$
\operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2 j}=\operatorname{tr} A^{2 j}=2(-1)^{j} \sum_{i=1}^{[k / 2]} x_{i}^{2 j}
$$

1 Although $p_{m}(\mathcal{F})=0, p_{m}(B)$ need not vanish for a matrix $B . p_{m}$ will be used to define the Euler
class later.

It then follows that

$$
\begin{align*}
p_{1}(\mathcal{F})= & \sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}  \tag{11.75a}\\
p_{2}(\mathcal{F})= & \sum_{i<j} x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2}=\frac{1}{2}\left[\left(\sum_{i} x_{i}^{2}\right)^{2}-\sum_{i} x_{i}^{4}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{8}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{4}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{4}\right]  \tag{11.75b}\\
p_{3}(\mathcal{F})= & \sum_{i<j<k} x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2} x_{k}^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{48}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{6}\left[-\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}\right)^{3}+6 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{4}-8 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{6}\right]  \tag{11.75c}\\
p_{4}(\mathcal{F})= & \sum_{i<j<k<l} x_{i}^{2} x_{j}^{2} x_{k}^{2} x_{l}^{2} \\
= & \frac{1}{384}\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{8}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}\right)^{4}-12\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{4}+32 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{6}\right. \\
& \left.+12\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{4}\right)^{2}-48 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{8}\right]  \tag{11.75d}\\
& \vdots \\
p_{[k / 2]}(\mathcal{F})= & x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2} \ldots x_{[k / 2]}^{2}=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{k} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{F}^{2} . \tag{11.75e}
\end{align*}
$$

The reader should verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(E \oplus F)=p(E) \wedge p(F) \tag{11.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to guess that the Pontrjagin classes are written in terms of Chern classes. Since Chern classes are defined only for complex vector bundles, we must complexify the fibre of $E$ so that complex numbers make sense. The resulting vector bundle is denoted by $E^{\mathbb{C}}$. Let $A$ be a skew-symmetric real matrix. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{det}(I+\mathrm{i} A) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
1+x_{1} & & & 0 & \\
& 1-x_{1} & & & \\
& & 1+x_{2} & & \\
& 0 & & 1-x_{2} & \\
& & & & \ddots
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\prod_{i=1}^{[k / 2]}\left(1-x_{i}^{2}\right)=1-p_{1}(A)+p_{2}(A)-\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

from which it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
p_{j}(E)=(-1)^{j} c_{2 j}\left(E^{\mathbb{C}}\right) . \tag{11.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 11.5. Let $M$ be a four-dimensional Riemannian manifold. When the orthonormal frame $\left\{\hat{e}_{\alpha}\right\}$ is employed, the structure group of the tangent bundle $T M$ may be reduced to $\mathrm{O}(4)$. Let $\mathcal{R}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta} \theta^{\alpha} \wedge \theta^{\beta}$ be the curvature two-form ( $\mathcal{R}$ should not be confused with the scalar curvature). For the tangent bundle, it is common to write $p(M)$ instead of $p(\mathcal{R})$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}\left(I+\frac{\mathcal{R}}{2 \pi}\right)=1-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2}+\frac{1}{128 \pi^{4}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{4}\right] \tag{11.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Each Pontrjagin class is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& p_{0}(M)=1  \tag{11.79a}\\
& p_{1}(M)=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2}=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{R}_{\beta \alpha}  \tag{11.79b}\\
& p_{2}(M)=\frac{1}{128 \pi^{4}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{4}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi}\right)^{4} \operatorname{det} \mathcal{R} \tag{11.79c}
\end{align*}
$$

Although $p_{2}(M)$ vanishes as a differential form, we need it in the next subsection to compute the Euler class.

### 11.4.2 Euler classes

Let $M$ be a $2 l$-dimensional orientable Riemannian manifold and let $T M$ be the tangent bundle of $M$. We denote the curvature by $\mathcal{R}$. It is always possible to reduce the structure group of $T M$ down to $\mathrm{SO}(2 l)$ by employing an orthonormal frame. The Euler class $e$ of $M$ is defined by the square root of the $4 l$-form $p_{l}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(A) e(A)=p_{l}(A) . \tag{11.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Both sides should be understood as functions of a $2 l \times 2 l$ matrix $A$ and not of the curvature $\mathcal{R}$, since $p_{1}(\mathcal{R})$ vanishes identically. However, $e(M) \equiv e(\mathcal{R})$ thus defined is a $2 l$-form and, indeed, gives a volume element of $M$. If $M$ is an odddimensional manifold we define $e(M)=0$, see later.
Example 11.6. Let $M=S^{2}$ and consider the tangent bundle $T S^{2}$. From example 7.14, we find the curvature two-form,

$$
\mathcal{R}_{\theta \phi}=-\mathcal{R}_{\phi \theta}=\sin ^{2} \theta \frac{\mathrm{~d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \phi}{\sin \theta}=\sin \theta \mathrm{d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \phi
$$

where we have noted that $g_{\theta \theta}=\sin ^{2} \theta$. Although $p_{1}\left(S^{2}\right)=0$ as a differential form, we compute it to find the Euler form. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
p_{1}\left(S^{2}\right) & =-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2}=-\frac{1}{8 \pi^{2}}\left[\mathcal{R}_{\theta \phi} \mathcal{R}_{\phi \theta}+\mathcal{R}_{\phi \theta} \mathcal{R}_{\theta \phi}\right] \\
& =\left(\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \phi\right)^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we read off

$$
\begin{equation*}
e\left(S^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sin \theta \mathrm{~d} \theta \wedge \mathrm{~d} \phi \tag{11.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is interesting to note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{S^{2}} e\left(S^{2}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \phi \int_{0}^{\pi} \mathrm{d} \theta \sin \theta=2 \tag{11.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the Euler characteristic of $S^{2}$, see section 2.4. This is not just a coincidence. Let us take another convincing example, a torus $T^{2}$. Since $T^{2}$ admits a flat connection, the curvature vanishes identically. It then follows that $e\left(T^{2}\right) \equiv 0$ and $\chi\left(T^{2}\right)=0$. These are special cases of the Gauss-Bonnet theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} e(M)=\chi(M) \tag{11.83}
\end{equation*}
$$

for a compact orientable manifold $M$. If $M$ is odd dimensional both $e$ and $\chi$ vanish, see (6.39).

In general, the determinant of a $2 l \times 2 l$ skew-symmetric matrix $A$ is a square of a polynomial called the $\operatorname{Pfaffian} \operatorname{Pf}(A),{ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{Pf}(A)^{2} \tag{11.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

We show that the Pfaffian is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}(A)=\frac{(-1)^{l}}{2^{l} l!} \sum_{P} \operatorname{sgn}(P) A_{P(1) P(2)} A_{P(3) P(4)} \ldots A_{P(2 l-1) P(2 l)} \tag{11.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the phase has been chosen for later convenience. We first note that a skewsymmetric matrix $A$ can be block diagonalized by an element of $\mathrm{O}(2 l)$ as

$$
S^{\mathrm{t}} A S=\Lambda=\left(\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \lambda_{1} & & & & &  \tag{11.86}\\
-\lambda_{1} & 0 & & & & 0 & \\
& & 0 & \lambda_{2} & & & \\
& & & -\lambda_{2} & 0 & & \\
& & & & \ddots & & \\
& 0 & & & & 0 & \lambda_{l} \\
& & & & & -\lambda_{l} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

It is easy to see that

$$
\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{det} \Lambda=\prod_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i}^{2}
$$

2 See proposition 1.3. The definition here differs in phase from that in section 1.5. It turns out to be convenient to choose the present phase convention in the definition of the Euler class.

To compute $\operatorname{Pf}(\Lambda)$, we note that the non-vanishing terms in (11.85) are of the form $A_{12} A_{34} \ldots A_{2 l-1,2 l}$. Moreover, there are $2^{l}$ ways of changing the suffices as $A_{i j} \rightarrow A_{j i}$, such as

$$
A_{12} A_{34} \ldots A_{2 l-1,2 l} \rightarrow A_{21} A_{34} \ldots A_{2 l-1,2 l}
$$

and $l$ ! permutations of the pairs of indices, for example,

$$
A_{12} A_{34} \ldots A_{2 l-1,2 l} \rightarrow A_{34} A_{12} \ldots A_{2 l-1,2 l}
$$

Hence, we have

$$
\operatorname{Pf}(\Lambda)=(-1)^{l} A_{12} A_{34} \ldots A_{2 l-1,2 l}=(-1)^{l} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i}
$$

Thus, we conclude that a block diagonal matrix $\Lambda$ satisfies

$$
\operatorname{det} \Lambda=\operatorname{Pf}(\Lambda)^{2}
$$

To show that (11.84) is true for any skew-symmetric matrices (not necessarily block diagonal) we use the following lemma, ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pf}\left(X^{\mathrm{t}} A X\right)=\operatorname{Pf}(A) \operatorname{det} X \tag{11.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $S^{\mathrm{t}} A S=\Lambda$ for $S \in \mathrm{O}(2 l)$, we have $A=S \Lambda S^{\mathrm{t}}$, hence

$$
\operatorname{Pf}\left(S \Lambda S^{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\operatorname{Pf}(\Lambda) \operatorname{det} S=(-1)^{l} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \lambda_{i} \operatorname{det} S
$$

We finally find $\operatorname{det} A=\operatorname{Pf}(A)^{2}$ for a skew-symmetric matrix $A$.
Note that $\operatorname{Pf}(A)$ is $\mathrm{SO}(2 l)$ invariant but changes sign under an improper rotation $S(\operatorname{det} S=-1)$ of $\mathrm{O}(2 l)$.

Exercise 11.3. Show that the determinant of an odd-dimensional skew-symmetric matrix vanishes. This is why we put $e(M)=0$ for an odd-dimensional manifold.

The Euler class is defined in terms of the curvature $\mathcal{R}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
e(M) & =\operatorname{Pf}(\mathcal{R} / 2 \pi) \\
& =\frac{(-1)^{l}}{(4 \pi)^{l} l!} \sum_{P} \operatorname{sgn}(P) \mathcal{R}_{P(1) P(2)} \ldots \mathcal{R}_{P(2 l-1) P(2 l)} . \tag{11.88}
\end{align*}
$$

[^8]The generating function is obtained by taking $x_{j}=-\lambda_{i} / 2 \pi$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(x)=x_{1} x_{2} \ldots x_{l}=\prod_{i=1}^{l} x_{i} \tag{11.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

The phase $(-1)^{l}$ has been chosen to simplify the RHS.
Example 11.7. Let $M$ be a four-dimensional orientable manifold. The structure group of $T M$ is $\mathrm{SO}(4)$, see example 11.5. The Euler class is obtained from (11.88) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
e(M)=\frac{1}{2(4 \pi)^{2}} \epsilon^{i j k l} \mathcal{R}_{i j} \wedge \mathcal{R}_{k l} \tag{11.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is in agreement with the result of example 11.5. The relevant Pontrjagin class is

$$
p_{2}(M)=\frac{1}{128 \pi^{4}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{4}\right]=x_{1}^{2} x_{2}^{2}
$$

Since $e(M)=x_{1} x_{2}$, we have $p_{2}(M)=e(M) \wedge e(M)$. This is written as a matrix identity,

$$
\frac{1}{128 \pi^{4}}\left[\left(\operatorname{tr} A^{2}\right)^{2}-2 \operatorname{tr} A^{4}\right]=\left(\frac{1}{2(4 \pi)^{4}} \epsilon^{i j k l} A_{i j} A_{k l}\right)^{2}
$$

### 11.4.3 Hirzebruch $L$-polynomial and $\hat{A}$-genus

The Hirzebruch $L$-polynomial is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
L(x) & =\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{x_{j}}{\tanh x_{j}} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{n-1} \frac{2^{2 n}}{(2 n)!} B_{n} x_{j}^{2 n}\right) \tag{11.91}
\end{align*}
$$

where the $B_{n}$ are Bernoulli numbers, see (11.66). The function $L(x)$ is even in $x_{j}$ and can be written in terms of the Pontrjagin classes,

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(\mathcal{F})=1+\frac{1}{3} p_{1}+\frac{1}{45}\left(-p_{1}^{2}+7 p_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{945}\left(2 p_{1}^{3}-13 p_{1} p_{2}+62 p_{3}\right)+\cdots \tag{11.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $p_{j}$ stands for $p_{j}(\mathcal{F})$. From the splitting principle, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(E \oplus F)=L(E) \wedge L(F) \tag{11.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

The $\hat{\boldsymbol{A}}(\boldsymbol{A}$-roof) genus $\hat{A}(\mathcal{F})$ is defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}(\mathcal{F}) & =\prod_{j=1}^{k} \frac{x_{j} / 2}{\sinh \left(x_{j} / 2\right)} \\
& =\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(1+\sum_{n \geq 1}(-1)^{n} \frac{\left(2^{2 n}-2\right)}{(2 n)!} B_{n} x_{j}^{2 n}\right) \tag{11.94}
\end{align*}
$$

This is an even function of $x_{j}$ and can be expanded in $p_{j} . \hat{A}$ is also called the Dirac genus by physicists. It satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}(E \oplus F)=\hat{A}(E) \wedge \hat{A}(F) \tag{11.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\hat{A}$ is written in terms of the Pontrjagin classes as

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{A}(\mathcal{F})= & 1-\frac{1}{24} p_{1}+\frac{1}{5760}\left(7 p_{1}^{2}-4 p_{2}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{967680}\left(-31 p_{1}^{3}+44 p_{1} p_{2}-16 p_{3}\right)+\cdots \tag{11.96}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 11.8. Let $M$ be a compact connected and orientable four-dimensional manifold. Let us consider the symmetric bilinear form $\sigma: H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{R}) \times$ $H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma([\alpha],[\beta])=\int_{M} \alpha \wedge \beta \tag{11.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\sigma$ is a $b^{2} \times b^{2}$ symmetric matrix where $b^{2}=\operatorname{dim} H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ is the Betti number. Clearly $\sigma$ is non-degenerate since $\sigma([\alpha],[\beta])=0$ for any $[\alpha] \in H^{2}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ implies $[\beta]=0$. Let $p(q)$ be the number of positive (negative) eigenvalues of $\sigma$. The Hirzebruch signature of $M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M) \equiv p-q . \tag{11.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to the Hirzebruch signature theorem (see section 12.5), this number is also given in terms of the $L$-polynomial as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M)=\int_{M} L_{1}(M)=\frac{1}{3} \int_{M} p_{1}(M) . \tag{11.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.5 Chern-Simons forms

### 11.5.1 Definition

Let $P_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ be an arbitrary $2 j$-form characteristic class. Since $P_{j}(\mathcal{F})$ is closed, it can be written locally as an exact form by Poincaré's lemma. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{j}(\mathcal{F})=\mathrm{d} Q_{2 j-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \tag{11.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $Q_{2 j-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \in \mathfrak{g} \otimes \Omega^{2 j-1}(M)$. [Warning: This cannot be true globally. If $P_{j}=\mathrm{d} Q_{2 j-1}$ globally on a manifold $M$ without boundary, we would have

$$
\int_{M} P_{m / 2}=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} Q_{m-1}=\int_{\partial M} Q_{m-1}=0
$$

where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$.] The $2 j-1$ from $Q_{2 j-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ is called the Chern-Simons form of $P_{j}(\mathcal{F})$. From the proof of theorem 11.2(b), we find that $Q$ is given by the transgression of $P_{j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 j-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=T P_{j}(\mathcal{A}, 0)=j \int_{0}^{1} \tilde{P}_{j}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}, \ldots, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \mathrm{d} t \tag{11.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\tilde{P}_{j}$ is the polarization of $P_{j}, \mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{2}$ and we set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\mathcal{F}^{\prime}=0$. Since $Q_{2 j-1}$ depends on $\mathcal{F}$ and $\mathcal{A}$, we explicitly quote the $\mathcal{A}$-dependence. Of course, $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ can be put equal to zero only on a local chart over which the bundle is trivial.

Suppose $M$ is an even-dimensional manifold ( $\operatorname{dim} M=m=2 l$ ) such that $\partial M \neq \emptyset$. Then it follows from Stokes' theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{M} P_{l}(\mathcal{F})=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} Q_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=\int_{\partial M} Q_{m-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \tag{11.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LHS takes its value in integers, and so does the RHS. Thus $Q_{m-1}$ is a characteristic class in its own right and it describes the topology of the boundary $\partial M$.

### 11.5.2 The Chern-Simons form of the Chern character

As an example, let us work out the Chern-Simons form of a Chern character $\operatorname{ch}_{j}(\mathcal{F})$. The connection $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ which interpolates between 0 and $\mathcal{A}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{t}=t \mathcal{A} \tag{11.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

the corresponding curvature being

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{F}_{t}=t \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+t^{2} \mathcal{A}^{2}=t \mathcal{F}+\left(t^{2}-t\right) \mathcal{A}^{2} \tag{11.104}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find from (11.21) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 j-1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{(j-1)!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \operatorname{str}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{j-1}\right) \tag{11.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example,

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) & =\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}  \tag{11.106a}\\
Q_{3}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) & =\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \operatorname{str}\left(\mathcal{A}, t \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+t^{2} \mathcal{A}^{2}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}^{3}\right)  \tag{11.106b}\\
Q_{5}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \operatorname{str}\left[\mathcal{A},\left(t \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+t^{2} \mathcal{A}^{2}\right)^{2}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} \operatorname{tr}\left[\mathcal{A}(\mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A})^{2}+\frac{3}{2} \mathcal{A}^{3} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+\frac{3}{5} \mathcal{A}^{5}\right] \tag{11.106c}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 11.4. Let $\mathcal{F}$ be the field strength of the $\mathrm{SU}(2)$ gauge theory. Write down the component expression of the identity $\mathrm{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F})=\mathrm{d} Q_{3}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ to verify that (cf lemma 10.3)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{tr}\left[\epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa \lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right]=\partial_{\kappa}\left[2 \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)\right] . \tag{11.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.5.3 Cartan's homotopy operator and applications

For later purposes, we define Cartan's homotopy formula following Zumino (1985) and Alvarez-Gaumé and Ginsparg (1985). Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{t}=\mathcal{A}_{0}+t\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \quad \mathcal{F}_{t}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}_{t}+\mathcal{A}_{t}^{2} \tag{11.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

as before. Define an operator $l_{t}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{t} \mathcal{A}_{t}=0 \quad l_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}=\delta t\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \tag{11.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

We require that $l_{t}$ be an anti-derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
l_{t}\left(\eta_{p} \omega_{q}\right)=\left(l_{t} \eta_{p}\right) \omega_{q}+(-1)^{p} \eta_{p}\left(l_{t} \omega_{q}\right) \tag{11.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\eta_{p} \in \Omega^{p}(M)$ and $\omega_{q} \in \Omega^{q}(M)$. We verify that

$$
\left(\mathrm{d} l_{t}+l_{t} \mathrm{~d}\right) \mathcal{A}_{t}=l_{t}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}-\mathcal{A}_{t}^{2}\right)=\delta t\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)=\delta t \frac{\partial \mathcal{A}_{t}}{\partial t}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\mathrm{d} l_{t}+l_{t} \mathrm{~d}\right) \mathcal{F}_{t} & =\mathrm{d}\left[\delta t\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)\right]+l_{t}\left[\mathcal{D}_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}-\mathcal{A}_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}+\mathcal{F}_{t} \mathcal{A}_{t}\right] \\
& =\delta t\left[\mathrm{~d}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)+\mathcal{A}_{t}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)+\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right) \mathcal{A}_{t}\right] \\
& =\delta t \mathcal{D}_{t}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}-\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)=\delta t \frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{t}}{\partial t}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have used the Bianchi identity $\mathcal{D}_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}=0$. This shows that for any polynomial $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}$, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathrm{d} l_{t}+l_{t} \mathrm{~d}\right) S\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\delta t \frac{\partial}{\partial t} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the RHS, $S$ should be a polynomial of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ only and not of d $\mathcal{A}$ or $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}$ : if $S$ does contain them, $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}$ should be replaced by $\mathcal{F}-\mathcal{A}^{2}$ and $\mathrm{d} \mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{D F}-[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]=-[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}]$. Integrating (11.111) over $[0,1]$, we obtain Cartan's homotopy formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
S\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)-S\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)=\left(\mathrm{d} k_{01}+k_{01} \mathrm{~d}\right) S\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the homotopy operator $k_{01}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
k_{01} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \equiv \int_{0}^{1} \delta t l_{t} S\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

To operate $k_{01}$ on $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$, we first replace $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{F}$ by $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t}$, respectively, then operate $l_{t}$ on $S\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$ and integrate over $t$.

Example 11.9. Let us compute the Chern-Simons form of the Chern character using the homotopy formula. Let $S(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=\operatorname{ch}_{j+1}(\mathcal{F})$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}_{0}=0$. Since $\mathrm{dch}_{j+1}(\mathcal{F})=0$, we have

$$
\operatorname{ch}_{j+1}(\mathcal{F})=\left(\mathrm{d} k_{01}+k_{01} \mathrm{~d}\right) \mathrm{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=\mathrm{d}\left[k_{01} \mathrm{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)\right]
$$

Thus, $k_{01} \mathrm{ch}_{j+1}(\mathcal{F})$ is identified with the Chern-Simons form $Q_{2 j+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$. We find that

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{01} \operatorname{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right) & =\frac{1}{(j+1)!} k_{01} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j+1} \\
& =\frac{1}{(j+1)!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j+1} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t l_{t} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{j+1}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j+1} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t \operatorname{str}\left(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{j}\right) \tag{11.114}
\end{align*}
$$

in agreement with (11.105).
Although a characteristic class is gauge invariant, the Chern-Simons form need not be so. As an application of Cartan's homotopy formula, we compute the change in $Q_{2 j+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})$ under $\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}^{g}=g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}+\mathrm{d}) g, \mathcal{F} \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^{g}=g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g$. Consider the interpolating families $\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}$ and $\mathcal{F}_{t}^{g}$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g} & \equiv t g^{-1} \mathcal{A} g+g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g  \tag{11.115a}\\
\mathcal{F}_{t}^{g} & \equiv \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}+\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}\right)^{2}=g^{-1} \mathcal{F}_{t} g \tag{11.115b}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}_{t} \equiv t \mathcal{F}+\left(t^{2}-t\right) \mathcal{A}^{2}$. Note that $\mathcal{A}_{0}^{g}=g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g, \mathcal{A}_{1}^{g}=\mathcal{A}^{g}, \mathcal{F}_{0}^{g}=0$ and $\mathcal{F}_{1}^{g}=\mathcal{F}^{g}$. Equation (11.112) yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g}, \mathcal{F}^{g}\right)-Q_{2 j+1}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g, 0\right)=\left(\mathrm{d} k_{01}+k_{01} \mathrm{~d}\right) Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}, \mathfrak{F}_{t}^{g}\right) \tag{11.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, let $Q_{2 j+1}$ be the Chern-Simons form of the Chern character $\operatorname{ch}_{j+1}(\mathcal{F})$. Since $\mathrm{d} Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{g}\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{g}\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
k_{01} \mathrm{~d} Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}, \mathfrak{F}_{t}^{g}\right) & =k_{01} \operatorname{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{g}\right) \\
& =k_{01} \operatorname{ch}_{j+1}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}\right)=Q_{2 j+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}) \tag{11.117}
\end{align*}
$$

where the result of example 11.9 has been used to obtain the final equality. Collecting these results, we write (11.116) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g}, \mathcal{F}^{g}\right)-Q_{2 j+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=Q_{2 j+1}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g, 0\right)+\mathrm{d} \alpha_{2 j} \tag{11.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\alpha_{2 j}$ is a $2 j$-form defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2 j}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}, v) & \equiv k_{01} Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}^{g}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{g}\right) \\
& =k_{01} Q_{2 j+1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}+v, \mathcal{F}_{t}\right) \tag{11.119}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v \equiv \mathrm{~d} g \cdot g^{-1}$. [Note that $Q_{2 j+1}(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=Q_{2 j+1}\left(g \mathcal{A} g^{-1}, g \mathcal{F} g^{-1}\right)$.] The first term on the RHS of (11.118) is

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{2 j+1}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g, 0\right) & =\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j+1} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t \operatorname{tr}\left[g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\left\{\left(t^{2}-t\right)\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{2}\right\}^{j}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{j!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j+1} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{2 j+1}\right] \int_{0}^{1} \delta t\left(t^{2}-t\right)^{j} \\
& =(-1)^{j} \frac{j!}{(2 j+1)!}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{j+1} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{2 j+1}\right] \tag{11.120}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have noted that $\mathcal{F}_{t}=\left(t^{2}-t\right)\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{2}$ and

$$
\int_{0}^{1} \delta t\left(t^{2}-t\right)^{j}=(-1)^{j} B(j+1, j+1)=(-1)^{j} \frac{(j!)^{2}}{(2 j+1)!}
$$

$B$ being the beta function. The $2 j+1$ form $Q_{2 j+1}(g \mathrm{~d} g, 0)$ is closed and, hence, locally exact: $\mathrm{d} Q_{2 j+1}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g, 0\right)=\operatorname{ch}_{j+1}(0)=0$.

As for $\alpha_{2 j}$ we have, for example,

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha_{2} & =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} l_{t} \operatorname{tr}\left[\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}+v\right) \mathcal{F}_{t}-\frac{1}{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}+v\right)^{3}\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t \operatorname{tr}\left(-t \mathcal{A}^{2}-v \mathcal{A}\right) \\
& =-\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr}(v \mathcal{A}) \tag{11.121}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have noted that

$$
\operatorname{tr} \mathcal{A}^{2}=\mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)=-\mathrm{d} x^{\nu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\nu} \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)=0
$$

Example 11.10. In three-dimensional spacetime, a gauge theory may have a gauge-invariant mass term given by the Chern-Simons three-form (Jackiw and Templeton 1981, Deser et al 1982a, b). Since the Chern-Simons form changes by a locally exact form under a gauge transformation, the action remains invariant. We restrict ourselves to the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ gauge theory for simplicity. Consider the Lagrangian (we put $\mathcal{A}=\mathrm{i} A, \mathcal{F}=\mathrm{i} F$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=-\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu \nu} F^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{4} m \epsilon^{\lambda \mu \nu} F_{\lambda \mu} A_{\nu} \tag{11.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F_{\mu \nu}=\partial_{\mu} A_{\nu}-\partial_{\nu} A_{\mu}$. Note that the second term is the Chern-Simons form of the second Chern character $F^{2}$ (modulo a constant factor) of the $\mathrm{U}(1)$ bundle. The field equation is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} F^{\mu \nu}+m * F^{\nu}=0 \tag{11.123}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
* F^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon^{\mu \kappa \lambda} F_{\kappa \lambda} \quad F^{\mu \nu}=\epsilon^{\mu \nu \lambda} * F_{\lambda}
$$

The Bianchi identity

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} * F^{\mu}=0 \tag{11.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

follows from (11.123) as a consequence of the skew symmetry of $F^{\mu \nu}$. It is easy to verify that the field equation is invariant under a gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{\mu} \rightarrow A_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu} \theta \tag{11.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the Lagrangian changes by a total derivative,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \rightarrow-\frac{1}{4} F^{\mu \nu} F_{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{4} m \epsilon^{\lambda \mu \nu} F_{\lambda \mu}\left(A_{\nu}+\partial_{\nu} \theta\right)=\mathcal{L}+\frac{1}{2} m \partial_{\nu}\left(* F^{\nu} \theta\right) \tag{11.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (11.106b) shows that the last term on the RHS is identified with

$$
Q_{3}\left(A^{\theta}, F^{\theta}\right)-Q_{3}(A, F) \sim(A+\mathrm{d} \theta) \mathrm{d} A-A \mathrm{~d} A \sim \mathrm{~d}(\theta \mathrm{~d} A)
$$

If we assume that $F$ falls off at large spacetime distances, this term does not contribute to the action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \mathcal{L}+\frac{m}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{3} x \partial_{v}\left(* F^{v} \theta\right)=\int \mathrm{d}^{3} x \mathcal{L} \tag{11.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us show that (11.122) describes a massive field. We first write (11.123) as

$$
\epsilon^{\mu \nu \alpha} \partial_{\mu} * F_{\alpha}=-m * F^{\nu}
$$

Multiplying $\varepsilon_{\kappa \lambda \nu}$ on both sides, we have

$$
\partial_{\lambda} * F_{\kappa}-\partial_{\kappa} * F_{\lambda}=-m F_{\kappa \lambda}
$$

Taking the $\partial^{\lambda}$-derivative and using (11.124), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\partial^{\lambda} \partial_{\lambda}+m^{2}\right) * F_{\kappa}=0 \tag{11.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

which shows that $* F_{\kappa}$ is a massive vector field of mass $m$.

### 11.6 Stiefel-Whitney classes

The last example of the characteristic classes is the Stiefel-Whitney class. In contrast to the rest of the characteristic classes, the Stiefel-Whitney class cannot be expressed in terms of the curvature of the bundle. The Stiefel-Whitney class is important in physics since it tells us whether a manifold admits a spin or not. Let us start with a brief review of a spin bundle.

### 11.6.1 Spin bundles

Let $T M \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a tangent bundle with $\operatorname{dim} M=m$. The bundle $T M$ is assumed to have a fibre metric and the structure group $G$ is taken to be $\mathrm{O}(m)$. If, furthermore, $M$ is orientable, $G$ can be reduced down to $\mathrm{SO}(m)$. Let $L M$ be the frame bundle associated with $T M$. Let $t_{i j}$ be the transition function of $L M$ which satisfies the consistency condition (9.6)

$$
t_{i j} t_{j k} t_{k i}=I \quad t_{i i}=I .
$$

A spin structure on $M$ is defined by the transition function $\tilde{t}_{i j} \in \operatorname{SPIN}(m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\tilde{t}_{i j}\right)=t_{i j} \quad \tilde{t}_{i j} \tilde{t}_{j k} \tilde{t}_{k i}=I \quad \tilde{t}_{i i}=I \tag{11.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ is the double covering $\operatorname{SPIN}(m) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(m)$. The set of $\tilde{t}_{i j}$ defines a spin bundle $P S(M)$ over $M$ and $M$ is said to admit a spin structure (of course, $M$ may admit many spin structures depending on the choice of $\tilde{t}_{i j}$ ).

It is interesting to note that not all manifolds admit spin structures. Nonadmittance of spin structures is measured by the second Stiefel-Whitney class which takes values in the Čech cohomology group $H^{2}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.

### 11.6.2 Čech cohomology groups

Let $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$ be the multiplicative group $\{-1,+1\}$. A Čech $r$-cochain is a function $f\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, \ldots, i_{r}\right) \in \mathbb{Z}_{2}$, defined on $U_{i_{0}} \cap U_{i_{1}} \cap \ldots \cap U_{i_{r}} \neq \emptyset$, which is totally symmetric under an arbitrary permutation $P$,

$$
f\left(i_{P(0)}, \ldots, i_{P(r)}\right)=f\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r}\right)
$$

Let $C^{r}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ be the multiplicative group of Čech $r$-cochains. We define the coboundary operator $\delta: C^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \rightarrow C^{r+1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\delta f)\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r+1}\right)=\prod_{j=0}^{r+1} f\left(i_{0}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{j}, \ldots, i_{r+1}\right) \tag{11.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variable below the ${ }^{\wedge}$ is omitted. For example,

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(\delta f_{0}\right)\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right)=f_{0}\left(i_{1}\right) f_{0}\left(i_{0}\right) \quad f_{0} \in C^{0}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \\
\left(\delta f_{1}\right)\left(i_{0}, i_{1}, i_{2}\right)=f_{1}\left(i_{1}, i_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(i_{0}, i_{2}\right) f_{1}\left(i_{0}, i_{1}\right) \quad f_{1} \in C^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

Since we employ the multiplicative notation, the unit element of $C^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is denoted by 1 . We verify that $\delta$ is nilpotent:

$$
\left(\delta^{2} f\right)\left(i_{0}, \ldots, i_{r+2}\right)=\prod_{j, k=1}^{r+1} f\left(i_{0}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{j}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{k}, \ldots, i_{r+2}\right)=1
$$

since -1 always appears an even number of times in the middle expression (for example if $f\left(i_{0}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{j}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{k}, \ldots, i_{r+2}\right)=-1$, we have $f\left(i_{0}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{k}, \ldots, \hat{i}_{j}, \ldots, i_{r+2}\right)=-1$ from the symmetry of $\left.f\right)$. Thus, we have proved, for any Čech $r$-cochain $f$, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2} f=1 \tag{11.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

The cocycle group $Z^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and the coboundary group $B^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ are defined by

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \mid \delta f=1\right\}  \tag{11.132}\\
B^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\left\{f \in C^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \mid f=\delta f^{\prime}, f^{\prime} \in C^{r-1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)\right. \tag{11.133}
\end{gather*}
$$

Now the $r$ th Čech cohomology group $H^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)=\operatorname{ker} \delta_{r} / \operatorname{im} \delta_{r-1}=Z^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) / B^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right) \tag{11.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 11.6.3 Stiefel-Whitney classes

The Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{r}$ is a characteristic class which takes its values in $H^{r}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Let $T M \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a tangent bundle with a Riemannian metric. The structure group is $\mathrm{O}(m), m=\operatorname{dim} M$. We assume $\left\{U_{i}\right\}$ is a simple open covering of $M$, which means that the intersection of any number of charts is either empty or contractible. Let $\left\{e_{i \alpha}\right\}(1 \leq \alpha \leq m)$ be a local orthonormal frame of $T M$ over $U_{i}$. We have $e_{i \alpha}=t_{i j} e_{j \alpha}$ where $t_{i j}: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \rightarrow \mathrm{O}(m)$ is the transition function. Define the Čech 1-cochain $f(i, j)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(i, j) \equiv \operatorname{det}\left(t_{i j}\right)= \pm 1 \tag{11.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is, indeed, an element of $C^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ since $f(i, j)=f(j, i)$. From the cocycle condition $t_{i j} t_{j k} t_{k i}=I$, we verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta f(i, j, k) & =\operatorname{det}\left(t_{i j}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(t_{j k}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(t_{k i}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(t_{i j} t_{j k} t_{k i}\right)=1 \tag{11.136}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, $f \in Z^{1}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ and it defines an element $[f]$ of $H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$. Now we show that this element is independent of the local frame chosen. Let $\left\{\bar{e}_{i \alpha}\right\}$ be another frame over $U_{i}$ such that $\bar{e}_{i \alpha}=h_{i} e_{i \alpha}, h_{i} \in \mathrm{O}(m)$. From $\bar{e}_{i \alpha}=\bar{t}_{i j} \bar{e}_{j \alpha}$, we find $\bar{t}_{i j}=h_{i} t_{i j} h_{j}^{-1}$. If we define the 0 -cochain $f_{0}$ by $f_{0}(i) \equiv \operatorname{det} h_{i}$, we find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{f}(i, j) & =\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i} t_{i j} h_{j}^{-1}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(h_{j}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(t_{i j}\right) \\
& =\delta f_{0}(i, j) f(i, j)
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the identity $\operatorname{det} h_{j}^{-1}=\operatorname{det} h_{j}$ for $h_{j} \in \mathrm{O}(m)$. Thus, $f$ changes by an exact amount and still defines the same cohomology class [ $f]^{4}{ }^{4}$

[^9]This special element $w_{1}(M) \equiv[f] \in H^{1}\left(M ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ is called the first StiefelWhitney class.

Theorem 11.6. Let $T M \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a tangent bundle with fibre metric. $M$ is orientable if and only if $w_{1}(M)$ is trivial.

Proof. If $M$ is orientable, the structure group may be reduced to $\mathrm{SO}(m)$ and $f(i, j)=\operatorname{det}\left(t_{i j}\right)=1$, and hence $w_{1}(M)=1$, the unit element of $\mathbb{Z}_{2}$. Conversely, if $w_{1}(M)$ is trivial, $f$ is a coboundary; $f=\delta f_{0}$. Since $f_{0}(i)= \pm 1$, we can always choose $h_{i} \in \mathrm{O}(m)$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(h_{i}\right)=f_{0}(i)$ for each $i$. If we define the new frame $\bar{e}_{i \alpha}=h_{i} e_{i \alpha}$, we have transition functions $\tilde{i}_{i j}$ such that $\operatorname{det}\left(\tilde{t}_{i j}\right)=1$ for any overlapping pair $(i, j)$ and $M$ is orientable. [Suppose $f(i, j)=\operatorname{det} t_{i j}=-1$ for some pair $(i, j)$. Then we may take $f_{0}(i)=-1$ and $f_{0}(j)=+1$, hence $\operatorname{det} \tilde{t}_{i j}=-\operatorname{det} t_{i j}=+1$.]

Theorem 11.6 shows that the first Stiefel-Whitney class is an obstruction to the orientability. Next we define the second Stiefel-Whitney class. Suppose M is an $m$-dimensional orientable manifold and $T M$ is its tangent bundle. For the transition function $t_{i j} \in \mathrm{SO}(m)$, we consider a 'lifting' $\tilde{t}_{i j} \in \operatorname{SPIN}(m)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(\tilde{t}_{i j}\right)=t_{i j} \quad \tilde{t}_{j i}=\tilde{t}_{i j}^{-1} \tag{11.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi: \operatorname{SPIN}(m) \rightarrow \mathrm{SO}(m)$ is the $2: 1$ homomorphism (note that we have an option $t_{i j} \leftrightarrow \tilde{t}_{i j}$ or $\left.-\tilde{t}_{i j}\right)$. This lifting always exists locally. Since

$$
\varphi\left(\tilde{t}_{i j} \tilde{t}_{j k} \tilde{t}_{k i}\right)=t_{i j} t_{j k} t_{k i}=I
$$

we have $\tilde{t}_{i j} \tilde{t}_{j k} \tilde{t}_{k i} \in \operatorname{ker} \varphi=\{ \pm I\}$. For $\tilde{t}_{i j}$ to define a spin bundle over $M$, they must satisfy the cocycle condition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}_{i j} \tilde{t}_{j k} \tilde{t}_{k i}=I . \tag{11.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the Čech 2-cochain $f: U_{i} \cap U_{j} \cap U_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{t}_{i j} \tilde{t}_{j k} \tilde{t}_{k i}=f(i, j, k) I . \tag{11.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to see that $f$ is symmetric and closed. Thus, $f$ defines an element $w_{2}(M) \in H^{2}\left(M, \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$ called the second Stiefel-Whitney class. It can be shown that $w_{2}(M)$ is independent of the local frame chosen.

Exercise 11.5. Suppose we take another lift $-\tilde{t}_{i j}$ of $t_{i j}$. Show that $f$ changes by an exact amount under this change. Accordingly, $[f]$ is independent of the lift. [Hint: Show that $f(i, j, k) \rightarrow f(i, j . k) \delta f_{1}(i, j, k)$ where $f_{1}(i, j)$ denotes the sign of $\pm \tilde{t}_{i j}$.]

Theorem 11.7. Let $T M$ be the tangent bundle over an orientable manifold $M$. There exists a spin bundle over $M$ if and only if $w_{2}(M)$ is trivial.

Proof. Suppose there exists a spin bundle over $M$. Then we define a set of transition functions $\tilde{t}_{i j}$ such that $\tilde{t}_{i j} \tilde{t}_{j k} \tilde{t}_{k i}=I$ over any overlapping charts $U_{i}, U_{j}$ and $U_{k}$, hence $w_{2}(M)$ is trivial. Conversely, suppose $w_{2}(M)$ is trivial, namely

$$
f(i, j, k)=\delta f_{1}(i, j, k)=f_{1}(j, k) f_{1}(i, k) f_{1}(k, i)
$$

$f_{1}$ being a 1-cochain. We consider the 1 -cochain $f_{1}(i, j)$ defined in exercise 11.5. If we choose new transition functions $\tilde{t}_{i j}^{\prime} \equiv \tilde{t}_{i j} f_{1}(i, j)$, we have

$$
\tilde{t}_{i j}^{\prime} \tilde{t}_{j k}^{\prime} \tilde{t}_{k i}^{\prime}=\left[\delta f_{1}(i, j, k)\right]^{2}=I
$$

and, hence, $\left\{\tilde{t}_{i j}^{\prime}\right\}$ defines a spin bundle over $M$.
We outline some useful results:
(a)

$$
w_{1}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{m}\right)=1 \quad w_{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{m}\right)= \begin{cases}1 & m \text { odd }  \tag{11.140}\\ x & m \text { even }\end{cases}
$$

$x$ being the generator of $H^{2}\left(\mathbb{C} P^{m} ; \mathbb{Z}_{2}\right)$.
(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}\left(S^{m}\right)=w_{2}\left(S^{m}\right)=1 \tag{11.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

(c)

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{1}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=w_{2}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=1 \tag{11.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Sigma_{g}$ being the Riemann surface of genus $g$.

## 12

## INDEX THEOREMS

In physics, we often consider a differential operator defined on a manifold $M$. Typical examples will be the Laplacian, the d'Alembertian and the Dirac operator. From the mathematical point of view, these operators are regarded as maps of sections

$$
D: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, F)
$$

where $E$ and $F$ are vector bundles over $M$. For example, the Dirac operator is a map $F(M, E) \rightarrow F(M, E), E$ being a spin bundle over $M$. If inner products are defined on $E$ and $F$, it is possible to define the adjoint of $D$,

$$
D^{\dagger}: \Gamma(M, F) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)
$$

Since it is a differential operator, $D$ carries analytic information on the spectrum and its degeneracy. In what follows, we are interested in the zero eigenvectors of $D$ and $D^{\dagger}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{ker} D & \equiv\{s \in \Gamma(M, E) \mid D s=0\} \\
\operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger} & \equiv\left\{s \in \Gamma(M, F) \mid D^{\dagger} s=0\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The analytical index is defined by

$$
\text { ind } D=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}
$$

Surprisingly, this analytic quantity is a topological invariant expressed in terms of an integral of an appropriate characteristic class over $M$, which provides purely topological information on $M$. This interplay between analysis and topology is the main ingredient of the index theorem.

Our exposition follows Eguchi et al (1980), Gilkey (1984), Shanahan (1978), Kulkarni (1975) and Booss and Bleecker (1985). The reader should consult these references for details. Alvarez (1985) contains a brief summary of this subject along with applications to anomalies and strings.

### 12.1 Elliptic operators and Fredholm operators

In the following, we will be concerned with differential operators defined on vector bundles over a compact manifold $M$ without a boundary. We exclusively deal with a nice class of differential operators called the Fredholm operators.

### 12.1.1 Elliptic operators

Let $E$ and $F$ be complex vector bundles over a manifold $M$. A differential operator $D$ is a linear map

$$
\begin{equation*}
D: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, F) \tag{12.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Take a chart $U$ of $M$ over which $E$ and $F$ are trivial. We denote the local coordinates of $U$ as $x^{\mu}$. We introduce the following multi-index notation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M & \equiv\left(\mu_{1}, \mu_{2}, \ldots, \mu_{m}\right) \quad \mu_{j} \in \mathbb{Z}, \mu_{j} \geq 0 \\
|M| & \equiv \mu_{1}+\mu_{2}+\cdots+\mu_{m} \\
D_{M} & =\frac{\partial^{|M|}}{\partial x^{M}} \equiv \frac{\partial^{\mu_{1}+\cdots+\mu_{m}}}{\partial\left(x^{1}\right)^{\mu_{1}} \ldots \partial\left(x^{m}\right)^{\mu_{m}}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

If $\operatorname{dim} E=k$ and $\operatorname{dim} F=k^{\prime}$, the most general form of $D$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
[D s(x)]^{\alpha}=\sum_{\substack{|M| \leq N \\ 1 \leq a \leq k}} A^{M \alpha}{ }_{a}(x) D_{M} s^{a}(x) \quad 1 \leq \alpha \leq k^{\prime} \tag{12.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s(x)$ is a section of $E$. Note that $x$ denotes a point whose coordinates are $x^{\mu}$. This slight abuse simplifies the notation. $A^{M} \equiv\left(A^{M}\right)^{\alpha}{ }_{a}$ is a $k \times k^{\prime}$ matrix which may depend on the position $x$. The positive integer $N$ in (12.2) is called the order of $D$. We are interested in the case in which $N=1$ (the Dirac operator) and $N=2$ (the Laplacian). For example, if $F$ is a spin bundle over $M$, the Dirac operator $D \equiv \mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu}+m: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$ acts on a section $\psi(x)$ of $E$ as

$$
[D \psi(x)]^{\alpha}=\mathrm{i}\left(\gamma^{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}{ }_{\beta} \partial_{\mu} \psi^{\beta}(x)+m \psi^{\alpha}(x)
$$

The symbol of $D$ is a $k \times k^{\prime}$ matrix

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(D, \xi) \equiv \sum_{|M|=N} A^{M \alpha}{ }_{a}(x) \xi_{M} \tag{12.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\xi$ is a real $m$-tuple $\xi=\left(\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{m}\right)$. The symbol is also defined independently of the coordinates as follows. Let $E \xrightarrow{\pi} M$ be a vector bundle and let $p \in M, \xi \in T_{p}^{*} M$ and $s \in \pi_{E}^{-1}(p)$. Take a section $\tilde{s} \in \Gamma(M, E)$ such that $\tilde{s}(p)=s$ and a function $f \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ such that $f(p)=0$ and $\mathrm{d} f(p)=\xi \in T_{p}^{*} M$. Then the symbol may be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(D, \xi) s=\left.\frac{1}{N!} D\left(f^{N} \tilde{s}\right)\right|_{p} \tag{12.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The factor $f^{N}$ automatically picks up the $N$ th-order term due to the condition $f(p)=0$. Equation (12.4) yields the same symbol as (12.3).

If the matrix $\sigma(D, \xi)$ is invertible for each $x \in M$ and each $\xi \in \mathbb{R}^{m}-\{0\}$, the operator $D$ is said to be elliptic. Clearly this definition makes sense only when $k=k^{\prime}$. It should be noted that the symbol for a composite operator $D=D_{1} \circ D_{2}$ is a composite of the symbols, namely $\sigma(D, \xi)=\sigma\left(D_{1}, \xi\right) \sigma\left(D_{2}, \xi\right)$. This shows that composites of elliptic operators are also elliptic. In general, powers and roots of elliptic operators are elliptic.

Example 12.1. Let $x^{\mu}$ be the natural coordinates in $\mathbb{R}^{m}$. If $E$ and $F$ are real line bundles over $\mathbb{R}^{m}$, the Laplacian $\Delta: \Gamma\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, E\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(\mathbb{R}^{m}, F\right)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \equiv \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{m}\right)^{2}} . \tag{12.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

According to (12.3), the symbol is

$$
\sigma(\Delta, \xi)=\sum_{\mu}\left(\xi_{\mu}\right)^{2}
$$

This is in agreement with the result obtained from (12.4),

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\Delta, \xi) s & =\left.\frac{1}{2} \Delta\left(f^{2} \tilde{s}\right)\right|_{p}=\left.\frac{1}{2} \sum \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{\mu}\right)^{2}}\left(f^{2} \tilde{s}\right)\right|_{p} \\
& =\left.\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{2} \Delta \tilde{s}+2 f \Delta f \tilde{s}+2 f \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial \tilde{s}}{\partial x^{\mu}}+2 \sum \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial x^{\mu}} \tilde{s}\right)\right|_{p} \\
& =\sum\left(\xi_{\mu}\right)^{2} s
\end{aligned}
$$

This symbol is clearly invertible for $\xi \neq 0$, and hence $\Delta$ is elliptic.
However, the d'Alembertian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\square \equiv \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{1}\right)^{2}}+\cdots+\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{m-1}\right)^{2}}-\frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial\left(x^{m}\right)^{2}} \tag{12.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

is not elliptic since the symbol

$$
\sigma(\square, \xi)=\left(\xi^{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\xi^{m-1}\right)^{2}-\left(\xi^{m}\right)^{2}
$$

vanishes everywhere on the light cone,

$$
\left(\xi^{m}\right)^{2}=\left(\xi^{1}\right)^{2}+\cdots+\left(\xi^{m-1}\right)^{2} .
$$

Exercise 12.1. Let $M=\mathbb{R}^{2}$ and consider a differential operator $D$ of order two. The symbol of $D$ is of the form

$$
\sigma(D, \xi)=A_{11} \xi^{1} \xi^{1}+2 A_{12} \xi^{1} \xi^{2}+A_{22} \xi^{2} \xi^{2}
$$

Show that $D$ is elliptic if and only if $\sigma(D, \xi)=1$ is an ellipse in $\xi$-space.

### 12.1.2 Fredholm operators

Let $D: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, F)$ be an elliptic operator. The kernel of $D$ is the set of null eigenvectors

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ker} D \equiv\{s \in \Gamma(M, E) \mid D s=0\} \tag{12.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $E$ and $F$ are endowed with fibre metrics, which will be denoted $\langle,\rangle_{E}$ and $\langle,\rangle_{F}$, respectively. The adjoint $D^{\dagger}: \Gamma(M, F) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$ of $D$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle s^{\prime}, D s\right\rangle_{F} \equiv\left\langle D^{\dagger} s^{\prime}, s\right\rangle_{E} \tag{12.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s \in \Gamma(M, E)$ and $s^{\prime} \in \Gamma(M, F)$. We define the cokernel of $D$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{coker} D \equiv \Gamma(M, F) / \operatorname{im} D \tag{12.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Among elliptic operators we are interested in a class of operators whose kernels and cokernels are finite dimensional. An elliptic operator $D$ which satisfies this condition is called a Fredholm operator. The analytical index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } D \equiv \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \text { coker } D \tag{12.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined for a Fredholm operator. Henceforth, we will be concerned only with Fredholm operators. It is known from the general theory of operators that elliptic operators on a compact manifold are Fredholm operators. Theorem 12.1 shows that ind $D$ is also expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } D=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger} . \tag{12.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 12.1. Let $D: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, F)$ be a Fredholm operator. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{coker} D \cong \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger} \equiv\left\{s \in \Gamma(M, F) \mid D^{\dagger} s=0\right\} \tag{12.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $[s] \in$ coker $D$ be given by

$$
[s]=\left\{s^{\prime} \in \Gamma(M, F) \mid s^{\prime}=s+D u, u \in \Gamma(M, E)\right\}
$$

We show that there is a surjection ker $D^{\dagger} \rightarrow \operatorname{coker} D$, namely any $[s] \in \operatorname{coker} D$ has a representative $s_{0} \in \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$. Define $s_{0}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0} \equiv s-D \frac{1}{D^{\dagger} D} D^{\dagger} s \tag{12.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find $s_{0} \in \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$ since $D^{\dagger} s_{0}=D^{\dagger} s-D^{\dagger} D\left(D^{\dagger} D\right)^{-1} D^{\dagger} s=D^{\dagger} s-D^{\dagger} s=$ 0 . Next, let $s_{0}, s_{0}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$ and $s_{0} \neq s_{0}^{\prime}$. We show that $\left[s_{0}\right] \neq\left[s_{0}^{\prime}\right]$ in $\Gamma(M, F) /$ im $D$. If $\left[s_{0}\right]=\left[s_{0}^{\prime}\right]$, there is an element $u \in \Gamma(M, E)$ such that $s_{0}-s_{0}^{\prime}=D u$. Then $0=\left\langle u, D^{\dagger}\left(s_{0}-s_{0}^{\prime}\right)\right\rangle_{E}=\left\langle u, D^{\dagger} D u\right\rangle_{E}=\langle D u, D u\rangle_{F} \geq 0$, hence $D u=0$, which contradicts our assumption $s_{0} \neq s_{0}^{\prime}$. Thus, the map $s_{0} \mapsto[s]$ is a bijection and we have established that coker $D \cong \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$.

### 12.1.3 Elliptic complexes

Consider a sequence of Fredholm operators,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E_{i-1}\right) \xrightarrow{D_{i-1}} \Gamma\left(M, E_{i}\right) \xrightarrow{D_{i}} \Gamma\left(M, E_{i+1}\right) \xrightarrow{D_{i+1}} \cdots \tag{12.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{E_{i}\right\}$ is a sequence of vector bundles over a compact manifold $M$. The sequence $\left(E_{i}, D_{i}\right)$ is called an elliptic complex if $D_{i}$ is nilpotent (that is $\left.D_{i} \circ D_{i-1}=0\right)$ for any $i$. The reader may refer to $\Gamma\left(M, E_{i}\right)=\Omega_{i}(M)$ and $D_{i}=\mathrm{d}$ (exterior derivative) for example. The adjoint of $D_{i}: \Gamma\left(M, E_{i}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E_{i+1}\right)$ is denoted by

$$
D_{i}^{\dagger}: \Gamma\left(M, E_{i+1}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E_{i}\right) .
$$

The Laplacian $\Delta_{i}: \Gamma\left(M, E_{i}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E_{i}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{i} \equiv D_{i-1} D_{i-1}^{\dagger}+D_{i}^{\dagger} D_{i} \tag{12.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hodge decomposition also applies to the present case,

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{i}=D_{i-1} s_{i-1}+D_{i}^{\dagger} s_{i+1}+h_{i} \tag{12.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $s_{i \pm 1} \in \Gamma\left(M, E_{i \pm 1}\right)$ and $h_{i}$ is in the kernel of $\Delta_{i}, \Delta_{i} h_{i}=0$.
Analogously to the de Rham cohomology groups, we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
H^{i}(E, D) \equiv \operatorname{ker} D_{i} / \operatorname{im} D_{i-1} \tag{12.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the de Rham theory, it can be shown that $H^{i}(E, D)$ is isomorphic to the kernel of $\Delta_{i}$. Accordingly, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} H^{i}(E, D)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}^{i}(E, D) \tag{12.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Harm}^{i}(E, D)$ is a vector space spanned by $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$. The index of this elliptic complex is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } D \equiv \sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} H^{i}(E, D)=\sum_{i=0}^{m}(-1)^{i} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{i} \tag{12.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The index thus defined generalizes the Euler characteristic, see example 12.2.
How is this related to (12.10)? Consider the complex $\Gamma(M, E) \xrightarrow{D} \Gamma(M, F)$. We may formally add zero on both sides,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \stackrel{i}{\hookrightarrow} \Gamma(M, E) \xrightarrow{D} \Gamma(M, F) \xrightarrow{\varphi} 0 \tag{12.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $i$ is the inclusion. The index according to (12.19) is

$$
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\{\operatorname{dim} \Gamma(M, F)-\operatorname{dimim} D\}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{coker} D
$$

where we have noted that $\operatorname{dimim} i=0, \operatorname{ker} \varphi=\Gamma(M, F)$ and coker $D=$ $\operatorname{ker} \varphi / \operatorname{im} D$. Thus, (12.19) yields the same index as (12.10).

It is often convenient to work with a two-term elliptic complex which has the same index as the original elliptic complex $(E, D)$. This rolling up is carried out by defining

$$
\begin{equation*}
E_{+} \equiv \oplus_{r} E_{2 r}, E_{-} \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus} E_{2 r+1} \tag{12.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are called the even bundle and the odd bundle, respectively. Correspondingly we consider the operators

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus}\left(D_{2 r}+D^{\dagger} 2 r-1\right), A^{\dagger} \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus}\left(D_{2 r+1}+D^{\dagger} 2 r\right) \tag{12.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

We readily verify that $A: \Gamma\left(M, E_{+}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E_{-}\right)$and $A^{\dagger}: \Gamma\left(M, E_{-}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(M, E_{+}\right)$. From $A$ and $A^{\dagger}$, we construct the two Laplacians

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{+} & \equiv A^{\dagger} A=\underset{r, s}{\oplus}\left(D_{2 r+1}+D^{\dagger} 2 r\right)\left(D_{2 s}+D^{\dagger} 2 s-1\right) \\
& =\underset{r}{\oplus}\left(D_{2 r-1} D^{\dagger} 2 r-1+D^{\dagger} 2 r D_{2 r}\right)=\underset{r}{\oplus} \Delta_{2 r}  \tag{12.23a}\\
\Delta_{-} & \equiv A A^{\dagger}=\underset{r}{\oplus} \Delta_{2 r+1} \tag{12.23b}
\end{align*}
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(E_{ \pm}, A\right) & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{+}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{-} \\
& =\sum(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{r}=\operatorname{ind}(E, D) \tag{12.24}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 12.2. Let us consider the de Rham complex $\Omega(M)$ over a compact manifold $M$ without a boundary,

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \xrightarrow{i} \Omega^{0}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \Omega^{1}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{~d}} \Omega^{m}(M) \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} 0 \tag{12.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=\operatorname{dim} M$ and d stands for $\mathrm{d}_{r}: \Omega^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M) . H^{r}(E, D)$ defined by (12.25) agrees with the de Rham cohomology group $H_{r}(M, \mathbb{R})$. The index is identified with the Euler characteristic,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(\Omega^{*}(M), \mathrm{d}\right)=\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{R})=\chi(M) \tag{12.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We found in chapter 7 that $b^{r} \equiv \operatorname{dim} H^{r}(M, \mathbb{R})$ agrees with the number of linearly independent harmonic $r$-forms: $\operatorname{dim} H^{r}(M, \mathbb{R})=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{r}$, where $\Delta_{r}$ is the Laplacian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{r}=\left(\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}\right)^{2}=\mathrm{d}_{r-1} \mathrm{~d}^{\dagger}{ }_{r-1}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}{ }_{r} \mathrm{~d}_{r} \tag{12.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{d}_{r}^{\dagger}: \Omega^{r+1}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{r}(M)$ being the adjoint of $\mathrm{d}_{r}$. Now we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{r} \tag{12.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

This relation is very interesting since the LHS is a purely topological quantity which can be computed by triangulating $M$, for example, while the RHS is given by the solution of an analytic equation $\Delta_{r} u=0$. We noted in example 11.6 that $\chi(M)$ is given by integrating the Euler class over $M: \chi(M)=\int_{M} e(T M)$. Now (12.28) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{m}(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{r}=\int_{M} e(T M) \tag{12.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is a typical form of the index theorem. The RHS is an analytic index while the LHS is a topological index given by the integral of certain characteristic classes. In section 12.3, we derive (12.29) from the Atiyah-Singer index theorem.

The two-term complex is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{+}(M) \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus} \Omega^{2 r}(M) \quad \Omega^{-}(M) \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus} \Omega^{2 r+1}(M) \tag{12.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding operators are

$$
\begin{equation*}
A \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2 r}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} 2 r-1\right) \quad A^{\dagger} \equiv \underset{r}{\oplus}\left(\mathrm{~d}_{2 r-1}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} 2 r\right) \tag{12.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is left as an exercise to the reader to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind}\left(\Omega^{ \pm}(M), A\right)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} A_{+}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} A_{-}=\chi(M) \tag{12.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 12.2 The Atiyah-Singer index theorem

### 12.2.1 Statement of the theorem

Theorem 12.2. (Atiyah-Singer index theorem) Let ( $E, D$ ) be an elliptic complex over an $m$-dimensional compact manifold $M$ without a boundary. The index of this complex is given by

In the integrand of the RHS, only $m$-forms are picked up, so that the integration makes sense. [Remarks: The division by $e(T M)$ can really be carried out at the formal level. If $m$ is an odd integer, the index vanishes identically, see below. Original references are Atiyah and Singer (1968a, b), Atiyah and Segal (1968).]

The proof of theorem 12.2 is found in Shanahan (1978), Palais (1965) and Gilkey (1984). The proof found there is based on either $K$-theory or the heat
kernel formalism. In section 13.2, we give a proof of the simplest version of the Atiyah-Singer (AS) index theorem for a spin complex. Recently physicists have found another proof of the theorem making use of supersymmetry. This proof is outlined in sections 12.9 and 12.10. Interested readers should consult AlvarezGaumé (1983) and Friedan and Windey $(1984,1985)$ for further details.

The following corollary is a direct consequence of theorem 12.2.
Corollary 12.1. Let $\Gamma(M, E) \xrightarrow{D} \Gamma(M, F)$ be a two-term elliptic complex. The index of $D$ is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ind } D & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger} \\
& =\left.(-1)^{m(m+1) / 2} \int_{M}(\operatorname{ch} E-\operatorname{ch} F) \frac{\operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)}{e(T M)}\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \tag{12.34}
\end{align*}
$$

### 12.3 The de Rham complex

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional compact orientable manifold with no boundary. By now we are familiar with the de Rham complex,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \Omega^{r-1}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \Omega^{r+1}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{d}} \cdots \tag{12.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\Gamma\left(M, \wedge^{r} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. We complexified the forms so that we may apply the AS index theorem. The exterior derivative satisfies $\mathrm{d}^{2}=0$. To show that (12.35) is an elliptic complex, we have to show that d is elliptic. To find the symbol for d, we note that

$$
\sigma(\mathrm{d}, \xi) \omega=\left.\mathrm{d}(f \tilde{s})\right|_{p}=\mathrm{d} f \wedge \tilde{s}+\left.f \mathrm{~d} \tilde{s}\right|_{p}=\xi \wedge \omega
$$

where $p \in M, \omega \in \Omega_{p}^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}, f(p)=0, \mathrm{~d} f(p)=\xi, \tilde{s} \in \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\tilde{s}(p)=\omega$; see (12.4). We find

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathrm{d}, \xi)=\xi \wedge \tag{12.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

This defines a map $\Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and is non-singular if $\xi \neq 0$. Thus, we have proved that $\mathrm{d}: \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Omega^{r+1}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ is elliptic and, hence, (12.35) is an elliptic complex. Note, however, that the operator $\mathrm{d}: \Omega^{k}(M) \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{k+1}(M)$ is not Fredholm since ker d is infinite dimensional. To apply the index theorem to this complex, we have to consider the de Rham cohomology group $H^{r}(M)$ instead. The operator d is certainly Fredholm on this space.

Let us find the index theorem for this complex. We note that $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{C})=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{R})$. Hence, the analytical index is

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ind } \mathrm{d} & =\sum_{r=0}^{m}(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{C}) \\
& =\sum(-1)^{r} \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} H^{r}(M ; \mathbb{R})=\chi(M) \tag{12.37}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\chi(M)$ is the Euler characteristic of $M$. Suppose $M$ is even dimensional, $m=2 l$. The RHS of (12.33) gives the topological index

The splitting principle yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{ch}\left({\underset{r=0}{\oplus}}_{\underset{r}{e}}(-1)^{r} \wedge^{r} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \\
& \quad= 1-\operatorname{ch}\left(T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)+\operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{2} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)+\cdots+(-1)^{m} \operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{m} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \\
&= 1-\sum_{i=1}^{m} \mathrm{e}^{-x_{i}}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)+\sum_{i<j} \mathrm{e}^{-x_{i}} \mathrm{e}^{-x_{j}}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)+\cdots \\
& \quad+(-1)^{m} \mathrm{e}^{-x_{1}} \mathrm{e}^{-x_{2}} \ldots \mathrm{e}^{-x_{m}}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \\
&= \prod_{i=1}^{m}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-x_{i}}\right)\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have noted that $x_{i}\left(T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)=-x_{i}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. [Let $L$ be a complex line bundle and $L^{*}$ be its dual bundle. $L \otimes L^{*}$ is a bundle whose section is a map $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ at each fibre of $L . L \otimes L^{*}$ has a global section which vanishes nowhere (the identity map, for example) from which we can show $L \otimes L^{*}$ is a trivial bundle. We have $c_{1}\left(L \otimes L^{*}\right)=c_{1}(L)+c_{1}\left(L^{*}\right)=0$, hence $x\left(L^{*}\right)=-x(L)$. The splitting principle yields $x_{i}\left(T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)=-x_{i}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$.] We also have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right) & =\prod_{i=1}^{m} \frac{x_{i}}{1-\mathrm{e}^{-x_{i}}}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \\
e(T M) & =\prod_{i=1}^{l} x_{i}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Substituting these in (12.38), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind~d}=\int_{M}(-1)^{l(2 l+1)}(-1)^{l}\left(\prod_{i=1}^{l} x_{i}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)\right)=\int_{M} e(T M) \tag{12.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $m$ is odd, it can be shown that (Shanahan (1978), p22)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } d=0 \tag{12.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in harmony with the fact that $e(T M)=0$ if $\operatorname{dim} M$ is odd. In any case, the index theorem for the de Rham complex is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\int_{M} e(T M) \tag{12.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 12.3. Let $M$ be a two-dimensional orientable manifold without boundary. Equation (12.41) reads

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int_{M} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{M} \mathcal{R}_{12} \tag{12.42a}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is the celebrated Gauss-Bonnet theorem. For $\operatorname{dim} M=4$, it reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\chi(M)=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \epsilon^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \mathcal{R}_{\alpha \beta} \wedge \mathcal{R}_{\gamma \delta} \tag{12.42b}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 12.4 The Dolbeault complex

We recall some elementary facts about complex manifolds (see chapter 8 for details). Let $M$ be a compact complex manifold of complex dimension $m$ without a boundary. Let $z^{\mu}=x^{\mu}+\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}$ be the local coordinates and $\bar{z}^{\mu}=x^{\mu}-\mathrm{i} y^{\mu}$ their complex conjugates. $T M^{+}$denotes the tangent bundle spanned by $\left\{\partial / \partial z^{\mu}\right\}$ and $T M^{-}=\overline{T M^{+}}$the complex conjugate bundle spanned by $\left\{\partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}\right\}$. The dual of $T M^{+}$is denoted by $T^{*} M^{+}$and spanned by $\left\{\mathrm{d} z^{\mu}\right\}$ while that of $T M^{-}$is $T^{*} M^{-}=\overline{T^{*} M^{+}}$spanned by $\left\{\mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu}\right\}$. The space $\Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ of complexified $r$-forms is decomposed as

$$
\Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\underset{p+q=r}{\oplus} \Omega^{p, q}(M)
$$

where $\Omega^{p, q}(M)$ is the space of the $(p, q)$-forms, which is spanned by a basis of the form

$$
\mathrm{d} z^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} z^{\mu_{p}} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}^{\nu_{q}}
$$

The exterior derivative is decomposed as $d \equiv \partial+\bar{\partial}$ where

$$
\partial=\mathrm{d} z^{\mu} \wedge \partial / \partial z^{\mu} \quad \bar{\partial}=\mathrm{d} \bar{z}^{\mu} \wedge \partial / \partial \bar{z}^{\mu}
$$

They satisfy $\partial \bar{\partial}+\bar{\partial} \partial=\partial^{2}=\bar{\partial}^{2}=0$. We have the sequences

$$
\begin{align*}
& \cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p, q}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{p, q+1}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \cdots  \tag{12.43a}\\
& \cdots \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^{p, q}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial} \Omega^{p+1, q}(M) \xrightarrow{\partial} \cdots \tag{12.43b}
\end{align*}
$$

We are interested in the first sequence with $p=0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\cdots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{0, q}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \Omega^{0, q+1}(M) \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}} \cdots \tag{12.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

This sequence is called the Dolbeault complex.
To show that (12.44) is an elliptic complex, we compute the symbol for $\bar{\partial}$. Let $\xi=\xi^{0,1}+\xi^{1,0}$ be a real one-form at $p \in M$, where $\xi^{0,1} \in \Omega_{p}^{0,1}(M)$ and

$$
\xi^{1,0}=\overline{\xi^{0,1}} \in \Omega_{p}^{1,0}(M)
$$

Take an anti-holomorphic $r$-form $\omega \in \Omega^{0, r}(M)$. We find

$$
\sigma(\bar{\partial}, \xi) \omega=\bar{\partial}(f \tilde{s})=\bar{\partial} f \wedge \tilde{s}+\left.f \bar{\partial} \tilde{s}\right|_{p}=\xi^{0,1} \wedge \omega
$$

where $f(p)=0, \bar{\partial} f(p)=\xi^{0,1}, \tilde{s} \in \Omega^{0, r}(M)$ and $\tilde{s}(p)=\omega$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\bar{\partial}, \xi)=\xi^{0,1} \wedge \tag{12.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From a similar argument to that given in the previous section, it follows that the symbol (12.45) is elliptic. Thus, the Dolbeault complex (12.44) is an elliptic complex.

The AS index theorem takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind} \bar{\partial}=\left.\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}\left(\sum_{r}(-1)^{r} \wedge^{r} T^{*} M^{-}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)}{e(T M)}\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \tag{12.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

The LHS is computed as follows. We first note that

$$
\operatorname{ker} \bar{\partial}_{r} / \operatorname{im} \bar{\partial}_{r-1}=H^{0, r}(M)
$$

where $H^{0, r}(M)$ is the $\bar{\partial}$-cohomology group. Then the LHS is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } \bar{\partial}=\sum_{r=0}^{n}(-1)^{r} b^{0, r} \tag{12.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $b^{0, r} \equiv \operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} H^{0, r}(M)$ is the Hodge number. This index is called the arithmetic genus of $M$.

Simplification of the topological index can be carried out as in the case of the de Rham complex. We refer the reader to Shanahan (1978) for the technical details. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{r=1}^{n}(-1)^{r} b^{0, r}=\int_{M} \operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{+}\right) \tag{12.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{+}\right)$is the Todd class of $T M^{+}$.

### 12.4.1 The twisted Dolbeault complex and the Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem

In the Dolbeault complex, we may replace $\Omega^{0, r}(M)$ by the tensor product bundles $\Omega^{0, r}(M) \otimes V$, where $V$ is a holomorphic vector bundle over $M$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ldots \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{V}} \Omega^{0, r-1}(M) \otimes V \xrightarrow{\bar{\partial}_{V}} \Omega^{0, r}(M) \otimes V \xrightarrow{\overline{\bar{\partial}}_{V}} \ldots \tag{12.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The AS index theorem of this complex reduces to the Hirzebruch-RiemannRoch theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } \bar{\partial}_{V}=\int_{M} \operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{+}\right) \operatorname{ch}(V) \tag{12.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

For example, if $m=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} M=1$, we have

$$
\text { ind } \begin{align*}
\bar{\partial}_{V} & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{dim} V \int_{M} c_{1}\left(T M^{+}\right)+\int_{M} c_{1}(V) \\
& =(2-g) \operatorname{dim} V+\int_{M} \frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi} \tag{12.51}
\end{align*}
$$

since it can be shown that

$$
\int_{M} c_{1}\left(T M^{+}\right)=\int_{M} e(T M)=2-g
$$

$g$ being the genus of $M$.

### 12.5 The signature complex

### 12.5.1 The Hirzebruch signature

Let $M$ be a compact orientable manifold of even dimension, $m=2 l$. Let $[\omega]$ and $\left[\eta\right.$ ] be the elements of the 'middle' cohomology group $H^{l}(M ; \mathbb{R})$. We consider a bilinear form $H^{l}(M ; \mathbb{R}) \times H^{l}(M ; \mathbb{R}) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma([\omega],[\eta]) \equiv \int_{M} \omega \wedge \eta \tag{12.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

cf example 11.8. This definition is independent of the representatives of $[\omega]$ and $[\eta]$. The form $\sigma$ is symmetric if $l$ is even $(m \equiv 0 \bmod 4)$ and anti-symmetric if $l$ is odd $(m \equiv 2 \bmod 4)$. Poincaré duality shows that the bilinear form $\sigma$ has the maximal rank $b^{l}=\operatorname{dim} H^{l}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ and is, hence, non-degenerate. If $l \equiv 2 k$ is even, the symmetric form $\sigma$ has real eigenvalues, $b^{+}$of which are positive and $b^{-}$ of which are negative $\left(b^{+}+b^{-}=b^{l}\right)$. The Hirzebruch signature is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M) \equiv b^{+}-b^{-} \tag{12.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $l$ is odd, $\tau(M)$ is defined to vanish (an anti-symmetric form has pure imaginary eigenvalues). In the following, we set $l=2 k$.

The Hodge $*$ satisfies $*^{2}=1$ when acting on a $2 k$-form in a $4 k$-dimensional manifold $M$ and hence $*$ has eigenvalues $\pm 1$. Let $\operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)$ be the set of harmonic $2 k$-forms on $M$. We note that $\operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M) \cong H^{2 k}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ and each element of $H^{2 k}(M ; \mathbb{R})$ has a unique harmonic representative. $\operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)$ is separated into disjoint subspaces,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)=\operatorname{Harm}_{+}^{2 k}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Harm}_{-}^{2 k}(M) \tag{12.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

according to the eigenvalue of $*$. This separation block diagonalizes the bilinear form $\sigma$. In fact, for $\omega^{ \pm} \in \operatorname{Harm}_{ \pm}^{2 k}(M)$,

$$
\sigma\left(\omega^{+}, \omega^{+}\right)=\int_{M} \omega^{+} \wedge \omega^{+}=\int_{M} \omega^{+} \wedge * \omega^{+}=\left(\omega^{+}, \omega^{+}\right)>0
$$

where $\left(\omega^{+}, \omega^{+}\right)$is the standard positive-definite inner product defined by (7.181). We also find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma\left(\omega^{-}, \omega^{-}\right) & =-\int_{M} \omega^{-} \wedge * \omega^{-}=-\left(\omega^{-}, \omega^{-}\right)<0 \\
\sigma\left(\omega^{+}, \omega^{-}\right) & =-\int_{M} \omega^{+} \wedge * \omega^{-}=-\int_{M} \omega^{-} \wedge * \omega^{+}=-\sigma\left(\omega^{+}, \omega^{-}\right)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have noted that $\alpha \wedge * \beta=\beta \wedge * \alpha$ for any forms $\alpha$ and $\beta$. Hence, $\sigma$ is block diagonal with respect to $\operatorname{Harm}_{+}^{2 k}(M) \oplus \operatorname{Harm}_{-}^{2 k}(M)$ and, moreover, $b^{ \pm}=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Harm}_{ \pm}^{2 k}(M)$. Now $\tau(M)$ is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M)=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}_{+}^{2 k}(M)-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}_{-}^{2 k}(M) \tag{12.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 12.2. Let $\operatorname{dim} M=4 k$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M)=\chi(M) \bmod 2 . \tag{12.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Hint: Use the Poincaré duality to show that $\chi(M)=b^{2 k} \bmod 2$.]

### 12.5.2 The signature complex and the Hirzebruch signature theorem

Let $M$ be an $m$-dimensional compact Riemannian manifold without a boundary and let $g$ be the given metric. Consider an operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D} \equiv \mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} . \tag{12.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathfrak{D}$ is a square root of the Laplacian: $\mathfrak{D}^{2}=\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}=\Delta$. To show that $\mathfrak{D}$ is elliptic, it suffices to verify that $\Delta$ is elliptic since the symbol of a product of operators is the product of symbols. Let us compute the symbol of $\Delta$. As for d, we have $\sigma(\mathrm{d}, \xi) \omega=\xi \wedge \omega$. As for $\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}$, it can be shown that (Palais 1965, pp77-8)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma\left(\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}, \xi\right)=-i_{\xi} . \tag{12.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $i_{\xi}: \Omega_{p}^{r}(M) \rightarrow \Omega_{p}^{r-1}(M)$ is an interior product defined by (cf. (5.79))

$$
\begin{aligned}
& i_{\xi}\left(\mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}\right) \\
& \quad \equiv \sum_{j=1}^{r}(-1)^{j+1} \mathrm{~g}^{\mu_{j} \mu_{\xi_{\mu}} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu_{1}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} \hat{x}^{\mu_{j}} \wedge \ldots \wedge \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu_{r}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the one-form under ${ }^{\wedge}$ is omitted and we put $\xi=\xi_{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$. Now the symbol of the Laplacian is obtained from (12.58) as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(\Delta, \xi) \omega & =\sigma\left(\mathrm{dd}^{\dagger}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger} \mathrm{d}, \xi\right) \omega=-\left[\xi \wedge i_{\xi}(\omega)+i_{\xi}(\xi \wedge \omega)\right] \\
& =-i_{\xi}(\xi) \wedge \omega=-\|\xi\|^{2} \omega
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\omega$ is an arbitrary $r$-form and the norm $\|\|$ is taken with respect to the given Riemannian metric. Finally, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\Delta, \xi)=-\|\xi\|^{2} \tag{12.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, the Laplacian $\Delta$ is elliptic and so is $\mathfrak{D}=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}^{\dagger}$.
Since the Laplacian $\Delta=\mathfrak{D}^{2}$ is self-dual on $\Omega^{*}(M)$, the index of $\Delta$ vanishes trivially. It is also observed that $\mathfrak{D}=\mathfrak{D}^{\dagger}$ on $\Omega^{*}(M)$ and, hence, ind $\mathfrak{D}=0$. To construct a non-trivial index theorem, we have to find a complex on which $\mathfrak{D} \neq \mathfrak{D}^{\dagger}$.

Exercise 12.3. Consider the restriction $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e}}$ of $\mathfrak{D}$ to even forms, $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e}}: \Omega^{\mathrm{e}}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow$ $\Omega^{\mathrm{o}}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ where $\Omega^{\mathrm{e}}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \equiv \oplus \Omega^{2 i}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ and $\Omega^{\circ}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \equiv \oplus \Omega^{2 i+1}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. The adjoint of $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e}}$ is $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{o}} \equiv \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e} \dagger}: \Omega^{\mathrm{o}}(M)^{\mathrm{C}} \rightarrow \Omega^{\mathrm{e}}(M)^{\mathrm{C}}$. Show that

$$
\text { ind } \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e}}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e}}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{o}}=\chi(M)
$$

[Hint: Prove ker $\mathfrak{D}^{\mathrm{e}}=\oplus \operatorname{Harm}^{2 i}(M)$ and $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}^{\text {o }}=\oplus \operatorname{Harm}^{2 i+1}(M)$. This complex, although non-trivial, does not yield anything new.]

If $\operatorname{dim} M=m=2 l$, we have $* * \eta=(-1)^{r} \eta$ for $\eta \in \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. We define an operator $\pi: \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}} \rightarrow \Omega^{m-r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi \equiv \mathrm{i}^{r(r-1)+l} * \tag{12.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Observe that $\pi$ is a 'square root' of $(-1)^{r} * *=1$. In fact, for $\omega \in \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\pi^{2} \omega & =\mathrm{i}^{r(r-1)+l} \pi(* \omega)=\mathrm{i}^{r(r-1)+l+(2 l-r)(2 l-r-1)+l} * * \omega \\
& =\mathrm{i}^{2 r^{2}} * * \omega=(-1)^{r} * * \omega=\omega \tag{12.61}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have noted that $r \equiv r^{2} \bmod 2$. We easily verify (exercise) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\pi, \mathfrak{D}\}=\pi \mathfrak{D}+\mathfrak{D} \pi=0 \tag{12.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\pi$ act on $\Omega^{*}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\oplus \Omega^{r}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$. Since $\pi^{2}=1$, the eigenvalues of $\pi$ are $\pm 1$. Then we have a decomposition of $\Omega^{*}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}$ into the $\pm 1$ eigenspaces $\Omega^{ \pm}(M)$ of $\pi$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Omega^{*}(M)^{\mathbb{C}}=\Omega^{+}(M) \oplus \Omega^{-}(M) \tag{12.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\mathfrak{D}$ anti-commutes with $\pi$, the restriction of $\mathfrak{D}$ to $\Omega^{+}(M)$ defines an elliptic complex called the signature complex,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{D}_{+}: \Omega^{+}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{-}(M) \tag{12.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left.\mathfrak{D}_{+} \equiv \mathfrak{D}\right|_{\Omega^{+}(M)}$. The index of the signature complex is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{D}_{+} & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}_{+}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}_{-} \\
& =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}(M)^{+}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}(M)^{-} \tag{12.65}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathfrak{D}_{-} \equiv \mathfrak{D}_{+}^{\dagger}: \Omega^{-}(M) \rightarrow \Omega^{+}(M)$ and $\operatorname{Harm}(M)^{ \pm} \equiv\left\{\omega \in \Omega^{ \pm}(M) \mid \mathfrak{D}_{ \pm} \omega=\right.$ $0\}$. On the RHS of (12.65), all the contributions except those from the harmonic $l$-forms cancel out. To see this, we separate $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}_{+}$and $\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}_{-}$as

$$
\operatorname{ker} \mathfrak{D}_{ \pm}=\operatorname{Harm}^{l}(M)^{ \pm} \oplus \sum_{0 \leq r<l}\left[\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)^{ \pm} \oplus \operatorname{Harm}^{m-r}(M)^{ \pm}\right]
$$

where $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)^{ \pm} \equiv \operatorname{Harm}(M)^{ \pm} \cap \Omega^{r}(M)$. If $\omega \in \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)$, we have $\omega \pm \pi \omega \in \operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)^{ \pm} \oplus \operatorname{Harm}^{m-r}(M)^{ \pm}$. Then a map $\omega+\pi \omega \rightarrow \omega-\pi \omega$ defines an isomorphism between $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)^{+} \oplus \operatorname{Harm}^{m-r}(M)^{+}$and $\operatorname{Harm}^{r}(M)^{-} \oplus$ $\operatorname{Harm}^{m-r}(M)^{-}$. Now the index simplifies as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind} \mathfrak{D}_{+}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)^{+}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)^{-} \tag{12.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we put $l=2 k$ as before (the index vanishes if $l$ is odd). It is important to note that $\operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)^{ \pm}=\operatorname{Harm}_{ \pm}^{2 k}(M)$ since $\pi=*$ in $\operatorname{Harm}^{2 k}(M)$, see (12.54). Now the index (12.66) reduces to the Hirzebruch signature,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } \mathfrak{D}_{+}=\tau(M) \tag{12.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

The derivation of the topological index is rather technical and we simply quote the result from Shanahan (1978). Let $\wedge^{ \pm} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ be the subspace of $\wedge T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}$ such that $\Omega^{ \pm}(M)=\Gamma\left(M, \wedge^{ \pm} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { topological index } & =\left.(-1)^{l} \int_{M} \operatorname{ch}\left(\wedge^{+} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}-\wedge^{-} T^{*} M^{\mathbb{C}}\right) \frac{\operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)}{e(T M)}\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \\
& =\left.2^{l} \int_{M} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{x_{i} / 2}{\tanh x_{i} / 2}\right|_{\mathrm{vol}}=\left.\int_{M} \prod_{i=1}^{l} \frac{x_{i}}{\tanh x_{i}}\right|_{\mathrm{vol}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last equality is true only for the $2 l$-forms in the expansion and $x_{i}=$ $x_{i}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)$. Now we have obtained the Hirzebruch signature theorem

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M)=\left.\int_{M} L(T M)\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \tag{12.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L$ is the Hirzebruch $L$-polynomial defined by (11.91). Since $L$ is even in $x_{i}, \tau(M)$ vanishes if $m=2 \bmod 4$. For example, $\tau(M)=0$ for $m=2$. If $m=4$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(M)=\int_{M} \frac{1}{3} p_{1}(T M)=-\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2} \tag{12.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

As in the case of the Dolbeault complex, we may twist the signature complex, see Eguchi et al (1980), for example.

### 12.6 Spin complexes

The final example of classical complexes is the spin complex. This complex is very important in physics since it describes Dirac fields interacting with gauge fields and/or gravitational fields.

### 12.6.1 Dirac operator

Let us consider a spin bundle $S(M)$ over an $m$-dimensional orientable manifold $M$. We shall denote the set of sections of this bundle by $\Delta(M)=\Gamma(M, S(M))$. We assume that $m=2 l$ is an even integer. The spin group $\operatorname{SPIN}(m)$ is generated by $m$ Dirac matrices $\left\{\gamma^{\alpha}\right\}$, which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
\gamma^{\alpha \dagger} & =\gamma^{\alpha}  \tag{12.70a}\\
\left\{\gamma^{\alpha}, \gamma^{\beta}\right\} & =2 \delta^{\alpha \beta} \tag{12.70b}
\end{align*}
$$

Throughout this chapter we assume that the metric has the Euclidean signature. The Clifford algebra is generated by

$$
\begin{gathered}
1 ; \gamma^{\alpha} ; \gamma^{\alpha_{1}} \gamma^{\alpha_{2}}\left(\alpha_{1}<\alpha_{2}\right) ; \ldots ; \\
\gamma^{\alpha_{1}} \ldots \gamma^{\alpha_{k}}\left(\alpha_{1}<\ldots<\alpha_{k}\right) ; \ldots ; \gamma^{1} \ldots \gamma^{2 l}
\end{gathered}
$$

The last generator is of particular importance and we define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{m+1} \equiv \mathrm{i}^{l} \gamma^{1} \ldots \gamma^{m} \tag{12.71}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our convention is such that $\left(\gamma^{m+1}\right)^{2}=I$ and $\left(\gamma^{m+1}\right)^{\dagger}=\gamma^{m+1}$. It can be shown from the general theory of the Clifford algebra that the $\gamma^{x}$ are represented by $2^{l} \times 2^{l}$ matrices with complex entries. It is convenient to take a representation of $\left\{\gamma^{x}\right\}$ such that $\gamma^{m+1}$ is diagonal,

$$
\gamma^{m+1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathbf{1} & 0  \tag{12.72}\\
0 & -\mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

where $\mathbf{1}$ here is the $2^{l-1} \times 2^{l-1}$ unit matrix.
Example 12.4. For $m=2$, we take

$$
\gamma^{0}=\sigma_{2} \quad \gamma^{1}=\sigma_{1} \quad \gamma^{3}=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{0} \gamma^{1}=\sigma_{3}
$$

$\sigma_{\alpha}$ being the Pauli matrices,

$$
\sigma_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & 1 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \sigma_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -\mathrm{i} \\
\mathrm{i} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \sigma_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

For $m=4$, we may take

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma^{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} \alpha^{\beta} \\
-\mathrm{i} \bar{\alpha}^{\beta} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \alpha^{\beta}=\left(I_{2},-\mathrm{i} \sigma\right), \bar{\alpha}^{\beta}=\left(I_{2}, \mathrm{i} \sigma\right) \\
\gamma^{5}=-\gamma^{0} \gamma^{1} \gamma^{2} \gamma^{3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I_{2} & 0 \\
0 & -I_{2}
\end{array}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

A Dirac spinor $\psi \in \Delta(M)$ is an irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra but not that of $\operatorname{SPIN}(2 l)$. Irreducible representations of $\operatorname{SPIN}(2 l)$ are obtained by separating $\Delta(M)$ according to the eigenvalues of $\gamma^{m+1}$. Since $\left(\gamma^{m+1}\right)^{2}=I$, the eigenvalues of $\gamma^{m+1}$, called the chirality, must be $\pm 1$. Then $\Delta(M)$ is separated into two eigenspaces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(M)=\Delta^{+}(M) \oplus \Delta^{-}(M) \tag{12.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma^{m+1} \psi^{ \pm}= \pm \psi^{ \pm}$for $\psi^{ \pm} \in \Delta^{ \pm}(M)$. The projection operators $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm}$onto $\Delta^{ \pm}$are given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{P}^{+} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(I+\gamma^{m+1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{1} & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right)  \tag{12.74a}\\
& \mathcal{P}^{-} \equiv \frac{1}{2}\left(I-\gamma^{m+1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right) . \tag{12.74b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we may write ${ }^{1}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{+}=\binom{\psi^{+}}{0} \in \Delta^{+}(M), \quad \psi^{-}=\binom{0}{\psi^{-}} \in \Delta^{-}(M) \tag{12.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

The reader should verify that $\mathcal{P}^{+}+\mathcal{P}^{-}=\mathbf{1},\left(\mathcal{P}^{ \pm}\right)^{2}=\mathcal{P}^{ \pm}, \mathcal{P}^{+} \mathcal{P}^{-}=$ $0, \mathcal{P}^{ \pm} \psi^{ \pm}=\psi^{ \pm}$and $\mathcal{P}^{ \pm} \psi^{\mp}=0$.

The Dirac operator in a curved space is given by (section 7.10)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi \equiv \mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\partial / \partial x^{\mu}} \psi=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\omega_{\mu}\right) \psi \tag{12.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{i} \omega_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha \beta} \Sigma_{\alpha \beta}$ is the spin connection and $\gamma^{\mu}=\gamma^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}$. Let us prove that $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla$ is elliptic. Let $f$ be a function defined near $p \in M$ such that $f(p)=0$ and $\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \partial_{\mu} f(p)=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \xi_{\mu} \equiv \mathrm{i} \not \psi^{2}$ Take a section $\tilde{\psi} \in \Delta(M)$ such that $\tilde{\psi}(p)=\psi$. From (12.4), we have

$$
\sigma(\mathrm{i} \not \nabla, \xi) \psi=\left.\mathrm{i} \not \nabla(f \tilde{\psi})\right|_{p}=\left.(\mathrm{i} \not \nabla f) \tilde{\psi}\right|_{p}=\mathrm{i} \not \psi^{\psi} \psi
$$

which shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{\nabla}, \sharp)=\mathrm{i} \neq . \tag{12.77}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we note that $\xi_{\xi}=\xi_{\alpha} \xi_{\beta} \gamma^{\alpha} \gamma^{\beta}=\xi^{\mu} \xi_{\mu}$, we find that (12.77) is invertible for $\mathrm{i} \not \approx \neq 0$, hence $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla$ is an elliptic operator.

It can be shown that $\left\{\gamma^{\alpha}\right\}$ is taken in the form

$$
\gamma^{\beta}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} \alpha_{\beta}  \tag{12.78}\\
-\mathrm{i} \bar{\alpha}_{\beta} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \alpha_{\beta}^{\dagger}=\bar{\alpha}_{\beta}
$$

[^10]see example 12.4 for $m=2$ and 4 . Then (12.76) becomes
\[

\mathrm{i} \not \mathrm{\nabla}=\left($$
\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D^{\dagger}  \tag{12.79}\\
D & 0
\end{array}
$$\right)
\]

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \equiv \bar{\alpha}^{\beta} e_{\beta}^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\omega_{\mu}\right) \quad D^{\dagger} \equiv-\alpha^{\beta} e_{\beta}^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\omega_{\mu}\right) \tag{12.80}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $D^{\dagger}$ is, indeed, the adjoint of $D$ (note that $\partial_{\mu}+\omega_{\mu}$ is anti-Hermitian). For

$$
\binom{\psi^{+}}{0} \in \Delta^{+}(M)
$$

we have

$$
\mathrm{i} \not \otimes\binom{\psi^{+}}{0}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D^{\dagger} \\
D & 0
\end{array}\right)\binom{\psi^{+}}{0}=\binom{0}{D \psi^{+}}
$$

while for

$$
\binom{0}{\psi^{-}} \in \Delta^{-}(M)
$$

we have

$$
\mathrm{i} \not \nabla\binom{0}{\psi^{-}}=\binom{D^{\dagger} \psi^{-}}{0} \text {. }
$$

Hence, $D=\mathrm{i} \not \mathcal{P}^{+}: \Delta^{+}(M) \rightarrow \Delta^{-}(M)$ and $D^{\dagger}=\mathrm{i} \not \partial \mathcal{P}^{-}: \Delta^{-}(M) \rightarrow$ $\Delta^{+}(M)$. Now we have a two-term complex

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{+}(M) \underset{D^{\dagger}}{\stackrel{D}{\longrightarrow}} \Delta^{-}(M) \tag{12.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the spin complex. The analytical index of this complex is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind} D=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}=v_{+}-v_{-} \tag{12.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $v_{+}\left(v_{-}\right)$is the number of zero-energy modes of chirality $+(-)$.
Let us apply the AS index theorem to this case. Without getting into the details of the Clifford algebra and the spin complex, we simply write down the result. The AS index theorem for the spin complex (12.81) is

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{+}-v_{-} & =\left.\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}\left(\Delta^{+}(M)-\Delta^{-}(M)\right) \frac{\operatorname{Td}\left(T M^{\mathbb{C}}\right)}{e(T M)}\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \\
& =\left.\int_{M} \hat{A}(T M)\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \tag{12.83}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\hat{A}$ is the Dirac genus defined by (11.94). Since $\hat{A}$ contains only $4 j$-forms, $v_{+}-v_{-}$vanishes unless $m=0 \bmod 4$. Of course, this does not necessarily imply $v_{+}=v_{-}=0$. The proof of (12.83) will be given later in sections 12.9 and 12.10.

### 12.6.2 Twisted spin complexes

In physics, a spinor field may belong to a representation of a group $G$. For example, the quark field in QCD belongs to the $\mathbf{3}$ of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. A spinor which belongs to a representation of $G$ is a section of the product bundle $S(M) \otimes$ $E$, where $E$ is an associated vector bundle of $P(M, G)$ in an appropriate representation. The Dirac operator $D_{E}: \Delta^{+}(M) \otimes E \rightarrow \Delta(M)^{-} \otimes E$ in this case is

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{E}=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\alpha} e_{\alpha}{ }^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\omega_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right) \mathcal{D}_{+} \tag{12.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$ is the gauge potential on $E$. The AS index theorem for this twisted spin complex is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\left.\int_{M} \hat{A}(T M) \operatorname{ch}(E)\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} \tag{12.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $\operatorname{dim} M=2$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}_{1}(E)=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F} \tag{12.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

while for $\operatorname{dim} M=4$,

$$
\begin{align*}
v_{+}-v_{-} & =\int_{M}\left[\operatorname{ch}_{2}(E)+\hat{A}_{1}(T M) \operatorname{ch}_{0}(E)\right] \\
& =\frac{-1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2}+\frac{\operatorname{dim} E}{192 \pi^{2}} \int_{M} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{R}^{2} . \tag{12.87}
\end{align*}
$$

Example 12.5. Let

$$
M=T^{2 l}=\underbrace{S^{1} \times \cdots \times S^{1}}_{2 l \text { times }} .
$$

Then we find

$$
\hat{A}(T M)=\hat{A}\left(\underset{1}{\oplus} T S^{1}\right)=\prod_{1}^{2 l} \hat{A}\left(T S^{1}\right)=1
$$

We also have $\hat{A}\left(T S^{2 l}\right)=1$. Accordingly, the index of these bundles is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\left.\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}(E)\right|_{\mathrm{vol}} . \tag{12.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

Example 12.6. Let us consider the monopole bundle $P\left(S^{2}, \mathrm{U}(1)\right)$. If $\mathcal{A}$ is the local gauge potential, the field strength is $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}$. The index theorem is

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} \mathcal{F}=-\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{2}} F \tag{12.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{i} F$. As was shown in section 10.5, the RHS represents the winding number $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{U}(1))=\mathbb{Z}$ and analytical information (the LHS) is now expressed in a topological way (the RHS).

Let $P\left(S^{4}, \mathrm{SU}(2)\right)$ be the instanton bundle. Expression (12.88) reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F})=\frac{-1}{8 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}^{2} \tag{12.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

The RHS represents the instanton number $k \in \pi_{3}(\mathrm{SU}(2))=\mathbb{Z}$. Note that $k>0$ if $\mathcal{F}=* \mathcal{F}$ while $k<0$ if $\mathcal{F}=-* \mathcal{F}$. It can be shown that $\nu_{-}=0\left(v_{+}=0\right)$ if $k>0(k<0)$, see Jackiw and Rebbi (1977). For example, let $\mathcal{F}$ be self-dual. Suppose $\psi^{-} \in \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}=\operatorname{ker} D D^{\dagger}$. From (12.80), we find that

$$
D D^{\dagger} \psi^{-}=\left[\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)^{2}+2 \mathrm{i} \bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}\right] \psi^{-}=0
$$

where $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \equiv(1 / 4 \mathrm{i})\left(\alpha^{\mu} \bar{\alpha}^{\nu}-\alpha^{\nu} \bar{\sigma}^{\mu}\right)$. It is easily verified that $\bar{\sigma}^{\mu \nu}$ is anti-self-dual $\left(\bar{\sigma}^{\mu \nu}=-* \bar{\sigma}^{\nu \mu}\right)$ and hence $\bar{\sigma}_{\mu \nu} \mathcal{F}^{\mu \nu}=0$. Since $\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)^{2}$ is a positive-definite operator, it has no normalizable bound states. This verifies that $\operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}=\emptyset$.

### 12.7 The heat kernel and generalized $\zeta$-functions

As we mentioned in section 12.2, there are several methods of proving the AS index theorem. The heat kernel is relatively accessible to physicists and it also has many applications to other problems in physics. The generalized $\zeta$-function is related to the heat kernel and also has relevance in physics.

### 12.7.1 The heat kernel and index theorem

Let $E$ be a complex vector bundle over an $m$-dimensional compact manifold $M$. Let $\Delta: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)$ be an elliptic operator with eigenvectors $|n\rangle$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta|n\rangle=\lambda_{n}|n\rangle \tag{12.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote the set of eigenvalues of $\Delta$ by $\operatorname{Spec} \Delta$. We assume that $\Delta$ is nonnegative, i.e. all the eigenvalues are non-negative. Suppose there are $n_{0}$ modes $|0, i\rangle, 1 \leq i \leq n_{0}$ with vanishing eigenvalue. In other words,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta=n_{0} . \tag{12.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

These modes are called the zero modes. Define the heat kernel $h(t)$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(t) \equiv \mathrm{e}^{-t \Delta} \tag{12.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is convenient to represent $h(t)$ in the coordinate basis as

$$
\begin{align*}
h(x, y ; t) \equiv\langle x| h(t)|y\rangle & =\langle x| \sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-t \Delta}|n\rangle\langle n \mid y\rangle \\
& =\sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-t \lambda_{n}}\langle x \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid y\rangle . \tag{12.94}
\end{align*}
$$

Multiple eigenstates should be counted as many times as they appear. We assume $\langle x \mid n\rangle$ is orthonormal: $\int\langle n \mid x\rangle\langle x \mid m\rangle \mathrm{d} x=\delta_{m n}$. The convergence of (12.93) for $t>0$ is guaranteed since $\Delta$ is non-negative. Taking the limit $t \rightarrow \infty$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} h(x, y ; t)=\sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}}\langle x \mid 0, i\rangle\langle 0, i \mid y\rangle \tag{12.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the summation is over the zero modes $|0, i\rangle$ only. Thus, $h=\mathrm{e}^{-t \Delta}$ tends to be the projection operator onto the space of zero modes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-t \Delta} \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i=1}^{n_{0}}|0, i\rangle\langle 0, i| . \tag{12.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}(t) \equiv \int h(x, x ; t) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{n} \mathrm{e}^{-t \lambda_{n}} . \tag{12.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then it follows from (12.95) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
n_{0}=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}(t) \tag{12.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $h$ satisfies the heat equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta_{x}\right) h(x, y ; t)=0 \tag{12.99}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $\Delta$ is the conventional Laplacian, (12.99) reduces to the ordinary heat equation. The initial condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, y ; 0)=\sum_{n}\langle x \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid y\rangle=\delta(x-y) \tag{12.100}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the last equality follows from the completeness of the eigenvectors.
Exercise 12.4. Let $u(x, t)$ be a solution of (12.99) such that $u(x, 0)=u(x)$. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(x, t)=\int h(x, y ; t) u(y) \mathrm{d} y \tag{12.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Hint: First verify that (12.101) satisfies the initial condition, next that it is a solution of the heat equation.]

It is known that the solution of (12.99) has an asymptotic expansion for $t \rightarrow \varepsilon$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
h(x, x ; \varepsilon)=\sum_{i} a_{i}(x) \varepsilon^{i} \tag{12.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

see Gilkey (1984). Similarly, $h(t)$ has an expansion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{h}(\epsilon) \equiv \sum_{i} a_{i} \varepsilon^{i} \tag{12.103}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}=\int a_{i}(x) \mathrm{d} x$.
Let $E$ and $F$ be complex vector bundles over $M$ and $D: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma(M, F)$ be an elliptic operator. We define two Laplacians

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{E} \equiv D^{\dagger} D: \Gamma(M, E) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, E)  \tag{12.104a}\\
& \Delta_{F} \equiv D D^{\dagger}: \Gamma(M, F) \rightarrow \Gamma(M, F) \tag{12.104b}
\end{align*}
$$

It is important to note that they have the same non-vanishing eigenvalues including the degeneracy. To see this, let $\Delta_{E}|\lambda\rangle=\lambda|\lambda\rangle$. Then there is a vector $D|\lambda\rangle \in \Gamma(M, F)$ such that

$$
\Delta_{F}(D|\lambda\rangle)=D D^{\dagger} D|\lambda\rangle=D \Delta_{E}|\lambda\rangle=\lambda(D|\lambda\rangle)
$$

Note that $D|\lambda\rangle \neq 0$ since $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{E}=\operatorname{ker} D$. Conversely, if $\left.\mid \mu\right) \in \Gamma(M, F)$ satisfies $\left.\left.\Delta_{F} \mid \mu\right)=\mu \mid \mu\right)$, then $\left.D^{\dagger} \mid \mu\right) \in \Gamma(M, E)$ is an eigenvector of $\Delta_{E}$ with the same eigenvalue $\mu$. Thus, we have found the symmetry ${ }^{3}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Spec}^{\prime} \Delta_{E}=\operatorname{Spec}^{\prime} \Delta_{F} \tag{12.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime denotes that the zero eigenmodes are omitted.
Define two heat kernels $h_{E}$ and $h_{F}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& h_{E}(x, y, t)=\sum \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{n}}\langle x \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid y\rangle  \tag{12.106a}\\
& \left.h_{F}(x, y, t)=\sum \mathrm{e}^{-\mu_{m}}\langle x| m\right)(m|y\rangle \tag{12.106b}
\end{align*}
$$

We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{h}_{E}(t) & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{E} \tag{12.107a}
\end{align*}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D .
$$

What is more interesting is the index of $D$. Since $\operatorname{ker} D=\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{E}$ and $\operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}=$ $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{F}$, we have

$$
\text { ind } \begin{align*}
D & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{E}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \Delta_{F} \\
& =\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty}\left[\tilde{h}_{E}(t)-\tilde{h}_{F}(t)\right]=\tilde{h}_{E}(t)-\tilde{h}_{F}(t) \tag{12.108}
\end{align*}
$$

The final equality follows since the $t$-dependent part of $\tilde{h}_{E}(t)-\tilde{h}_{F}(t)$ cancels out by the symmetry (12.105). We expand $\tilde{h}_{E}(t)$ and $\tilde{h}_{F}(t)$ as

$$
\tilde{h}_{E}(t)=\sum a_{i}^{E} t^{i} \quad \tilde{h}_{F}(t)=\sum a_{i}^{F} t^{i}
$$

${ }^{3}$ This is a kind of 'supersymmetry', see section 12.10.

Picking up $t$-independent terms, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } D=a_{0}^{E}-a_{0}^{F}=\int \mathrm{d} x\left[a_{0}^{E}(x)-a_{0}^{F}(x)\right] \mathrm{d} x \tag{12.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{0}^{E, F}(x)$ are defined in (12.102).
In general, $a_{0}^{E, F}(x)$ are local invariants written in terms of curvature twoforms. In section 13.2, we use the heat kernel to prove the index theorem

$$
\text { ind } D=v_{+}-v_{-}=\left.\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{\mathrm{vol}}
$$

for the twisted spin complex over a manifold with $\hat{A}(T M)=1$.
Exercise 12.5. Let $D, D^{\dagger}, \Delta_{E}$ and $\Delta_{F}$ be as before. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
I(s) \equiv \operatorname{tr}\left[\frac{s}{\Delta_{E}+s}-\frac{s}{\Delta_{F}+s}\right] \quad \operatorname{Re} s>0 \tag{12.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

is independent of $s$. Show also that $I(s)=\operatorname{ind} D$.

### 12.7.2 Spectral $\zeta$-functions

Let $E$ and $F$ be vector bundles over $M$. Define a new function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{E}(x, y ; s) \equiv \sum^{\prime}\langle x \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid y\rangle \lambda_{n}^{-s} \quad \operatorname{Re} s>0 \tag{12.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta_{E}|n\rangle=\lambda_{n}|n\rangle$ and the prime denotes the omission of the zero modes $\left(\lambda_{n}=0\right)$. A function $\zeta_{F}(x, y ; s)$ may similarly be defined for $\Delta_{F}$. The functions $h_{E}$ and $\zeta_{E}$ are related by the Mellin transformation. To see this, we recall the definition of the $\Gamma$-function,

$$
\Gamma(s) \equiv \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \mathrm{e}^{-t} \mathrm{~d} t=\lambda^{s} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda t} \mathrm{~d} t
$$

where $\lambda$ is taken to be strictly positive. From this we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Gamma(s) \zeta(x, y ; s) & =\sum_{n}^{\prime} \int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1} \mathrm{e}^{-\lambda_{n} t}\langle x \mid n\rangle\langle n \mid y\rangle \mathrm{d} t \\
& =\int_{0}^{\infty} t^{s-1}\left[h(x, y ; t)-\sum_{i}\langle x \mid 0, i\rangle\langle 0, i \mid y\rangle\right] \mathrm{d} t \tag{12.112}
\end{align*}
$$

We also note that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\zeta_{\Delta}(s) \equiv \int_{M} \zeta(x, x ; s) \mathrm{d} x=\sum_{n}^{\prime} \lambda_{n}^{-s} \tag{12.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the spectral $\zeta$-function defined in (1.158).
Exercise 12.6. Verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{-s} f(x)=\int \zeta(x, y ; s) f(y) \mathrm{d} y \tag{12.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the general power of an operator may be defined in the sense of an eigenvalue, namely we put $\Delta^{-s}|n\rangle=\lambda_{n}^{-s}|n\rangle$. Re $s$ is assumed to be sufficiently large so that (12.114) is well defined. [Hint: Use the completeness of the eigenvectors.]

Example 12.7. The following example is taken from Kulkarni (1975). Let $M=$ $S^{1}=\left\{\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta}\right\}$ and $E=F=$ a trivial line bundle over $S^{1}$ (a cylinder). Take an operator $\Delta \equiv-\partial^{2} / \partial \theta^{2}$. From the eigenvalue equation,

$$
-\frac{\partial^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}}{\partial \theta^{2}}=n^{2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta} \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}
$$

we find that

$$
\lambda_{n}=n^{2} \quad\langle\theta \mid n\rangle=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n \theta}
$$

The heat kernel is

$$
\begin{align*}
h\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; t\right) & =\sum \mathrm{e}^{-n^{2} t}\left\langle\theta_{1} \mid n\right\rangle\left\langle n \mid \theta_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi}\left(1+\sum^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-n^{2} t} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)}\right) \tag{12.115}
\end{align*}
$$

while

$$
\begin{align*}
\zeta\left(\theta_{1}, \theta_{2} ; s\right) & =\sum^{\prime} n^{-2 s}\left\langle\theta_{1} \mid n\right\rangle\left\langle n \mid \theta_{2}\right\rangle \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \sum^{\prime} n^{-2 s} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} n\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)} \tag{12.116}
\end{align*}
$$

We easily verify that $\tilde{h}(t)=1+\sum^{\prime} \mathrm{e}^{-n^{2} t}$ satisfies

$$
1+2 \int_{1}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} t} \mathrm{~d} x<\tilde{h}(t)<1+2 \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} t} \mathrm{~d} x
$$

We then find from these inequalities that

$$
\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} t} \mathrm{~d} x-1<\tilde{h}(t)<\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} t} \mathrm{~d} x+1
$$

or by putting the value

$$
\int \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2} t} \mathrm{~d} x=\sqrt{\pi} t^{-1 / 2}
$$

we find

$$
\sqrt{\pi} t^{-1 / 2}-1<\tilde{h}(t)<\sqrt{\pi} t^{-1 / 2}+1
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{t \rightarrow 0^{+}} \tilde{h}(t) \sim \sqrt{\pi} t^{-1 / 2} \tag{12.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, the asymptotic series starts with $t^{-\operatorname{dim} M / 2}$.

### 12.8 The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem

So far we have been concerned with index theorems defined on a compact manifold without a boundary. In practical situations in physics, we often need to find an index of an operator defined over a base space $M$ with a boundary. The extensions of the AS index theorem to these cases are discussed here. Our argument is restricted to the spin bundle over $M$ since this is the only situation we shall be concerned with in chapter 13.

### 12.8.1 $\eta$-invariant and spectral flow

Let i$\nmid \Rightarrow$ be a Hermitian Dirac operator defined on an odd-dimensional manifold $M$, $\operatorname{dim} M=2 l+1$. Since $\mathrm{i} \nmid \boldsymbol{\phi}$ is Hermitian, the eigenvalues $\lambda_{k}$ are real. We define the $\eta$-invariant of $i \nRightarrow$ by the spectral asymmetry of $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta \equiv \sum_{\lambda_{k}>0} 1-\sum_{\lambda_{k}<0} 1 \tag{12.118}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is not well defined and requires a proper regularization. For example, we may define $\eta$ by $\lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \eta(s)$ where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(s) \equiv \sum_{k}^{\prime} \operatorname{sgn}\left(\lambda_{k}\right)\left|\lambda_{k}\right|^{-2 s} \quad \operatorname{Re} s>0 \tag{12.119}
\end{equation*}
$$

It can be shown that, under proper boundary conditions, $\eta(s)$ has no pole at $s=0$.
Exercise 12.7. Use the Mellin transformation

$$
\frac{1}{2} \Gamma\left(\frac{s+1}{2}\right) a^{-(s+1) / 2}=\int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{s} \mathrm{e}^{-a x^{2}} \quad a>0
$$

to verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(s)=\frac{2}{\Gamma\left(\frac{1}{2}(s+1)\right)} \int_{0}^{\infty} \mathrm{d} x x^{s} \operatorname{tri} \not \forall \mathrm{e}^{-x^{2}(\mathrm{i} \not \forall)^{2}} . \tag{12.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose a Dirac field is interacting with an external gauge potential $\mathcal{A}_{t}, t \in$ $[0,1]$. The Dirac operator i $\not \subset\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right)$ has a $t$-dependent eigenvalue problem. If an eigenvalue of $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right)$ crosses zero, the $\eta$-invariant jumps by $\pm 2$. This jump


Figure 12.1. Whenever an eigenvalue $\lambda$ crosses zero (a), the $\eta$-invariant jumps by $\pm 2$ (b). The sign depends on the way in which $\lambda$ crosses zero.
denotes the spectral flow from $\lambda \gtrless 0$ modes to $\lambda \lessgtr 0$ modes; if $\eta$ jumps by $+2(-2)$, there is a flow of a state from $\lambda<0$ to $\lambda>0(\lambda>0$ to $\lambda<0)$, see figure 12.1. In addition to the discontinuous change associated with the spectral flow, i申t also has a continuous variation $\eta_{c}$. We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(t=1)-\eta(t=0)=\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta_{c}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+2 \times \text { (spectral flow) } \tag{12.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 12.8.2 The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer (APS) index theorem

Let us consider a $(2 l+2)$-dimensional Dirac operator

$$
\mathrm{i} \hat{D}_{2 l+2}=\mathrm{i} \sigma_{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sigma_{2} \otimes \mathrm{i} \not \supset\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D  \tag{12.122a}\\
D^{\dagger} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\mathrm{i} \partial_{t}-\not \emptyset\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right) \quad D^{\dagger}=\mathrm{i} \partial_{t}+\not \subset\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right) . \tag{12.122b}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remark: The positions of $D$ and $D^{\dagger}$ are reversed since

$$
\gamma^{2 l+3}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\mathbf{1} & 0 \\
0 & \mathbf{1}
\end{array}\right)
$$

for our choice of $\gamma$-matrices; cf (12.79).]
Theorem 12.3. (Atiyah-Patodi-Singer theorem) Let $M$ be an odd-dimensional manifold and $\mathrm{i} \sharp\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right)$ a Dirac operator on $M$ interacting with an external gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{t}$. Then,

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { ind } D & =\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger} \\
& =\left.\int_{M \times I} \hat{A}(\mathcal{R}) \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{\mathrm{vol}}-\frac{1}{2}\left[\eta\left(\mathrm{i} \not \supset\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)\right)-\eta\left(\mathrm{i} \not \subset\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)\right)\right] . \tag{12.123}
\end{align*}
$$

The general argument shows that the continuous part $\eta_{c}$ of the $\eta$-invariant satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta_{c}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=\left.2 \int_{M \times I} \hat{A}(\mathcal{R}) \operatorname{ch}(\mathcal{F})\right|_{\mathrm{vol} .} \tag{12.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then the RHS of (12.123) is simply the spectral flow

$$
-\frac{1}{2}[\eta(t=1)-\eta(t=0)]+\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \mathrm{~d} t \frac{\mathrm{~d} \eta_{c}}{\mathrm{~d} t}=- \text { spectral flow. }
$$

Thus, we find another expression for the APS index theorem,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind i } \hat{D}_{2 l+2}=- \text { spectral flow. } \tag{12.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

The proof of the APS index theorem in its most general form is found in Atiyah et al (1975a, b, 1976). The physicists' proof is found in Alvarez-Gaumé et al (1985). We use the APS index theorem to study the odd-dimensional parity anomaly in section 13.6.

Example 12.8. To see why the spectral flow appears in the index theorem, we consider an example taken from Atiyah (1985). Let $M=S^{1}$ and $\theta$ be its coordinate. Consider a Hermitian operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t} \equiv \mathrm{i}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}-\mathrm{i} t\right)=\mathrm{i} \partial_{\theta}+t \quad t \in \mathbb{R} \tag{12.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

The term -it is thought of as a $U(1)$ gauge potential. The eigenvector and the eigenvalue of $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}$ are

$$
\psi_{n, t}(\theta)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta}(n \in \mathbb{Z}) \quad \lambda_{n}(t)=n+t
$$

Since Spec $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}=\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{i} \nabla_{t+1}$, the family of operators $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}$ is periodic in $t$ with the period 1 , see figure 12.2. This periodicity manifests itself in the gauge equivalence of $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}$ and $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t+1}$ :

$$
\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t+1}=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \mathrm{i} \nabla_{t} \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} \theta}
$$

There is precisely unit spectral flow from $\lambda<0$ to $\lambda>0$ at $t=0$ while $t$ changes from $-\varepsilon$ to $1-\varepsilon, \varepsilon$ being a small positive number. From $\nabla_{t}$, we construct a two-dimensional Dirac operator

$$
\mathrm{i} \not D_{2} \equiv \mathrm{i} \sigma_{1} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sigma_{2} \otimes \mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & D  \tag{12.127a}\\
D^{\dagger} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
D \equiv \mathrm{i} \partial_{t}+\partial_{\theta}-\mathrm{i} t \quad D^{\dagger} \equiv \mathrm{i} \partial_{t}-\partial_{\theta}+\mathrm{i} t \tag{12.127b}
\end{equation*}
$$

These operators act on functions which satisfy the boundary conditions

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(\theta+2 \pi, t)=\phi(\theta, t) \quad \phi(\theta, t+1)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \theta} \phi(\theta, t) . \tag{12.128}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 12.2. Time evolution of the eigenvalues of $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}$. Spec $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{t}$ has period 1. The $i$ th eigenvalue crosses zero at $t=0$ and, hence, there is a unit spectral flow.

Let $\phi_{0} \in \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$. We have a Fourier expansion

$$
\phi_{0}(\theta, t)=\sum a_{n}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta}
$$

It follows from $D^{\dagger} \phi_{0}=0$ that

$$
a_{n}^{\prime}(t)+(n+t) a_{n}(t)=0
$$

which is easily solved to yield

$$
a_{n}(t)=c_{n} \exp \left(-\frac{(n+t)^{2}}{2}\right)
$$

The boundary conditions (12.128) require that

$$
\sum_{n} c_{n} \exp \left(-\frac{(n+t+1)^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta}=\sum_{n} c_{n} \exp \left(-\frac{(n+t)^{2}}{2}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(n-1) \theta}
$$

from which we find that $c_{n}$ is independent of $n$. Thus, $\operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$ is one dimensional and is spanned by the theta function,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{0}(\theta, t)=\sum \exp \left(-\frac{(n+t)^{2}}{2}-\mathrm{i} n \theta\right) \tag{12.129}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\tilde{\phi}_{0}(\theta, t) \in \operatorname{ker} D$. If we put $\tilde{\phi}_{0}(\theta, t)=\sum b_{n}(t) \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i} n \theta}, b_{n}(t)$ satisfies

$$
b_{n}^{\prime}(t)-(n+t) b_{n}(t)=0
$$

The solution of this equation is

$$
b_{n}(t)=b_{n}(0) \exp \frac{(n+t)^{2}}{2}
$$

and, hence, $\tilde{\phi}_{0}$ cannot be normalized. This shows that

$$
\text { ind } D=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}=-1
$$

which agrees with - (spectral flow).

### 12.9 Supersymmetric quantum mechanics

We present, in the next section, the physicists' proof of the index theorem in its simplest setting. The proof is heavily based on path integral formulation of supersymmetric quantum mechanics (SUSYQM), which will be outlined in the present section.

We have studied the path integral quantization of bosons and fermions. If these particles are combined together, there appears a new symmetry called supersymmetry. We will introduce a special class of SUSYQM later, which turns out to be crucial in the proof of an index theorem.

This and the next sections may be read separately from the previous sections. The necessary tools are supplied to make these sections self-contained. Our exposition follows Alvarez (1995) and Nakahara (1998). Original references are Alvarez-Gaumé L (1983) and Friedan and Windey (1984, 1985).

### 12.9.1 Clifford algebra and fermions

We restrict ourselves to a particle moving in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$ to start with. More general settings will be studied later. Let $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}=\left\{\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}\right\}$ be real Grassmann variables, where $i=1,2,3$ labels the coordinate index. They satisfy the algebra

$$
\left\{\psi_{i}, \psi_{j}\right\}=0
$$

Let us consider the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{i} \dot{\psi}_{i}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \epsilon_{i j k} B_{i} \psi_{j} \psi_{k} \tag{12.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $B_{i}$ is a real number. The canonical conjugate momentum for $\psi_{i}$ is

$$
\pi_{i} \equiv \frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}_{i}}=-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{i}
$$

Then the Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\dot{\psi}_{i} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{i}-L=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \epsilon_{i j k} B_{i} \psi_{j} \psi_{k} \tag{12.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Poincaré one-form of this system is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{i} \mathrm{~d} \psi_{i} \tag{12.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding symplectic two-form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega=\mathrm{d} \theta=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \mathrm{~d} \psi_{i} \wedge \mathrm{~d} \psi_{i} \tag{12.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

from which we obtain the Poisson bracket

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\psi_{j}, \mathrm{i} \psi_{k}\right]_{\mathrm{PB}}=\mathrm{i} \delta_{j k} . \tag{12.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

Quantization of the system is achieved by replacing this Poisson bracket by the anti-commutation relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\psi_{j}, \psi_{k}\right\}=\delta_{j k} \tag{12.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

This anti-commutation relation is called the Clifford algebra in $\mathbb{R}^{3}$. Let $\sigma_{i}$ be the $i$ th component of the Pauli matrices. It is easily verified from the observation

$$
\left\{\sigma_{j}, \sigma_{k}\right\}=2 \delta_{j k}
$$

that $\psi_{i}=\sigma_{i} / \sqrt{2}$ is the two-dimensional representation of the Clifford algebra. It is known that the finite-dimensional irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra is unique (modulo conjugate transformations). Thus, the Hilbert space of this system turns out to be $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2}$. The Hamiltonian is rewritten in terms of the Pauli matrices as

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=-\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{B} \cdot \boldsymbol{\sigma} \tag{12.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

This Hamiltonian is known as the Pauli Hamiltonian and describes a spin in a magnetic field.

Similarly, the Clifford algebra defined in $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and $\mathbb{R}^{2 n+1}$ acts on the Hilbert space $\mathcal{H}=\mathbb{C}^{2^{n}}$.

### 12.9.2 Supersymmetric quantum mechanics in flat space

The Pauli Hamiltonian is made only of the spin coordinates $\psi_{i}$ and is independent of the space coordinate $x_{k}$. Accordingly, it cannot describe a travelling spin. Now the Hamiltonian is modified so that the spin may move around the space. This can be realized by adding a kinetic term to the Hamiltonian. Let us consider a spin in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and put $\boldsymbol{B}=0$ to obtain the Hamiltonian

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{k} \dot{x}_{k}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{k} \dot{\psi}_{k} \tag{12.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

The coefficients of this Lagrangian have been chosen so that the system has a supersymmetry defined later. The canonically conjugate momenta are $p_{k}=\dot{x}_{k}$ and $\pi_{k}=-\mathrm{i} \psi_{k} / 2$, from which we obtain the Poisson brackets of the system

$$
\left[x_{j}, x_{k}\right]_{\mathrm{PB}}=\left[p_{j}, p_{k}\right]_{\mathrm{PB}}=0 \quad\left[x_{j}, p_{k}\right]_{\mathrm{PB}}=\left[\psi_{j}, \psi_{k}\right]_{\mathrm{PB}}=\delta_{j k}
$$

It is easy to derive (anti)commutation relations from these Poisson brackets. The canonical (anti)commutation relations are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[x_{j}, x_{k}\right]=\left[p_{j}, p_{k}\right]=0 \quad\left[x_{j}, p_{k}\right]=\left\{\psi_{j}, \psi_{k}\right\}=\delta_{j k} \tag{12.138}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian is

$$
\begin{equation*}
H=\dot{x}_{j} p_{j}-\dot{\psi}_{j} \frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{j}-L=\frac{1}{2} p^{2}=-\frac{1}{2} \Delta \tag{12.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta=\sum_{k=1}^{d} \partial_{k}^{2}$ is the $d$-dimensional Laplacian. The Hilbert space on which $H$ acts is $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{n}}$, where $L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{d}\right)$ stands for the set of square-integrable functions in $\mathbb{R}^{d}$ and $n \equiv[d / 2]$ is the integer part of $d / 2$.

Variation of the Lagrangian yields

$$
\delta L=\dot{x}_{j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta x_{j}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \delta \psi_{j} \dot{\psi}_{j}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta \psi_{j} .
$$

Let us verify that the Lagrangian is invariant under the following supersymmetry transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta x_{j}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi_{j} \quad \delta \psi_{j}=-\epsilon \dot{x}_{j} \tag{12.140}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\epsilon$ is an 'infinitesimal' real Grassmann constant. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta L & =\mathrm{i} \dot{x}_{j} \epsilon \dot{\psi}_{j}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \epsilon \dot{x}_{j} \dot{\psi}_{j}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{j} \epsilon \ddot{x}_{j} \\
& =\mathrm{i} \dot{x}_{j} \epsilon \dot{\psi}_{j}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \epsilon \dot{x}_{j} \dot{\psi}_{j}-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\psi_{j} \epsilon \dot{x}_{j}\right)+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \dot{\psi}_{j} \epsilon \dot{x}_{j} \\
& =-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\psi_{j} \epsilon \dot{x}_{j}\right) \tag{12.141}
\end{align*}
$$

and the action $S=\int L \mathrm{~d} t$ is left invariant. The corresponding charge (the generator) is called the supercharge and defined through the Noether's theorem as ${ }^{4}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon Q \equiv \mathrm{i} \epsilon p_{j} \psi_{j}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi_{j} p_{j}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi_{j} \dot{x}_{j} \tag{12.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 12.8. Show that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta x_{j} & =\left[x_{j}, \epsilon Q\right]  \tag{12.143}\\
\delta \psi_{j} & =\left\{\psi_{j}, \epsilon Q\right\} . \tag{12.144}
\end{align*}
$$

4 Note that the mass of the particle is set to unity and hence we have $p_{j}=\dot{x}_{j}$.

These equations show that $Q$ is the generator of SUSY transformations.
Let us take $d=2 n$ to be an even integer and quantize the system in the following. We introduce the matrix representation $\psi_{j}=\gamma_{j} / \sqrt{2}$, which is the generalization of the two-dimensional representation introduced in the previous subsection. Here $\gamma_{j}$ are the $d$-dimensional Dirac matrices that satisfy the Clifford algebra

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\gamma_{j}, \gamma_{k}\right\}=2 \delta_{i j} . \tag{12.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Hamiltonian acts on the Hilbert space

$$
\mathcal{H}=L_{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2 n}\right) \otimes \mathbb{C}^{2^{n}}
$$

The supercharge takes the form, upon diagonalizing the coordinate,

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\mathrm{i} \psi_{j} p_{j}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \gamma_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} . \tag{12.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\not \partial \equiv \gamma_{j} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_{j}} \tag{12.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

is nothing but the Dirac operator in Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^{2 n}$ and plays an important role in the proof of the index theorem.

The hypercharge $Q$ transforms in an interesting way under an SUSY transformation (12.140)

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta Q & =\mathrm{i}\left(\delta \psi_{j}\right) \dot{x}_{j}+i \psi_{j} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} \delta x_{j}=\mathrm{i}\left(-\epsilon \dot{x}_{j}\right) \dot{x}_{j}+\mathrm{i} \psi_{j}\left(\mathrm{i} \epsilon \dot{\psi}_{j}\right) \\
& =-\mathrm{i} \epsilon \dot{x}_{j} \dot{x}_{j}+\epsilon \psi_{j} \dot{\psi}_{j}=-2 \mathrm{i} \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} \dot{x}_{j} \dot{x}_{j}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \psi_{j} \dot{\psi}_{j}\right) \\
& =-2 \mathrm{i} \epsilon L \tag{12.148}
\end{align*}
$$

Namely, the variation of the supercharge under an infinitesimal SUSY transformation is the Lagrangian!

We next consider the relation between the supercharge and the Hamiltonian of the system. Let us consider successive SUSY transformations with Grassmann parameters $\epsilon_{1}$ and $\epsilon_{2}$. If a transformation with $\epsilon_{1}$ is applied first and then $\epsilon_{2}$ next, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{1}} x_{j}+\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{1} \psi_{j} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{2}} x_{j}+\mathrm{i}\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) \psi_{j}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \dot{x}_{j} \\
\psi_{j} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{1}} \psi_{j}-\epsilon_{1} \dot{x}_{j} \xrightarrow{\epsilon_{2}} \psi_{j}-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) \dot{x}_{j}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \dot{\psi}_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

while if the order of the SUSY transformations is reversed,

$$
\begin{aligned}
x_{j} & \rightarrow x_{j}+\mathrm{i}\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) \psi_{j}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{1} \dot{x}_{j} \\
\psi_{j} & \rightarrow \psi_{j}-\left(\epsilon_{1}+\epsilon_{2}\right) \dot{x}_{j}-\mathrm{i} \epsilon_{2} \epsilon_{1} \dot{\psi}_{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We find, from these results, the commutation relation of the SUSY variations:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[\delta_{\epsilon_{2}}, \delta_{\epsilon_{1}}\right]=\delta_{\epsilon_{2}} \delta_{\epsilon_{1}}-\delta_{\epsilon_{1}} \delta_{\epsilon_{2}}=-2 \mathrm{i} \epsilon_{1} \epsilon_{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \tag{12.149}
\end{equation*}
$$

The observation that the commutation relation of two SUSY transformations is a time derivative, i.e. the Hamiltonian, suggests that the anti-commutation relation of the supercharge, the generator of the SUSY transformation, also yields the Hamiltonian. In fact,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\{Q, Q\} & =2 Q^{2}=2\left(\mathrm{i} p_{j} \psi_{j}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} p_{k} \psi_{k}\right) \\
& =-p_{j} p_{k}\left(\psi_{j} \psi_{k}+\psi_{k} \psi_{j}\right)=-p_{j} p_{k} \delta_{j k} \\
& =-2 H
\end{aligned}
$$

After all, the SUSY algebra reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q^{2}=-H \tag{12.150}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $Q$ is anti-Hermitian, the Hamiltonian is a Hermite operator with nonnegative spectrum.

In summary, we proved in equations (12.148) and (12.141) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta Q=-2 \mathrm{i} \epsilon L \quad \delta L=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t} . \tag{12.151}
\end{equation*}
$$

If these equations are compared with the SUSY transformations (12.140) of the coordinates $x_{j}$ and $\psi_{j}$, we readily notice that the roles played by bosonic quantities ( $x_{j}$ and $L$ ) and the fermionic quantities ( $\psi_{j}$ and $Q$ ) are interchanged. Note that the variation of the supercharge $Q$ in (12.151) is always a time derivative of the Lagrangian $L$. This observation is crucial in constructing a SUSY-invariant Lagrangian out of a supercharge $Q$.

### 12.9.3 Supersymmetric quantum mechanics in a general manifold

Let $M$ be a Riemannian manifold with $\operatorname{dim} M=2 n$. The Riemannian metric is

$$
\mathrm{d} s^{2}=g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}
$$

and the inner product of two vectors $X$ and $Y$ with respect to this metric is denoted as

$$
\langle X, Y\rangle=g_{\mu \nu} X^{\mu} Y^{\nu}
$$

The vector $\psi^{\mu}(t)$ belongs to $T M_{x(t)}$ at each instant of time $t$. Therefore, $\psi^{\mu}(t)$ obeys the ordinary transformation rule for a vector under the coordinate transformation $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\prime \mu}=x^{\prime \mu}\left(x^{\nu}\right)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi^{\mu} \rightarrow \psi^{\prime \mu}=\frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \psi^{\nu} \tag{12.152}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, under the SUSY transformation $\delta \equiv \delta_{\epsilon}$, the coordinates transform as

$$
\delta x^{\prime \mu}=\frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta x^{\nu}=\frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi^{\nu}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi^{\prime \mu}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta \psi^{\prime \mu} & =\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\lambda}} \delta x^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu}+\frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}} \delta \psi^{\nu} \\
& =\frac{\partial^{2} x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu} \partial x^{\lambda}} \mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu}+\frac{\partial x^{\prime \mu}}{\partial x^{\nu}}\left(-\mathrm{i} \epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu}\right)=-\epsilon \dot{x}^{\prime \mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the anti-commutativity of Grassmann numbers has been used to obtain the last equality. These transformation rules show that the SUSY transformation is covariant under the coordinate transformation $x^{\mu} \rightarrow x^{\prime \mu}$.

The supercharge $Q$ introduced in the previous subsection should be generalized on the manifold $M$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q=\mathrm{i}\langle\dot{x}, \psi\rangle=\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu} \tag{12.153}
\end{equation*}
$$

The SUSY-invariant Lagrangian on $M$ is constructed from the SUSY variation of this $Q$ as

$$
\begin{aligned}
\delta Q= & \mathrm{i} \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu} \delta x^{\lambda} \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \nu} \delta \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \delta \psi^{\nu} \\
= & \mathrm{i} \partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi^{\lambda} \dot{x}^{\mu} \psi^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \nu}\left(\mathrm{i} \epsilon \dot{\psi}^{\mu}\right) \psi^{\nu}+\mathrm{i} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu}\left(-\epsilon \dot{x}^{\nu}\right) \\
= & -2 \mathrm{i} \epsilon\left[\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \psi^{\nu} \dot{\psi}^{\mu}\right. \\
& \left.\quad-\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu} \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \lambda}-\partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \nu}\right) \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu}\right] \\
= & -2 \mathrm{i} \epsilon\left(\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} g_{\mu \nu} \psi^{\nu} \dot{\psi}^{\mu}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} \dot{x}^{\mu} g_{\lambda \rho} \Gamma^{\rho}{ }_{\mu \nu} \psi^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\Gamma_{\lambda \mu}^{\nu}=\frac{1}{2} g^{\nu \rho}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\rho \mu}+\partial_{\mu} g_{\lambda \rho}-\partial_{\rho} g_{\lambda \nu}\right)
$$

is the Christoffel symbol associated with the Levi-Civita connection. Note the symmetry $\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\mu \nu}=\Gamma^{\lambda}{ }_{\nu \mu}$. By comparing this $\delta Q$ with (12.151), we read off the Lagrangian,

$$
\begin{align*}
L & =\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \psi^{\mu}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d} \psi^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t}+\dot{x}^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\nu}{ }_{\lambda \kappa}(x) \psi^{\kappa}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\langle\dot{x}, \dot{x}\rangle+\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2}\left\langle\psi, \frac{D \psi}{D t}\right\rangle . \tag{12.154}
\end{align*}
$$

Here $D \psi / D t$ is the covariant derivative of $\psi$ along the curve $x(t)$.

Exercise 12.9. Show that the SUSY variation of the Lagrangian is proportional to the time derivative of the supercharge,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta L=\frac{1}{2} \epsilon \frac{\mathrm{~d} Q}{\mathrm{~d} t} . \tag{12.155}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quantum version of the supercharge is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q \sim g_{\mu \nu} p^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \tag{12.156}
\end{equation*}
$$

that is the Dirac operator $\not \partial$ on $M$.
Let us define some symbols that will be employed in the next section. The connection one-form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{\nu}^{\mu}=\mathrm{d} x^{\lambda} \Gamma^{\mu}{ }_{\lambda v} \tag{12.157}
\end{equation*}
$$

while the Riemann curvature two-form is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{v}^{\mu}=\mathrm{d} \Gamma_{\nu}^{\mu}+\Gamma_{\sigma}^{\mu} \wedge \Gamma_{v}^{\sigma} . \tag{12.158}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riemann curvature two-form is expanded in terms of $\mathrm{d} x^{\rho} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\sigma}$ to yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\nu}^{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} R_{\nu \rho \sigma}^{\mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\rho} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\sigma} \tag{12.159}
\end{equation*}
$$

the component of which is the ordinary Riemann curvature tensor. This component is also written in terms of the connection $\nabla_{\mu}$ as

$$
\begin{align*}
R_{\lambda \mu \nu}^{\kappa} & =\left\langle\mathrm{d} x^{\kappa}, \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}-\nabla_{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}}\right\rangle \\
& =\partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\kappa}-\partial_{\nu} \Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\kappa}+\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\eta} \Gamma_{\mu \eta}^{\kappa}-\Gamma_{\mu \lambda}^{\eta} \Gamma_{\nu \eta}^{\kappa} . \tag{12.160}
\end{align*}
$$

### 12.10 Supersymmetric proof of index theorem

The proof of the index theorem in its simplest setting will be given in the present section by making use of the supersymmetric quantum mechanics developed in the previous section.

### 12.10.1 The index

Let us consider vector bundles $E_{ \pm} \xrightarrow{\pi} M, E=E_{+} \oplus E_{-}$and let $\mathcal{D}$ be an elliptic differential operator acting as

$$
\mathcal{D}: \Gamma\left(M, E^{+}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E^{-}\right) .
$$

It is possible, by using the fibre norm, to define the adjoint of $\mathcal{D}$ as

$$
\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}: \Gamma\left(M, E^{-}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, E^{+}\right)
$$

Assuming that $\mathcal{D}$ is Fredholm, the index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Ind} \mathcal{D}=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \tag{12.161}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined.

Theorem 12.4. The number ind $\mathcal{D}$ is invariant under a 'small' deformation of $\mathcal{D}$.

Proof. Note, first, that $\mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}$ and $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}$ are non-negative and, hence, it follows that

$$
\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D} \quad \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}=\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}
$$

Let $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ be the orthonormal set of eigensections of $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}: \Gamma\left(M, E^{+}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(M, E^{+}\right)$:

$$
\left(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}\right) \phi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \phi_{n}
$$

Define $\psi_{n} \equiv \mathcal{D} \phi_{n} / \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}$ for $\lambda_{n}>0$, namely $\phi_{n} \in(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D})^{\perp}$. Then we find that $\psi_{n}$ is an eigensection with the same eigenvalue $\lambda_{n}$, namely $\psi_{n} \in\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\right)^{\perp}$ since

$$
\left(\mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\right) \psi_{n}=\mathcal{D}\left(\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D} \phi_{n}\right) / \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}=\lambda_{n} \mathcal{D} \phi_{n} / \sqrt{\lambda_{n}}=\lambda_{n} \psi_{n}
$$

Note also that $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$ is an orthonormal eigensection,

$$
\left\langle\psi_{n} \mid \psi_{m}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m}}}\left\langle\phi_{n}\right| \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}\left|\phi_{m}\right\rangle=\frac{\lambda_{m}}{\sqrt{\lambda_{n} \lambda_{m}}} \delta_{n m}=\delta_{n m}
$$

Thus, it follows that there is a natural isomorphism between $(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D})^{\perp}$ and $\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\right)^{\perp}$. Note, however, that there exists no such isomorphism between $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}$. Suppose $N$ states in $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}$ obtain non-vanishing eigenvalues as a result of a small perturbation of the operator $\mathcal{D}$ and $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}$ decreases by $N$. Then it follows from this observation that the same number of states must also leave $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}$. Otherwise $(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D})^{\perp}$ is no longer isomorphic to $\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\right)^{\perp}$. Similary, if $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}$ increases by $N$, $\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}$ must also increase by $N$ to keep the pairing properties of $(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D})^{\perp}$ and $\left(\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}\right)^{\perp}$. Therefore, ind $\mathcal{D}$ is invariant under small perturbations of $\mathcal{D}$.

Theorem 12.5. Let $\mathcal{D}$ be a Fredholm differential operator. Then its index is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } \mathcal{D}=\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}}-\operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}} \tag{12.162}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\beta>0$ is a real constant. In fact, the index is independent of $\beta$.

Proof. The traces in (12.162) are over $\left\{\phi_{n}\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{n}\right\}$, respectively. Let $\left\{\phi_{i}^{0}\right\}$ and $\left\{\psi_{i}^{0}\right\}$ be orthonormal eigensections of $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}$ and $\operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}$, respectively, and $1 \leq i \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}$ and $1 \leq j \leq \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}$. Then it follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{Tr} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}}-\operatorname{Tr}^{-\beta \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}} \\
&= \sum_{\lambda_{n} \neq 0}\left\langle\phi_{n}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D}}\left|\phi_{n}\right\rangle-\sum_{\lambda_{n} \neq 0}\left\langle\psi_{n}\right| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}}\left|\psi_{n}\right\rangle \\
&+\sum_{i}\left\langle\phi_{i}^{0} \mid \phi_{i}^{0}\right\rangle-\sum_{j}\left\langle\psi_{j}^{0} \mid \psi_{j}^{0}\right\rangle \\
&= \sum_{\lambda_{n} \neq 0} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \lambda_{n}}\left(\left\langle\phi_{n} \mid \phi_{n}\right\rangle-\left\langle\psi_{n} \mid \psi_{n}\right\rangle\right)+\sum_{i} 1-\sum_{j} 1 \\
& \quad= \operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \\
& \quad= \operatorname{ind} \mathcal{D} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Since the summations over $i$ and $j$ are independent of $\beta$, ind $\mathcal{D}$ thus defined is independent of $\beta$.

The trace that appears in theorem 12.5 is identified with the heat kernel. Let $E=E_{+} \oplus E_{-}$and define a differential operator acting on $E$ by ${ }^{5}$ (cf equation (12.79))

$$
\mathrm{i} Q \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}  \tag{12.163}\\
\mathcal{D} & 0
\end{array}\right): E \rightarrow E .
$$

Moreover, define a 'Hamiltonian' and a matrix $\Gamma$ by

$$
H=(\mathrm{i} Q)^{2}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\mathcal{D}^{\dagger} \mathcal{D} & 0  \tag{12.164}\\
0 & \mathcal{D} \mathcal{D}^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right) \quad \Gamma=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Since $Q$ thus defined is anti-Hermitian, the operator $H$ is Hermite and nonnegative. The index of $\mathcal{D}$ is rewritten in a compact form by making use of $\Gamma$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } \mathcal{D}=\operatorname{Tr} \Gamma \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} \tag{12.165}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $M$ be a spin manifold, for which the second Stiefel-Whitney class $w_{2}(M)$ is trivial. Accordingly, the $\mathrm{SO}(k)$ principal bundle over $M$ may be lifted to the $\operatorname{SPIN}(k)$ principal bundle as

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathrm{SO}(k) \\
\downarrow \pi \\
M
\end{gathered}
$$

Let $E=\Delta(M)$ be this spin bundle. Then, associated with $\Delta(M)$ is a Clifford algebra $\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right\}=2 \delta^{\mu \nu}$. Let us define the chirality operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{2 n+1} \equiv \mathrm{i}^{n} \gamma_{1} \gamma_{2} \ldots \gamma_{2 n} \tag{12.166}
\end{equation*}
$$

5 The operator $Q$ will be identified with the supercharge later.

It follows from $\gamma_{2 n+1}^{2}=1$ that the eigenvalues of $\gamma_{2 n+1}$ are restricted to be $\pm 1$, which we call chirality.
Exercise 12.10. Use the Clifford algebra to show that

$$
\gamma_{2 n+1}^{2}=1 \quad\left\{\gamma_{\mu}, \gamma_{2 n+1}\right\}=0 .
$$

The set of sections $\Gamma(M, \Delta)$ for an even $k$ is not an irreducible representation of $\operatorname{SPIN}(k)$ but can be decomposed into two subspaces according to the chirality as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma(M, \Delta)=\Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{+}\right) \oplus \Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{-}\right) \tag{12.167}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{ \pm} \in \Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{ \pm}\right)$satisfy $\gamma_{2 n+1} \psi_{ \pm}= \pm \psi_{ \pm}$. We assign the fermion number $F=0$ to sections in $\Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{+}\right)$while $F=1$ for those in $\Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{-}\right)$. Then the $\Gamma$ defined in (12.164) can be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma=(-1)^{F} . \tag{12.168}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that the operator $Q$ flips the chirality and hence $\{Q, \Gamma\}=0$.
Let $Q$ be the Dirac operator on $M$ and let $\Gamma=\gamma_{2 n+1}$. In fact, it follows from exercise 12.11 that $\left\{Q, \gamma_{2 n+1}\right\}=0$ and $\gamma_{2 n+1}$ is identified with $(-1)^{F}$. When $\Gamma$ is diagonalized as in (12.164), the chirality eigensections are expressed as ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{+}=\binom{\psi_{+}}{0} \quad \psi_{-}=\binom{0}{\psi_{-}} . \tag{12.169}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be then clear that $\mathcal{D}: \Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{+}\right) \rightarrow \Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{-}\right)$and $\mathcal{D}^{\dagger}: \Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{-}\right) \rightarrow$ $\Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{+}\right)$are identified with $D$ and $D^{\dagger}$, respectively, in (12.79). Accordingly, the index of the Dirac operator is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D-\operatorname{dim} \operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger} \tag{12.170}
\end{equation*}
$$

Physicists often call the sections in $\operatorname{ker} D$ and $\operatorname{ker} D^{\dagger}$ zero modes. Then, the index of the Dirac operator is the difference between the number of positive and negative chirality zero modes. This index has a path integral expression as we see in the next subsection.

### 12.10.2 Path integral and index theorem

Let us consider a Dirac operator $Q$ on a $2 n$-dimensional spin manifold $M$. We employ Euclidean time ( $t \rightarrow-\mathrm{i} t$ ) from now on.

Let $H=(\mathrm{i} Q)^{2}=\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu} p^{\mu} p^{\nu}$ be the Hamiltonian corresonding to $Q$. Then the index of the Dirac operator has a path integral expression

$$
\text { ind } \begin{align*}
Q & =\operatorname{Tr} \Gamma \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}=\operatorname{Tr}(-1)^{F} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} \\
& =\int_{\mathrm{PBC}} \mathcal{D} x \mathcal{D} \psi \mathrm{e}^{-\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} t L} \tag{12.171}
\end{align*}
$$

${ }^{6}$ Note the slight abuse of notations. The symbols $\psi_{ \pm}$have been used to denote sections in $\Gamma(M, S)$ as well as those in $\Gamma\left(M, \Delta^{ \pm}\right)$.
where the Lagrangian $L$ has been introduced in (12.154),

$$
\begin{equation*}
L=\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \psi^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t} \tag{12.172}
\end{equation*}
$$

and PBC stands for the boundary condition in which the path integral is over functions satisfying a periodic boundary condition over $[0, \beta]$. The factor $(-1)^{F}$ disappears if the anti-periodic boundary condition for the fermionic variables is changed into a periodic one. This can be seen from the following observation. In the path integral formalism, the trace with $(-1)^{F}$ is (see section 1.5)

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}(-1)^{F} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} & =\sum_{n}\langle n|(-1)^{F} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|n\rangle \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\langle-\theta|(-1)^{F} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|\theta\rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta} \tag{12.173}
\end{align*}
$$

where $F=c^{\dagger} c$ is the Fermion number operator. By noting that

$$
|\theta\rangle=|0\rangle+|1\rangle \theta \quad(-1)^{F}|\theta\rangle=|0\rangle-|1\rangle \theta=|-\theta\rangle
$$

this integral is cast into the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} \theta^{*} \mathrm{~d} \theta\langle\theta| \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H}|\theta\rangle \mathrm{e}^{-\theta^{*} \theta} \tag{12.174}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, by eliminating $(-1)^{F}$, we have to change the boundary condition to a periodic one.

This path integral is evaluated in the rest of this section to show that it reduces to a topological index obtained from the Dirac $\hat{A}$-genus.

The SUSY transformation in Euclidean time is obtained by the replacement $t \rightarrow-\mathrm{i} t$ in (12.140) as

$$
\delta x^{\mu}=\mathrm{i} \epsilon \psi^{\mu} \quad \delta \psi^{\mu}=-\mathrm{i} \epsilon \dot{x}^{\mu} .
$$

As was shown in the previous subsection, the index is independent of $\beta$ and, hence, we may consider the limit $\beta \downarrow 0$ in computing the trace. By rescaling the time parameter as $t=\beta s$, we cast the action into the form

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\int_{0}^{\beta} & \mathrm{d} t
\end{array}\right] \frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \dot{x}^{\mu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\mu \nu}(x) \psi^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}\right] .
$$

Thus, any path with $\dot{x} \neq 0$ has an exponentially small contribution to the path integral in the limit $\beta \downarrow 0$. Accordingly, the contributions to the path integral come only from paths $x(t)=$ constant in this limit. Clearly, these paths satisfy the periodic boundary condition.

The periodic boundary condition forces us to take the set of loops in $M$, which we will denote as $L(M)$, as the configuration space of the bosonic coordinates. To apply the saddle point method to the evaluation of the path integral, we have to find the set $\mathcal{M}$ of the extrema of the action, namely the solutions of the classical Euler-Lagrange equations

$$
\begin{align*}
-g_{\lambda \mu}(x) \frac{\mathrm{D} \dot{x}^{\mu}}{\mathrm{D} t} & +\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu \lambda \rho} \psi^{\mu} \psi^{\nu} \dot{x}^{\rho} \tag{12.176}
\end{align*}=0 .
$$

It is instructive to outline the derivation of these equations since the anticommutativity of Grassmann numbers and the symmetries of the Riemann tensor are fully utilized. The Euler-Lagrange equation for $\psi^{\mu}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{\partial L}{\partial \psi^{\rho}}-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{\psi}^{\rho}}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2} g_{\rho \nu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}-\frac{1}{2} g_{\kappa \nu} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda \rho}^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(g_{\rho \nu} \psi^{\nu}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\rho \nu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}-g_{\kappa \nu} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda \rho}^{\nu} \psi^{\kappa}+\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\rho \nu}\right) \dot{x}^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu}+g_{\rho \nu} \dot{\psi}^{\nu}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

By multiplying both sides by $g^{\mu \rho}$ and summing over $\rho$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =\frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\mu}}{\mathrm{D} t}-g^{\mu \rho} g_{\kappa \nu} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda \rho}^{\nu} \psi^{\kappa}+g^{\mu \rho}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\rho \nu}\right) \dot{x}^{\lambda} \psi^{\nu}+\dot{\psi}^{\mu} \\
& =\frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\mu}}{\mathrm{D} t}+\dot{\psi}^{\mu}+\dot{x}^{\lambda}\left[g^{\mu \rho}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\rho \nu}\right)-g^{\mu \rho} g_{\nu \kappa} \Gamma_{\lambda \rho}^{\kappa}\right] \psi^{\nu}=2 \frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\mu}}{\mathrm{D} t}
\end{aligned}
$$

which proves (12.177). Here, use has been made of the identity

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g^{\mu \rho}\left[\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\rho \nu}\right)-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\nu \rho}+\partial_{\rho} g_{\nu \lambda}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \rho}\right)\right] \\
& \quad=g^{\mu \rho} \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\rho \nu}+\partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \rho}-\partial_{\rho} g_{\nu \lambda}\right)=\Gamma_{\nu \lambda}^{\mu}
\end{aligned}
$$

in the square brackets in the second line above.
Let us prove the equation of motion for $x^{\mu}$ next. We find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial L}{\partial x^{\mu}}= & \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(\frac{\partial L}{\partial \dot{x}^{\mu}}\right) \\
= & \frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\alpha \beta}\right) \dot{x}^{\alpha} \dot{x}^{\beta}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} g_{\alpha \beta}\right) \psi^{\alpha} \frac{\mathrm{D} \psi^{\beta}}{\mathrm{D} t}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} \psi^{\alpha} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\beta} \psi^{\kappa} \\
& -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\left(g_{\mu \nu} \dot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} \psi^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \psi^{\kappa}\right) \\
= & -\left[g_{\mu \nu} \ddot{x}^{\nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\mu \nu}+\partial_{\nu} g_{\mu \lambda}-\partial_{\mu} g_{\nu \lambda}\right) \dot{x}^{\nu} \dot{x}^{\lambda}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\beta}-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}-g_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}\right] \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \\
& +\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda \gamma}^{\alpha} \psi^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \psi^{\kappa}+\frac{1}{2} g_{\alpha \beta} \psi^{\alpha} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \Gamma_{\lambda \nu}^{\kappa} \psi^{\nu} \\
= & -g_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \dot{x}^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}+\frac{1}{2}\left[g_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\beta}-g_{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}\right. \\
& \left.+g_{\gamma \beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \alpha}^{\gamma} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}+g_{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\gamma}\right] \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \\
= & -g_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \dot{x}^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\beta}-\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}+\Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\gamma}\right) \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left(g_{\gamma \beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \alpha}^{\gamma}-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \beta}\right) \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The last term of the last line of this equation is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& {\left[g_{\gamma \beta} \frac{1}{2} g^{\gamma \nu}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\nu \alpha}+\partial_{\alpha} g_{\nu \lambda}-\partial_{\nu} g_{\lambda \alpha}\right)-\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \beta}\right] \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\beta} \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda}} \\
& \quad=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\lambda} g_{\alpha \beta}+\partial_{\beta} g_{\lambda \alpha}-\partial_{\alpha} g_{\lambda \beta}\right) \Gamma_{\mu \nu}^{\beta} \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \\
& \quad=-\Gamma_{\alpha \lambda \beta} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \\
& \quad=-g_{\alpha \beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \beta}^{\beta} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta} \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda}
\end{aligned}
$$

from which we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & =-g_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \dot{x}^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_{\mu} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\beta}-\partial_{\lambda} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\beta}+\Gamma_{\mu \gamma}^{\beta} \Gamma_{\lambda \kappa}^{\gamma}-\Gamma_{\lambda \gamma}^{\beta} \Gamma_{\mu \kappa}^{\gamma}\right) \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} \\
& =-g_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{D} \dot{x}^{\nu}}{\mathrm{D} t}+\frac{1}{2} R_{\alpha \kappa \mu \lambda} \psi^{\alpha} \psi^{\kappa} \dot{x}^{\lambda} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Equation (12.176) follows by renaming dummy indices.
Let us come back to the study of the solutions of the equations of motion (12.176) and (12.177). Clearly, the pair $x=$ constant and $\psi=$ constant is one of solutions. Therefore, $x_{p}: t \mapsto p \in M$ is always contained in the solutions, which may be written as $M \subset \mathcal{M}$. Equation (12.176) reduces to the geodesic equation when $\psi=0$ but not necessarily so in general. When the fundamental group $\pi_{1}(M)$ is non-trivial, there exist non-contractible geodesics in general. Their contributions to the path integral, however, vanish exponentially as $\exp (-c / \beta)$ as $\beta \downarrow 0$ and, hence, are negligible.

Before we proceed to the proof of the index theorem, we need to explain the saddle point method. Let us start with a simple example. Consider the integral

$$
Z=\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} x}{\sqrt{2 \pi \hbar}} \mathrm{e}^{-f(x) / \hbar}
$$

The function $f(x)$ is assumed to have only one minimum at $x=x_{0}$ and that $f(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \pm \infty$. Let us consider the asymmptotic expansion of the integral $Z$ when the limit $\hbar \rightarrow 0$ is taken. Put $x=x_{0}+\sqrt{\hbar} y$ and expand $f(x)$ at $x_{0}$. Taking $f^{\prime}\left(x_{0}\right)=0$ into account, we obtain the expansion

$$
f(x)=f\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{2!} \hbar y^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{3!} \hbar^{3 / 2} y^{3} f^{(3)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4!} \hbar^{2} y^{4} f^{(4)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots .
$$

If this expansion is substituted into $Z$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
Z= & \mathrm{e}^{-f\left(x_{0}\right) / \hbar} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \\
& \times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2} y^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)-\left(\frac{1}{3!} \hbar^{1 / 2} y^{3} f^{(3)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\frac{1}{4!} \hbar y^{4} f^{(4)}\left(x_{0}\right)+\cdots\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Let us define the moment of $y$ by

$$
\left\langle y^{n}\right\rangle=\frac{\int \frac{\mathrm{d} y}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} y^{n} \mathrm{e}^{-y^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) / 2}}{\int \frac{\mathrm{~d} y}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{e}^{-y^{2} f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right) / 2}}
$$

Then we finally obtain the expansion of $Z$ as

$$
Z=\frac{\mathrm{e}^{-f\left(x_{0}\right) / \hbar}}{\sqrt{f^{\prime \prime}\left(x_{0}\right)}}\left\langle\exp \left[-\frac{1}{3!} \hbar^{1 / 2} y^{3} f^{(3)}\left(x_{0}\right)-\frac{1}{4!} \hbar y^{4} f^{(4)}\left(x_{0}\right) \cdots\right]\right)
$$

One might think that one will get terms of order $\mathrm{O}\left(\hbar^{1 / 2}\right)$ if $\langle\cdots\rangle$ is expanded. However, this is not the case since $\left\langle y^{3}\right\rangle=0$ and one has $\langle\cdots\rangle=1+O(\hbar)$ in reality. In the proof of the following index theorem, the parameter $\hbar$ is replaced by $\beta$. The index is, however, independent of $\beta$ and we conclude that terms of order $\mathrm{O}(\beta)$ vanish and, hence, we need to take only the extrema of the action and the second-order fluctuations thereof into account.

Exercise 12.11. Use the previous expansion to prove the Staring formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
n!\simeq \sqrt{2 \pi n} \mathrm{e}^{-n} n^{n} \tag{12.178}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $n \gg 1$.
Let us come back to SUSYQM. We take the second-order fluctuation around the solutions of the classical equations of motion in evaluating $Z$. The principal contribution to the path integral comes from the solution $x=x_{0}$ and $\psi=\psi_{0}$. We employ the Riemann normal coordinate based at $x=x_{0}$ to make our life easier. This is to take a coordinate system in which the metric tensor satisfies conditions ${ }^{7}$

$$
g_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)=\delta_{\mu \nu} \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial x^{\lambda}} g_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)=0
$$

Thus, we have $g \equiv \operatorname{det} g=1$. We define the fluctuations in this coordinate system as

$$
\begin{aligned}
x^{\mu}(t) & =x_{0}^{\mu}+\xi^{\mu}(t) \\
\psi^{\mu}(t) & =\psi_{0}^{\mu}+\eta^{\mu}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

[^11]Note here that $\mathrm{d} x^{\mu}=\mathrm{d} \xi^{\mu}, \mathrm{d} \psi^{\mu}=\mathrm{d} \eta^{\mu}$. The second-order expansion of the action is now written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{2}=\int_{0}^{\beta} \mathrm{d} t\left[\frac{1}{2} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}+\frac{1}{2} \eta^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d} \eta^{\mu}}{\mathrm{d} t}+\frac{1}{2} \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right) \xi^{\mu} \frac{\mathrm{d} \xi^{\nu}}{\mathrm{d} t}\right] \tag{12.179}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have put

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)=\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(x_{0}\right) \psi_{0}^{\rho} \psi_{0}^{\sigma}
$$

Needless to say, the zeroth-order action $S_{0}=S\left(x_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)$ vanishes identically.
Let us evaluate the index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\int \mathcal{D} \xi \mathcal{D} \eta \mathrm{e}^{-S_{2}} \tag{12.180}
\end{equation*}
$$

using the second-order action $S_{2}$. Here we have taken the translational invariance of the path integral measure $\mathcal{D} x \mathcal{D} \psi=\mathcal{D} \xi \mathcal{D} \eta$. Taking the periodic boundary condition of $\xi, \eta$ into account, their Fourier expansions are given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\xi^{\mu} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \xi_{n}^{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i n t / \beta} \\
\eta^{\mu} & =\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \sum_{n=-\infty}^{\infty} \eta_{n}^{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi i n t / \beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

The fluctuation operator for $\xi$ in $S_{2}$ is

$$
-\delta_{\mu v} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}
$$

while that for $\eta$ is

$$
\delta_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}
$$

We have to consider the zero modes $\xi_{0}^{\mu}$ and $\eta_{0}^{\mu}$, for which $n=0$, separately in the following Gaussian integrals. ${ }^{8}$ Taking these into account, we write

$$
\text { ind } \begin{align*}
Q= & \mathcal{N} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi_{0}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{0}^{\mu}\left[\operatorname{Det}_{\text {PBC }}\left(\delta_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \\
& \times\left[\operatorname{Det}_{\text {PBC }}\left(-\delta_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \\
= & \mathcal{N} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi_{0}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{0}^{\mu}\left[\operatorname{Det}_{\text {PBC }}\left(-\delta_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{12.181}
\end{align*}
$$

[^12]where / indicates that the zero modes are omitted while $\mathcal{N}$ is the normalization factor, which takes care of the ambiguities associated with the ordering of Grassmann numbers. Let us evaluate this factor now.

Since ind $Q$ is independent of $\beta$, we put $\beta=1$ for simplicity. We also simplify our calculation by choosing the metric to be $g_{\mu \nu}=\delta_{\mu \nu}$. Then the fermion and boson parts separate completely. The fermionic part is evaluated, by noting $H_{\text {fermion }}=0$, to yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{2 n+1} & =\int_{\mathrm{PBC}} \mathcal{D} \psi \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \psi \cdot \dot{\psi} \mathrm{~d} t} \\
& =\mathcal{N}_{f} \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left(\delta_{\mu \nu} \partial_{t}\right)^{1 / 2} \int \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{1} \cdots \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{2 n}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\psi_{0}^{\mu}$ is the zero mode. The determinant is evaluated as follows. First, note that the argument in section 1.5 shows that the determinant is, in fact,

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{t}+\omega\right)=\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow 0} \operatorname{Det}^{\prime}\left((1-\varepsilon \omega) \partial_{t}+\omega\right)
$$

where we have introduced the harmonic oscillator frequency $\omega$, which will be set to zero at the end of the calculation. The 'partition function' is

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}(-1)^{F} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta H} & =2 \sinh (\beta \omega / 2) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\beta \omega / 2} \operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left((1-\varepsilon \omega) \partial_{t}+\omega\right) \tag{12.182}
\end{align*}
$$

Therefore, the determinant in the limit $\omega \rightarrow 0$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left(\partial_{t}\right)=\lim _{\omega \rightarrow 0} \mathrm{e}^{-\beta \omega / 2} 2 \sinh (\beta \omega / 2)=1 \tag{12.183}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, we finally obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{2 n+1}=\mathcal{N}_{f} \int \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{2 n} \tag{12.184}
\end{equation*}
$$

We insert

$$
\gamma_{2 n+1}=\mathrm{i}^{n} \gamma_{0}^{1} \ldots \gamma_{0}^{2 n}=(2 \mathrm{i})^{n} \psi_{0}^{1} \ldots \psi_{0}^{2 n}
$$

further in the trace. Since $\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{2 n+1}^{2}=\operatorname{Tr} I=2^{n}$, we obtain

$$
\operatorname{Tr} \gamma_{2 n+1}^{2}=2^{n}=\mathcal{N}_{f} \int \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{1} \ldots \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{2 n}(2 \mathrm{i})^{n} \psi_{0}^{1} \ldots \psi_{0}^{2 n}=\mathcal{N}_{f}(-2 \mathrm{i})^{n}
$$

which leads to

$$
\mathcal{N}_{f}=\mathrm{i}^{n} .
$$

Next, we evaluate the normalization factor $\mathcal{N}_{b}$ of the boson part. If we employ imaginary time in (1.101) to obtain $\langle x, 1 \mid x, 0\rangle=(2 \pi)^{-1 / 2}$, we have

$$
\int \mathcal{D} x^{\mu} \mathrm{e}^{-\frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{1} \dot{x}^{\mu 2}}=\mathcal{N}_{b} \frac{1}{\operatorname{Det}^{1 / 2}\left(-\delta_{\mu \nu} \partial_{t}^{2}\right)} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2 n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}}=(2 \pi)^{-n} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2 n} \mathrm{~d} x^{\mu}
$$

The determinant is evaluated using the $\zeta$-function regularization as in section 1.4. The eigenvalue of $-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}$ with the periodic boundary condition is $\lambda_{n}=$ $(2 n \pi / \beta)^{2}$ and then

$$
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}\right)=\prod_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0}\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{\beta}\right)^{2}
$$

The spectral $\zeta$-function is

$$
\zeta_{-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}}(s)=\sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}, n \neq 0}^{\infty}\left[\left(\frac{2 n \pi}{\beta}\right)^{2}\right]^{-s}=2\left(\frac{\beta}{2 \pi}\right)^{2 s} \zeta(2 s)
$$

from which we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
\zeta_{-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}}^{\prime}(0) & =4 \log (\beta / 2 \pi) \mathrm{e}^{2 s \log (\beta / 2 \pi)} \zeta(2 s)+\left.4 \mathrm{e}^{2 s \log (\beta / 2 \pi)} \zeta^{\prime}(2 s)\right|_{s=0} \\
& =4\left[\log (\beta / 2 \pi) \zeta(0)+\zeta^{\prime}(0)\right]=-2 \log \beta
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, the determinant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left(-\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}\right)=\exp \left[-\zeta_{-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}}^{\prime}(0)\right]=\beta^{2} \tag{12.185}
\end{equation*}
$$

By putting $\beta=1$, we find $\operatorname{Det}_{\mathrm{PBC}}^{\prime}\left(-\mathrm{d}^{2} / \mathrm{d} t^{2}\right)=1$. Thus, we have obtained the normalization factor

$$
\mathcal{N}_{b}=1
$$

Putting these results together, we have shown that $\mathcal{N}=\mathcal{N}_{f} \mathcal{N}_{b}=\mathrm{i}^{n}$. Accordingly, the index is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\mathrm{i}^{n} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{d} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi_{0}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{0}^{\mu}\left[\operatorname{Det}_{\text {PBC }}\left(-\delta_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \text {. } \tag{12.186}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us evaluate the functional determinant in (12.186). Since the Fermi variables are contained only in $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)$ and this is Grassmann-even, we pretend this part is a commuting number for the time being. The anti-symmetry of the Riemann tensor implies that $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)$ satisfies $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}=-\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{v \mu}$. Therefore, it is possible, in an even-dimensional manifold $M$, to block-diagonalize $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}$ in the form

$$
\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & y_{1} & & &  \tag{12.187}\\
-y_{1} & 0 & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & & 0 & y_{n} \\
& & & -y_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

Let us concentrate on the first block. The operator

$$
-\delta_{\mu \nu} \frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}+\tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

is real and, hence, the eigenvalues are made of complex conjugate pairs. Let us express the determinant of this block in terms of the product of these complex eigenvalues. We find

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}^{\prime}\left(\begin{array}{rr}
-\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t} & y_{1} \\
-y_{1} & -\frac{\mathrm{d}}{\mathrm{~d} t}
\end{array}\right) & =\operatorname{Det}^{\prime}\left(\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}}{\mathrm{~d} t^{2}}+y_{1}^{2}\right)=\prod_{n \neq 0}\left(y_{1}^{2}-(2 \pi n / \beta)^{2}\right) \\
& =\left[\prod_{n \geq 1}\left(\frac{2 \pi n}{\beta}\right)^{2} \prod_{n \geq 1}\left[1-\left(\frac{y_{1} \beta}{2 \pi n}\right)^{2}\right]\right]^{2} \\
& =\left(\frac{\sin \beta y_{1} / 2}{y_{1} / 2}\right)^{2} . \tag{12.188}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the index is expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\mathrm{i}^{n} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2 n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \xi_{0}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} \eta_{0}^{\mu} \prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{y_{j} / 2}{\sin \beta y_{j} / 2} . \tag{12.189}
\end{equation*}
$$

The product with respect to $j$ is written as

$$
\frac{1}{\beta^{d / 2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\beta \tilde{\mathcal{R}} / 2}{\sin \beta \tilde{\mathcal{R}} / 2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

Note that any Taylor expansion with respect to $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}$ terminates at finite order since $\tilde{\mathcal{R}}^{p}=0$ for $p>d / 2$.

We have evaluated the contributions of the second-order fluctuations around a particular pair $x_{0}, \psi_{0}$ so far. Now we need to take the contributions coming from all the solutions to the classical equations of motion into account. We have noted before that the set $\mathcal{M}$ of the solutions of the equations of motion contains the constant solution $\left(x_{0}, \psi_{0}\right)$ as a subset and that the contributions from nonconstant solutions are exponentially small as $\beta \downarrow 0$. Therefore, we neglect all periodic solutions except for constant solutions. If we note the expansion

$$
x^{\mu}=x_{0}^{\mu}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{\beta}} \xi_{0}^{\mu}+\cdots
$$

we find that the integral over $x_{0}$ is equivalent with that over $\xi_{0} / \sqrt{\beta}$, namely $\mathrm{d} x_{0}^{\mu}=\mathrm{d} \xi_{0}^{\mu} / \sqrt{\beta}$. This argument is also applied to the Grassmannian zero mode
and we find $\mathrm{d} \psi_{0}^{\mu}=\sqrt{\beta} \mathrm{d} \eta_{0}^{\mu}$. In summary, the index is now written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\mathrm{i}^{n} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2 n} \frac{\mathrm{~d} x_{0}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi}} \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{\mu} \frac{1}{\beta^{d / 2}} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\beta \tilde{\mathcal{R}} / 2}{\sin \beta \tilde{\mathcal{R}} / 2}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{12.190}
\end{equation*}
$$

We make the following change of variables to erase the apparent $\beta$-dependence of the index,

$$
\psi_{0}^{\mu}=\frac{\chi_{0}^{\mu}}{\sqrt{2 \pi \beta}}, \quad \mathrm{~d} \psi_{0}^{\mu}=\sqrt{2 \pi \beta} \mathrm{~d} \chi_{0}^{\mu} .
$$

Substituting

$$
\beta \tilde{\mathcal{R}}_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \chi_{0}^{\rho} \chi_{0}^{\sigma}
$$

into the integrand, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\mathrm{i}^{n} \int \prod_{\mu=1}^{2 n} \mathrm{~d} x_{0}^{\mu} \mathrm{d} \chi_{0}^{\mu} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(x_{0}\right) \chi_{0}^{\rho} \chi_{0}^{\sigma}}{\sin \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma}\left(x_{0}\right) \chi_{0}^{\rho} \chi_{0}^{\sigma}}\right)^{1 / 2} . \tag{12.191}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the Atiyah-Singer index theorem for the Dirac operator.
Let us rewrite the previous theorem in a more familiar form. Note that only terms of order $2 n$ in $\chi$ in the integrand yield non-vanishing contributions upon integration over $\Pi \mathrm{d} \chi_{0}^{\mu}$. Note also that $\Pi \mathrm{d} x_{0}^{\mu}$ is just an ordinary volume element. Then define the curvature two-form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu}=\frac{1}{2} R_{\mu \nu \rho \sigma} \mathrm{d} x^{\rho} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\sigma} . \tag{12.192}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then note that $\mathcal{R} / \sin \mathcal{R}$ is even in $\mathcal{R}$ and, hence, the integral is non-vanishing only when $n$ is even, that is only when $d$ is a multiple of four. If this is the case, the factor $\mathrm{i}^{n}$ takes only $\pm 1$ and we can formally replace the integrand as

$$
\mathrm{i}^{n} \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\sin \mathcal{R}} \rightarrow \frac{\mathcal{R}}{\sinh \mathcal{R}}
$$

The reader should verify the first few terms. Then the index is now written in the well-known form as

$$
\operatorname{ind} Q=\int_{M} \operatorname{det}\left(\frac{\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{R}}{\sinh \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{R}}\right)^{1 / 2} .
$$

We, moreover, define the $\hat{A}$-genus. Since $\mathcal{R}$ is anti-symmetric, it can be block-
diagonalized as

$$
\frac{1}{2 \pi} \mathcal{R}_{\mu \nu}=\left(\begin{array}{ccccc}
0 & x_{1} & & & \\
-x_{1} & 0 & & & \\
& & \ddots & & \\
& & & 0 & x_{n} \\
& & & -x_{n} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Then define the $\hat{A}$-genus of $M$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{A}(M)=\prod_{j=1}^{n} \frac{x_{j} / 2}{\sinh x_{j} / 2} \tag{12.193}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the RHS is defined by its formal expansion with respect to $x_{j}$.
In summary, we have proved the Atiyah-Singer index theorem in the simplest setting (the spin complex).

Theorem 12.6. (Index theorem for a spin complex) The index of a Dirac operator defined in $M$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } Q=\int_{M} \hat{A}(M) \tag{12.194}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Problems

12.1 In the text, we dealt only with compact manifolds. The extension of the AS index theorem to non-compact manifolds is the Callias-Bott-Seely index theorem (Callias 1978, Bott and Seely 1978). Here we consider the simplest case studied by Hirayama (1983). Consider a pair of operators

$$
L \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}-\mathrm{i} W(x) \quad L^{\dagger} \equiv \frac{1}{\mathrm{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} x}+\mathrm{i} W(x)
$$

where $W(+\infty)=\mu$ and $W(-\infty)=\lambda$.
(a) Show that $\operatorname{Spec}^{\prime} L^{\dagger} L=\operatorname{Spec}^{\prime} L L^{\dagger}$, where the prime indicates that the zero eigenvalues are omitted.
(b) Show that

$$
J(z) \equiv \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{z}{L^{\dagger} L+z}-\frac{z}{L L^{\dagger}+z}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\mu}{\left(\mu^{2}+z\right)^{1 / 2}}-\frac{\lambda}{\left(\lambda^{2}+z\right)^{1 / 2}}\right)
$$

## 13

## ANOMALIES IN GAUGE FIELD THEORIES

In particle physics, symmetry principles are some of the most important concepts in model building. Symmetries play crucial roles for the theory to be renormalizable and unitary. The Lagrangian must be chosen so that it fulfils the observed symmetry. Note, however, that the symmetry of the Lagrangian is classical. There is no warranty that symmetry of the Lagrangian may be elevated to a quantum symmetry, i.e., the symmetry of the effective action. If the classical symmetry of the Lagrangian cannot be maintained in the process of quantization, the theory is said to have an anomaly. There are many types of anomaly: the chiral anomaly, gauge anomaly, gravitational anomaly, supersymmetry anomaly and so on. Each adjective refers to the symmetry under consideration. In the present chapter we look at the geometrical and topological structures of the anomalies appearing in gauge theories.

We follow closely Alvarez-Gaumé (1986), Alvarez-Gaumé and Ginsparg (1985) and Sumitani (1985). See Rennie (1990) and Bartlmann (1996) for a complete analysis of the subject. Mickelsson (1989) and Nash (1991) have a section on anomalies from a more mathematical point of view.

### 13.1 Introduction

Before we introduce topological and geometrical methods to anomalies, we give a brief survey of the subject here. Let $\psi$ be a massless Dirac field in fourdimensional space interacting with an external gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}=A_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$, where $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ is the set of anti-Hermitian generators of the gauge group $G$ which is compact and semisimple $(\operatorname{SU}(N)$, for example). The theory is described by the Lagrangian

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}=\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}-\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right) \psi \tag{13.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian is invariant under the usual (local) gauge transformation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \rightarrow g^{-1} \psi(x) \quad \mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x) \rightarrow g^{-1}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(x)+\partial_{\mu}\right] g . \tag{13.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

It also has a global symmetry,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi(x) \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \alpha} \psi(x) \quad \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma_{5} \alpha} \tag{13.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

called the chiral symmetry. The chiral current $j_{5}$ derived from this symmetry is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{5}^{\mu} \equiv \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma_{5} \psi \tag{13.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, whether the symmetry of a Lagrangian is retained under quantization is not a trivial question. In fact, it has been shown that the chiral symmetry of $\mathcal{L}$ is destroyed at the quantum level. Adler (1969) and Bell and Jackiw (1969) have shown by computing the triangle diagram with an external axial current and two external vector currents that the naive conservation law $\partial_{\mu} j_{5}^{\mu}=0$ is violated,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\mu} j_{5}^{\mu} & =\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \operatorname{tr} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa \lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left[\epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \partial_{\kappa}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)\right] \tag{13.5}
\end{align*}
$$

where tr is a trace over the group indices. The current $j_{5}^{\mu}$ which appears in (13.5) has no group index, and, hence, (13.5) is called the Abelian anomaly.

It is interesting to study the behaviour of a current which carries the group index. Consider a Weyl fermion $\psi$ which couples with an external gauge field. The non-Abelian gauge current of the theory also satisfies an anomalous conservation law which defines the non-Abelian anomaly. The action is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} \equiv \psi^{\dagger}(\mathrm{i} \nmid) \mathcal{P}_{+} \psi \quad \mathcal{P}_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(I \pm \gamma^{5}\right) \tag{13.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Lagrangian has the gauge symmetry

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \rightarrow g^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu}\right) g \quad \psi \rightarrow g^{-1} \psi \tag{13.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The corresponding non-Abelian current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j^{\mu \alpha} \equiv \psi^{\dagger} \gamma^{\mu} T^{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_{+} \psi \tag{13.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

It has been shown by Bardeen (1969) and Gross and Jackiw (1972) that, up to the one-loop level, the current is not conserved,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} j_{\delta}^{\mu}\right)^{\alpha}=\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left[T^{\alpha} \partial_{\kappa} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)\right] \tag{13.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

At first sight, the RHSs of (13.5) and (13.9) look very similar. However, the difference between the normalization and the numerical factors of $\frac{2}{3}$ and $\frac{1}{2}$ have a deep topological origin. We shall see later that the Abelian anomaly in $(2 l+2)$ dimensions and the non-Abelian anomaly in $2 l$ dimensions are closely related but in an unexpected manner.

### 13.2 Abelian anomalies

Henceforth, we work in an even-dimensional manifold $M(\operatorname{dim} M=m=2 l)$ with a Euclidean signature. Four-dimensional results will readily be obtained by putting $m=4$. We assume our system is non-chiral, namely, the gauge field couples to the right and the left components in the same way. Our convention is

$$
\begin{gathered}
\gamma^{\mu \dagger}=\gamma^{\mu} \quad\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right\}=2 \delta^{\mu \nu} \quad \gamma^{m+1}=(\mathrm{i})^{l} \gamma^{1} \ldots \gamma^{m} \\
\gamma^{m+1 \dagger}=\gamma^{m+1} \quad\left(\gamma^{m+1}\right)^{2}=+I
\end{gathered}
$$

The Lie group generators $\left\{T_{\alpha}\right\}$ satisfy

$$
T_{\alpha}^{\dagger}=-T_{\alpha} \quad\left[T_{\alpha}, T_{\beta}\right]=f_{\alpha \beta}^{\gamma} T_{\gamma} \quad \operatorname{tr}\left(T^{\alpha} T^{\beta}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} \delta^{\alpha \beta}
$$

### 13.2.1 Fujikawa's method

Among several methods of deriving anomalies, Fujikawa's way (Fujikawa 1979, 1980, 1986) reveals the topological and geometrical nature of the problem most directly. This method is equivalent to the heat kernel proof of the relevant index theorem.

Let $\psi$ be a massless Dirac field interacting with an external non-Abelian gauge field $\mathcal{A}_{\mu}$. The effective action $W[\mathcal{A}]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-W[\mathcal{A}]}=\int \mathcal{D} \psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \mathrm{e}^{-\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \subset \psi} \tag{13.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{i} \not \subset=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu} \nabla_{\mu}=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\omega_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)$, with $\omega_{\mu}=\frac{1}{2} \omega_{\mu \alpha \beta} \Sigma^{\alpha \beta}$ being the spin connection of the background space. We compactify the space in such a way that the geometry (the spin connection) plays no role. For example, this can be achieved by compactifying $\mathbb{R}^{4}$ to $S^{4}=\mathbb{R}^{4} \cup\{\infty\}$, for which the Dirac genus $\hat{A}(T M)$ is trivial; see example 12.5. If this is the case, the spin connection is irrelevant and may be dropped from i$\not \nabla$. The classical action $\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi$ is invariant with respect to the chiral rotation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma^{m+1} \alpha} \psi \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi} \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \gamma^{m+1} \alpha} \tag{13.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

We expand $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi=\sum_{i} a_{i} \psi_{i} \quad \bar{\psi}=\sum_{i} \bar{b}_{i} \psi_{i}^{\dagger} \tag{13.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{i}$ and $\bar{b}_{i}$ are anti-commuting Grassmann variables,

$$
\left\{a_{i}, a_{j}\right\}=0 \quad\left\{\bar{b}_{i}, \bar{b}_{j}\right\}=0 \quad\left\{a_{i}, \bar{b}_{j}\right\}=0
$$

and $\psi_{i}$ is an eigenvector of the Dirac operator

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi_{i}=\lambda_{i} \psi_{i} \tag{13.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since i $\not \nabla$ is Hermitian, $\lambda_{i}$ is real. Since $M$ is compact, $\psi_{i}$ can be normalized as

$$
\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \psi_{j}\right\rangle=\int \mathrm{d} x \psi_{i}^{\dagger}(x) \psi_{j}(x)=\delta_{i j}
$$

Now the path integrals over $\psi$ and $\bar{\psi}$ are replaced by those over $a_{i}$ and $\bar{b}_{i}$.
Consider an infinitesimal chiral transformation,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi(x) \rightarrow \psi(x)+\mathrm{i} \alpha(x) \gamma^{m+1} \psi(x)  \tag{13.14a}\\
& \bar{\psi}(x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}(x)+\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi}(x) \alpha(x) \gamma^{m+1} \tag{13.14b}
\end{align*}
$$

As usual, we take $\alpha=\alpha(x)$ to be $x$-dependent. Under this change, the classical action transforms as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \forall \psi \rightarrow \int \mathrm{~d} x\left(\bar{\psi}+\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi} \alpha \gamma^{m+1}\right) \mathrm{i} \not \subset\left(\psi+\mathrm{i} \alpha \gamma^{m+1} \psi\right) \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi+\mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x\left[\alpha \bar{\psi} \gamma^{m+1} \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi+\bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \otimes\left(\alpha \gamma^{m+1} \psi\right)\right] \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \otimes \psi-\int \mathrm{d} x\left[\alpha \bar{\psi} \gamma^{m+1} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right) \psi\right. \\
& \left.+\bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)\left(\alpha \gamma^{m+1} \psi\right)\right] \\
& =\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi+\int \mathrm{d} x \alpha(x) \partial_{\mu} j_{m+1}^{\mu}(x) \tag{13.15}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have used the anti-commutation relations $\left\{\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{m+1}\right\}=0$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{m+1}^{\mu}(x) \equiv \bar{\psi}(x) \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{m+1} \psi(x) \tag{13.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the chiral current. This is the higher-dimensional analogue of $j_{5}^{\mu}$ defined previously. If (13.15) were the only change caused by (13.14), naive application of the Ward-Takahashi relation would imply the conservation of the axial current $\partial_{\mu} j_{m+1}^{\mu}=0$. In quantum theory, however, we have an additional change, namely the change of the path integral measure. Define the chiral-rotated fields by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \psi^{\prime}=\psi+\mathrm{i} \alpha \gamma^{m+1} \psi=\sum a_{i}^{\prime} \psi_{i}  \tag{13.17a}\\
& \bar{\psi}^{\prime}=\bar{\psi}+\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi} \alpha \gamma^{m+1}=\sum \bar{b}_{i}^{\prime} \psi_{i}^{\dagger} . \tag{13.17b}
\end{align*}
$$

Now the measure changes as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i} \mathrm{~d} \bar{b}_{i} \rightarrow \int \prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \bar{b}_{i}^{\prime} \tag{13.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the orthonormality of $\left\{\psi_{i}\right\}$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
a_{i}^{\prime} & =\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \psi^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha \gamma^{m+1}\right) \psi\right\rangle \\
& =\sum_{j}\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha \gamma^{m+1}\right) \psi_{j}\right\rangle a_{j} \equiv \sum_{j} C_{i j} a_{j} \tag{13.19a}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{i j}=\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha \gamma^{m+1}\right) \psi_{j}\right\rangle=\delta_{i j}+\mathrm{i} \alpha\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{j}\right\rangle \tag{13.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

The measure in terms of the new variables is

$$
\begin{align*}
\prod \mathrm{d} a_{j}^{\prime} & =\left[\operatorname{det} C_{i j}\right]^{-1} \prod \mathrm{~d} a_{i}=\exp \left(-\operatorname{tr} \ln C_{i j}\right) \prod \mathrm{d} a_{i} \\
& =\exp \left[-\operatorname{tr} \ln \left(I+\mathrm{i} \alpha\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{j}\right\rangle\right)\right] \prod \mathrm{d} a_{i} \\
& \approx \exp \left(-\operatorname{tr} \mathrm{i} \alpha\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{j}\right\rangle\right) \prod \mathrm{d} a_{i} \\
& =\exp \left(-\mathrm{i} \alpha \sum_{i}\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{i}\right\rangle\right) \prod \mathrm{d} a_{i} \tag{13.21}
\end{align*}
$$

where the inverse of the determinant appears since $a_{i}$ and $a_{i}^{\prime}$ are Grassmann variables, see Berezin (1966). ${ }^{1}$ As for $\bar{b}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{b}_{i}^{\prime}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{b}_{i}^{\prime}=\sum_{j} \bar{b}_{j}\left\langle\psi_{j}\right|\left(1+\mathrm{i} \alpha \gamma^{m+1}\right)\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\sum_{j} C_{j i} \bar{b}_{j} \tag{13.19b}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Jacobian for the change $\bar{b}_{i} \rightarrow \bar{b}_{i}^{\prime}$ agrees with (13.21). Thus, the measure transforms under the chiral rotation (13.17) as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i} \mathrm{~d} \bar{b}_{i} \rightarrow \prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \bar{b}_{i}^{\prime} \exp \left(-2 \mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x \alpha(x) \sum \psi_{n}^{\dagger}(x) \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{n}(x)\right) \tag{13.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the effective action has two expressions:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{e}^{-W[\mathcal{A}]}= & \int \prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i} \mathrm{~d} \bar{b}_{i} \exp \left(-\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi\right) \\
= & \int \prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i}^{\prime} \mathrm{d} \bar{b}_{i}^{\prime} \exp \left(-\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi-\int \mathrm{d} x \alpha(x) \partial_{\mu} j_{m+1}^{\mu}(x)\right. \\
& \left.-2 \mathrm{i} \int \mathrm{~d} x \alpha(x) \boldsymbol{A}(x)\right) \tag{13.23}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}(x) \equiv \sum_{i} \psi_{i}^{\dagger}(x) \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{i}(x) \tag{13.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $\alpha(x)$ is arbitrary, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\partial_{\mu} j_{m+1}^{\mu}(x)=-2 \mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{A}(x) \tag{13.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{1}$ See section 1.5. For example, we have $\int a \mathrm{~d} a=\int c a \mathrm{~d}(c a)=1, c \in \mathbb{R}$ and $a$ being a real Grassmann number. This shows that $\mathrm{d}(c a)=\mathrm{d} a / c$.

Thus, naive conservation of an axial current does not hold in quantum theory. This non-conservation of the current $j_{m+1}^{\mu}$ is called the Abelian anomaly (or chiral anomaly or axial anomaly).

How is this related to the topology? Let us look at the Jacobian (13.22) and assume that $\alpha(x)$ is independent of $x .^{2}$ The integral in (13.22) is not well defined and must be regularized. We introduce the Gaussian cut-off (heat kernel regularization) as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \mathrm{d} x \boldsymbol{A}(x) & =\left.\int \mathrm{d} x \sum_{i}{\psi^{\dagger}}_{i}(x) \gamma^{m+1} \psi_{i}(x) \exp \left[-\left(\lambda_{i} / M\right)^{2}\right]\right|_{M \rightarrow \infty} \\
& =\left.\sum\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \gamma^{m+1} \exp \left[-(\mathrm{i} \nmid / M)^{2}\right]\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\right|_{M \rightarrow \infty} \tag{13.26}
\end{align*}
$$

In (13.26), $1 / M^{2}$ corresponds to the 'time' parameter $t$ in the previous chapter and $M \rightarrow \infty$ implies $t \rightarrow \varepsilon$. Let $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle$ be an eigenstate of $\mathrm{i} \nmid \phi$ with non-vanishing eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$. Among the eigenstates, there exists a state $\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle^{\chi} \equiv \gamma^{m+1}|\psi\rangle$ with eigenvalue $-\lambda_{i}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{i} \not \forall\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle^{\chi} & =\mathrm{i} \not \nabla \gamma^{m+1}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=-\gamma^{m+1} \mathrm{i} \nmid\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle \\
& =-\lambda_{i} \gamma^{m+1}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=-\lambda_{i}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle^{\chi}
\end{aligned}
$$

where use has been made of the anti-commutation relation $\left\{\gamma^{m+1}, i \not \forall\right\}=0$. Since $i \phi$ is a Hermitian operator, eigenvectors which belong to different eigenvalues are orthogonal, hence $\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid \psi_{i}\right\rangle^{\chi}=\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \gamma^{m+1}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=0$. This shows that

$$
\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \gamma^{m+1} \exp \left[-(\mathrm{i} \not \forall / M)^{2}\right]\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle=\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \gamma^{m+1}\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle \exp \left[-\left(\lambda_{i} / M\right)^{2}\right]=0
$$

Thus, the contribution to the RHS of (13.26) comes only from the zero-energy modes. Let $|0, i\rangle$ be the zero-energy modes of $\mathrm{i} \nmid \mathrm{t},\left(1 \leq i \leq n_{0}\right)$. They are not in an irreducible representation of the spin algebra and should be classified according to the eigenvalue of $\gamma^{m+1}$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma^{m+1}|0, i\rangle_{ \pm}= \pm|0, i\rangle_{ \pm} \tag{13.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then, (13.26) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \mathrm{d} x \boldsymbol{A}(x) & =\left.\sum\left\langle\psi_{i}\right| \gamma^{m+1} \exp \left[-(\mathrm{i} \nmid / M)^{2}\right]\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\right|_{M \rightarrow \infty} \\
& =\sum_{i}\langle 0, i \mid 0, i\rangle_{+}-\sum_{i}\langle 0, i \mid 0, i\rangle_{-} \\
& =v_{+}-v_{-}=\text {indi} \not_{+} \tag{13.28}
\end{align*}
$$

where $v_{+}\left(v_{-}\right)$is the number of zero-energy modes with positive (negative) chirality $\left(v_{+}+v_{-}=n_{0}\right)$ and $i \not \nabla_{+}$is defined by

$$
\mathrm{i} \nmid=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} \not \ddot{X}_{-} \\
\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{D}_{+} & 0
\end{array}\right) \quad \mathrm{i} \not \ddot{D}_{-}=\left(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{D}_{+}\right)^{\dagger}
$$

2 We are looking at the zero-momentum Ward-Takahashi relation.

The Atiyah-Singer index theorem now comes into the problem.
To show that (13.28), indeed, represents an integral of the relevant Chern character, we first note that

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{i} \nmid)^{2} & =-\gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu} \nabla_{\mu} \nabla_{\nu}=-\left\{\delta^{\mu \nu}+\frac{1}{2}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right]\right\} \frac{1}{2}\left[\left\{\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}\right\}+\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right] \\
& =-\nabla_{\mu} \nabla^{\mu}-\frac{1}{4}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \tag{13.29}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the relation $\left[\nabla_{\mu}, \nabla_{\nu}\right]=\mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{A}(x)=\left.\sum_{i}\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid x\right\rangle\langle x| \gamma^{m+1} \exp \left[\left(\nabla^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right) / M^{2}\right]\left|\psi_{i}\right\rangle\right|_{M \rightarrow \infty} \tag{13.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us take $m=4$ for definiteness. We introduce the plane wave basis as

$$
\left\langle x \mid \psi_{i}\right\rangle=\int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{4} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}}\langle x \mid k\rangle\left\langle k \mid \psi_{i}\right\rangle
$$

Then (13.30) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{A}(x)= & \int \frac{\mathrm{d} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d} k^{\prime}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \sum_{i}\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid k^{\prime}\right\rangle\left\langle k^{\prime} \mid x\right\rangle \\
& \times\left.\gamma^{m+1} \exp \left[\left(\nabla^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right) / M^{2}\right]\langle x \mid k\rangle\left\langle k \mid \psi_{i}\right\rangle\right|_{\substack{M \rightarrow \infty \\
y \rightarrow x}} \\
= & \int \frac{\mathrm{d} k}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \gamma^{m+1} \exp \left[\left(-k^{2}+\frac{1}{4}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right) / M^{2}\right]_{M \rightarrow \infty} \tag{13.31}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the completeness property

$$
\sum_{i}\left\langle k \mid \psi_{i}\right\rangle\left\langle\psi_{i} \mid k^{\prime}\right\rangle=(2 \pi)^{4} \delta^{4}\left(k-k^{\prime}\right)
$$

In (13.31), we have replaced $\nabla^{2}$ by the symbol $-k^{2}$ since the residual terms containing $\mathcal{A}$ do not survive in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$. If we put $\tilde{k}^{\mu} \equiv k^{\mu} / M$, (13.31) becomes

$$
\boldsymbol{A}(x)=\operatorname{tr}\left[\gamma^{5} \exp \left(\frac{1}{4}\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} / M^{2}\right)\right] M^{4} \int \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tilde{k}}{(2 \pi)^{4}} \exp \left(-\tilde{k}^{2}\right)
$$

We expand the first exponential and use

$$
\begin{gathered}
\operatorname{tr} \gamma^{5}=\operatorname{tr} \gamma^{5} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}=0 \quad \operatorname{tr} \gamma^{5} \gamma^{\kappa} \gamma^{\lambda} \gamma^{\mu} \gamma^{\nu}=-4 \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \\
\int \mathrm{d} \tilde{k} \exp \left(-\tilde{k}^{2}\right)=\pi^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

to obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\boldsymbol{A}(x) & =\frac{1}{2} \operatorname{tr}\left[\gamma^{5} \frac{1}{4^{2}}\left\{\left[\gamma^{\mu}, \gamma^{\nu}\right] \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}\right\}^{2}\right] \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \\
& =\frac{-1}{32 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa \lambda}(x) \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu}(x) \tag{13.32}
\end{align*}
$$

Note that the higher-order terms in the expansion of the exponential vanish in the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$. The anomalous conservation law (13.25) now becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_{\mu} j_{5}^{\mu} & =\frac{1}{16 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \mathcal{F}_{\kappa \lambda} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \\
& =\frac{1}{4 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left[\epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \partial_{\kappa}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{2}{3} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right)\right] \tag{13.33}
\end{align*}
$$

This is regarded as a local version of the AS index theorem. Let us write (13.33) in terms of the field strength $\mathcal{F}=\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{F}_{\mu \nu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu} \wedge \mathrm{d} x^{\nu}$. We easily verify that

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} x \partial_{\mu} j_{m+1}^{\mu}=\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F}) \tag{13.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the index theorem for a twisted spinor complex with trivial background geometry $(\hat{A}(T M)=1)$.

For $\operatorname{dim} M=m=2 l$, we have the following identity:

$$
\begin{equation*}
v_{+}-v_{-}=\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} x \partial_{\mu} j_{m+1}^{\mu}=\int_{M} \operatorname{ch}_{l}(\mathcal{F})=\int_{M} \frac{1}{l!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \mathcal{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{l} \tag{13.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 13.3 Non-Abelian anomalies

In the last section we considered the chiral current which is a gauge singlet (no gauge indices). Now we turn to the study of the gauge current $j^{\mu}{ }_{\alpha}$ where $\alpha$ is the gauge index. Here we consider a chiral theory in which the gauge field $\mathcal{A}$ couples only to the left-handed Weyl fermion $\psi$. Suppose $\psi$ transforms in a complex representation $\boldsymbol{r}$ of the gauge group $G$. For example, suppose $\psi$ belongs to a $\mathbf{3}$ of $\mathrm{SU}(3)$. The effective action $W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]}=\int \mathcal{D} \psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \exp \left(-\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \phi_{+} \psi\right) \tag{13.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \not \nabla_{+}=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right) \mathcal{P}_{+} \quad \mathcal{P}_{ \pm}=\frac{1}{2}\left(1 \pm \gamma^{m+1}\right) \tag{13.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The gauge current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
j_{\alpha}^{\mu}=\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} T_{\alpha} \mathcal{P}_{+} \psi \tag{13.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $v=v^{\alpha} T_{\alpha}$ be an infinitesimal gauge transformation parameter, $g=1-v$ under which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{\mu} \rightarrow(1+v)\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mu}+\mathrm{d}\right)(1-v)=\mathcal{A}_{\mu}-\mathcal{D}_{\mu} v \tag{13.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mu} v \equiv \partial_{\mu} v+\left[\mathcal{A}_{\mu}, v\right]$ is the covariant derivative for a field in the adjoint representation. The effective action transforms as

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{r}[\mathcal{A}] & \rightarrow W_{r}[\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{D} v] \\
& =W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]-\int \mathrm{d} x \operatorname{tr}\left(\mathcal{D} v \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{A}} W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]\right) \\
& =W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]-\int \mathrm{d} x \operatorname{tr}\left(\partial_{\mu} v^{\alpha}+f_{\alpha \beta \gamma} A_{\mu}^{\beta} v^{\gamma}\right) \frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\mu}^{\alpha}} W_{r}[\mathcal{A}] \\
& =W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]+\int \mathrm{d} x \operatorname{tr}\left(v^{\alpha} \mathcal{D} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{A}} W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]_{\alpha}\right) \tag{13.40}
\end{align*}
$$

Since

$$
\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha}} W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]=\left\langle\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\mu} T_{\alpha} \frac{1}{2}\left(1+\gamma^{m+1}\right) \psi\right\rangle_{\mathcal{A}}=\left\langle j_{\alpha}^{\mu}\right\rangle
$$

we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{r}[\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{D} v]-W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]=\int \mathrm{d} x \operatorname{tr}\left(v^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left\langle j^{\mu}\right\rangle_{\alpha}\right) \tag{13.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

We are naively tempted to regard (13.36) as $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \nmid)=\prod \lambda_{i}^{\prime}, \lambda_{i}$ being the 'eigenvalue' of $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla$. A subtlety arises here: $\mathrm{i} \not{ }_{+}$maps sections of $S_{+} \otimes E$ to those of $S_{-} \otimes E$, where $E$ is the vector bundle associated with the $G$ bundle and $S_{ \pm}$ are spin bundles with chirality $\pm$. Accordingly, the equation $\mathrm{i} \not \mathrm{D}_{+} \psi=\lambda \psi$ is meaningless. To avoid this difficulty, we formally introduce a Dirac spinor $\psi$ and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]}=\int \mathcal{D} \psi \mathcal{D} \bar{\psi} \exp \left(-\int \mathrm{d} x \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \hat{D} \psi\right) \tag{13.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}$ is defined by

$$
\mathrm{i} \hat{D} \equiv \mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathrm{i} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{P}_{+}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} \not \partial_{-}  \tag{13.43}\\
\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{D}_{+} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

where we have diagonalized $\gamma^{m+1}$. In (13.43), the gauge field $\mathcal{A}$ couples only to the positive chirality field. Now the eigenvalue problem $\mathrm{i} \hat{D} \psi_{i}=\lambda_{i} \psi_{i}$ is well defined. Note that $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}$ is not Hermitian and $\lambda_{i}$ is a complex number in general. Moreover, we need to introduce right and left eigenfunctions separately by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{i} \hat{D} \psi_{i} & =\lambda_{i} \psi_{i}  \tag{13.44a}\\
\chi^{\dagger}{ }_{i}(\mathrm{i} \overleftarrow{\hat{D}}) & =\lambda_{i} \chi_{i}^{\dagger} \quad(\mathrm{i} \hat{D})^{\dagger} \chi_{i}=\bar{\lambda}_{i} \chi_{i} \tag{13.44b}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\int \chi_{i}^{\dagger} \psi_{j} \mathrm{~d} x=0$ for $i \neq j$, we may choose an orthonormal basis,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \chi^{\dagger}{ }_{i} \psi_{j} \mathrm{~d} x=\delta_{i j} \tag{13.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It should be noted that the eigenvalue $\lambda_{i}$ is not gauge invariant. This follows from the observation that

$$
\begin{align*}
g\left(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g}\right)\right) g^{-1} & =g \mathrm{i} \gamma^{\mu}\left[\partial_{\mu}+g^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{\mu}+\partial_{\mu}\right) g \mathcal{P}_{+}\right] g^{-1} \\
& =\mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A})-\mathrm{i} \not \partial g g^{-1}+\mathrm{i} \not \partial g g^{-1} \mathcal{P}_{+} \neq \mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A}) . \tag{13.46}
\end{align*}
$$

If the equality were to hold in (13.46), $g^{-1} \psi_{i}$ would satisfy $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g}\right) g^{-1} \psi_{i}=$ $\lambda_{i} g^{-1} \psi_{i}$ when $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A}) \psi_{i}=\lambda_{i} \psi_{i}$. Then $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A})$ would be gauge invariant. Although individual eigenvalues are not gauge invariant, the absolute value of the product of eigenvalues of $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}$ is gauge invariant. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}) \operatorname{det}\left((\mathrm{i} \hat{D})^{\dagger}\right) & =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathrm{i} \hat{D})^{\dagger}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\left(\mathrm{i} \not \partial_{-}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} \not{ }_{+}\right) & 0 \\
0 & \left(\mathrm{i} \not \boldsymbol{D}_{+}\right)\left(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{Z}_{-}\right)
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{i} \not \partial_{-} \mathrm{i} \not \partial_{+}\right) \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{\phi}_{+} \mathrm{i} \not \boldsymbol{D}_{-}\right) \tag{13.47}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{\partial}_{+}=\left(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{\partial}_{-}\right)^{\dagger}$ and $\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{Z}_{-}=\left(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{Z}_{+}\right)^{\dagger}$. This is simply the Dirac determinant (up to an irrelevant factor $\operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{i} \not \partial_{-} \mathrm{i} \not \chi_{+}\right)$),
where $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla \mathrm{D}$ is given by

$$
\mathrm{i} \not \boldsymbol{\nabla}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \mathrm{i} \not \ddot{Z}_{-}  \tag{13.49}\\
\mathrm{i} \not \dot{Z}_{+} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Dirac determinant is gauge invariant, hence so is $|\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \hat{D})|$. It then follows that $\operatorname{Re} W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]$ is gauge invariant since

$$
\exp \left(-W_{\boldsymbol{r}}[\mathcal{A}]\right) \exp \left(-\overline{W_{\boldsymbol{r}}[\mathcal{A}]}\right)=\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}) \operatorname{det}\left((\mathrm{i} \hat{D})^{\dagger}\right) \propto \operatorname{det}\left(\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{X}_{+} \mathrm{i} \not \boldsymbol{Z}_{-}\right)
$$

is gauge invariant. Therefore, only the imaginary part of $W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]$, that is the phase of $\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \hat{D})$, may gain an anomalous variation under gauge transformations.

The anomaly may be computed by evaluating the Jacobian as before. The functional measure is taken to be $\prod_{i} \mathrm{~d} a_{i} \mathrm{~d} \bar{b}_{i}$. We consider an infinitesimal gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{D} v \quad \psi \rightarrow \psi+v \psi_{+} \quad \bar{\psi} \rightarrow \bar{\psi}-\bar{\psi}_{-} v \tag{13.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the gauge transformation rotates the positive chirality parts only. The Jacobian factor is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} x \operatorname{tr} v(x) \sum_{n}\left(n|x\rangle \gamma^{m+1}\langle x \mid n\rangle\right. \tag{13.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\langle x \mid n\rangle=\psi_{n}(x)$ and $\left(n|x\rangle=\chi_{n}^{\dagger}(x)\right.$ (note that ( $n \mid$ is not the Hermitian conjugate of $|n\rangle$ ). This integral is ill defined and must be regularized. As before, we employ the Gaussian regulator,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathrm{d} x \lim _{\substack{M \rightarrow \infty \\
x \rightarrow y}} \operatorname{tr} v(x) \sum_{n}\left(n|y\rangle \gamma^{m+1}\langle x| \mathrm{e}^{-(\mathrm{i} \hat{D})^{2} / M^{2}}|n\rangle\right. \\
& \quad=\int \mathrm{d} x \lim _{\substack{M \rightarrow \infty \\
x \rightarrow y}} \operatorname{tr} v(x) \gamma^{m+1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}_{x}\right)^{2} / M^{2}} \delta(x-y) \tag{13.52}
\end{align*}
$$

where use has been made of the completeness relation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{n}|n\rangle(n \mid=I \tag{13.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (13.41) and (13.52) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d} x v^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left(\frac{\delta}{\delta A_{\mu}^{\alpha}} W_{r}[\mathcal{A}]\right)=\int \mathrm{d} x \lim _{\substack{M \rightarrow \infty \\ x \rightarrow y}} \operatorname{tr}\left[v \gamma^{m+1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}_{x}\right)^{2} / M^{2}} \delta(x-y)\right] \tag{13.54}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the present case $W_{r}$ really changes under (13.50). The trace may be written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{tr}\left[v \gamma^{m+1} \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\mathrm{i} \hat{D}_{x}\right)^{2} / M^{2}}\right] & =\operatorname{tr}\left[v\left(\mathcal{P}_{+}-\mathcal{P}_{-}\right) \mathrm{e}^{-\left(\mathrm{i} \not \partial-\mathrm{i} \bar{\chi}_{+}\right)-\left(\mathrm{i} \not \nabla_{-} \mathrm{i} \not \mathscr{q}_{+}\right) / M^{2}}\right] \\
& =\operatorname{tr}\left[v P_{+} \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i} \not \partial \mathrm{i} \bar{\chi}) / M^{2}}\right]-\operatorname{tr}\left[v P_{-} \mathrm{e}^{(\mathrm{i} \not \mathrm{Z} \not \mathrm{i}) / M^{2}}\right] . \tag{13.55}
\end{align*}
$$

(13.55) can be evaluated in the plane wave basis, which is straightforward but tedious (see Gross and Jackiw (1972), for example). We derive the non-Abelian anomaly from a topological viewpoint in the next section. For $m=4$, the anomalous variation is

$$
\begin{align*}
W_{r}[\mathcal{A}-\mathcal{D} v]-W_{r}[\mathcal{A}] & =\int \mathrm{d} x v^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left\langle j^{\mu}\right\rangle_{\alpha} \\
& =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d} x \operatorname{tr}\left\{v^{\alpha} T_{\alpha} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \partial_{\kappa}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right]\right\} \\
& =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int \operatorname{tr}\left\{v \mathrm{~d}\left[\mathcal{A} \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{A}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}^{3}\right]\right\} \tag{13.56}
\end{align*}
$$

The anomalous divergence of the gauge current is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left\langle j^{\mu}\right\rangle_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left\{T_{\alpha} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \partial_{\kappa}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right]\right\} \tag{13.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

This should be compared with (13.33). There are two differences between these results: the two-thirds in front of $\mathcal{A}^{3}$ is replaced by a half and the overall factor is different.

### 13.4 The Wess-Zumino consistency conditions

### 13.4.1 The Becchi-Rouet-Stora operator and the Faddeev-Popov ghost

Let $W[\mathcal{A}]$ be the effective action of the Weyl fermion in the complex representation $\boldsymbol{r}$ of the gauge group $G .{ }^{3}$ In the previous section, we observed that the change of $W[\mathcal{A}]$ under an infinitesimal gauge transformation $\delta_{v} \mathcal{A}=-\mathcal{D} v$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{v} W[\mathcal{A}]=-\int\left(\mathcal{D}_{\mu} v\right)^{\alpha} \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{A}_{\mu}{ }^{\alpha}} W[\mathcal{A}]=\int v^{\alpha} \mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left\langle j^{\mu}\right\rangle_{\alpha} . \tag{13.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

Following Stora (1984) and Zumino (1985) we introduce the BRS operator $\mathcal{S}$ and the Faddeev-Popov ghost $\omega$. Let $\Omega^{m}(G)$ be the set of maps from $S^{m}$ to $G .{ }^{4}$ In addition to the ordinary exterior derivative d, we introduce another exterior derivative $\mathcal{S}$ on $\Omega^{m}(G)$ which we call the Becchi-Rouet-Stora (BRS) operator. In general, $\mathcal{S}$ is defined on an infinite-dimensional space but we may also consider the restriction of $\mathcal{S}$ to a finite-dimensional compact subspace of $\Omega^{m}(G)$, such as $S^{n}$, parametrized by $\lambda^{\alpha}$. Then $\mathcal{S}$ may be written as $\mathcal{S} \equiv \mathrm{d} \lambda^{\alpha} \partial / \partial \lambda^{\alpha}$. We require that d and $\mathcal{S}$ be anti-derivatives,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{d}^{2}=\mathcal{S}^{2}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S} \mathrm{d}=0 \tag{13.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we define $\Delta \equiv \mathrm{d}+\mathcal{S}, \Delta$ is clearly nilpotent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta^{2}=\mathrm{d}^{2}+\mathrm{d} \mathcal{S}+\mathcal{S} \mathrm{d}+\mathcal{S}^{2}=0 \tag{13.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Under the action of $g=g\left(x, \lambda^{\alpha}\right), \mathcal{A}$ transforms as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A} \rightarrow \boldsymbol{A} \equiv g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}+\mathrm{d}) g \tag{13.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\mathcal{A}$ is independent of $\lambda$ while $\boldsymbol{A}$ depends on $\lambda$ through $g$. Define the Faddeev-Popov (FP) ghost by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega \equiv g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g \tag{13.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

The actions of $\mathcal{S}$ on $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\omega$ are found to be

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{S} \boldsymbol{A} & =\mathcal{S}\left[g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}+\mathrm{d}) g\right]=-g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g \boldsymbol{A}-g^{-1} \mathcal{A} \mathcal{S} g+g^{-1} \mathcal{S}(\mathrm{~d} g) \\
& =-\omega \boldsymbol{A}-\left(\boldsymbol{A}-g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right) \omega-g^{-1} \mathrm{~d}(\mathcal{S} g) \\
& =-\omega \boldsymbol{A}-\boldsymbol{A} \omega-\mathrm{d} \omega \equiv-\mathcal{D}_{\boldsymbol{A}} \omega  \tag{13.63a}\\
\mathcal{S} \omega & =-g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g=-\omega^{2} \tag{13.63b}
\end{align*}
$$

[^13]It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{S}$ is nilpotent on $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\omega$ and, hence, on any polynomial of $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\omega$ as it should be; see exercise 13.1. Define the field strength of $\boldsymbol{A}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\boldsymbol{F} \equiv \mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{2}=g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g \tag{13.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also define

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{A} \equiv g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}+\Delta) g & =\boldsymbol{A}+g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g=\boldsymbol{A}+\omega  \tag{13.65a}\\
\mathbb{F} \equiv \Delta \mathbb{A}+\mathbb{A}^{2} & =g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g=\boldsymbol{F} \tag{13.65b}
\end{align*}
$$

where (13.65b) follows since $\mathcal{F}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{2}=\Delta \mathcal{A}+\mathcal{A}^{2}$ (note that $\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A}=0$ ). It is found from theorem 10.1 that $\mathbb{A}$ is an Ehresmann connection on the principal bundle and $\mathbb{F}$ its associated curvature two-form.

The existence of a non-Abelian anomaly implies that $W[\boldsymbol{A}]$ does not vanish under the action of the BRS operator $\mathcal{S}$ ( $\omega$ roughly corresponds to $v$; see (13.39) and (13.63a)),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} W[\boldsymbol{A}]=G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}] . \tag{13.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $W[\boldsymbol{A}]$ is independent of $\omega, \mathcal{S}$ acts through $\boldsymbol{A}$ only. Before we write down the Wess-Zumino consistency condition for the non-Abelian anomaly, we stop here and consider the physical meaning of the BRS operator and the FP ghost.

Exercise 13.1. Verify from (13.63) that the actions of $\mathcal{S}$ on $\boldsymbol{A}$ and $\omega$ are nilpotent,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}^{2} A=0 \quad \mathcal{S}^{2} \omega=0 \tag{13.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 13.4.2 The BRS operator, FP ghost and moduli space

To find the physical meaning of $\mathcal{S}$ and $\omega$, we need to examine the topology of the gauge fields (Atiyah and Jones 1978, Singer 1985, Sumitani 1985). Let $\mathfrak{A}$ be the space of all gauge potential configurations on $S^{m}$. For definiteness, we take $m=4$ but the generalization to arbitrary $m$ is obvious. The topology of $\mathfrak{A}$ is trivial since, for any gauge potential configurations $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{2}$, the combination $t \mathcal{A}_{1}+(1-t) \mathcal{A}_{2}(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ is again a gauge potential on $S^{4}$. Note, however, that $\mathfrak{A}$ does not describe the physical configuration space of the gauge theory. We have to identify those field configurations which are connected by $G$-gauge transformations. Let $\mathfrak{G}$ be the space of all gauge transformations on $S^{4}\left(\mathfrak{G}=\Omega^{4}(G)\right.$ in our previous notation). Then the physical configuration space must be identified with $\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G}$, called the moduli space of the gauge theory. We have seen in section 10.5 that the gauge field configuration on $S^{4}$ is classified by the transition function $g: S^{3} \rightarrow G, S^{3}$ being the equator of $S^{4}$. In the present case, $\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G}$ is classified by the transition function on the equator $S^{3} \rightarrow G$ and, hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G} \simeq \Omega^{3}(G) \tag{13.68}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, each connected component of $\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G}$ is labelled by the instanton number $k$. This component is denoted by $\Omega_{k}^{4}(G)$.


Figure 13.1. The BRS operator $\mathcal{S}$ is the restriction of $\delta$ along the fibre.

We note that the space $\mathfrak{A}$ has a natural projection $\pi: \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G}$ and can be made into a fibre bundle whose fibre is $\mathfrak{G}$, see figure 13.1. Let $a \in \mathfrak{A}$ be a representative of the class $[a] \in \mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G}$ and let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}(x)=g^{-1}(x)(a(x)+\mathrm{d}) g(x) \tag{13.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

be an element of $\mathfrak{A}$ in [a]. We denote the exterior derivative operator in $\mathfrak{A}$ by $\delta$, which is a functional variation and should not be confused with the usual derivative d; see Leinaas and Olaussen (1982). If $\delta$ is applied on (13.69), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \mathcal{A} & =-g^{-1} \delta g \mathcal{A}+g^{-1} \delta a g-g^{-1} a \delta g-g^{-1} \mathrm{~d}(\delta g) \\
& =g^{-1} \delta a g-\mathrm{d}\left(g^{-1} \delta g\right)-g^{-1} \delta g \mathcal{A}-\mathcal{A} g^{-1} \delta g \\
& =g^{-1} \delta a g-\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}\left(g^{-1} \delta g\right) \tag{13.70}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}}=\mathrm{d}+[\mathcal{A}, \quad]$. The first term of (13.70) represents the derivative of $\mathcal{A}$ along $\mathfrak{A} / \mathfrak{G}$ while the second represents that along the fibre; see figure 13.1. The BRS transformation $\mathcal{S}$ is obtained by restricting the variation $\delta$ along the fibre,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\mathcal{S} \mathcal{A} \equiv \delta \mathcal{A}\right|_{\text {fibre }}=-\mathcal{D}_{\mathcal{A}} \omega \tag{13.71a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the FP ghost $\omega$ is $\left.g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g \equiv g^{-1} \delta g\right|_{\text {fibre }}$. We also find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} \omega=\left.\delta \omega\right|_{\text {fibre }}=-g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g g^{-1} \mathcal{S} g=-\omega^{2} \tag{13.71b}
\end{equation*}
$$

which reproduces (13.63a).

### 13.4.3 The Wess-Zumino conditions

Exercise 13.1 shows that $\mathcal{S}$ is nilpotent on any polynomial $f$ of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\omega$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S}^{2} f(\omega, \boldsymbol{A})=0 \tag{13.72}
\end{equation*}
$$

The nilpotency is required by the interpretation of $\mathcal{S}$ as an exterior derivative operator. In particular, we should have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{S} G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}]=\mathcal{S}^{2} W[\boldsymbol{A}]=0 \tag{13.73}
\end{equation*}
$$

This condition is called the Wess-Zumino consistency condition (WZ condition) and can be used to determine the non-Abelian anomaly (Wess and Zumino 1971, Stora 1984, Zumino 1985, Zumino et al 1984). If the anomaly $G$ is mathematically well defined, $G$ should satisfy the WZ condition. This condition is so strong that once the first term of $G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}]$ is given, the anomaly is completely pinned down.

### 13.4.4 Descent equations and solutions of WZ conditions

Stora (1984) and Zumino (1985) constructed the solution of WZ conditions as follows. The Abelian anomaly in $(2 l+2)$-dimensional space is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{F})=\frac{1}{(l+1)!} \operatorname{tr}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{F}}{2 \pi}\right)^{l+1} \tag{13.74}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\boldsymbol{F}=\mathrm{d} \boldsymbol{A}+\boldsymbol{A}^{2}, \boldsymbol{A}=g^{-1}(\mathcal{A}+\mathrm{d}) g$ as before. Let $Q_{2 l+1}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})$ be the Chern-Simons form of $\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{F})$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{F})=\mathrm{d} Q_{2 l+1}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) \tag{13.75}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the algebraic structure of the triplet $(\Delta, \mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})$ is exactly the same as that of (d, $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}$ ), we also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\mathbb{F})=\Delta Q_{2 l+1}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})=\Delta Q_{2 l+1}(\boldsymbol{A}+\omega, \boldsymbol{F}) \tag{13.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have noted that $\mathbb{A}=\boldsymbol{A}+\omega$ and $\mathbb{F}=\boldsymbol{F}$. If we expand $Q_{2 l+1}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})=$ $Q_{2 l+1}(\boldsymbol{A}+\omega, \boldsymbol{F})$ in powers of $\omega$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
Q_{2 l+1}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})= & Q_{2 l+1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})+Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})+Q_{2 l-1}^{2}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) \\
& +\cdots+Q_{0}^{2 l+1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) \tag{13.77}
\end{align*}
$$

where $Q_{r}^{s}$ is $s$ th order in $\omega$ and $r+s=2 l+1$.
We now note that $\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\mathbb{F})=\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\boldsymbol{F})$ since $\mathbb{F}=\boldsymbol{F}=g^{-1} \mathcal{F} g$. In terms of the Chern-Simons forms, this can be expressed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta Q_{2 l+1}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})=\mathrm{d} Q_{2 l+1}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) . \tag{13.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (13.77) into (13.78), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
(\mathrm{d}+\mathcal{S}) & {\left[Q_{2 l+1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})+Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})\right.} \\
& \left.+\cdots+Q_{0}^{2 l+1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})\right]=\mathrm{d} Q_{2 l+1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) \tag{13.79}
\end{align*}
$$

If we collect terms of the same order in $\omega$, we have the 'descent equations'

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{S} Q_{2 l+1}^{0}(\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})+\mathrm{d} Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=0  \tag{13.80a}\\
& \mathcal{S} Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})+\mathrm{d} Q_{2 l-1}^{2}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=0  \tag{13.80b}\\
& \vdots  \tag{13.80c}\\
& \mathcal{S} Q_{1}^{2 l}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})+\mathrm{d} Q_{0}^{2 l+1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=0  \tag{13.80d}\\
& \mathcal{S} Q_{0}^{2 l+1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=0
\end{align*}
$$

Note here that $\mathcal{S}$ increases the degree of $\omega$ by one, see (13.63). Let us look at the $2 l$-form $Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})$. If we put

$$
\begin{equation*}
G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}] \equiv \int_{M} Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) \tag{13.81}
\end{equation*}
$$

$G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}]$ satisfies the WZ condition,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{S} G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}] & =\int_{M} \mathcal{S} Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=-\int_{M} \mathrm{~d} Q_{2 l-1}^{2}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}) \\
& =-\int_{\partial M} Q_{2 l-1}^{2}(\omega, A, F)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where we have assumed that $M$ has no boundary and use has been made of (13.80b). This shows that once $Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})$ is obtained, the anomaly $G[\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F}]$ is easily found.

Proposition 13.1. $Q_{2 l}^{1}$ defined here is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{l+1} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t(1-t) \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{A} \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}\right)\right] \tag{13.82}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Note: In the proof, we tentatively drop the normalization factor ( $\mathrm{i} / 2 \pi)^{l+1}$ to simplify the expressions. This factor will be recovered at the very end.]

Proof. We start with (11.105),

$$
Q_{2 l+1}(\mathcal{A}+\omega, \mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{l!} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t \operatorname{tr}\left[(\mathcal{A}+\omega) \hat{\mathcal{F}}_{t}^{l}\right]
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{t} & \equiv t \mathcal{F}+\left(t^{2}-t\right)(\mathcal{A}+\omega)^{2} \\
& =\mathcal{F}_{t}+\left(t^{2}-t\right)\{\mathcal{A}, \omega\}+\left(t^{2}-t\right) \omega^{2} \\
\mathcal{F}_{t} & \equiv \mathrm{~d}(t \mathcal{A})+(t \mathcal{A})^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

If we substitute $\hat{\mathcal{F}}_{t}$ into $Q_{2 l+1}$ and collect terms of first order in $\omega$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{1}{l!} \int_{0}^{1} \delta & \delta \operatorname{tr}\left[\omega \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+\left(t^{2}-t\right)\left(\mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}, \omega] \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}+\mathcal{A} \mathcal{F}_{t}[\mathcal{A}, \omega] \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-2}\right.\right. \\
& \left.\left.+\cdots+\mathcal{A} \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}[\mathcal{A}, \omega]\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{l!} \int \delta t \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+\left(t^{2}-t\right) \mathcal{A}\left(\mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}[\mathcal{A}, \omega]\right]\right. \\
+ & \left.\left.\mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-2}[\mathcal{A}, \omega] \mathcal{F}_{t}+\cdots\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{l!} \int \delta t \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+\left(t^{2}-t\right) l \mathcal{A}[\mathcal{A}, v] \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{l!} \int \delta t \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+l\left(t^{2}-t\right)\left([\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}] \omega \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}+\mathcal{A} \omega\left[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}\right]\right)\right] \\
= & \frac{1}{l!} \int \delta t \operatorname{str}\left[\omega\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+l(t-1)\left(t[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}] \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}-\mathcal{A}\left[\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}\right]\right)\right\}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

where str is the symmetrized trace defined by (11.8). Now we use

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mathcal{D}_{t} \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1} \equiv \mathrm{~d} \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}+\left[\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}\right]=0 \\
\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{t}}{\partial t}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}+t[\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}]
\end{gathered}
$$

to change the final line of the previous equation to

$$
\begin{gathered}
\frac{1}{l!} \int \delta t \operatorname{str}\left[\omega\left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+l(t-1)\left[\left(\frac{\partial \mathcal{F}_{t}}{\partial t}-\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}\right) \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}+\mathcal{A d} \mathcal{F}_{t}^{l-1}\right]\right\}\right] \\
=\frac{1}{l!} \int \delta t \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \left\{\mathcal{F}_{t}^{l}+l(1-t) \mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{A \mathcal { F } _ { t } ^ { l - 1 } ) + ( t - 1 ) \frac { \partial \mathcal { F } _ { t } ^ { l } } { \partial t } \} ]}\right.\right.\right.
\end{gathered}
$$

Integrating by parts, we find that

$$
Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=\frac{1}{(l-1)!} \int \delta t(1-t) \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{A F}{ }_{t}^{l-1}\right)\right]
$$

If we recover the normalization, we finally have

$$
Q_{2 l}^{1}(\omega, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{F})=\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{l+1} \frac{1}{(l-1)!} \int_{0}^{1} \delta t(1-t) \operatorname{str}\left[\omega \mathrm{d}\left(\mathcal{A F}_{t}^{l-1}\right)\right]
$$

For $m=2 l=2$ and $m=4$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& Q_{2}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{2} \operatorname{tr}(\omega \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{A})  \tag{13.83a}\\
& Q_{4}^{1}(\omega, \boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{F})=\frac{1}{6}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{3} \operatorname{str}\left(\omega \mathrm{~d}\left(\boldsymbol{A} \mathrm{~d} \boldsymbol{A}+\frac{1}{2} \boldsymbol{A}^{3}\right)\right) \tag{13.83b}
\end{align*}
$$

These results are also verified by direct computations. Up to the normalization factor, (13.83b) yields the non-Abelian anomaly in four-dimensional space; see (13.56).

Sumitani (1984) pointed out that the approach to the non-Abelian anomalies here is ad hoc and does not clarify the following points:
(1) The WZ condition (13.73) does not fix the normalization of the anomaly and, moreover, the uniqueness of the solution is far from trivial.
(2) It is not clear why we should start from the Abelian anomaly in $(m+2)$ dimensional space.

To answer these questions we need to develop a more elaborate index theorem called the family index theorem; see Atiyah and Singer (1984), Singer (1985) and Sumitani $(1984,1985)$. In the next section, we outline the physicists' approach to this problem, closely following the work of Alvarez-Gaumé and Ginsparg (1984).

### 13.5 Abelian anomalies versus non-Abelian anomalies

Let us consider an $m$-dimensional Euclidean space ( $m=2 l$ ) which is compactified to $S^{m}=\mathbb{R}^{m} \cup\{\infty\}$ and let $G$ be a semisimple gauge group which is simply connected (like $\mathrm{SU}(N)$ for which $\pi_{1}(\mathrm{SU}(N)$ ) is trivial). Consider a one-parameter family of gauge transformations $g(\theta, x)(0 \leq \theta \leq 2 \pi)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(0, x)=g(2 \pi, x)=e \tag{13.84}
\end{equation*}
$$

Without loss of generality, we may normalize $g$ so that $g\left(\theta, x_{0}\right)=e$ at a point $x_{0} \in S^{m}$. The map $g: S^{1} \times S^{m} \rightarrow G$ is classified according to the homotopy class $\pi_{m+1}(G)$. To see this we define the smash product $X \wedge Y$ of topological spaces $X$ and $Y$ by the direct product $X \times Y$ with $X \vee Y \equiv\left(x_{0} \times X\right) \cup\left(X \times y_{0}\right)$ shrunk to a point. From figure 13.2, we easily find that $S^{1} \wedge S^{m}=S^{m} \wedge S^{1}=S^{m+1}$. 5 Repeated applications of this yield

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{m} \wedge S^{n}=S^{m+n} \tag{13.85}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the case which interests us, the conditions (13.84) make the direct product $S^{1} \times S^{m}$ look topologically like $S^{1} \wedge S^{m}=S^{m+1}$. Thus, $g$ is regarded as a map
${ }^{5}$ The readers may convince themselves by explicitly drawing $S^{1} \wedge S^{1}=S^{2}$.


Figure 13.2. The smash product $S^{1} \wedge S^{m} \simeq S^{m+1}$.
from $S^{m+1}$ to $G$ and is classified by $\pi_{m+1}(G)$. Since we have a one-parameter family in the space $\mathfrak{G}=\Omega^{m}(G)$, we also have $\pi_{m+1}(G)=\pi_{1}(\mathfrak{G})$. In practice, we take $G=\operatorname{SU}(N)$ for which we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi_{m+1}(\mathrm{SU}(N))=\mathbb{Z} \quad N \geq \frac{1}{2} m+1 . \tag{13.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we take a 'reference' gauge field $\mathcal{A}$ in the zero instanton sector $\Omega_{0}^{m}(G)$ for which we may assume, without loss of generality, that the Dirac operator (13.49) has no zero modes. Consider a one-parameter family of gauge potentials

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}(x) \equiv g^{-1}(\theta, x)(\mathcal{A}(x)+\mathrm{d}) g(\theta, x) \tag{13.87}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\theta$ parametrizes $S^{1}$. In section 13.3, we observed that $|\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}|$ is gauge invariant (see (13.47)) and only the phase of $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}$ may gain an anomalous variation under a gauge transformation. This, in particular, implies that $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}$ does not vanish for any $\theta$. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\exp \left\{-W_{r}\left[\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right]\right\}=\operatorname{deti} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right)=[\operatorname{deti} \nmid(\mathcal{A})]^{1 / 2} \exp [\mathrm{i} w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)] \tag{13.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla \mathrm{t}$ is the $\operatorname{Dirac}$ operator (13.49) and $\exp [\mathrm{i} w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)]$ is the anomalous phase associated with the gauge transformation (13.87). Next we consider a twoparameter family of gauge fields $\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}(0 \leq t \leq 1)$ which interpolates between $\mathcal{A}=0$ and $\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta} \equiv t \mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)} \quad(0 \leq t \leq 1) \tag{13.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

The parameter space specified by $(t, \theta)$ is considered to be a two-dimensional unit disc $D^{2}$ with polar coordinates $(t, \theta)$. On the boundary of the disc, $\partial D^{2}=S^{1}$, the modulus of $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{1, \theta}\right)$ is a non-vanishing constant. The phase $\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)}$ now defines a map $S^{1}\left(=\partial D^{2}\right) \rightarrow S^{1}(=\mathrm{U}(1))$; see figure 13.3. As we move around


Figure 13.3. The phase of the effective action $W\left[\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right]$ defines a map $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ by $\theta \mapsto \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)}$. On the disc, there are points $\left\{p_{i}\right\}$ at which $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}\right)$ vanishes. The winding number of the map $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(1)$ is obtained by summing a winding number along $\mathrm{C}_{i}$.
the boundary of the disc, the phase winds around the unit circle. The winding number of this map is an integer

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \frac{\partial w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \mathrm{d} \theta \tag{13.90}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find below that $\mathcal{N}$ is derived from the Abelian anomaly in $(m+2)$ dimensions.
Exercise 13.2. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
W\left[\mathcal{A}^{g(2 \pi)}\right]-W\left[\mathcal{A}^{g(0)}\right]=-2 \pi \mathrm{i} \mathcal{N} . \tag{13.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g(2 \pi)=g(0),(13.91)$ may be regarded as a Berry phase.

### 13.5.1 $m$ dimensions versus $m+2$ dimensions

We recall that our reference gauge field $\mathcal{A}$ supports no zero modes of the operator $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A})$. Since $\left|\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right)\right|=|\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A})| \neq 0$, the operator $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right)$ does not admit zero modes either. Of course, $\mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}\right)$ may have zero modes since $\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}$ is not obtained from $\mathcal{A}$ by a gauge transformation in general. Suppose it has a zero mode at $p_{i}=\left(t_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$. We assume they are isolated points. Since $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}\right)$ is a regularized product of eigenvalues, it vanishes at $p_{i}$. The phase of $\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}\right)$ may be homotopically non-trivial only around these points. Moreover, the winding number at $p_{i}$ is determined by the eigenvalue which vanishes at $p_{i}$. For example, if $\lambda_{n}(t, \theta)$ vanishes at $p_{i}$ it should be of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{n}(t, \theta)=f(t, \theta) \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} w_{i}(t, \theta)} \tag{13.92}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 13.4.
where $f\left(t_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)=0$. The winding number at $p_{i}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
m_{i}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{C_{i}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}}{\mathrm{~d} s} w_{i}(t, \theta) \mathrm{d} s \tag{13.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathrm{C}_{i}$ is a small contour surrounding $p_{i}$, see figure 13.3. Continuously deforming the loop $S^{1}=\partial D^{2}$ into a sum of small circles $\mathrm{C}_{i}$ enclosing $p_{i}$, we find that the total winding number is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{S^{1}} \mathrm{~d} \theta \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)=\sum m_{i} \tag{13.94}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we show that the winding number $\mathcal{N}$ is related to the index theorem in $(m+2)$-dimensional space $(m=2 l): \mathcal{N}=$ indi $\not \varnothing_{m+2}$ where $\mathrm{i} \not{ }_{\$}{ }_{m+2}$ is the Dirac operator on $S^{2} \times S^{m}$ defined later. Let us consider a gauge theory defined on $D^{2} \times S^{m}$ whose coordinates are $(t, \theta, x)$. To avoid the boundary term, we add another piece, $D^{2} \times S^{m}$, with coordinates $(s, \theta, x)$, to form a manifold $S^{2} \times S^{m}$ without a boundary; see figure 13.4. We call the patch $(t, \theta)$ the northern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{N}}$ and $(s, \theta)$ the southern hemisphere $U_{\mathrm{S}}$. On the equator $S^{1}$ of $S^{2}$, we have $t=s=1$. We choose the following local gauge potentials

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}(t, \theta, x)=\mathcal{A}^{t, \theta}+g^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{\theta} g & (t, \theta) \in U_{\mathrm{N}} \\
\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}(s, \theta, x)=\mathcal{A} & (s, \theta) \in U_{\mathrm{S}} \tag{13.95b}
\end{array}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}$ is the reference gauge field introduced previously. To elevate $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=$ $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N} \mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S}}=\mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{S} \mu} \mathrm{d} x^{\mu}$ to the globally defined connection on the $G$ bundle over $S^{2} \times S^{m}$ we define the $(m+2)$-dimensional gauge potentials

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}(t, \theta, x) & =\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}, \mathcal{A}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)=\left(0,0, \mathcal{A}_{\mathrm{N} \mu}\right)  \tag{13.96a}\\
\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{S}}(s, \theta, x) & =\left(\mathcal{A}_{s}, \mathcal{A}_{\theta}, \mathcal{A}_{\mu}\right)=\left(0,0, \mathcal{A}_{\mathbf{S} \mu}\right) . \tag{13.96b}
\end{align*}
$$

On the equator $(t=s=1)$, we have $\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}=g^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{S}}+\Delta\right) g$, where $\Delta=\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}_{\theta}+\mathrm{d}_{t}$ (note that $\mathrm{d}_{t} g=0$ ). Thus, $\mathbb{A}=\left\{\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{s}}\right\}$ defines a global connection on $S^{2} \times S^{m}$. Consider a Dirac operator i $\searrow_{m+2}$ which couples to $\mathbb{A}$. The index theorem for $\mathrm{i} \nabla_{m+2}$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind i扐} m+2=\mathcal{N}_{+}-\mathcal{N}_{-}=\int_{S^{2} \times S^{m}} \operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\mathbb{F}) \tag{13.97}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathbb{F}=\Delta \mathbb{A}+\mathbb{A}^{2}$ and $\mathcal{N}_{+}\left(\mathcal{N}_{-}\right)$is the number of $+(-)$chirality zero modes of $\mathrm{i} \boldsymbol{\phi}_{m+2}$ (chirality is defined in an $(m+2)$-space).

Alvarez-Gaumé and Ginsparg (1984) have shown, using an adiabatic perturbative computation, that each winding number $m_{i}$ must be $\pm 1$. Moreover, the Dirac operator $\mathrm{i} \not \searrow_{m+2}$ has a zero mode at $p_{i}=\left(t_{i}, \theta_{i}\right)$ with $(m+2)$ dimensional chirality $\chi=m_{i}= \pm 1$. Then the total winding number $\mathcal{N}=\sum m_{i}$ is given by the index $\mathcal{N}_{+}-\mathcal{N}_{-}$. Now we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{ind} \mathrm{X} \not_{m+2}=\int_{S^{2} \times S^{m}} \operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\mathbb{F})=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta \frac{\partial w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \tag{13.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

We easily find the non-Abelian anomaly from (13.98) including the normalization. Since $\operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\mathbb{F})=\mathrm{d} Q_{m+1}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\int_{S^{2} \times S^{m}} \operatorname{ch}_{l+1}(\mathbb{F}) & =\int_{D^{2} \times S^{m}} \operatorname{ch}_{l+1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)+\int_{D^{2} \times S^{m}} \operatorname{ch}_{l+1}\left(\mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{1} \times S^{m}}\left[\left.Q_{m+1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right|_{t=1}-\left.Q_{m+1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{s}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right|_{s=1}\right] . \tag{13.99}
\end{align*}
$$

From (11.118), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left.Q_{m+1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)\right|_{t=1}-\left.Q_{m+1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right|_{s=1} \\
& \quad=Q_{m+1}\left(g^{-1} \Delta g, 0\right)+\Delta \alpha_{m} \\
& \quad=(-1)^{l}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{l+1} \frac{l!}{(m+1)!} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} \Delta g\right)^{m+1}+\Delta \alpha_{m} \tag{13.100}
\end{align*}
$$

The index theorem is now given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { ind } \mathrm{i} \not{ }_{b+2}=(-1)^{l}\left(\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \pi}\right)^{l+1} \frac{l!}{(m+1)!} \int_{S^{1} \times S^{m}} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} \Delta g\right)^{m+1} \tag{13.101}
\end{equation*}
$$

Theorem 10.7 states that $\int_{S^{3}} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{3}$ yields the winding number of the map $g: S^{3} \rightarrow \mathrm{SU}(2)$. In the same manner, (13.101) represents the winding number of the map $g: S^{m+1} \rightarrow G$ and is classified by $\pi_{m+1}(G)$ (note that $S^{1} \wedge S^{m}=S^{m+1}$ ).

Finally, we show that the non-Abelian anomaly should be identified with $Q_{m}^{1}$. We first note that

$$
\int_{S^{1} \times S^{m}} Q_{m+1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{S}}, \mathbb{F}_{S}\right)=0
$$

since the integrand is independent of $\mathrm{d} \theta$ and, thus, cannot be a volume element of $S^{1} \times S^{m}$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { indi } \not \ddot{\not V}_{m+2}=\int_{S^{1} \times S^{m}} Q_{m+1}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}+\omega, \mathcal{F}^{g(\theta)}\right) \tag{13.102}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\omega=g^{-1} \mathrm{~d}_{\theta} g$ and $\mathcal{F}^{g(\theta)}=\mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}+\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right)^{2}=g(\theta)^{-1} \mathcal{F} g(\theta)$. If the integrand in (13.102) is expanded in $\omega$, only the term linear in $\mathrm{d} \theta$ contributes to the integral. This term $Q_{m}^{1}\left(\omega, \mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}, \mathcal{F}^{g(\theta)}\right)$ is proportional to $\mathrm{d} \theta \wedge$ (volume element in $S^{m}$ ) and, hence, is a volume element of $S^{1} \times S^{m}$. We now have

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta_{\omega} W[\mathcal{A}] & =\int_{S^{m}} \operatorname{tr} \omega \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \frac{\delta W[\mathcal{A}]}{\delta \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} \\
& =\operatorname{id}_{\theta} w(\theta, \mathcal{A})=2 \pi \mathrm{i} \int_{S^{m}} Q_{m}^{1}\left(\omega, \mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}, \mathcal{F}^{g(\theta)}\right) \tag{13.103}
\end{align*}
$$

The explicit form of $Q_{m}^{1}$ is given by (13.82). For $m=4$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \operatorname{tr} \omega \mathcal{D}_{\mu} \frac{\delta W[\mathcal{A}]}{\delta \mathcal{A}_{\mu}} & =2 \pi \mathrm{i} \int_{S^{4}} Q_{4}^{1}\left(\omega, \mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}, \mathcal{F}^{g(\theta)}\right) \\
& =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{4}} \operatorname{tr} \omega \mathrm{~d}\left[\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)} \mathrm{d} \mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}+\frac{1}{2}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right)^{3}\right] \tag{13.104}
\end{align*}
$$

Putting $\theta=0(g=e)$, we reproduce the anomalous divergence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D}_{\mu}\left\langle j^{\mu}\right\rangle_{\alpha}=\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \operatorname{tr} T_{\alpha} \epsilon^{\kappa \lambda \mu \nu} \partial_{\kappa}\left[\mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \partial_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}+\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{A}_{\lambda} \mathcal{A}_{\mu} \mathcal{A}_{\nu}\right] \tag{13.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is in agreement with (13.56). The present method guarantees that the WZ condition yields the correct result. Moreover, it reproduces the anomalous divergence including the normalization which cannot be fixed by the WZ condition alone.

### 13.6 The parity anomaly in odd-dimensional spaces

So far, we have been working in even-dimensional spaces. One of the reasons for this is that $\mathrm{SO}(2 l+1)$ has real or pseudo-real spinor representations but no complex representations, hence no gauge anomaly is expected. However, we can show that gauge theories in odd-dimensional spaces have a different kind of anomaly called the 'parity anomaly', in which the parity symmetry of the classical action is not maintained through quantization. It should be noted that the parity anomaly in $2 l+1$ dimensions is related to the Abelian anomaly in $2 l+2$ dimensions as was pointed out by Alvarez-Gaumé et al (1985).

### 13.6.1 The parity anomaly

Let $M$ be a $(2 l+1)$-dimensional Riemannian manifold. We distinguish one dimension from the others; that is we assume that $M$ is of the form $\mathbb{R} \times \mathcal{M}$ or $S^{1} \times \mathcal{M}$, where $\mathcal{M}$ is a $2 l$-dimensional compact manifold without a boundary. We denote the coordinate of $\mathbb{R}$ or $S^{1}$ by $t$ while that of $\mathcal{M}$ is denoted by $x$. The index 0 denotes the component in $t$-space while $\mu$ denotes that in $x$-space. For example, the components of the $\gamma$-matrices are $\left\{\gamma^{0}, \gamma^{\mu}(1 \leq \mu \leq 2 l)\right\}$.

Define the 'parity' operation P by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{0}(t, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{0}^{\mathrm{P}}(t, x)=-\mathcal{A}_{0}(-t, x) \\
& \mathcal{A}_{\mu}(t, x) \rightarrow \mathcal{A}_{\mu}^{\mathrm{P}}(t, x)=\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(-t, x) \\
& \psi(t, x) \rightarrow \psi^{\mathrm{P}}(t, x)=\mathrm{i} \gamma_{0} \psi(-t, x) \\
& \bar{\psi}(t, x) \rightarrow \bar{\psi}^{\mathrm{P}}(t, x)=\mathrm{i} \bar{\psi}(-t, x) \gamma_{0} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The classical action is invariant under the parity operation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x & \bar{\psi} \mathrm{i} \not \partial \psi \rightarrow-\int \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \bar{\psi}(-t, x) \gamma^{0} \mathrm{i}\left[\gamma^{0}\left(\partial_{0}-\mathcal{A}_{0}(-t, x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(-t, x)\right)\right] \gamma^{0} \psi(-t, x) \\
= & \int \mathrm{d} t \mathrm{~d} x \bar{\psi}(t, x) \mathrm{i}\left[\gamma^{0}\left(\partial_{0}+\mathcal{A}_{0}(t, x)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\gamma^{\mu}\left(\partial_{\mu}+\mathcal{A}_{\mu}(t, x)\right)\right] \psi(t, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where we put $t \rightarrow-t$ in the final line. Let us see whether this invariance is observed by the effective action. The effective action is given by the regularized product of the eigenvalues of $i \nexists$. We employ the Pauli-Villars regularization to regulate the product, that is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L}_{\text {reg }} \equiv \bar{\chi} \mathrm{i} \not \ddot{ } \chi+\mathrm{i} M \bar{\chi} \chi \tag{13.106}
\end{equation*}
$$

is added to the original Lagrangian. The Pauli-Villars regulator $\chi$ is a spinor which obeys bosonic statistics and the limit $M \rightarrow \infty$ is understood. The regularized determinant is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{-W[\mathcal{A}]}=\frac{\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \not \forall}{\operatorname{det}(\mathrm{i} \not \subset+\mathrm{i} M)}=\prod_{i} \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+\mathrm{i} M} \tag{13.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we noted that $\chi$ is bosonic. Here $\lambda_{i}$ is the $i$ th eigenvalue of $\mathrm{i} \not \nabla ; \mathrm{i} \not \nabla \psi_{i}=$ $\lambda_{i} \psi_{i}$. Under the parity operation, eigenvalues change sign,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{i}\left[\gamma^{0}\left(\partial_{0}-\mathcal{A}_{0}(-t, x)\right)+\gamma^{i}\left(\partial_{i}+\mathcal{A}_{i}(-t, x)\right)\right] \mathrm{i} \gamma^{0} \psi_{i}(-t, x) \\
& \quad=\mathrm{i} \gamma^{0}\left[\gamma^{0}\left(-\partial_{\tau}-\mathcal{A}_{0}(\tau, x)\right)-\gamma^{i}\left(\partial_{i}+\mathcal{A}_{i}(\tau, x)\right)\right] \mathrm{i} \psi(\tau, x) \\
& \quad=-\lambda_{i} \mathrm{i} \gamma^{0} \psi_{i}(\tau, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\tau=-t$. This shows that the effective action $W[\mathcal{A}]$ transforms under the parity operation P as

$$
\begin{equation*}
W[\mathcal{A}] \rightarrow W\left[\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{P}}\right]=-\ln \prod \frac{-\lambda_{i}}{-\lambda_{i}+\mathrm{i} M}=\overline{W[\mathcal{A}]} \tag{13.108}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the bar denotes complex conjugation. (13.108) shows that the imaginary part of $W$ is identified with the parity-violating part

$$
\begin{equation*}
W[\mathcal{A}]-W\left[\mathcal{A}^{\mathrm{P}}\right]=2 \operatorname{Im} W[\mathcal{A}] . \tag{13.109}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\operatorname{Im} W[\mathcal{A}]$ is given by the $\eta$-invariant defined in section 12.8. In fact,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Im} W[\mathcal{A}] & =\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \operatorname{Im}\left(-\sum_{i} \ln \frac{\lambda_{i}}{\lambda_{i}+\mathrm{i} M}\right)=\lim _{M \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{i} \tan ^{-1}\left(M / \lambda_{i}\right) \\
& =\frac{\pi}{2}\left(\sum_{\lambda>0} 1-\sum_{\lambda<0} 1\right)=\frac{\pi}{2} \eta \tag{13.110}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the Pauli-Villars regulator gives a regularized form for the $\eta$-invariant. We finally have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Im} W[\mathcal{A}]=\frac{\pi}{2} \eta=\frac{\pi}{2} \lim _{s \rightarrow 0} \sum_{i}^{\prime} \operatorname{sgn} \lambda_{i}\left|\lambda_{i}\right|^{-2 s} \tag{13.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the prime indicates the omission of zero modes.

### 13.6.2 The dimensional ladder: 4-3-2

It is remarkable that the parity anomaly (13.110) is closely related to the chiral anomaly in a $(2 l+2)$-dimensional space (Alvarez-Gaumé et al 1985). Following Forte (1987), we look at the dimensional ladder,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\text { four-dimensional Abelian anomaly } \\
\downarrow  \tag{13.112}\\
\text { three-dimensional parity anomaly } \\
\downarrow \\
\text { two-dimensional non-Abelian anomaly. }
\end{gather*}
$$

We take $M_{4}=S^{2} \times S^{2}$ as a four-dimensional space. The Abelian anomaly is given by the index

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{indi} \not \ddot{\phi}_{4}=\mathcal{N}_{+}-\mathcal{N}_{-}=\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} \partial_{\mu} j_{5}^{\mu}=\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathbb{F}) \tag{13.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

As before, $\mathcal{N}_{+}\left(\mathcal{N}_{-}\right)$is the number of positive (negative) chirality zero modes. Let $Q_{3}$ be the Chern-Simons form of $\operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathbb{F}) ; \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathbb{F})=\mathrm{d} Q_{3}(\mathbb{A}, \mathbb{F})$. Then
$\mathcal{N} \equiv \mathcal{N}_{+}-\mathcal{N}_{-}$is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{N} & =\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathbb{F})=\int_{U_{\mathrm{N}} \times S^{2}} \mathrm{~d} Q_{3}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)+\int_{U_{\mathrm{S}} \times S^{2}} \mathrm{~d} Q_{3}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{S}}\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{1} \times S^{2}}\left[Q_{3}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{N}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{N}}\right)-\mathrm{d} Q_{3}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathrm{S}}, \mathbb{F}_{\mathrm{S}}\right)\right] \\
& =\frac{1}{24 \pi^{2}} \int_{S^{1} \times S^{2}} \operatorname{tr}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g\right)^{3} \tag{13.114}
\end{align*}
$$

where $g$ is the gauge transformation connecting $\mathbb{A}_{N}$ and $\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{S}} ; \mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{N}}=g^{-1}\left(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbf{S}}+\right.$ $\left.\mathrm{d}+\mathrm{d}_{\theta}\right) g$. In the previous section, we have shown that $\mathcal{N}$ also represents the non-Abelian anomaly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{N}=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} \mathrm{~d} \theta \frac{\partial w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)}{\partial \theta} \tag{13.115a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}\left(\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}\right)=\mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} w(\mathcal{A}, \theta)} \operatorname{det} \mathrm{i} \hat{D}(\mathcal{A}) \tag{13.115b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathcal{A}$ is the reference gauge potential and

$$
\mathcal{A}^{g(\theta)}=g^{-1}(x, \theta)(\mathcal{A}+\mathrm{d}) g(x, \theta) \mathrm{i} \hat{D}=\not \partial+\mathscr{A} \mathcal{P}_{+}
$$

Next, we show that $\mathcal{N}$ is also related to the parity anomaly in threedimensional space. Let $i \not \oiint_{3}$ be a three-dimensional Dirac operator and define a four-dimensional Dirac operator by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{i} \not \mathscr{D}_{4}[\mathcal{A}] \equiv \mathrm{i} \sigma_{1} \otimes I \frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\sigma_{2} \otimes \mathrm{i} \not \ddot{H}_{3}\left[\mathcal{A}_{t}\right] \tag{13.116}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{A}_{t}$ is a one-parameter family of gauge potentials interpolating $\mathcal{A}_{0}=\mathcal{A}_{t=0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}=\mathcal{A}_{t=1}$. The Atiyah-Patodi-Singer index theorem (section 12.8) is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{indi} D_{4}=-\int_{S^{2} \times S^{1} \times I} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F})+\frac{1}{2}[\eta(t=1)-\eta(t=0)] \tag{13.117}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have noted that the Dirac genus $\hat{A}$ is trivial on $S^{2} \times S^{1} \times I$. Suppose $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}$ are related by a gauge transformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{1}=g^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}+\mathrm{d}\right) g \tag{13.118a}
\end{equation*}
$$

and consider an interpolating potential

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{A}_{t} \equiv t \mathcal{A}_{1}+(1-t) \mathcal{A}_{0} \tag{13.118b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the spectrum of $\mathrm{i} \not \ddot{W}_{3}$ is gauge invariant, in particular $\operatorname{Spec} \mathrm{i} \not{ }_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)=$ Spec $_{\varnothing_{3}}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$, the $\eta$-invariant is also gauge invariant. ${ }^{6}$ Then $\eta(t=0)=\eta(t=1)$ and the APS index theorem (13.117) yields

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { spectral flow } & =\operatorname{indi} \not D_{4}\left(\mathcal{A}_{t}\right) \\
& =\int_{S^{2} \times S^{2}} \operatorname{ch}_{2}(\mathcal{F})=\int_{S^{1} \times S^{2}}\left[Q_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{1}\right)-Q_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}, \mathcal{F}_{0}\right)\right] \\
& =\int_{S^{1} \times S^{2}} Q_{3}\left(g^{-1} \mathrm{~d} g, 0\right)=\mathcal{N} . \tag{13.119}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the spectral flow of the three-dimensional theory is given by the index $\mathcal{N}$. In summary, the map $g: S^{2} \times S^{1} \rightarrow G$ is understood in three different ways:
(1) $g$ is a transition function at the boundary of two patches of a $G$ bundle over $S^{2} \times S^{2}$. It yields the index $\mathcal{N}$ of the four-dimensional Abelian anomaly.
(2) Suppose $\mathcal{A}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{1}=g^{-1}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}+\mathrm{d}\right) g$ are gauge potentials on $S^{2} \times S^{1}$. The gauge transformation function $g$ measures the spectral flow $\mathcal{N}$ between Spei\# ${ }_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{0}\right)$ and Spei\# $\varnothing_{3}\left(\mathcal{A}_{1}\right)$.
(3) $g: S^{2} \times S^{1} \rightarrow G$ induces a map $S^{1} \rightarrow \mathfrak{G}$, the winding number $\mathcal{N}$ of which is identified with the non-Abelian anomaly in two-dimensional space.

Thus, we have obtained the 'dimensional ladder' 4-3-2. The extension to higher dimensions is obvious.

[^14]
## 14

## BOSONIC STRING THEORY

In the present chapter, we study the one-loop amplitude of bosonic string theory. Our example is the simplest one: closed, oriented bosonic strings in 26dimensional Euclidean space. ${ }^{1}$ The action is the Polyakov action

$$
\begin{equation*}
S=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{g}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}-\frac{\lambda}{4 \pi} \int_{\Sigma_{g}} \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R} \tag{14.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Sigma_{g}$ is a Riemann surface with genus $g$. The second term is proportional to the Euler characteristic $\chi=2-2 g$ and, hence, determines the relative ratio of multi-loop amplitudes; the $g$-loop amplitude is proportional to $\exp (-\lambda g)$. We have not written down the possible counter terms explicitly.

In the following sections, we work out the path integral formalism of bosonic strings. We first develop the necessary mathematical tools, namely differential geometry on Riemann surfaces. Then the path integral expression for the vacuum amplitude is written down. As an example, we compute the one-loop vacuum amplitude. Our exposition is based on D'Hoker and Phong (1986), Polchinski (1986) and Moore and Nelson (1986). There are many surveys of these topics, for example, Alvarez-Gaumé and Nelson (1986), Bagger (1987), D'Hoker and Phong (1988) and Weinberg (1988).

### 14.1 Differential geometry on Riemann surfaces

Riemann surfaces are real two-dimensional manifolds without boundary. In our study of topology and geometry, we referred to them in various places. Here we summarize the basic facts on Riemann surfaces, which will make this chapter self-contained. We also introduce several new aspects of Riemann surfaces, which provide enough background for the study of bosonic string amplitudes.

### 14.1.1 Metric and complex structure

Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be a Riemann surface of genus $g$. It was shown in example 7.9 that we may introduce, in any chart $U$, the isothermal coordinates $\left(\xi^{1}, \xi^{2}\right)$ in which the metric is conformally flat:

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(\xi)}\left(\mathrm{d} \xi^{1} \otimes \mathrm{~d} \xi^{1}+\mathrm{d} \xi^{2} \otimes \mathrm{~d} \xi^{2}\right) \tag{14.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

${ }^{1}$ The reason for $D=26$ will be clarified in section 14.2.

Introduce the complex coordinates

$$
\begin{equation*}
z=\xi^{1}+\mathrm{i} \xi^{2} \quad \bar{z}=\xi^{1}-\mathrm{i} \xi^{2} . \tag{14.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Forms and vectors are spanned by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{d} z=\mathrm{d} \xi^{1}+\mathrm{id} \xi^{2} \quad \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}=\mathrm{d} \xi^{1}-\mathrm{id} \xi^{2}  \tag{14.4a}\\
& \partial_{z}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}}-\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right) \quad \partial_{\bar{z}}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}}+\mathrm{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right) . \tag{14.4b}
\end{align*}
$$

In terms of the complex coordinates, the metric takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(z, \bar{z})}[\mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}+\mathrm{d} \bar{z} \otimes \mathrm{~d} z] \tag{14.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of $g$ are

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
g_{z \bar{z}}=g_{\bar{z} z}=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} & g_{z z}=g_{\bar{z} \bar{z}}=0 \\
g^{z \bar{z}}=g^{\bar{z} z}=2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} & g^{z z}=g^{\bar{z} \bar{z}}=0 . \tag{14.6b}
\end{array}
$$

Let $V$ be another chart of $\Sigma_{g}$ such that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. Let $(w, \bar{w})$ be the complex coordinates in $V$. The metric in $V$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma^{\prime}(w, \bar{w})} \mathrm{d} w \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} \tag{14.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

The two expressions (14.5) and (14.7) should agree on $U \cap V$,

$$
\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(z, \bar{z})} \mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma^{\prime}(w, \bar{w})} \mathrm{d} w \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} .
$$

Since

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{d} w \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{w} & =[(\partial w / \partial z) \mathrm{d} z+(\partial w / \partial \bar{z}) \mathrm{d} \bar{z}] \otimes[(\partial \bar{w} / \partial z) \mathrm{d} z+(\partial \bar{w} / \partial \bar{z}) \mathrm{d} \bar{z}] \\
& \propto \mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}
\end{aligned}
$$

we must have $\partial w / \partial \bar{z}=\partial \bar{w} / \partial z=0$. [Another possibility, $\partial w / \partial z=\partial \bar{w} / \partial \bar{z}=0$ is ruled out if $(z, \bar{z})$ and $(w, \bar{w})$ define the same orientation.] Thus, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
w=w(z) \quad \bar{w}=\bar{w}(\bar{z}) \tag{14.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which verifies that $\Sigma_{g}$ is a complex manifold. We also have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma(z, \overline{\mathrm{z}})}=\mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma^{\prime}(w, \bar{w})}|\partial w / \partial z|^{2} \tag{14.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 14.1.2 Vectors, forms and tensors

Let $M=\Sigma_{g}$. The components of vector fields $V^{z} \partial / \partial z \in T M^{+}$and $V^{\bar{z}} \partial / \partial \bar{z} \in$ $T M^{-}$transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
V^{w}=(\partial w / \partial z) V^{z} \quad V^{\bar{w}}=(\partial \bar{w} / \partial \bar{z}) V^{\bar{z}} \tag{14.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The components of differential forms $w_{z} \mathrm{~d} z \in \Omega^{1,0}(M)$ and $w_{\bar{z}} \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} \in \Omega^{0,1}(M)$ transform as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{w}=(\partial w / \partial z)^{-1} \omega_{z} \quad \omega_{\bar{w}}=(\partial \bar{w} / \partial \bar{z})^{-1} \omega_{\bar{z}} \tag{14.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

These are identified with sections of the holomorphic (anti-holomorphic) line bundles over $M=\Sigma_{g}$, for which the transition functions are holomorphic (antiholomorphic). The metric provides a natural isomorphism between $T M^{+}$and $\Omega^{0,1}(M)$ through

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\bar{z}}=g_{\bar{z} z} V^{z}, \quad V^{z}=g^{z \bar{z}} \omega_{\bar{z}} \tag{14.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, $T M^{-}$is isomorphic to $\omega^{1,0}(M)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{z}=g_{z \bar{z}} V^{\bar{z}}, \quad V^{\bar{z}}=g^{\bar{z} z} \omega_{z} \tag{14.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, given an arbitrary tensor, the metric allows us to trade all the $\bar{z}$-indices for $z$-indices. It is easy to see that

This correspondence is an isomorphism. For example, observe that

$$
T_{z} \bar{z}^{\bar{z}} \rightarrow g^{z \bar{z}} g_{z \bar{z}} T_{z} \bar{z}^{\bar{z}}=T_{z}{ }^{z}{ }_{z} .
$$

Thus, it is only necessary to consider tensors with pure $z$-indices. For these tensors, we assign the helicity. Since $T$ has $z$-indices only, it transforms under $z \rightarrow w$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T \rightarrow\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right)^{n} T \tag{14.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ is given by the number of upper $z$-indices minus the number of lower $z$-indices. For example,

$$
T_{z}^{z z}{ }_{z} T_{w}^{w w}=\left(\frac{\partial w}{\partial z}\right) T_{z}^{z z}
$$

All that matters is the difference between the number of upper indices and the number of lower indices. The tensor $T^{z}{ }_{z}$ is left invariant under $z \rightarrow w$ and is regarded as a scalar. The number $n$ is called the helicity. The set of helicity- $n$ tensors is denoted by $\mathfrak{T}^{n}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathfrak{T}^{n} \equiv\{T^{\overbrace{z \ldots z}^{q}} \underbrace{z \ldots z}_{p} \mid q-p=n\} . \tag{14.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

The helicity characterizes the irreducible representation of $\mathrm{U}(1)=\mathrm{SO}(2)$.

So far we have assumed $n$ is an integer. It can be shown that $n=\frac{1}{2}$ corresponds to the spinor field on $\Sigma_{g}$. In fact, the existence of spinors on the Riemann surfaces is guaranteed by the triviality of the second Stiefel-Whitney class of $\Sigma_{g}$. The set $\mathcal{T}^{1}$ is identified with the holomorphic line bundle $K$ over $\Sigma_{g}$. Then $\mathcal{T}^{1 / 2}$ is the square root of $K: S_{+}^{2}=K=\mathcal{T}^{1}$ where $S_{+}$is the positivechirality spin bundle. Similarly, we have $\mathcal{T}^{-1}=\bar{K}=S_{-}^{2}$ where $S_{-}$is the negative-chirality spin bundle. ${ }^{2}$

Example 14.1. In real indices, the helicity $\pm 1$ vectors are given by $V^{1} \pm \mathrm{i} V^{2}$. This follows since

$$
V^{1} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}}+V^{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}}=\left(V^{1}+\mathrm{i} V^{2}\right) \partial_{z}+\left(V^{1}-\mathrm{i} V^{2}\right) \partial_{\bar{z}}
$$

We put $V^{z}=V^{1}+\mathrm{i} V^{2}$ and $V^{\bar{z}}=V^{1}-\mathrm{i} V^{2} \simeq V_{z}$. The helicity $\pm 2$ tensors are $T^{11} \pm \mathrm{i} T^{22}$, where $T$ is a symmetric traceless tensor of rank two. In fact, we find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T^{11}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}}-\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}}\right)+T^{12}\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}}+\frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{2}} \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \xi^{1}}\right) \\
& \quad=2\left(T^{11}+\mathrm{i} T^{12}\right) \partial_{z} \otimes \partial_{z}+2\left(T^{11}-\mathrm{i} T^{12}\right) \partial_{\bar{z}} \otimes \partial_{\bar{z}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Clearly $T^{z z}=2\left(T^{1}+\mathrm{i} T^{12}\right)$ has helicity +2 and $T^{\bar{z} \bar{z}}=2\left(T^{11}-\mathrm{i} T^{12}\right)$ has helicity -2 (note that $g_{z \bar{z}} g_{z \bar{z}} T^{\bar{z} \bar{z}}=T_{z z}$ ).

### 14.1.3 Covariant derivatives

The only non-vanishing Christoffel symbols of $\Sigma_{g}$ are (see (8.69))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Gamma_{z z}^{z}=g^{z \bar{z}} \partial_{z} g_{z \bar{z}}=2 \partial_{z} \sigma \quad \Gamma^{\bar{z}} \bar{z} \bar{z}=g^{\bar{z} z} \partial_{\bar{z}} g_{\bar{z} z}=2 \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma \tag{14.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

For tensors in $\mathfrak{T}^{n}$, we define two kinds of covariant derivative: $\nabla_{(n)}^{z}: \mathfrak{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{n+1}$ and $\nabla_{z}^{(n)}: \mathfrak{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathfrak{T}^{n-1}$. Let

$$
T^{\overbrace{\cdots \ldots z}^{q}} \underbrace{z \ldots z}_{p} \in \mathcal{T}^{n} \quad(q-p=n)
$$

We define

$$
\begin{align*}
\nabla_{(n)}^{z} T^{z \ldots z_{z \ldots z}} & =g^{z \bar{z}} \nabla_{\bar{z}} T^{z \ldots z_{z \ldots z}} \\
& =g^{z \bar{z}}\left[\partial_{\bar{z}}+(q-p) \Gamma_{\bar{z} z}^{z}\right] T^{z \ldots z} z_{\ldots z} \\
& =g^{z \bar{z}} \partial_{\bar{z}} T^{z \ldots z_{z \ldots z}}  \tag{14.18a}\\
\nabla_{z}^{(n)} T^{z \ldots z_{z \ldots z}} & =\nabla_{z} T^{z \ldots z_{z \ldots z}} \\
& =\left[\partial_{z}+(q-p) \Gamma_{z z}^{z}\right] T^{z \ldots z} z_{z \ldots} \\
& =\left(\partial_{z}+2 n \partial_{z} \sigma\right) T_{z \ldots z}^{z \ldots z} z_{z \ldots} \tag{14.18b}
\end{align*}
$$

[^15]In (14.18b), $2 n \partial_{z} \sigma$ acts like a gauge potential $\mathcal{A}$. We also define covariant derivatives with respect to $\bar{z}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{(n)}^{\bar{z}}=g^{\bar{z} z} \nabla_{z}^{(n)}, \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}}^{(n)}=g_{\bar{z} z} \nabla_{(n)}^{z} \tag{14.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

The curvature two-form of $K$ and the scalar curvature associated with the Christoffel symbols are

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathcal{F} & =R_{z z \bar{z}}^{z} \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}=-\partial_{\bar{z}}\left(2 \partial_{z} \sigma\right) \mathrm{d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} \\
& =-2 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma \mathrm{~d} z \wedge \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}  \tag{14.20a}\\
\mathcal{R} & =g^{\bar{z} z} \text { Ric }_{\bar{z} z}+g^{z \bar{z}} \text { Ric }_{z \bar{z}}=-8 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma . \tag{14.20b}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 14.1. Verify that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \nabla_{(n)}^{z}=2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}} \quad \nabla_{z}^{(n)}=\mathrm{e}^{-2 n \sigma} \partial_{z} \mathrm{e}^{2 n \sigma}  \tag{14.21a}\\
& \nabla_{(n)}^{\bar{z}}=2 \mathrm{e}^{-2(n+1) \sigma} \partial_{z} \mathrm{e}^{2 n \sigma} \quad \nabla_{\bar{z}}^{(n)}=\partial_{\bar{z}} \tag{14.21b}
\end{align*}
$$

$\nabla_{(n)}^{z}$ and $\nabla_{z}^{(n)}$ are mutual adjoints with respect to a properly defined inner product. Let $T, U \in \mathcal{T}^{n}$. We require that the inner product be invariant under a holomorphic change of the coordinate $z \rightarrow w$. Since

$$
\begin{array}{rlr}
g_{z \bar{z}} & \rightarrow|\mathrm{~d} w / \mathrm{d} z|^{-2} g_{z \bar{z}} \quad \mathrm{~d}^{2} z \sqrt{g} \rightarrow \mathrm{~d}^{2} w \sqrt{g} \\
\bar{T} & \rightarrow(\overline{\mathrm{~d} w / \mathrm{d} z})^{n} \bar{T} & U \rightarrow(\mathrm{~d} w / \mathrm{d} z)^{n} U .
\end{array}
$$

We find the combination

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T, U) \equiv \int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{g}\left(g_{z \bar{z}}\right)^{n} \bar{T} U \tag{14.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

is invariant under holomorphic coordinate transformations. Take $T \in \mathcal{T}^{n}$ and $U \in \mathcal{T}^{n+1}$. We find that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(U, \nabla_{(n)}^{z} T\right) & =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} 2^{-n-1} \mathrm{e}^{2(n+1) \sigma} \bar{U} 2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}} T \\
& =-2^{-n} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} z T \partial_{\bar{z}}\left[\mathrm{e}^{(2 n+1) \sigma} \bar{U}\right] \quad \text { (partial integration) } \\
& =-2^{-n} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} z T \mathrm{e}^{(2 n+1) \sigma} \overline{\left[\partial_{z} U+(2 n+1)\left(\partial_{z} \sigma\right) U\right]} \\
& =-\overline{\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{g}\left(g_{z \bar{z}}\right)^{n}\left[\nabla_{z}^{(n+1)} U\right] \bar{T}}=\overline{\left(-\nabla_{z}^{(n+1)} U, T\right)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

This shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{(n)}^{z}\right)^{\dagger}=-\nabla_{z}^{(n+1)} \tag{14.23a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 14.2. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\nabla_{z}^{(n)}\right)^{\dagger}=-\nabla_{(n-1)}^{z} \tag{14.23b}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define two kinds of Laplacian $\Delta_{(n)}^{ \pm}: \mathfrak{T}^{n} \rightarrow \mathscr{T}^{n \pm 1} \rightarrow \mathscr{T}^{n}$ by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Delta_{(n)}^{+} \equiv-\nabla_{z}^{(n+1)} \nabla_{(n)}^{z}  \tag{14.24a}\\
&=-2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma}\left[\partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}+2 n\left(\partial_{z} \sigma\right) \partial_{\bar{z}}\right]  \tag{14.24b}\\
& \Delta_{(n)}^{-} \equiv-\nabla_{(n-1)}^{z} \nabla_{z}^{(n)}
\end{align*}=-2 \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma}\left[\partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}+2 n\left(\partial_{z} \sigma\right) \partial_{\bar{z}}+2 n\left(\partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma\right)\right] . ~ \$
$$

Then it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(n)}^{+}-\Delta_{(n)}^{-}=4 n \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma}\left(\partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma\right)=-\frac{1}{2} n \mathcal{R} \tag{14.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows, in particular, that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(0)}^{+}=\Delta_{(0)}^{-}\left(\equiv \Delta_{(0)}\right) . \tag{14.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 14.1.4 The Riemann-Roch theorem

Here we derive a version of the Riemann-Roch theorem from the Atiyah-Singer index theorem following D'Hoker and Phong (1988).

Theorem 14.1. (Riemann-Roch theorem) Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be a Riemann surface of genus $g$. Then the index of the operator $\nabla_{z}^{(n)}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \nabla_{z}^{(n)}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(n-1)}^{z}=(2 n-1)(g-1) \tag{14.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. We use the heat kernel to evaluate the index. We first note that $\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{z}^{(n)}=$ $\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{(n)}^{-}$and $\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(n-1)}^{z}=\operatorname{ker} \Delta_{(n-1)}^{+}(\operatorname{see}(14.24))$. The heat kernel $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}$of $\Delta_{(n)}^{+}$ satisfies

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta_{(n)}^{+}\right) \mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}(z, w ; t)=\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta-V_{n}\right) \mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}(z, w ; t)=0
$$

where $\Delta \equiv-2 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}$ is the flat-space Laplacian and

$$
V_{n} \equiv \Delta-\Delta_{(n)}^{+}=\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma}\right) \Delta+4 n \mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} \partial_{z} \sigma \partial_{\bar{z}} .
$$

The Laplacian $\Delta$ also defines a heat kernel by

$$
\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial t}+\Delta\right) K(z, w ; t)=0
$$

which is easily solved to yield

$$
K(z, w ; t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi t} \mathrm{e}^{-|z-w|^{2} / 2 t}
$$

The perturbative computation and iteration yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}\left(z, z^{\prime} ; t\right)= & K\left(z, z^{\prime} ; t\right) \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int \mathrm{~d} w K(z, w ; t-s) V_{n}(w) \mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}\left(w, z^{\prime} ; s\right) \\
= & K\left(z, z^{\prime} ; t\right)+\int \mathrm{d} s \int \mathrm{~d} w K(z, w ; t-s) V_{n}(w) K\left(w, z^{\prime} ; s\right) \\
& +\int \mathrm{d} s \int \mathrm{~d} s^{\prime} \int \mathrm{d} v \int \mathrm{~d} w K(z, v ; t-s) V_{n}(v) \\
& \times K\left(v, w ; s-s^{\prime}\right) V_{n}(w) K\left(w, z^{\prime} ; s^{\prime}\right) \\
& +\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

We are particularly interested in $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}(z, z ; t), t$ being small,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}(z, z ; t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi t}+\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s \int \mathrm{~d} w K(z, w ; t-s) V_{n}(w) K(w, z ; s)+\mathcal{O}(t) \tag{14.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we take a coordinate system in which $\sigma=0$ at $z$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sigma(w) \simeq & 0+\partial_{z} \sigma(w-z)+\partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma(\bar{w}-\bar{z}) \\
& +\frac{1}{2}\left[\partial_{z}^{2} \sigma(w-z)^{2}+\partial_{\bar{z}}^{2} \sigma(\bar{w}-\bar{z})^{2}+2 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma|w-z|^{2}\right]+\cdots
\end{aligned}
$$

Due to rotational symmetry in two-dimensional space, only those terms with one $z$-derivative and one $\bar{z}$-derivative survive in the integral in (14.28). Terms proportional to $\partial_{z} \sigma \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma$ cancel between the second and third terms in the expansion and we are left with terms proportional to $\partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma$. Now we have to evaluate

$$
\begin{aligned}
\int_{0}^{t} \mathrm{~d} s & \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} w K(z, w ; t-s) \\
& \times\left[2 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma|\bar{w}-\bar{z}|^{2} \Delta_{w}+4 n(\bar{w}-\bar{z}) \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}} \sigma \partial_{\bar{w}}\right] K(w, z ; s)
\end{aligned}
$$

From the identities

$$
\begin{array}{rl}
\int \mathrm{d}^{2} w & K(z, w ; t-s)|w-z|^{2} \Delta_{w} K(w, z ; s) \\
= & \frac{1}{16 \pi^{2} s^{2}(t-s)} \int \mathrm{d}^{2} w|w|^{2} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 s(t-s)}|w|^{2}\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2} s^{3}(t-s)} \int \mathrm{d}^{2} w|w|^{4} \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 s(t-s)}|w|^{2}\right) \\
= & \frac{(t-s)(2 s-t)}{2 \pi t^{3}}
\end{array}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int \mathrm{d}^{2} w K(z, w ; t-s)(\bar{z}-\bar{w}) \partial_{\bar{w}} K(w, z ; s) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{32 \pi^{2} s^{2}(t-s)} \int \mathrm{d}^{2} w \exp \left(-\frac{t}{2 s(t-s)}|w|^{2}\right)=\frac{t-s}{4 \pi t^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{+}(z, z ; t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi t}+\frac{1+3 n}{12 \pi} \Delta \sigma+\mathcal{O}(t) \tag{14.29a}
\end{equation*}
$$

We also have the diagonal part of the heat kernel $\mathcal{K}_{n}^{-}$for $\Delta_{(n)}^{-}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{K}_{n}^{-}(z, z ; t)=\frac{1}{4 \pi t}+\frac{1-3 n}{12 \pi} \Delta \sigma+\mathcal{O}(t) \tag{14.29b}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (14.29) and (14.20b), we obtain

$$
\text { ind } \begin{aligned}
\nabla_{z}^{(n)} & =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z\left(\frac{1-3 n}{12 \pi}-\frac{1+3(n-1)}{12 \pi}\right) \Delta \sigma=\frac{1-2 n}{8 \pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \mathcal{R} \\
& =-\frac{2 n-1}{2} \chi\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=(2 n-1)(g-1)
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\chi=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} x \mathcal{R}=2-2 g
$$

is the Euler characteristic of $\Sigma_{g}$.

### 14.2 Quantum theory of bosonic strings

Now we are ready to introduce Polyakov's formulation of bosonic strings, which is based on the path integral over geometries. Since the string action contains an enormous symmetry, we have to pay special attention to counting independent geometries once and only once. This is achieved by the Faddeev-Popov trick. Our argument will be restricted to the simplest case, namely closed orientable bosonic strings; the theory is defined on Riemann surfaces.

### 14.2.1 Vacuum amplitude of Polyakov strings

According to the general prescription of the path integral formalism, the partition function (vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude) of the string theory is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z=\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} Z_{g}=\sum_{g=0}^{\infty} \int \mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \gamma \mathrm{e}^{-S[X, \gamma]} \tag{14.30}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 14.1. The total vacuum amplitude is given by summing over $g$-loop amplitudes.
see figure 14.1. To avoid confusion, we denote the genus by $g$ and the metric by $\gamma$. The sum over genera amounts to the sum over the topologies. $Z_{g}$ is the $g$-loop amplitude and is obtained by integrating over all metrics $\gamma$ and all embeddings $X$. As we shall see later, the measure $\mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \gamma$ is not well defined and we need some modifications. The string action $S[X, \gamma]$ is taken to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[X, \gamma] \equiv \frac{1}{2} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} X^{\mu} \partial_{\beta} X_{\mu}+\frac{\lambda}{4 \pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R} . \tag{14.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first term is the Polyakov action. The second term is proportional to the Euler characteristic

$$
\chi=\frac{1}{4 \pi} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \mathcal{R}=2-2 g
$$

and serves as the string coupling constant; the amplitude of a loop with genus $g$ is suppressed by the factor $\mathrm{e}^{-2 \lambda g}$. Since this term is a topological invariant, it does not affect the dynamics of the string. We are interested in Riemann surfaces of a fixed genus $g$ and drop this term. The first term of the action has the following symmetries (section 7.11):
(A) $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$, the group of diffeomorphisms $f: \Sigma_{g} \rightarrow \Sigma_{g}$. Let $\xi^{\alpha} \rightarrow \xi^{\prime \alpha}(\xi)$ be the coordinate expression for $f$. The new metric is the pullback of the old one whose coordinate component expression is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow f^{*} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\frac{\partial \xi^{\gamma}}{\partial \xi^{\prime \alpha}} \frac{\partial \xi^{\delta}}{\partial \xi^{\prime} \beta} \gamma_{\gamma \delta} . \tag{14.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

The embedding also gets transformed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\mu} \rightarrow f^{*} X^{\mu}=X^{\mu} f \tag{14.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

The invariance of the classical action takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[X, \gamma]=S\left[f^{*} X, f^{*} \gamma\right] \tag{14.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

(B) $\operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$, the group of two-dimensional Weyl rescalings

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma_{\alpha \beta} \rightarrow \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha \beta} \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \tag{14.35}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 14.2. An element of $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{M}_{g}$ is obtained by the action of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) * \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ on an element $(X, \gamma)$ in the gauge slice.
where $\phi \in \mathcal{F}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. The conformal invariance of $S$ takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
S[X, \gamma]=S[X, \hat{\gamma}] \tag{14.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

The symmetries (A) and (B) must be preserved under quantization, otherwise the theory has anomalies.

According to the standard Faddeev-Popov formalism, the degrees of freedom corresponding to these symmetries have to be omitted when we define $Z_{g}$. For example, the string geometry specified by the pairs $\left(X_{1}, \gamma_{1}\right)$ and $\left(X_{2}, \gamma_{2}\right)$ should not be counted independently if they are related by an element of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Similarly, $(X, \gamma)$ and $\left(X, \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \gamma\right)$ should not be counted as independent configurations. Unless special attention is paid, we would count the same configurations infinitely many times, which leads to disastrous divergences. It turns out that the space of all the geometries $(X, \gamma)$ can be separated into equivalence classes (the gauge slice), any two points of which cannot be connected by these symmetries, see figure 14.2.

To be more mathematical, let $\mathcal{E}$ be the space of all the embeddings $X$ : $\Sigma_{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{D}$ and let $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ be the space of all the metrics defined on $\Sigma_{g}$. Naively, the path integral is defined over $\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{M}_{g}$. Because of the symmetries (A) and (B), however, the integral should be restricted to the quotient space $\left(\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{M}_{g}\right) / G$ where $G=\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) * \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is the gauge group. ${ }^{3}$ The action of $\left(f, \mathrm{e}^{\phi}\right)$ on $(X, \gamma) \in \mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{M}_{g}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(f, \mathrm{e}^{\phi}\right)(X, \gamma)=\left(f^{*} X, \mathrm{e}^{\phi} f^{*} \gamma\right) \tag{14.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

The quotient $\mathcal{M}_{g} / G$ is called the moduli space of $\Sigma_{g}$ and is denoted by $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. We are also interested in the subgroup $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$, which ${ }^{3}$ Here $*$ denotes the semi-direct product. Note that $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \cap \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \neq \emptyset$. We shall come back to this point later.


Figure 14.3. The mapping class group (MCG) is generated by Dehn twists around $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}(1 \leq i \leq g)$.
is a connected component of the identity map. The quotient space $\operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \equiv$ $\mathcal{M}_{g} / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) * \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is called the Teichmüller space of $\Sigma_{g}$. The general theory of Riemann surfaces shows that Teich $\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is a finite-dimensional universal covering space of $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Explicitly, we have

$$
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)= \begin{cases}0 & g=0  \tag{14.38}\\ 2 & g=1 \\ 6 g-6 & g \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

The group $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is known as the modular group (MG) or the mapping class group (MCG). The MCG is generated by the Dehn twists defined in example 8.2. For the torus with genus $g$, the MCG is generated by $3 g-1$ Dehn twists around $a_{i}, b_{i}$ and $c_{i}$ in figure 14.3. Unfortunately, these $3 g-1$ Dehn twists are not the minimal set of the generators. The general form of MCG for $g \geq 2$ is not well understood.

From these arguments, the meaningful partition function turns out to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\mathrm{g}} \equiv \int_{\mathcal{E} \times \mathcal{M}_{g}} \frac{\mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \gamma}{V(\text { Diff } * \text { Weyl })} \mathrm{e}^{-S[X, \gamma]} \tag{14.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V\left(\operatorname{Diff} *\right.$ Weyl) is the (infinite) volume of the space of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) * \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ and takes care of the infinite overcounting of the same geometry. The order (the number of elements) of MCG is denoted by |MCG|. Clearly,

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\text { Diff } * \text { Weyl })=|\mathrm{MCG}| V\left(\text { Diff }_{0} * \text { Weyl }\right) \tag{14.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 14.2.2 Measures of integration

We have to define a sensible measure to carry out the integration (14.39) so that the physical degrees of freedom and the gauge degrees of freedom are separated. This separation of degrees of freedom requires the Jacobian,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \gamma \mathcal{D} X \rightarrow J(\mathcal{D} \text { physical)( } \mathcal{D} \text { gauge }) \tag{14.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find this Jacobian, we note that the Jacobian on a manifold $M$ agrees with that on $T M$. To see this, let $x^{\mu}\left(y^{\mu}\right)$ be a coordinate of a chart $U(V)$ of $M$ such that $U \cap V \neq \emptyset$. The Jacobian of the coordinate change is $J=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial y^{\mu} / \partial x^{\nu}\right)$. Take $V \in T_{p} M$. In components, we have $V=u^{\mu} \partial / \partial x^{\mu}=v^{\mu} \partial / \partial y^{\mu}$, where

$$
\begin{equation*}
v^{\mu}=u^{\nu}\left(\partial y^{\mu} / \partial x^{\nu}\right) \tag{14.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left\{u^{\mu}\right\}$ and $\left\{v^{\mu}\right\}$ are fibre coordinates of $T_{p} M$. The Jacobian $\hat{J}$ associated with this coordinate change is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{J}=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial v^{\mu} / \partial u^{\nu}\right)=\operatorname{det}\left(\partial y^{\mu} / \partial x^{\nu}\right)=J \tag{14.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

This shows that the Jacobian at $p \in M$ is the same as that on $T_{p} M$. The Jacobian $\hat{J}$ depends on $p$ but not on the vector itself, since $J$ depends only on $p$.

Example 14.2. Let $(x, y)$ and $(r, \theta)$ be coordinates of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$, where $x=r \cos \theta$ and $y=r \sin \theta$. The Jacobian of the coordinate change is

$$
J=\operatorname{det} \frac{\partial(x, y)}{\partial(r, \theta)}=r
$$

Let us take

$$
V=v_{x} \partial / \partial x+v_{y} \partial / \partial y=v_{r} \partial / \partial r+v_{\theta} \partial / \partial \theta \in T_{p} R^{2}
$$

( $v_{x}, v_{y}$ ) and ( $v_{r}, v_{\theta}$ ) serve as fibre coordinates of $T_{p} \mathbb{R}^{2}$. Since

$$
v_{x}=v_{r} \partial x / \partial r+v_{\theta} \partial x / \partial \theta \quad v_{y}=v_{r} \partial y / \partial r+v_{\theta} \partial y / \partial \theta
$$

the associated Jacobian $\hat{J}$ is easily calculated to be

$$
\hat{J}=\operatorname{det}\left[\partial\left(v_{x}, v_{y}\right) / \partial\left(v_{r}, v_{\theta}\right)\right]=\left|\begin{array}{ll}
\partial x / \partial r & \partial x / \partial \theta \\
\partial y / \partial r & \partial y / \partial \theta
\end{array}\right|=J
$$

Let us derive this Jacobian in an indirect but suggestive way. We normalize the measure $\mathrm{d}^{2} v$ as $^{4}$

$$
1=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} v \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|v\|^{2}\right)=\int \mathrm{d} v_{x} \mathrm{~d} v_{y} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{x}^{2}+v_{y}^{2}\right)\right]
$$

We also have $\left\|v^{2}\right\|^{2}=v_{r}^{2}+r^{2} v_{\theta}^{2}$. Noting that the Jacobian is independent of $v_{r}$ and $v_{\theta}$, we have

$$
1=J \int \mathrm{~d} v_{r} \mathrm{~d} v_{\theta} \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left(v_{r}^{2}+r^{2} v_{\theta}^{2}\right)\right]=J r^{-1}
$$

[^16]from which we find $J=r$. We use this procedure to find the functional measure of string theory. ${ }^{5}$

This analysis enables us to write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \delta \gamma \mathcal{D} \delta X=J \mathcal{D} \delta(\text { physical }) \mathcal{D} \delta(\text { gauge }) \tag{14.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \gamma(\delta X)$ is a small variation of the metric $\gamma$ (the embedding $X$ ) and is regarded as an element of $T_{\gamma}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g}\right)\left(T_{X} \mathcal{E}\right)$. The meaning of the RHS becomes clear in a moment.

Consider the diffeomorphism generated by an infinitesimal vector field $\delta v$ on $\Sigma_{g}$. Since $\delta v$ is infinitesimal, it belongs to $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ rather than the full group $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. The changes of the metric and the embedding under $\delta v$ are (see (7.120))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\mathcal{L}_{\delta v} \gamma\right)_{\alpha \beta}=\nabla_{\alpha} \delta v_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \delta v_{\alpha} \quad \delta_{\mathrm{D}} X=\delta v^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} X \tag{14.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

The changes of $\gamma$ and $X$ under an infinitesimal Weyl rescaling $\mathrm{e}^{\delta \phi}$ are

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{\mathrm{W}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\delta \phi \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \quad \delta_{\mathrm{W}} X=0 \tag{14.46}
\end{equation*}
$$

These changes belong to unphysical (gauge) degrees of freedom. In general, a small change of metric is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\delta \gamma_{\alpha \beta} & =\delta_{\mathrm{W}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+\delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+(\text { physical change }) \\
& =\delta \phi \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+\nabla_{\alpha} \delta v_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \delta v_{\alpha}+\delta t^{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial t^{i}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}(t) \tag{14.47}
\end{align*}
$$

where the last term is called the Teichmüller deformation of the metric, which can neither be described by a diffeomorphism nor by a Weyl rescaling. As mentioned before, $\{i\}$ is a finite set, $1 \leq i \leq n=\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} \operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. It is convenient for later purposes to separate $\delta \gamma$ into a traceless part and a part with a non-zero trace. We write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\delta \bar{\phi} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+\left(P_{1} \delta v\right)_{\alpha \beta}+\delta t^{i} T_{i \alpha \beta}(t) \tag{14.48}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T_{i \alpha \beta}$ is the traceless part of the Teichmüller deformation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i \alpha \beta} \equiv \frac{\partial \gamma_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial t^{i}}-\frac{1}{2} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \gamma^{\gamma \delta} \frac{\partial \gamma_{\gamma \delta}}{\partial t^{i}} \tag{14.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

The operator $P_{1}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1} \delta v\right)_{\alpha \beta} \equiv \nabla_{\alpha} \delta v_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \delta v_{\alpha}-\gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(\nabla_{\gamma} \delta v_{\gamma}\right) \tag{14.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and picks up the traceless part of $\delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}$ while $\delta \bar{\phi}$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \bar{\phi}=\delta \phi+\left(\nabla_{\gamma} \delta v^{\gamma}+\text { trace part of } \delta t \frac{\partial \gamma}{\partial t}\right) \tag{14.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^17]where we do not need the explicit form in the parentheses.
As for the embeddings, we consider the quotient $\mathcal{E} / \operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. An arbitrary embedding $X$ is obtained by the action of $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ on some $\tilde{X} \in \mathcal{E} / \operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Then a small change of the embedding is expressed as
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta X=\delta v^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}+\delta \tilde{X} \tag{14.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where the first term represents the change of $X$ generated by $\delta v$ while the second is not associated with diffeomorphisms. Now the measure should look like

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \delta \gamma \mathcal{D} \delta X=J \mathrm{~d}^{n} t \mathcal{D} \delta v \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \tag{14.53}
\end{equation*}
$$

To define the measure, we need to specify a metric on the tangent space, see example 14.2. We restrict ourselves to the so called ultralocal metric which is quadratic and depends on $\gamma_{\alpha \beta}$ but not on $\partial \gamma_{\alpha \beta}$. Define a metric for symmetric second-rank tensors by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\delta h\|_{\gamma}^{2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}\left(G^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}+u \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \gamma^{\gamma \delta}\right) \delta h_{\alpha \beta} \delta h_{\gamma \delta} \tag{14.54a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u>0$ is an arbitrary constant and

$$
\begin{equation*}
G^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \equiv \gamma^{\alpha \gamma} \gamma^{\beta \delta}+\gamma^{\alpha \delta} \gamma^{\beta \gamma}-\gamma^{\alpha \beta} \gamma^{\gamma \delta} \tag{14.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is readily verified that $G$ is the projection operator to the traceless part $\left(\operatorname{tr} G^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \delta h_{\gamma \delta}=\gamma_{\alpha \beta} G^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta} \delta h_{\gamma \delta}=0\right)$ while $u \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \gamma^{\gamma \delta}$ is that to the trace part. In a finite-dimensional manifold, a metric defines a natural volume element. In the present case, however, the measure cannot be defined explicitly and we have to define it implicitly in terms of the Gaussian integral (see example 14.2),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{D} \delta h \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta h\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right)=1 \tag{14.56a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, the metrics for a scalar $\delta \phi$, a vector $\delta v$ and a map $\delta X^{\mu}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\delta \phi\|_{\gamma}^{2} & =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \delta \phi^{2}  \tag{14.54b}\\
\|\delta v\|_{\gamma}^{2} & =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \delta v^{\alpha} \delta v^{\beta}  \tag{14.54c}\\
\|\delta X\|_{\gamma}^{2} & =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \delta X^{\mu} \delta X_{\mu} \tag{14.54d}
\end{align*}
$$

With these metrics, the measures are defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta \phi\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right)=1  \tag{14.56b}\\
& \int \mathcal{D} \delta v \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta v\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right)=1  \tag{14.56c}\\
& \int \mathcal{D} \delta X \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta X\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right)=1 \tag{14.56d}
\end{align*}
$$

Exercise 14.3. Show that $\|\delta \gamma\|_{\gamma}^{2}$ and $\|\delta X\|_{\gamma}^{2}$ are invariant under $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ but not under $\operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. This is the possible origin of conformal anomalies, see (14.84).

Before we proceed further, we need to clarify the overlap between $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Suppose $\delta v \in \operatorname{ker} P_{1}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{1} \delta v=\nabla_{\alpha} \delta v_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \delta v_{\alpha}-\gamma_{\alpha \beta}\left(\nabla_{\gamma} \delta v^{\gamma}\right)=0 . \tag{14.57}
\end{equation*}
$$

We find, for such $\delta v$, that $\delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\left(\nabla_{\gamma} \delta v^{\gamma}\right) \gamma_{\alpha \beta}$. A vector $\delta v \in \operatorname{ker} P_{1}$ is identified with the conformal Killing vector (CKV), see section 7.7. It is important to note that $\delta_{\mathrm{D}}$ and $\delta_{\mathrm{W}}$ yield the same metric deformations if $\delta \phi$ is taken to be $\nabla_{\gamma} \delta v^{\gamma}$. Thus, the set of the CKVs is identified with the overlap between $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$. Let there be $k$ independent CKVs on $\Sigma_{g}$ and denote these by $\Phi_{s}^{\alpha}(1 \leq s \leq k)$. It is known from the theory of Riemann surfaces that

$$
k= \begin{cases}6 & g=0  \tag{14.58}\\ 2 & g=1 \\ 0 & g \geq 2\end{cases}
$$

We separate $\delta v$ into a part generated by the CKV, and its orthogonal complement, which we write as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta v^{\alpha}=\delta \tilde{v}^{\alpha}+\delta a^{s} \Phi_{s}^{\alpha} \tag{14.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

The tangent vector $\delta X$ is also decomposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta X=\delta \tilde{X}+\delta \tilde{v}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}^{\mu}+\delta a^{s} \Phi_{s}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}^{\mu} \tag{14.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

The functional measures now become

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \delta \gamma \mathcal{D} \delta X \rightarrow J \mathrm{~d}^{n} \delta t \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \tag{14.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we noted that the $t$ - and $a$-parameters are finite dimensional.
Let $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{\perp}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ be the subspace of $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$, which is orthogonal to the CKV. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\right) & =V\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{\perp}\right) \cdot V(\mathrm{CKV})  \tag{14.62}\\
V\left(\text { Diff }_{0} * \text { Weyl }\right) & =V\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{\perp}\right) V(\text { Weyl }) \\
& =V\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\right) V(\text { Weyl }) / V(\mathrm{CKV}) . \tag{14.63}
\end{align*}
$$

Take a slice $\hat{\gamma}(t)$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. The slice is parametrized by $n$ Teichmüller parameters. Any metric $\tilde{\gamma}$ related to $\hat{\gamma}$ by $G=\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) * \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\gamma}=f^{*}\left(\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{\gamma}\right) \quad f \in \operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right), \mathrm{e}^{\phi} \in \operatorname{Weyl}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) \tag{14.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

We express a small deformation $\delta \tilde{\gamma}$ at $\tilde{\gamma}$ as a pullback of a deformation $\delta \gamma$ at $\gamma \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\delta \delta} \hat{\gamma}: \delta \tilde{\gamma}=f^{*}(\delta \gamma)$. Note that $\delta \gamma$ is a small diffeomorphism at the origin
of $\operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ and, hence, can be described by a vector field $\delta v$. As was shown in exercise 14.3, $\operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is the isometry of the relevant vector spaces. It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\delta \tilde{\gamma}\|_{\tilde{\gamma}}^{2}=\left\|f^{*}(\delta \gamma)\right\|_{f^{*} \gamma}^{2}=\|\delta \gamma\|_{\gamma}^{2} \quad \gamma=\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{\gamma} \tag{14.65}
\end{equation*}
$$

At the point $\gamma$, we decompose $\delta \gamma$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \gamma_{\alpha \beta}=\delta \phi \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+\left(P_{1} \delta \tilde{v}\right)_{\alpha \beta}+\delta t^{i} T_{i \alpha \beta} \tag{14.66}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \phi$ has been redefined so that it includes the trace parts of the Teichmüller deformation and $\nabla_{\alpha} \delta v_{\beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \delta v_{\alpha}$, see (14.51).

Exercise 14.4. Show that $T_{i \alpha \beta}$ at $\gamma$ is related to $\hat{T}_{i \alpha \beta}$ at $\hat{\gamma}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i \alpha \beta}=\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{T}_{i \alpha \beta} \tag{14.67}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we are ready to give the explicit form of the measure. We first find the Jacobian associated with the change $\mathcal{D} \delta v \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =\int \mathcal{D} \delta v \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta v\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right) \\
& =J \int \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta \tilde{v}\|_{\gamma}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\delta a^{s} \Phi_{s}\right\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right) \\
& =J\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{s}, \Phi_{r}\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{14.68a}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\Phi_{s}, \Phi_{r}\right)=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma_{\alpha \beta} \Phi_{s}^{\alpha} \Phi_{r}^{\beta} \tag{14.68b}
\end{equation*}
$$

[Remark: Although the matrix element (14.68b) is defined for $\gamma=\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{\gamma}$, we can show that it is independent of $\mathrm{e}^{\phi}$. To see this, let us take a CKV $\hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\alpha}$ of the metric $\hat{\gamma} ; \hat{\nabla}_{\alpha} \hat{\Phi}_{s \beta}+\hat{\nabla}_{\beta} \hat{\Phi}_{s \alpha}=\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha \beta} \hat{\nabla} \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\gamma}$, where $\hat{\nabla}$ is the covariant derivative with respect to $\hat{\gamma}$ and $\hat{\Phi}_{s \alpha} \equiv \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha \beta} \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\beta}$. A simple calculation shows that $\Phi_{s \alpha}=\gamma_{\alpha \beta} \hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\beta}=\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{\Phi}_{s \alpha}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
\nabla_{\alpha} \Phi_{s \beta}+\nabla_{\beta} \Phi_{s \alpha} & =\mathrm{e}^{\phi}\left(\hat{\nabla}_{\alpha} \hat{\Phi}_{s \beta}+\hat{\nabla}_{\beta} \hat{\Phi}_{s \alpha}+\hat{\gamma}_{\alpha \beta} \Phi_{s}^{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} \phi\right) \\
& =\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{\gamma}_{\alpha \beta}\left(\hat{\nabla}_{\gamma} \Phi_{s}^{\gamma}+\Phi_{s}^{\gamma} \partial_{\gamma} \phi\right)=\gamma_{\alpha \beta} \nabla_{\gamma} \Phi_{s}^{\gamma}
\end{aligned}
$$

$\nabla$ being the covariant derivative with respect to $\gamma$. Thus, $\Phi_{s}^{\alpha}=\hat{\Phi}_{s}^{\alpha}$ is a CKV of the metric $\gamma=\mathrm{e}^{\phi} \hat{\gamma}$ and the CKV are taken to be $\phi$ independent.] Equation (14.68a) shows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \delta v=\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{r}, \Phi_{s}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a . \tag{14.69}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now the total measure is written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
J\left[\operatorname{det}\left(\Phi_{r}, \Phi_{s}\right)\right]^{1 / 2} \mathrm{~d}^{n} t \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \tag{14.70}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $J$ takes care of the rest of the variable changes.
The Jacobian $J$ is now obtained from (14.60), (14.66), (14.70) and the definition of the measures (14.56). We have

$$
\begin{align*}
1= & \int \mathcal{D} \delta \gamma \mathcal{D} \delta X \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta \gamma\|_{\gamma}^{2}-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta X\|_{\gamma}^{2}\right) \\
= & J \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi) \int \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta t \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \\
& \times \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\delta \phi \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+\left(P_{1} \delta \tilde{v}\right)_{\alpha \beta}+\delta t^{i} \frac{\partial \gamma_{\alpha \beta}}{\partial t^{i}}\right\|^{2}\right. \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\delta \tilde{X}+\delta \tilde{v}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}+\delta a^{s} \Phi_{s}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}\right\|^{2}\right] \\
= & J \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi) \int \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta t \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{v} \ldots \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|M V\|^{2}\right) \tag{14.71}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
V=\left(\begin{array}{c}
\delta t  \tag{14.72}\\
\delta \phi \\
\delta \tilde{v} \\
\delta a \\
\delta \tilde{X}
\end{array}\right) \quad M=\left(\begin{array}{ccc|cc}
\partial \gamma / \partial t & \gamma & P_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & \partial \tilde{X} & \Phi \cdot \partial \tilde{X} & 1
\end{array}\right) \equiv\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
C & B
\end{array}\right)
$$

The matrix in the exponent of (14.71) is

$$
\begin{align*}
M^{\dagger} M & =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\dagger} & C^{\dagger} \\
0 & B^{\dagger}
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & 0 \\
C & B
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\dagger} A+C^{\dagger} C & C^{\dagger} B \\
B^{\dagger} C & B^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right) \\
& =\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & * \\
0 & B^{\dagger} B
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A^{\dagger} A & 0 \\
* * & I
\end{array}\right) \tag{14.73}
\end{align*}
$$

where $*$ and $* *$ are irrelevant. The last expression has been obtained from the identity,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A & B \\
C & D
\end{array}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
I & B \\
0 & D
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
A-B D^{-1} C & 0 \\
D^{-1} C & I
\end{array}\right)
$$

The Gaussian integrals in (14.71) are readily evaluated to yield

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & =J \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi) \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(M^{\dagger} M\right) \\
& =J \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi)\left[\operatorname{det}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right) \operatorname{det}\left(B^{\dagger} B\right)\right]^{-1 / 2} \tag{14.74}
\end{align*}
$$

To compute $\operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)$, we need to evaluate $\|\delta \gamma\|_{\gamma}^{2}$. We have

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\delta \gamma\|_{\gamma}^{2}= & \int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}\left(G^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}+u \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \gamma^{\gamma \delta}\right) \\
& \times\left[\delta \phi \gamma_{\alpha \beta}+\left(P_{1} \delta \tilde{v}\right)_{\alpha \beta}+\delta t^{i} T_{i \alpha \beta}\right]\left[\delta \phi \gamma_{\gamma \delta}+\left(P_{1} \delta \tilde{v}\right)_{\gamma \delta}+\delta t^{j} T_{j \gamma \delta}\right] \\
= & 4 u\|\delta \phi\|_{\gamma}^{2}+\left\|P_{1} \delta \tilde{v}\right\|^{2}+\delta t^{i} \delta t^{j}\left(T_{i}, T_{j}\right)+2 \delta t^{i}\left(P_{1} \delta \tilde{v}, T_{i}\right) . \tag{14.75}
\end{align*}
$$

In general, $T_{i}$ is not orthogonal to $P_{1} \delta v$. To separate $T_{i}$ into parts orthogonal to $P_{1} \delta v$ and parallel to $P_{1} \delta v$, we need to define the adjoint $P_{1}^{\dagger}$ of $P_{1} . P_{1}$ is an elliptic operator which takes a vector field into a traceless symmetric tensor field. Thus, $P_{1}^{\dagger}$ maps symmetric traceless tensors to vectors. For a symmetric traceless tensor $\delta h$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(P_{1} \delta v, \delta h\right) & =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} G^{\alpha \beta \gamma \delta}\left(P_{1} \delta v\right)_{\alpha \beta} \delta h_{\gamma \delta} \\
& =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\nabla^{\alpha} \delta v^{\beta}+\nabla^{\beta} \delta v^{\alpha}\right) \delta h_{\alpha \beta} \\
& =\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \delta v^{\alpha}\left(-2 \nabla^{\beta}\right) \delta h_{\alpha \beta} \equiv\left(\delta v, P_{1}^{\dagger} \delta h\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where the inner product in the last expression is defined by (14.54c). Thus, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(P_{1}^{\dagger} \delta h\right)_{\alpha}=-2 \nabla^{\beta} \delta h_{\alpha \beta} \tag{14.76}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $\delta h$ is orthogonal to $P_{1} \delta v$. From the previous discussion, we have $\left(P_{1} \delta v, \delta h\right)=\left(\delta v, P_{1}^{\dagger} \delta h\right)=0$. Since $\delta v$ is arbitrary, $\delta h$ must be an element of ker $P_{1}^{\dagger}$, see figure 14.4. Now $T_{i}$ may be separated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\mathcal{P}_{0} T_{i}+\mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{i} \tag{14.77a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the projection operators $\mathcal{P}_{0}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\perp}$ are defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{P}_{0} \equiv 1-P_{1} \frac{1}{P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}} P_{1}^{\dagger} \quad \mathcal{P}_{\perp} \equiv P_{1} \frac{1}{P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}} P_{1}^{\dagger} \tag{14.77b}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is easy to verify that $\mathcal{P}_{0}+\mathcal{P}_{1}=1, \mathcal{P}_{0} \mathcal{P}_{\perp}=0, P_{1}^{\dagger} \mathcal{P}_{0}=0, P_{1}^{\dagger} \mathcal{P}_{\perp}=$ $P_{1}^{\dagger}, \mathcal{P}_{0} T_{i}=T_{i}$ and $\mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{i}=0$ for $T_{i} \in \operatorname{ker} P_{1}^{\dagger}$ etc. Thus (14.77a) is an orthogonal decomposition of $T_{i}$. We write $\mathcal{P}_{\perp} T_{i}=P_{1} u_{i}$, where

$$
u_{i}=\frac{1}{P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}} P_{1}^{\dagger} T_{i}
$$

Let $\left\{\psi_{r}\right\}(1 \leq r \leq n)$ be a real basis of $\operatorname{ker} P_{1}^{\dagger}$, which is not necessarily orthonormal. Then $T_{i}$ can be expanded as (figure 14.5)

$$
\begin{equation*}
T_{i}=\sum_{r} \psi_{r} Q_{r i}+P_{1} u_{i} \tag{14.78}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking an inner product between $T_{i}$ and $\psi_{r}$, we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q_{r i}=\sum_{s}\left[(\psi, \psi)^{-1}\right]_{r s}\left(\psi_{s}, T_{i}\right) \tag{14.79}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 14.4. The map $P_{1}$ and its adjoint $P_{1}^{\dagger}$.


Figure 14.5. $\left\{T_{i}\right\}$ spans the deformation tangent to the gauge slice while $\left\{\psi_{r}\right\}$ spans ker $P_{1}^{\dagger}$.

Finally, $\delta \gamma$ is decomposed into mutually orthogonal pieces as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \gamma=\delta \phi \gamma+P_{1}\left(\delta \tilde{v}+\delta t^{i} u_{i}\right)+\delta t^{i} \psi_{r} Q_{r i} \tag{14.80a}
\end{equation*}
$$

Correspondingly, the space of the metric deformation $\{\delta \gamma\}$ separates into the direct sum

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\delta \gamma\}=\{\operatorname{conf}\} \oplus\left\{\operatorname{im} P_{1}\right\} \oplus\left\{\operatorname{ker} P_{1}^{\dagger}\right\} \tag{14.80b}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting (14.80a) into (14.75), we obtain

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\delta \gamma\|^{2}= & 4 u\|\delta \phi\|^{2}+\left\|P_{1} \delta \bar{v}\right\|^{2} \\
& +\delta t^{i} \delta t^{j}\left(T_{i}, \psi_{r}\right)_{\gamma}\left[(\psi, \psi)_{\gamma}^{-1}\right]_{r s}\left(\psi_{s}, T_{j}\right)_{\gamma} \tag{14.81}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\delta \bar{v} \equiv \delta \tilde{v}+\delta t^{i} u_{i}$ and the inverse in the last term refers to the inverse of the matrix $\left(a_{r s}\right)=\left(\left(\psi_{r}, \psi_{s}\right)\right)$. If we put $\mathcal{V}_{1}^{t}=(\delta t, \delta \phi, \delta \bar{v})$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(A^{\dagger} A\right)= & \int \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta t \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \mathcal{D} \delta \bar{v} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathcal{V}_{1}^{\mathrm{t}} A^{\dagger} A \mathcal{V}_{1}\right) \\
= & \int \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \exp \left(-2 u\|\delta \phi\|^{2}\right) \int \mathcal{D} \delta \bar{v} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|P_{1} \bar{v}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& \times \int \mathrm{d}^{n} \delta t \exp \left\{-\frac{1}{2} \delta t^{i}\left(T_{i}, \psi_{r}\right)\left[(\psi, \psi)^{-1}\right]_{r s}\left(\psi_{s}, T_{j}\right) \delta t^{j}\right\} \\
\propto & \left(\operatorname{det} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}\right)^{-1 / 2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}(T, \psi)^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\psi, \psi)}\right)^{-1 / 2} \tag{14.82}
\end{align*}
$$

Collecting the results (14.71) and (14.82), we have

$$
1=J \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi) \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2} B^{\dagger} B \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}(T, \psi)^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\psi, \psi)}\right)^{-1 / 2}
$$

The $g$-loop partition function is then given by

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{g}= & \int \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} t \mathcal{D} \bar{v} \mathcal{D} \phi \operatorname{det} \tilde{X}}{V(\operatorname{Diff} * \operatorname{Weyl})} \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2} B^{\dagger} B \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi) \\
& \times\left(\operatorname{det} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1} \frac{\operatorname{det}(T, \psi)^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\psi, \psi)}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-S} \tag{14.83}
\end{align*}
$$

The integral over $a$ (the CKV) has been omitted since it is already included in the $\phi$-integration. Naively, the integral over $\bar{v}$ yields $V\left(\operatorname{Diff}_{0}^{\perp}\right)$ and that over $\phi$ yields $V$ (Weyl). However, as exercise 14.3 shows, the measures $\mathcal{D} X$ and $\mathcal{D} \gamma$ depend on the conformal factor. Polyakov (1981) has shown that, under the conformal transformation $\gamma \rightarrow \mathrm{e}^{2 \phi} \gamma$, the measures transform as

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathcal{D} X \rightarrow \exp \left(\frac{D}{24 \pi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\gamma^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi+\mathcal{R} \phi\right)\right) \mathcal{D} X  \tag{14.84a}\\
& \mathcal{D} \gamma \rightarrow \exp \left(\frac{-26}{24 \pi^{2}} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}\left(\gamma^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\alpha} \phi \partial_{\beta} \phi+\mathcal{R} \phi\right)\right) \mathcal{D} \gamma \tag{14.84b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, the measure $\mathcal{D} X \mathcal{D} \gamma$ is conformally invariant if and only if $D=26$. This number 26 is called the critical dimension. Henceforth, we always assume that
$D=26$. Now (14.83) simplifies as

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{g}= & \frac{1}{|\mathrm{MCG}|} \int \mathrm{d}^{n} t \mathcal{D} \tilde{X} \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2} B^{\dagger} B \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi) \\
& \times\left(\operatorname{det} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1} \frac{\operatorname{det}(T, \psi)^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\psi, \psi)}\right)^{1 / 2} \mathrm{e}^{-S} \tag{14.85}
\end{align*}
$$

We perform the $X$-integration to eliminate $\operatorname{det}^{1 / 2} B^{\dagger} B$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
1 & =\int \mathcal{D} \delta X \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta X\|^{2}\right) \\
& =J \int \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\delta \tilde{X}+\delta a^{s} \Phi_{s}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =J \int \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\|\delta \tilde{X}\|^{2}\right) \int \mathrm{d}^{k} \delta a \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2}\left\|\delta a^{s} \Phi_{s}^{\alpha} \partial_{\alpha} \tilde{X}\right\|^{2}\right) \\
& =J \operatorname{det}^{-1 / 2}\left(B^{\dagger} B\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence $\operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}\left(B^{\dagger} B\right)$ is identified with the Jacobian of the transformation $X \rightarrow(\tilde{X}, a)$. Thus, it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{D} \tilde{X} \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2} B^{\dagger} B \mathrm{e}^{-S}=\int \frac{\mathcal{D} X}{V(\mathrm{CKV})} \mathrm{e}^{-S} \tag{14.86}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V(\mathrm{CKV})=\int \mathrm{d}^{k} a$ is the volume of the CKV.
The integration over $X$ is readily carried out. Let us write

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} X \mathrm{e}^{-S}=\int_{\mathcal{E}} \mathcal{D} X \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(X, \Delta X)\right] \tag{14.87a}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{\gamma}} \partial_{\alpha} \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma^{\alpha \beta} \partial_{\beta} \tag{14.87b}
\end{equation*}
$$

is the Laplacian acting on 0 -forms, see (7.188). We write down the explicit form of the path integral (14.87a). Let $\psi_{n}$ be the eigenfunction of $\Delta$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta \psi_{n}=\lambda_{n} \psi_{n} \quad \lambda_{n} \in[0, \infty) \tag{14.88}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\psi_{n}$ are normalized as

$$
\left(\psi_{n}, \psi_{m}\right)=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma} \psi_{n} \psi_{m}=\delta_{n m}
$$

The eigenvalue $\lambda$ is non-negative since $\Delta$ is positive definite. Let us expand $X^{\mu}$ in $\psi_{n}$ as

$$
\begin{equation*}
X^{\mu}=\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_{n}^{\mu} \psi_{n}=X_{0}^{\mu}+X^{\prime \mu} \quad a_{n}^{\mu} \in R \tag{14.89}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $X_{0}^{\mu}=a_{0}^{\mu} \psi_{0}$ is the zero eigenfunction of $\Delta$ and $X^{\prime \mu}$ are the remaining degrees of freedom. Correspondingly, the path integral (14.87a) is written as

$$
\begin{align*}
\int \mathcal{D} X \exp \left[-\frac{1}{2}(X, \Delta X)\right] & =\int \prod_{n, \mu} \mathrm{~d} a_{n}^{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n, \mu} \lambda_{n}\left(a_{n}^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\int \prod_{\mu} \mathrm{d} a_{0}^{\mu} \int \prod_{n \neq 0} \prod_{\mu} \mathrm{d} a_{n}^{\mu} \exp \left(-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{n, \mu} \lambda_{n}\left(a_{n}^{\mu}\right)^{2}\right) \\
& =\left(\int \prod_{\mu} \mathrm{d} a_{0}^{\mu}\right)\left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta\right)^{-13} \tag{14.90}
\end{align*}
$$

where the prime indicates that the zero mode is omitted. To integrate over the zero mode, we note that the normalized eigenvector $\psi_{0}$ is given by ${ }^{6}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{0}=\left(\frac{1}{\int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{14.91}
\end{equation*}
$$

From $X_{0}^{\mu}=a_{0}^{\mu} \psi_{0}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \prod_{\mu} \mathrm{d} a_{0}^{\mu}=\int \prod_{\mu} \mathrm{d} X_{0}^{\mu}\left(\psi_{0}\right)^{-26}=V\left(\frac{1}{\int \mathrm{~d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{-13} \tag{14.92}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $V=\int \prod \mathrm{d} X_{0}^{\mu}$ is the spacetime volume. Collecting the results (14.90) and (14.92), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathcal{D} X \mathrm{e}^{-S}=\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{-13} \tag{14.93}
\end{equation*}
$$

where we have dropped $V$ and other irrelevant constants.
Finally, we have obtained the expression for the $g$-loop partition function

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{g}= & \int_{\mathrm{Mod}} \frac{\mathrm{~d}^{n} t}{V(\mathrm{CKV})} \frac{\operatorname{det}(T, \psi)}{\operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\psi, \psi) \operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\Phi, \Phi)} \\
& \times\left[\operatorname{det}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}\right]^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{-13} \tag{14.94}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have noted that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{1}{|\mathrm{MCG}|} \int_{\text {Teich }} \mathrm{d}^{n} t=\int_{\mathrm{Mod}} \mathrm{~d}^{n} t \tag{14.95}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $g \geq 2$, the Riemann surfaces have no CKV and (14.95) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{g}=\int_{\operatorname{Mod}} \mathrm{d}^{n} t \frac{\operatorname{det}(T, \psi)}{\operatorname{det}^{1 / 2}(\psi, \psi)}\left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{-13} \tag{14.96}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^18]
### 14.2.3 Complex tensor calculus and string measure

Since any Riemann surface admits complex structures, we may take advantage of this fact to compute string amplitudes. Many beautiful aspects of string theory are revealed only when these complex structures are explicitly taken into account. Here we rewrite the partition function in the language of complex differential geometry.

We first fix the gauge in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ by choosing the isothermal coordinate system

$$
\gamma=\frac{1}{2} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma}[\mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}+\mathrm{d} \bar{z} \otimes \mathrm{~d} z]
$$

where $\gamma_{z \bar{z}}=\gamma_{\bar{z} z}=\frac{1}{2} \exp 2 \sigma .{ }^{7}$ Then the deformation of $\gamma$ under a diffeomorphism generated by $\delta v$ is (cf (14.45))

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{z z}=2 \nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta v_{z} \\
& \delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{z \bar{z}}=\nabla_{z} \delta v_{\bar{z}}+\nabla_{\bar{z}} \delta v_{z}=\gamma_{\bar{z} z}\left(\nabla_{z}^{(1)} \delta v^{z}+\nabla_{(-1)}^{z} \delta v_{z}\right) \tag{14.97}
\end{align*}
$$

Similarly, $\delta_{\mathrm{W}} \gamma$ generated by an infinitesimal conformal change is (cf (14.46))

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta_{W} \gamma_{z \bar{z}}=\delta \phi \gamma_{z \bar{z}} \quad \delta_{W} \gamma_{z z}=0 . \tag{14.98}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see the action of the operator $P_{1}$ on vectors, we take $\delta v^{z} \in \mathcal{T}^{1}$ and $\delta v_{z} \in \mathcal{T}^{-1}$. From (14.50), we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(P_{1} \delta v\right)^{z z}=2 \nabla_{(1)}^{z} \delta v^{z} \in \mathfrak{T}^{2}  \tag{14.99a}\\
& \left(P_{1} \delta v\right)_{z z}=2 \nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta v_{z} \in \mathcal{T}^{-2} \tag{14.99b}
\end{align*}
$$

This shows that $P_{1}$ is a map:

$$
P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nabla_{(1)}^{z} & 0  \tag{14.100}\\
0 & \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{T}^{1} \oplus \mathcal{T}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{2} \oplus \mathcal{T}^{-2}
$$

Similarly, $P_{1}^{\dagger}$ maps traceless symmetric tensors to vectors. For $\delta h^{z z} \in \mathcal{T}^{2}$ and $\delta h_{z z} \in \mathcal{T}^{-2}$, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(P_{1}^{\dagger} \delta h\right)^{z}=\nabla_{z}^{(2)} \delta h^{z z} \in \mathcal{T}^{1}  \tag{14.101a}\\
& \left(P_{1}^{\dagger} \delta h\right)_{z}=\nabla_{(-2)}^{z} \delta h_{z z} \in \mathcal{T}^{-1} \tag{14.101b}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, $P_{1}^{\dagger}$ is a map:

$$
P_{1}^{\dagger}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nabla_{z}^{(2)} & 0  \tag{14.102}\\
0 & \nabla_{(-2)}^{z}
\end{array}\right): \mathfrak{T}^{2} \oplus \mathcal{T}^{-2} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{1} \oplus \mathcal{T}^{-1}
$$

7 In fact, the gauge is not uniquely fixed with this choice. We will invoke the uniformization theorem later to fix the gauge completely.

The product $P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}$ is

$$
P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\nabla_{z}^{(2)} \nabla_{(1)}^{z} & 0  \tag{14.103}\\
0 & \nabla_{(-2)}^{z} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}
\end{array}\right): \mathcal{T}^{1} \oplus \mathcal{T}^{-1} \rightarrow \mathcal{T}^{1} \oplus \mathcal{T}^{-1}
$$

Accordingly, the determinant in (14.96) becomes

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}\right)^{1 / 2} & =\left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \nabla_{z}^{(2)} \nabla_{(1)}^{z} \operatorname{det}^{\prime} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}\right)^{1 / 2} \\
& =\left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+} \Delta_{(-1)}^{-}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{14.104}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\Delta_{(n)}^{ \pm}$are the Laplacians. We show that the spectrum of $\Delta_{(1)}^{+}$is the same as that of $\Delta_{(-1)}^{-}$. Take an eigenfunction $\delta v^{z}$ of $\Delta_{(1)}^{+}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(1)}^{+} \delta v^{z}=-2 \mathrm{e}^{-4 \sigma} \partial_{z} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}} \delta v^{z}=\lambda \delta z^{z} \tag{14.105}
\end{equation*}
$$

where (14.21a) has been used. The eigenvalue $\lambda$ is a non-negative real number (note $\Delta_{(n)}^{ \pm}$are positive-definite Hermitian operators). Then we find

$$
\begin{align*}
\Delta_{(-1)}^{-}\left(\gamma_{z} \bar{\delta} \overline{\delta v^{z}}\right) & =-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} \partial_{z} \overline{\delta v^{z}}=-\mathrm{e}^{-2 \sigma} \overline{\partial_{z} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}} \delta v^{z}} \\
& =-\gamma_{z \bar{z}} 2 \mathrm{e}^{-4 \sigma} \overline{\partial_{z} \mathrm{e}^{2 \sigma} \partial_{\bar{z}} \delta v^{z}}=\lambda \gamma_{z \bar{z}} \overline{\delta v^{z}} \tag{14.106}
\end{align*}
$$

which shows that $\gamma_{z \bar{z}} \overline{\delta v^{z}}$ is an eigenfunction of $\Delta_{(-1)}^{-}$with the same eigenvalue $\lambda$. It is easy to see that the converse is also true, see exercise 14.5. Thus, $\Delta_{(1)}^{+}$ and $\Delta_{(-1)}^{-}$share the same eigenvalues and $\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+}=\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(-1)}^{-}$. Now (14.104) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\operatorname{det}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}\right)^{1 / 2}=\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(-1)}^{-}=\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+} \tag{14.107}
\end{equation*}
$$

Exercise 14.5. Let $\delta v_{z}$ be an eigenvector of $\Delta_{(-1)}^{-}$with an eigenvalue $\lambda$. Show that $\gamma^{z \bar{z}} \overline{\delta v_{z}}$ is an eigenvector of $\Delta_{(1)}^{+}$with the same eigenvalue.

The physical change of the metric is the Teichmüller deformation $\delta \tau^{i} \mu_{i}$, where $\tau^{i}\left(\mu_{i}\right)$ is the complex counterpart of $t^{i}\left(T_{i}\right)$. From our experience, we know that the relevant part of the Teichmüller deformation is symmetric and traceless in the real basis. In the complex basis, this amounts to $\mu_{i z \bar{z}}=\mu_{i \bar{z} z}=0$. Accordingly, the general variation of the metric is given by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \delta \gamma_{z z}=\nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta \tilde{v}_{z}+\delta \tau^{i} \mu_{i z z}  \tag{14.108a}\\
& \delta \gamma_{z \bar{z}}=\delta \phi \gamma_{z \bar{z}} \tag{14.108b}
\end{align*}
$$

where we have redefined $\delta \phi$ so that it includes the variation of $\delta \gamma_{z \bar{z}}$ due to $\delta v$ (note that $\delta_{\mathrm{D}} \gamma_{z \bar{z}} \propto \gamma_{z \bar{z}}$ ). In (14.108a), $\delta \tilde{v}$ does not contain the CKV, that is, $\delta \tilde{v} \in\left(\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}\right)^{\perp}$.

To carry out the orthogonal decomposition of $\{\delta \gamma\}$, we need to define the inner products in various spaces. The most natural choices are

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|\delta \gamma_{z z}\right\|^{2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{\gamma} \overline{\delta \gamma_{z z}} \delta \gamma^{z z}  \tag{14.109a}\\
& \left\|\delta \gamma_{z \bar{z}}\right\|^{2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{\gamma} \overline{\delta \gamma_{z \bar{z}}} \delta \gamma^{z \bar{z}} \tag{14.109b}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\delta v_{z}\right\|^{2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{\gamma} \gamma_{z \bar{z}} \overline{\delta v^{z}} \delta v^{z} \tag{14.109c}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that $\delta \gamma_{z z} \mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} z$ and $\delta \gamma_{z \bar{z}} \mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}$ are different tensors; we have to specify the inner product separately.

Following the argument in the previous subsection, we introduce the orthogonal decomposition,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta \gamma_{z z}=\nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta \tilde{v}_{z}+\delta \tau^{i} \mu_{i z z}=\nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta \bar{v}_{z}+\delta \tau^{i} \phi_{i z z} \tag{14.110}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\delta \bar{v}=\delta \tilde{v}+\left(\right.$ projection of $\delta \tau^{i} \mu_{i z z}$ into $\left.\left\{\operatorname{im} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}\right\}\right)$. The orthogonality of $\nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta \bar{v}_{z}$ and $\phi_{i z z}$ implies

$$
0=\left(\nabla_{z}^{(-1)} \delta v_{z}, \phi_{i z z}\right)=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{\gamma} \overline{\delta v_{z}}\left(-\nabla_{(-2)}^{z} \phi_{i z z}\right)
$$

where we have noted that $\nabla_{z}^{(-1) \dagger}=-\nabla_{(-2)}^{z}$. Thus, we find that (figure 14.6)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi_{i z z} \in \operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z} \tag{14.111}
\end{equation*}
$$

The explicit form of $\nabla_{(-2)}^{z}$ shows that $\partial_{\bar{z}} \phi_{i z z}=0$, that is $\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z}$ is the set of holomorphic tensors of helicity -2 . The tensor $\phi_{i}=\phi_{i z z} \mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} z$ is called the quadratic differential while $\mu_{i}=\mu_{i z z} \mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} z$ is the Beltrami differential, see figure 14.7. In practical computations, it is often convenient to specify the gauge slice by the Beltrami differential, see later. Now we have established that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\operatorname{ker} P_{1}^{\dagger}\right\}=\{\text { Quadratic differential }\}=\left\{\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z}\right\} \tag{14.112}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Riemann-Roch theorem (14.27) takes the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}-\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z}=3-3 g \tag{14.113}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we have separated $\{\delta \gamma\}$ into mutually orthogonal pieces

$$
\begin{equation*}
\{\delta \gamma\}=\{\operatorname{conf}\} \oplus\left\{\operatorname{im} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}\right\} \oplus\left\{\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z}\right\}+\mathrm{cc} \tag{14.114}
\end{equation*}
$$

which should be compared with (14.80b). The measure becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{D} \delta \gamma \mathcal{D} \delta X \rightarrow J \mathrm{~d}^{n} \delta \tau \mathcal{D} \delta \bar{v} \mathcal{D} \delta \phi \mathcal{D} \delta \tilde{X} \mathrm{~d}^{k} \delta a \tag{14.115}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 14.6. The map $\nabla_{z}^{(-)}$and its adjoint $\nabla_{(-2)}^{z}$.


Figure 14.7. The Beltrami differential $\left\{\mu_{i}\right\}$ spans the deformation tangent to the gauge slice while $\left\{\phi_{i}\right\}$ spans $\operatorname{ker} \nabla_{(-2)}^{z}$.
where $n$ and $k$ are the complex dimensions of the Teichmüller space and the CKV, respectively. The Jacobian is obtained by repeating the argument in the previous subsection and we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{\mathrm{g}} & =\int \mathcal{D} \gamma \mathcal{D} X \frac{1}{V(\text { Diff*Weyl })} \mathrm{e}^{-S} \\
& =\int_{\text {Mod }} \mathrm{d}^{n} \tau \mathcal{D} X \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+}}{V(\mathrm{CKV})} \frac{|\operatorname{det}(\mu, \phi)|^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\phi, \phi) \operatorname{det}(\Phi, \Phi)} \mathrm{e}^{-S} . \tag{14.116}
\end{align*}
$$

Since we are integrating over complex variables, the power of a half in (14.96)
does not appear in (14.116). The $X$-integration yields

$$
\begin{align*}
Z_{g}= & \int_{\operatorname{Mod}} \frac{\mathrm{d}^{n} \tau}{V(\mathrm{CKV})} \frac{|\operatorname{det}(\mu, \phi)|^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\phi, \phi) \operatorname{det}(\Phi, \Phi)} \\
& \times \operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{-13} \tag{14.117}
\end{align*}
$$

### 14.2.4 Moduli spaces of Riemann surfaces

The spaces $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ and $\operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ have been defined as

$$
\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{g}} / \operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right) \quad \operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right) \equiv \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{g}} / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{\mathrm{g}}\right)
$$

They are related through MCG $\equiv \operatorname{Diff}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) / \operatorname{Diff}_{0}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ as $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=$ Teich $\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) / \mathrm{MCG}$. We look at these objects more closely here. We first note:

| $g$ | $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}$ CKV | CKV | $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}}$ Teich $\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ | MCG |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | 3 | $\operatorname{SL}(2, C)$ | 0 | $\operatorname{SL}(2, R)$ |
| 1 | 1 | $\mathrm{U}(1) \times \mathrm{U}(1)$ | 1 | $\operatorname{SL}(2, Z)$ |
| $\geq 2$ | 0 | empty | $3 g-3$ | $?$ |

[Remark: MCG for $g \geq 2$ can be expressed by $3 g-1$ Dehn twists which are, however, not minimal.] From (14.118), we immediately conclude that $Z_{0}=0$ since the Teichmüller space is a single point and the volume of $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{C})$ is infinite. Of course, this does not imply that the three amplitudes with vertex operators vanish. In general, $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is topologically non-trivial although Teich $\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is. Teich $\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ is a universal covering space of $\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)$ and the topological nontriviality comes from MCG.

In actual computations, the uniformization theorem is very useful. In the previous subsection, we first chose the Beltrami differential $\mu_{i}$, then changed the basis to $\phi_{i} \in \operatorname{ker} P_{1}^{\dagger}$. Our initial choice $\mu_{i}$ is motivated by the uniformization theorem.

Theorem 14.2. (Uniformization theorem) Let $\Sigma_{g}$ be a torus with genus $g$. Then it is conformally related to the constant-curvature Riemann surface, which is given by the following:

| $g$ | Riemann surface | Metric | $\operatorname{sign} \mathcal{R}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $\mathbb{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ | $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} /(1+z \bar{z})^{2}$ | + |
| 1 | $\mathbb{C} / \mathrm{L}$ | $\mathrm{d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}$ | 0 |
| $\geq 2$ | $H / G$ | $\mathrm{~d} s^{2}=\mathrm{d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z} /(\operatorname{Im} z)^{2}$ | - |

where $L$ is a lattice in $\mathbb{C}$ (see example 8.2), $H$ the upper half-plane and $G \subset$ $\operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{R})$ is called the Fuchsian group. The metric for $g \geq 2$ is the Poincaré metric, see example 7.6.

The proof of this theorem is found in Farkas and Kra (1980), for example. Thanks to this theorem, we may always take constant-curvature metrics to form the gauge slice in $\mathcal{M}_{g}$. This corresponds to a special choice of the Beltrami differential $\mu_{i}$. This slice defines the Weil-Petersson measure:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int \mathrm{d}^{n} \tau \frac{|\operatorname{det}(\mu, \phi)|^{2}}{\operatorname{det}(\phi, \phi)}=\int \mathrm{d}(\text { Weil-Petersson }) \tag{14.120}
\end{equation*}
$$

see D'Hoker and Phong (1986).
Exercise 14.6. Compute the scalar curvature of the metrics given in (14.119). Verify that they are independent of $z$ and $\bar{z}$.

### 14.3 One-loop amplitudes

As an illustration of the formalism developed in the previous section, we compute the one-loop vacuum-to-vacuum amplitude of the closed orientable bosonic string theory. Since $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{1}\right)=1$ and $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{C}} \operatorname{ker} \nabla_{z}^{(-1)}=1$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=\int_{\mathrm{Mod}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tau}{V(\mathrm{CKV})} \frac{|(\mu, \phi)|^{2}}{(\phi, \phi) \cdot(\Phi, \Phi)} \operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}}\right)^{-13} \tag{14.121}
\end{equation*}
$$

To evaluate (14.121) we need to take several steps.

### 14.3.1 Moduli spaces, CKV, Beltrami and quadratic differentials

In example 8.2, we have shown that the complex structure, namely the conformal structure, of the torus is specified by a complex parameter $\tau(\operatorname{Im} \tau>0)$. Figure 8.3 shows the moduli space

$$
\operatorname{Mod}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right)=\mathcal{M}_{1} / G=\operatorname{Teich}\left(\Sigma_{g}\right) / \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})=H / \operatorname{SL}(2, \mathbb{Z})
$$

where $H$ is the upper half-plane.
Take the torus $T_{\tau}$ specified by the Teichmüller parameter $\tau=\tau_{1}+\mathrm{i} \tau_{2}$ ( $\tau_{2}>$ 0 ). As a representative, we take a torus in figure 14.8. The metric in $\mathbb{C}$ naturally induces a flat metric (as guaranteed by the uniformization theorem)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma=\frac{1}{2}[\mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} \bar{z}+\mathrm{d} \bar{z} \otimes \mathrm{~d} z] \tag{14.122}
\end{equation*}
$$

The CKV are globally defined holomorphic vectors. We take $\Phi=\alpha \partial / \partial z$ as the normalized basis of the CKV. The condition $(\Phi, \Phi)=1$ yields $\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z|\alpha|^{2}=$ $\tau_{2}|\alpha|^{2}=1$, that is $\alpha=\tau_{2}^{-1 / 2}$ (we have dropped the phase). The vector $\Phi$ generates translations in the complex plane,

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \rightarrow z^{\prime}=z+\tau_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(v^{1}+\mathrm{i} v^{2}\right) \tag{14.123}
\end{equation*}
$$



Figure 14.8. The parallelogram whose complex structure is parametrized by $\tau$.

We must note, however, that the translation is defined modulo the lattice; $\tau_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(v^{1}+\mathrm{i} v^{2}\right)$ and $\tau_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(v^{1}+\mathrm{i} v^{2}\right)+(m+\tau n)$ yield the identical translation. This forces $\tau_{2}^{-1 / 2}\left(v_{1}+\mathrm{i} v^{2}\right)$ to lie within the parallelogram of figure 14.8. Since

$$
\tau_{2}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z=\tau_{2}^{-1} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} v
$$

$V(\mathrm{CKV})$ is found to be

$$
\begin{equation*}
V(\mathrm{CKV})=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} v=\tau_{2}^{2} \tag{14.124}
\end{equation*}
$$

Our next task is to evaluate the Weil-Petersson measure. On the torus there is one quadratic differential $\phi$. Since $\phi \in \mathcal{T}^{-2}$ is a globally defined holomorphic differential, it must be of the form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi=a \mathrm{~d} z \otimes \mathrm{~d} z \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \tag{14.125}
\end{equation*}
$$

To find the Beltrami differential, we evaluate the change of the metric under a small variation of $\tau$. For this purpose, it is convenient to introduce the $\xi^{\alpha}$ coordinate system in figure 14.8. The point A corresponds to $(1,0)$ and B to $(0,1)$. Accordingly, we have $z=\xi^{1}+\tau \xi^{2}$. Under a small change $\delta \tau$ of the Teichmüller parameter, we have, up to a conformal factor,

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\mathrm{d} z|^{2} & \rightarrow\left|\mathrm{~d} \xi^{1}+(\tau+\delta \tau) \mathrm{d} \xi^{2}\right|^{2}=\left|\mathrm{d} z+\delta \tau \mathrm{d} \xi^{2}\right|^{2} \\
& =\left|\mathrm{d} z+\mathrm{d} \tau \frac{\mathrm{~d} z-\mathrm{d} \bar{z}}{2 \mathrm{i} \tau_{2}}\right|^{2}=\left|\mathrm{d} z+\delta \tau \frac{\mathrm{id} \bar{z}}{2 \tau_{2}}\right| .
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing this with (14.110), we find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{z z}=\mathrm{i} / 2 \tau_{2} . \tag{14.126}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $(\delta \tau) \mu$ is the complex conjugate of $(\delta \tau) \mu$ in (14.110). Of course, this is a reparametrization of the Teichmüller space and does not affect the results. If the reader feels awkward with this, s/he may choose $\bar{\tau}$ as the Teichmüller parameter. From (14.125) and (14.126), we have, up to irrelevant constants,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (\mu, \phi)=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \overline{\mu^{z z}} \phi_{z z}=\frac{\mathrm{i}}{2 \tau_{2}} a \tau_{2} \propto a \\
& (\phi, \phi)=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z \overline{\phi^{z z}} \phi_{z z}=a^{2} \tau_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

Finally, we have obtained

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{|(\mu, \phi)|^{2}}{(\phi, \phi)}=\tau_{2}^{-1} \tag{14.127}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 14.3.2 The evaluation of determinants

We first consider $\operatorname{det}^{\prime} P_{1}^{\dagger} P_{1}=\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta_{(1)}^{+}$. Since we take a flat metric, the Laplacian takes quite a simple form,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta_{(1)}^{+}=-2 \partial_{z} \partial_{\bar{z}}=\Delta \tag{14.128}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\Delta$ is the Laplacian defined by (14.87b). Since

$$
\int \mathrm{d}^{2} \xi \sqrt{\gamma}=\int \mathrm{d}^{2} z=\tau_{2}
$$

the amplitude (14.121) reduces to

$$
\begin{gather*}
Z_{1}=\int_{\text {Mod }} \frac{\mathrm{d} \tau}{\tau_{2}^{2}} \frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\tau_{2}}\left(\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\tau_{2}}\right)^{-13}  \tag{14.129}\\
\uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \uparrow \begin{array}{c}
\uparrow \\
V(\mathrm{CKV}) \\
\mathrm{W}-\mathrm{P}
\end{array} \int \mathrm{~d}^{2} z
\end{gather*}
$$

where we have used (14.124) and (14.127). We have factorized the integrand so that the modular invariance is manifest, see exercise 14.7.

Let us compute the spectrum of $\Delta$. It is convenient to express the Laplacian in $\xi^{\alpha}$-coordinates. From

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi^{1}=\mathrm{i}(\bar{\tau} z-\tau \bar{z}) / 2 \tau_{2} \quad \xi^{2}=(z-\bar{z}) / 2 \mathrm{i} \tau_{2} \tag{14.130}
\end{equation*}
$$

we readily find that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=-\frac{1}{2 \tau_{2}^{2}}\left[|\tau|^{2}\left(\partial_{1}\right)^{2}-2 \tau_{1} \partial_{1} \partial_{2}+\left(\partial_{2}\right)^{2}\right] \tag{14.131}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial_{1}=\partial / \partial \xi^{1}$ etc. The eigenfunction satisfying the periodic boundary condition on the torus is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\psi_{m, n}(\xi)=\exp \left[2 \pi \mathrm{i}\left(n \xi^{1}+m \xi^{2}\right)\right] \quad(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^{2} \tag{14.132}
\end{equation*}
$$

Substituting this into (14.131), we find the eigenvalue

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{m, n}=\frac{2 \pi^{2}}{\tau_{2}^{2}}(m-\tau n)(m-\bar{\tau} n) \tag{14.133}
\end{equation*}
$$

The determinant is expressed as an infinite product:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta=\prod_{m, n}^{\prime} \frac{2 \pi^{2}}{\tau_{2}^{2}}|m+\tau n|^{2} \tag{14.134}
\end{equation*}
$$

the product being taken for all integers $(m, n) \neq(0,0)$.
Clearly $\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta$ is ill defined and needs to be regularized. Let us introduce the Eisenstein series (Siegel 1980, Lang 1987) defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\tau, s) \equiv \sum_{m, n}^{\prime} \frac{\tau_{2}^{s}}{|m+\tau n|^{2 s}} \tag{14.135}
\end{equation*}
$$

the summation being taken for all integers $(m, n) \neq(0,0)$. This series converges for $\operatorname{Re} s>1$ and can be analytically continued to the complex $s$-plane. The series $E(\tau, s)$ has a simple pole at $s=1$ where we have a Laurent expansion,

$$
\begin{equation*}
E(\tau, s)=\frac{\pi}{s-1}+2 \pi\left[\gamma-\ln 2-\ln \left(\sqrt{\tau_{2}}|\eta(\tau)|^{2}\right)\right]+\mathcal{O}(s-1) . \tag{14.136}
\end{equation*}
$$

This expression is known as the Kronecker first limit formula and is essential for our purposes. In (14.136), $\gamma=0.57721 \ldots$ is Euler's constant and $\eta(\tau)$ is the Dedekind $\boldsymbol{\eta}$-function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\tau) \equiv \mathrm{e}^{\mathrm{i} \pi \tau / 12} \prod_{n>1}\left(1-\mathrm{e}^{2 \mathrm{i} \pi n \tau}\right) \tag{14.137}
\end{equation*}
$$

Neglecting constant factors, we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\tau_{2}} & =\exp \left(-\ln \tau_{2}+\sum^{\prime} \ln \frac{|m+\tau n|^{2}}{\tau_{2}^{2}}\right) \\
& =\exp \left(-\ln \tau_{2}-\left.\frac{\partial}{\partial s}\left[\tau_{2}^{s} E(\tau, s)\right]\right|_{s=0}\right) \\
& =\exp \left\{-\ln \tau_{2}[1+E(\tau, 0)]-E^{\prime}(\tau, 0)\right\} \tag{14.138}
\end{align*}
$$

To evaluate the exponent, we note the functional equation,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\pi^{-s} \Gamma(s) E(\tau, s)=\pi^{-(1-s)} \Gamma(1-s) E(\tau, 1-s) \tag{14.139}
\end{equation*}
$$

Taking the limit $s \rightarrow 0$ in (14.139), we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
s E(\tau, 1-s) & =\pi^{1-2 s} \frac{\Gamma(1+s)}{\Gamma(1-s)} E(\tau, s) \\
& =\pi(1-2 s \ln \pi+\cdots) \frac{(1-\gamma s+\cdots)}{(1+\gamma s+\cdots)}\left[E(\tau, 0)+E^{\prime}(\tau, 0) s+\cdots\right] \\
& =\pi E(\tau, 0)+\left[-2(\ln \pi+\gamma) E(\tau, 0)+E^{\prime}(\tau, 0)\right] \pi s+\cdots .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (14.136), we also have

$$
s E(\tau, 1-s)=-\pi+2 \pi s\left[\gamma-\ln 2-\ln \left(\sqrt{\tau_{2}}|\eta(\tau)|^{2}\right)\right]+\cdots
$$

Equating the coefficients of $s^{0}$ and $s^{1}$, we find that

$$
\begin{align*}
E(\tau, 0) & =-1  \tag{14.140a}\\
E^{\prime}(\tau, 0) & =-2\left[\ln 2 \pi+\ln \left(\sqrt{\tau_{2}}|\eta(\tau)|^{2}\right)\right] \tag{14.140b}
\end{align*}
$$

Substituting (14.140) into (14.138), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\operatorname{det}^{\prime} \Delta}{\tau_{2}}=\exp \left[-E^{\prime}(\tau, 0)\right]=\tau_{2}|\eta(\tau)|^{4} \tag{14.141}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, it follows from (14.129) and (14.141) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=\int_{\mathrm{Mod}} \frac{\mathrm{~d} \tau}{\tau_{2}^{2}} \tau_{2}^{-12}|\eta(\tau)|^{-48} \tag{14.142}
\end{equation*}
$$

A neat form of $Z_{1}$ is obtained if we define the discriminant

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\tau) \equiv(2 \pi)^{12} \eta(\tau)^{24} . \tag{14.143}
\end{equation*}
$$

Up to an irrelevant constant, the one-loop amplitude is

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{1}=\int_{\operatorname{Mod}} \frac{\mathrm{d} \tau}{\tau_{2}^{2}} \tau_{2}^{-12}|\Delta(\tau)|^{-2} \tag{14.144}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\Delta(\tau)$ is known as the cusp form of weight 12 , implying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta\left(\frac{a \tau+b}{c \tau+d}\right)=(c \tau+d)^{12} \Delta(\tau) \tag{14.145}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $c(0)=0$, where the $c(n)$ are the Fourier coefficients,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta(\tau)=\sum_{n \geq 0} c(n) \mathrm{e}^{2 \pi n \mathrm{i} \tau} \tag{14.146}
\end{equation*}
$$

Higher genus amplitudes are given by the cusp forms of other weights, see Belavin and Knizhnik (1986), Moore (1986), Gilbert (1986) and Morozov (1987).

Exercise 14.7. Show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\eta(\tau+1)=\mathrm{e}^{\pi \mathrm{i} / 12} \eta(\tau) \quad \eta(-1 / \tau)=(-\mathrm{i} \tau)^{1 / 2} \eta(\tau) \tag{14.147}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the branch is chosen so that $\sqrt{z}>0$ if $z>0$. Use this result to show that $\mathrm{d} \tau / \tau_{2}^{2}$ and $\tau_{2}^{-12}|\eta(\tau)|^{-48}$ are independently invariant under $\tau \rightarrow \tau+1$ and $\tau \rightarrow-1 / \tau$.
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Chern-Simons form, 443
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General relativity, 297
Generalized $\zeta$-function, 50
Generalized coordinate, 2
Generalized momentum, 3
Generalized velocity, 2
Generating functional, 29, 30
Dirac field, 55
Generator, 96
transformation, 8
Genus, 73
Geodesic, 251
Grading $\mathbb{Z}_{2}, 41$
Grassmann algebra, 40
Grassmann manifold
complex, 314
real, 177
Grassmann number, 38
Green function, 54
Ground state, 18
Hamilton's principle, 3
Hamiltonian, 6
Hamiltonian vector field, 203
Harmonic form, 295, 338
Harmonic map, 306
Harmonic oscillator, 2, 17, 31 fermion, 39
fermionic, 39
Hausdorff space, 83
Heat equation, 473
Heat kernel, 20, 472
Heisenberg picture, 13
Helicity, 530

Hermitian connection, 328, 398
Hermitian manifold, 325
Hermitian metric, 325
Hermitian structure, 398
Higgs field, 60
Higgs mechanism, 60
Hirzebruch $L$ polynomial, 442
Hirzebruch signature, 443, 464, 467
Hirzebruch signature theorem, 443, 467
Hirzebruch-Riemann-Roch theorem, 463
Hodge $*$ operation, 290, 337
Hodge decomposition theorem, 295
Hodge diamond, 340
Hodge number, 339
Hodge's theorem, 296, 338
Holomorphic
form, 323
function, 316
map, 316
tangent bundle, 398
vector, 319
vector bundle, 397
Holonomic, 308
Holonomy group, 271, 384
restricted-, 385
Homeomorphic, 86
Homeomorphism, 85
Homogeneous coordinate, 176, 314
Homogeneous space, 220
Homologous, 107
Homology class, 107
Homology group, 106
Homomorphism, 69, 93
fundamental theorem, 95
Homotopic, 123, 128, 146, 357
Homotopy, 123, 128, 146
Homotopy class, 125, 146
Homotopy equivalence, 128
Homotopy group, 147
Homotopy inverse, 128
Homotopy operator, 445
Homotopy type, 88, 128

Hopf invariant, 372
Hopf map, 367
Horizontal lift, 381
Horizontal subspace, 375
Hurwitz $\zeta$-function, 50

Image, 67, 76
inverse, 67
Imaginary time, 27
Immersion, 188
Inclusion, 68
Index
analytic, 456
analytical, 453
elliptic operator, 457
metric, 245
Index theorem
spin complex, 500
Infinitesimal generator, 190
Inhomogeneous coordinate, 176, 314
Injective, 68
Inner product, 10, 77, 78
vector and one-form, 184
Instanton, 63, 365, 405
Instanton number, 365
Integrable, 343
Interior, 83
Interior product, 201
Invariant polynomial, 421
Isometry, 273
Isomorphic, 69, 76, 93
Isomorphism, 69, 93
Isothermal coordinate, 278, 528
Isotropy group, 220
$J$-homomorphism, 152
Jacobi identity, 7
Kähler form, 326
Kähler manifold, 330
Kähler metric, 330
Kähler potential, 332
Künneth formula, 239

Kernel, 76, 456
Ket vector, 9
Killing equation, 279
Killing vector field, 279
Klein bottle, 73
Kronecker first limit formula, 558
Lagrangian, 2
Laplacian, 294, 457
Left-invariant vector field, 209
Left-translation, 209
Letter, 134
Levi-Civita connection, 249
Levi-Civita symbol, 199
Lie algebra, 211
Lie bracket, 7, 193
Lie derivative, 191
Lie subgroup, 208
Light cone frame, 246
Line bundle, 358
canonical-, 359
Linear function, 9, 76
Linear map, 76
Linear space, 75
Linearly dependent, 76
Linearly independent, 76
Abelian group, 96
Little group, 220
Local section, 352
Local trivialization, 350
canonical-, 364
Loop, 85, 122, 146
Lorentz group, 208
Lorentz manifold, 246
Magnetic monopole, 364
Manifold, 171
Calabi-Yau, 335
differentiable, 171
Hermitian, 325
Kähler, 330
Lorentz, 246
pseudo-Riemannian, 246
Riemannian, 246
with boundary, 173
Map, 67
composite, 68
constant, 68
harmonic, 306
identity, 68
inclusion, 68
inverse, 68
Mapping, 67
Mapping class group, 538
Maurer-Cartan form, 215
Maurer-Cartan's structure equation, 215
Maximal tree, 137
Maximally symmetric space, 280
Maxwell action, 400
Mellin transformation, 475
Mermin-Ho vortex, 165
Metric, 81
Euclidean, xxii, 245
Hermitian, 325
induced, 247
Kähler, 330
Lorentz, 245
Minkowski, xxii, 245
pseudo-Riemannian, 244
Riemannian, 244
Robertson-Walker, 268
Schwarzschild, 268
Metric compatible, 253, 328
Metric connection, 397
Metric space, 82
Milne universe, 278
Modular group, 538
Modular transformation, 313
Moduli space
gauge theory, 513
string, 537
Monopole, 158
Belavin-Polyakov, 154
Shankar's, 152
Nambu action, 304
Naturality, 425

Neighbourhood, 82
open, 82
Newton's equation, 1
Nijenhuis tensor field, 343
Noether's theorem, 7
Non-Abelian anomaly, 502
Non-coordinate basis, 184, 283
Non-orientable, 71
Norm, 10
Normal bundle, 358
Normal coordinate system, 267
Normal subgroup, 75, 209
Null section, 361
Observable, 11
Odd bundle, 458
One-form, 184
One-parameter group of transformations, 190
One-parameter subgroup, 212
One-point compactification, 84
Open set, 81
Orbifold, 344
Orbit, 219
Order
elliptic operator, 454
Order parameter, 154
Order parameter space, 158
Orientable, 71, 204
Orientation, 204
Orthogonal complement, 77
Orthogonal group, 208
Orthonormal frame, 397
Palatini identity, 298
Paracompact, 206
Parallel transport, 247, 250, 383
Parallelizable, 259
Partition function, 27
fermion, 47
harmonic oscillator, 35
Partition of unity, 206
Path, 122
Path integral, 19
boson, 19
fermion, 38
Pauli Hamiltonian, 482
Pauli spin matrix, xxii
Pauli-Villars regularization, 524
Period
form, 234
Pfaffian, 44, 440
Phase space, 6
Poincaré action, 306
Poincaré duality, 238
Poincaré metric, 265, 554
Poincaré's lemma, 235
Poincaré-Alexander theorem, 89
Poisson bracket, 7
Polarization, 421
Polyakov action, 304
Polyhedron, 88, 100
Pontrjagin class, 439
total—, 436
Presentation, 136
Principle of the least action, 3
Probability amplitude, 12
Product bundle, 361
Projection, 348, 350
Projective plane, 73, 159
Projective space
complex, 313
Proper face, 99
pseudo-Riemannian manifold, 246
Pseudoparticle, 405
Pullback, 78, 187
fibre bundle, 355
Pure gauge, 390
Quadratic differential, 552
Quantization
canonical, 10
scalar field, 51
Quantum field theory, 51
Quaternion, xxii
Quotient group, 75
Quotient space, 70

Range, 67
Rank, 97
free Abelian group, 96
Ray representation, 10
Real vector, 316
Reduced word, 134
Relation, 70, 135
Representative, 70
Restriction, 68
Retract, 129
Retraction, 129
Ricci form, 330
Ricci rotation coefficient, 287
Ricci tensor, 260
Ricci-flat, 335
Riemann $\zeta$-function, 37
Riemann normal coordinate, 494
Riemann surface, 333
Riemann tensor, 255
Riemann-Roch theorem, 533
Riemannian manifold, 246
Riemannian structure, 397
Right-translation, 209
Saddle point method, 493
Scalar, 75
Scalar curvature, 261
Schrödinger equation, 13
stationary, 17
time-dependent, 16
time-independent, 17
Schrödinger picture, 13
Section, 350, 352
local—, 350
Self-dual field strength, 64
Shankar monopole, 152, 167
Signature complex, 466
Simplex, 88
oriented, 102
singular, 227
standard, 226
Simplicial complex, 99
Simply connected, 85,131

Singular simplex, 227
Skeleton, 138
Smash product, 518
Smooth map, 179
Source, 29
Special linear group, 208
Special orthogonal group, 208
Special unitary group, 208
Spectral $\zeta$-function, 37
Spectral flow, 478
Spin bundle, 371, 449
Spin complex, 470
Spin group, 150
Spin structure, 449
Splitting principle, 429
Spontaneous magnetization, 153
Stabilizer, 220
Standard simplex, 226
Starling formula, 494
State vector, 10
Stiefel-Whitney class, 450
Stokes' theorem, 228
String, 302
Structure constant, 58, 215, 374
Structure group, 349, 350
Submanifold, 188
Supercharge, 483
Superstring theory, 303
Supersymmetry, 481
transformation, 483
Surjective, 68
Symbol
elliptic operator, 454
Symmetric group, 196
Symmetrized trace, 421
Symmetrizer, 196
Symplectic two-form, 203
$T$-product, 28
Tangent bundle, 348
holomorphic, 398
Tangent space, 183
Tangent vector, 181
Teichmüller deformation, 540

Teichmüller space, 538
Tensor, 80, 185
Tensor field, 185
Tensor product, 80
Tensor product bundle, 363
Tensor space, 80
Texture, 160, 165
Todd class, 435
Topological excitations, 154
Topological invariant, 86
Topological space, 81
Topology, 81
discrete, 81
metric, 82
relative, 82
trivial, 81
usual, 81
Torsion subgroup, 118
Torsion tensor, 254
Torsion two-form, 285
Torus, 71
Total space, 350
Transgression, 425
Transition amplitude, 20
Transition function, 350
Transitive, 219
Tree, 137
Triangulable, 100
Triangulation, 100
Trivial bundle, 351
Typical fibre, 350
Uniformization theorem, 554
Unitary frame, 398
Unitary group, 60, 208
Universal bundle, 431
Universal covering group, 149
Universal covering space, 149
Vacuum expectation value, 60
Vector, 75
bra, 9
ket, 9
lightlike, 246
null, 246
spacelike, 246
timelike, 246
Vector bundle, 357
holomorphic-, 397
Vector field, 185
anti-holomorphic, 320
holomorphic, 320
induced, 223
Vector space, 75 dual, 77
Vertical subspace, 375
Vielbein, 283
Vierbein, 283
Volume element, 204
invariant, 289
Vortex, 158
Wavefunction, 14
Wedge product, 196
Weight
cusp form, 559
Weil homomorphism, 425
Weil-Petersson measure, 555
Wess-Zumino (WZ) consistency condition, 515
Weyl ordering, 23
Weyl rescaling, 274, 306
Weyl tensor, 277
Weyl-Schouten tensor, 307
Whitney sum bundle, 362
Wick rotation, 27
Winding number, 158
Word, 134
World sheet, 304
Yang-Mills field tensor, 59
Yang-Mills gauge field, 59
Yang-Mills potential, 380
Zero mode, 472, 490


[^0]:    2 A manifold, to be more precise, see chapter 5.
    3 A functional is a function of functions. A function $f(\bullet)$ produces a number $f(x)$ for a given number $x$. Similarly, a functional $F[\bullet]$ assigns a number $F[f]$ to a given function $f(x)$.

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ Of course, $L$ may depend on $\dot{q}_{k}$. Otherwise, the coordinate $q_{k}$ is not our concern at all.
    5 Since the symmetry is continuous, it is always possible to define such an infinitesimal operation. Needless to say, $\delta q\left(t_{i}\right)$ and $\delta q\left(t_{f}\right)$ do not, in general, vanish in the present case.

[^2]:    ${ }^{12}$ We have dropped S and H again to simplify the notation. Note that $\left|x_{i}, t_{i}\right\rangle$ is an instantaneous eigenvector and hence parametrized by the time $t_{i}$ when the position is measured. This should not be confused with the dynamical time dependence of a wavefunction in the Schrödinger picture.

[^3]:    ${ }^{15}$ We will use 'det' for the determinant of a finite dimensional matrix while 'Det' for the (formal) determinant of an operator throughout this book. Similarly, the trace of a finite-dimensional matrix is denoted ' tr ' while that of an operator is denoted ' Tr '.

[^4]:    ${ }^{16}$ We will drop ${ }^{\wedge}$ on operators from now on unless this may cause confusion.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Strictly speaking the distance between two longitudes in the northern part of the city is slightly

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Octonions are also known as Cayley numbers. The set of octonions is a vector space over $\mathbb{R}$ but not a field. The product is neither commutative nor associative. See John C Baez, The Octonions math.RA/0105155 for a recent review.

[^7]:    2 We shall be a little sloppy in our notation.

[^8]:    ${ }^{3}$ Since $\operatorname{det}\left(X^{\mathrm{t}} A X\right)=(\operatorname{det} X)^{2} \operatorname{det} A$, we have $\operatorname{Pf}\left(X^{\mathrm{t}} A X\right)= \pm \operatorname{Pf}(A) \operatorname{det} X$. Here the plus sign should be chosen since $\operatorname{Pf}\left(I^{\mathrm{t}} A I\right)=\operatorname{Pf}(A)$.

[^9]:    ${ }^{4}$ Note that the multiplicative notation is being used.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Note the minor abuse of the notation.
    ${ }^{2}$ For a vector $A=A^{\mu} e_{\mu}, \not A$ denotes $\gamma^{\mu} A_{\mu}$.

[^11]:    7 Of course, this choice does not imply that the Riemann tensor vanishes in general.

[^12]:    8 The integrations over $\xi_{0}$ and $\eta_{0}$ are equivalent with those over $x_{0}$ and $\psi_{0}$.

[^13]:    3 We drop the representation index $\boldsymbol{r}$ to simplify the expression.
    4 The set $\Omega^{m}(G)$ should not be confused with $\Omega^{m}(M)$, the set of $m$-forms on $M$. The distinction should be clear from the context.

[^14]:    ${ }^{6}$ Note that there is no gauge anomaly in odd-dimensional spaces.

[^15]:    2 We use $S_{ \pm}$, instead of $\Delta_{ \pm}$, to denote the spin bundles. The symbol $\Delta^{ \pm}$is reserved for Laplacians.

[^16]:    4 This normalization of the measure differs by a constant factor from the conventional one.

[^17]:    5 It should be kept in mind that we introduce the tangent space only to obtain the Jacobian. The tangent space itself has no physical relevance.

[^18]:    ${ }^{6}$ Since $\psi_{0}$ satisfies $\Delta \psi_{0}=0$, it is a harmonic function. Any harmonic function on a Riemann surface must be a constant by the maximum principle.

